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A new set of reverse ballistic experiments has been designed to overcome uncertainties in the interpretation of experimental data of two independent data sets that suggest the existence of a so-called "failure wave" for penetration into SiC-ceramics. The possible detection of such a phenomenon requires very high accuracy in experimental measurements. The accuracy and position requirements for the new experiments together with the experimental design are reported here.

INTRODUCTION

Kozhushko \textit{et al}. [1], Orphal \textit{et al}. [2] and Orphal and Franzen [3] combined data from two independent data sets—high-velocity penetration experiments of long-rod tungsten projectiles and shaped-charge jets into silicon carbide (SiC)—that suggest an increase in penetration resistance of SiC at impact velocities greater than about 4.5 km/s. This result was interpreted as possible evidence of a failure wave in SiC, based on plane shock experiments by Kanel \textit{et al}. [4] and by Brar \textit{et al}. [5] who report the detection of a failure wave in K19 glass and soda lime glass. Experiments, done by Bless \textit{et al}. [6], report direct observation of a failure wave in Pyrex glass rods impacted by steel plates at velocities of about 200 m/s.

The main feature of the results in [1-2] is that for the long-rod experiments the slope of penetration velocity $u$ is greater than, and for the shaped-charge jet experiments the slope of $u$ is less than, the slope of the hydrodynamic limit; i.e., the penetration resistance changes at an impact velocity $v_P$ of about 5.0 km/s ($u \approx 3.5$ km/s) and is greater in the high-velocity range. This behavior is tentatively interpreted by the existence of a failure wave. The uncertainties around that interpretation are discussed in [7] together with three additional interpretations: a) the kinetics (time dependence) of SiC failure, thus called failure kinetics, b) a possible phase transition in reaction bonded...
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SiC and c) the differences in the two independent sets of tests. These interpretations are discussed in more detail in Ref. [7].

To eliminated the uncertainties in the analysis of the two disparate data sets, and to verify the change in slope of the penetration velocity at \( u \approx 3.5 \) km/s, it was decided to conduct a test series using a common set of projectile and target materials. The impact velocities for these experiments need to be varied from \(~4.5\) km/s to \(~6.5\) km/s to encompass the range of impact velocities where the slope in penetration velocity changed in [1-2]. Further, such a test series requires high measurement accuracy since the change in slope results in a reduction of the penetration velocity of only 0.3 km/s at an impact velocity of 6.5 km/s \((u \approx 4.1\) km/s, \(\Delta u/u \approx 7\%\)). Therefore a new set of reverse ballistic experiments has been performed specifically to eliminate the deficiencies identified in [1-2] and to improve the precision in measurement techniques compared to the standards in [1-3].

The ceramics studied are SiC-B and SiC-N from CERCOM Inc. The penetrator material was changed from pure tungsten to pure gold to eliminate strength effects of the penetrator. Tests at impact velocities between 3.5 and 4.5 km/s were performed to reproduce the essential results of the earlier work.

**EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN**

The targets are SiC cylinders, Type B and N (pressure assisted densification, CERCOM Inc.) with diameters of 15 mm and 20 mm, and lengths from 35 mm to 48 mm. These SiC cylinders are not confined except for a surrounding plastic sabot. Also, confined SiC-B samples identical to those used in [3] were tested. These samples have a diameter of 23.6 mm and a length of 48 mm. Both SiC-B samples, unconfined and confined, were from the lot used in [3] and were supplied by D. Orphal. These variations in target parameters allow comparison of results with the older data in [3], as well as an assessment of the influence of lateral dimensions (i.e., diameter) and confinement. Some material properties of SiC are given in Table 1.

The experiments were conducted in the reverse ballistics mode. The SiC samples were launched by a two-stage light-gas gun, pump tube caliber 150 mm, launch tube caliber 50 mm. The sabot is made of polycarbonate with an 8-mm Al disk behind the SiC cylinder. To reduce the yaw angle, the sabot does not separate from the SiC sample during free flight.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ceramic</th>
<th>Density [g/cm³]</th>
<th>Young’s mod. [GPa]</th>
<th>Shear mod. [GPa]</th>
<th>Hardness HK 0.3 [kg/mm²]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiC-B</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>2384 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC-N</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>2293 ± 42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The projectiles were rods of pure (99.99%) gold (Au) instead of pure (99.95%) tungsten (W) used in [3], with a diameter of 0.75 mm and a length of 50 mm. The material properties for Au are: density 19.3 g/cm$^3$, hardness 65 HV 5, UTS 220 N/mm$^2$ and elongation 30%.

TEST SET-UP

Fig. 1 shows the experimental arrangement in- and outside the impact tank. The Au rod was adjusted in the trajectory and aligned by laser light reflection from the blunt nose of the rod so that the yaw angle of the rod is close to 0° (± 0.1°). The rear of the rod (the last 8 mm) is inserted in Styrofoam that is mounted on a holder, which allows an adjustment in three dimensions. The impacting target destroys the Styrofoam but not the holder, which is reused for all tests. The rod is positioned only about 1.3 m from the gun muzzle, which keeps the yaw angle of the SiC sample as low as possible. The aim point of the gun depends on the velocity and shows a certain spread. The aim point as a function of velocity was determined by pretest experiments, and the position of the rod is adjusted accordingly.

The penetration process is observed by two 300-kV and two 450-kV flash X-ray systems, the tubes of which are aligned outside the tank in one plane normal to the line of flight. This plane is positioned at the nose end of the Au rod. The observation of the penetration process by flash radiography is completed before the SiC sample touches the Styrofoam holder of the rod; i.e. the flight distance of taking the four flash X-ray pictures is limited to about 42 mm. The longitudinal wave speed in the Au rod is around 2 km/s. Since the total time interval to observe the penetration is less than 15 µs for
these experiments, the impact disturbance propagates only 30 mm into the rod. Consequently, there is no acceleration of the rod rear end during the penetration phase.

The 450-kV flash X-rays have a triple anode tube with linear alignment of the anodes. Only the two outer anodes are operated to obtain sufficient separation of the images on the film. The two 300-kV systems are conventional single anode tubes, which are inclined 30° and 60° with respect to the symmetry axis of the 450-kV tube. These four flash X-ray systems are operated with about 220 kV for best contrast on the developed film. The X-ray-film is inserted in a circular shaped cassette, mounted at a distance of 200 mm from, and parallel to, the line of flight. The four flash X-ray systems are triggered by a short circuit foil, which is fixed 15 mm in front of the Au rod. The foil has a 40-mm hole, so the plastic sabot initiates the trigger, not the SiC sample. At distances of 560 mm in front and 590 mm behind the Au rod, two additional flash X-ray pictures of the SiC sample are taken with 180-kV systems. The first one is triggered by a high intensity 300-mW laser, the second one by the short-circuit trigger foil in front of the Au rod. Both pictures allow independent measurements of the impact velocity, which is also measured from the four flash X-rays during penetration.

**ACCURACY OF POSITION AND TIME MEASUREMENT**

High accuracy is essential for determining the position of the SiC target with respect to the Au rod since the flight distance for taking the four flash X-ray pictures is only a few centimeters. The individual magnification factor is determined for each X-ray tube with a precision scale. A stationary picture of the SiC sample with sabot and Au rod adjusted in the actual test position is taken before every experiment. The shadowgraphs of the SiC sample and of the rod show—as does every flash X-ray shadowgraph of a solid body—a certain blur on the surface. Thus, the stationary picture together with the known dimensions of the SiC sample and the Au rod allow determining the exact position of the front or of the rear within the blur. A position accuracy of ±0.1 mm is achieved in the actual experiment. Fiducial marks mounted in the tank at fixed positions, the shadow of which can be seen in the X-ray films, allow exact superposition of the X-ray films for evaluation. The position accuracy can be degraded somewhat by deficiencies occurring during the test, e.g., by a yaw angle, which has to be determined for every individual test. All distances are measured manually as image-processing still appears to be inferior with respect to accuracy.

The times of the flash X-ray pictures and of the short circuit trigger is monitored on the same time base with two 4-channel digital oscilloscopes (resolution 0.4 ns). The current pulse in the flash X-ray systems is recorded with the oscilloscopes. Figure 2 shows a representative record. The middle of the pulse is taken as the time point. The accuracy is < ± 5 ns.
Less accuracy is required for the long distances of 560 mm and 590 mm, the first and the last flash X-rays; thus, no stationary shadowgraph of the SiC sample with sabot was taken. The accuracy of the long distances is ± 0.2 mm. The current pulses were monitored with an oscilloscope of coarser resolution, with an accuracy of ± 0.05 µs.

The SiC sample decelerates during free flight due to drag. Thus, \( v_{P1} \geq v_p \geq v_{P2} \) is expected, where \( v_{P1} \) is the velocity at the 560-mm distance, \( v_p \) is the velocity measured in the 4 flash X-ray pictures, and \( v_{P2} \) is the velocity at the 590-mm distance. The 590 mm station was not installed in a first test series, and the velocities \( v_p \) in two of the tests were 80 and 130 m/s larger than \( v_{P1} \). This indicated some deficiency in the accuracy of the measurements. The problem was resolved by improving the electronic auxiliary devices of the time measurement system. During the first test series, the current of the flash X-rays was measured at the tubes for the 300-kV systems and at the capacitor pot for the 450-kV system. This resulted in an additional run time through the high-voltage cable of 27 ns for the 450-kV system. Also, the pre-existing wiring had different cable types and lengths. Consequently, the facility was rewired with equal-length signal lines, and all currents are now monitored at the tubes. Furthermore, the 590-mm velocity station was installed to insure velocity measurement consistency. With these changes, the measured velocities are consistent, with \( v_{P1} \geq v_p \geq v_{P2} \) (Table 2).

**ANALYSIS OF THE FLASH X-RAYS**

The four flash X-ray pictures observing the penetration process were evaluated by determining the positions of the SiC sample, the stagnation point, and the rear end of the Au rod. A typical flash X-ray sequence is shown in Fig. 3. No movement of the rear end of the rod is observed. These data yield the impact velocity \( v_p \), the penetration velocity \( u \) and the consumption velocity \( v_c = dl/dt \). \( u \) and \( v_c \) are consistent since both velocities are determined from the position of the stagnation point. The positions are plotted versus
time and fit by straight lines, as shown in Fig 3. The correlation coefficients show a strong linear relation (typically 0.999 to 1.000), so \( u \) and \( v_c \) can be considered to be constant; that is, the process is steady state [3]. The impact velocity \( v_p \) is evaluated in the same way with typical correlation coefficients of 1.000. The data are summarized in Table 2. In some of the tests, less than four flash X-ray pictures were taken because of experimental problems. This can reduce the measurement distance, and consequently, the accuracy of the data. Table 2 also shows the yaw angles of the impacting SiC sample and the deviation from centre impact because of the spread of the gun. Off-center impact (if the penetration comes too close to the SiC sample surface) or non-zero yaw angles can influence the data, but not the accuracy of the measurements.

### TABLE 2: Test results – main parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>dim. [mm]</th>
<th>( \alpha_1 ) [°]</th>
<th>( \alpha_2 ) [°]</th>
<th>oc x [mm]</th>
<th>oc y [mm]</th>
<th>( v_{p1} ) [km/s]</th>
<th>( v_c ) [km/s]</th>
<th>( v_{p2} ) [km/s]</th>
<th>( u ) [km/s]</th>
<th>( v_{v} ) [km/s]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2581</td>
<td>Sic-N</td>
<td>15x40</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.487</td>
<td>3.466 ± 1.1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.022 ± 0.5%</td>
<td>1.455 ± 0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2567</td>
<td>Sic-N</td>
<td>15x40</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.532</td>
<td>3.518 ± 1.1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.098 ± 2.0%</td>
<td>1.416 ± 3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2584</td>
<td>Sic-N</td>
<td>15x40</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.610</td>
<td>3.688 ± 1.2%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.177 ± 0.7%</td>
<td>1.526 ± 1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2583</td>
<td>SiC-B</td>
<td>15x48</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>3.477</td>
<td>3.502 ± 1.3%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.153 ± 1.9%</td>
<td>1.359 ± 1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2582</td>
<td>SiC-B</td>
<td>15x48</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.837</td>
<td>3.914 ± 1.3%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.458 ± 1.0%</td>
<td>1.479 ± 0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2585</td>
<td>SiC-B</td>
<td>15x48</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.423</td>
<td>4.462 ± 1.1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.842 ± 1.7%</td>
<td>1.627 ± 0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2589</td>
<td>Sic-B Ti</td>
<td>24x48</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>3.373</td>
<td>3.504 ± 1.1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.089 ± 0.4%</td>
<td>1.430 ± 1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2590</td>
<td>Sic-B Ti</td>
<td>24x48</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>3.489</td>
<td>3.587 ± 1.0%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.155 ± 0.4%</td>
<td>1.443 ± 0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2636</td>
<td>SiC-N</td>
<td>20x35</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.648</td>
<td>3.618 ± 0.7%</td>
<td>3.554</td>
<td>2.214 ± 0.6%</td>
<td>1.426 ± 1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2637</td>
<td>SiC-N</td>
<td>20x35</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.105</td>
<td>4.063 ± 1.1%</td>
<td>4.061</td>
<td>2.534 ± 0.2%</td>
<td>1.547 ± 0.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- \( v_{p1} \) = impact velocity ceramic measured in front of the rod (long distance measuring)
- \( v_c \) = consumption velocity rod
- \( v_p \) = impact velocity ceramic (measured from the 4-X-rays)
- \( v_{p2} \) = velocity of ceramic measured after contact with rod (long distance measuring)
- \( \alpha_1 \) = vertical plane angle – pitch
- \( \alpha_2 \) = horizontal plane angle – horizontal yaw

\( \alpha_1 \) = vertical plane angle – pitch
\( \alpha_2 \) = horizontal plane angle – horizontal yaw

**FIGURE 3:** X-ray sequence for Exp. 2581 – Penetration and erosion data for several experiments
DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

Figure 4 shows the results for \( u \) and \( v_c \) as a function of \( v_p \) for the first test series, along with two values of the improved test series, compared with the Orphal-Franzen data [3]. Generally the values are a little below the reference data for \( u \) and a little above the reference data for \( v_c \). This can be explained by the influence of the rod material. Au has a lower strength compared to W, so the consumption velocity increases and the penetration velocity decreases. Otherwise, there is only a slight difference detectable between the SiC-N and the SiC-B targets. Even the titanium-confined SiC-B with a larger ceramic diameter shows no significant difference to the unconfined, smaller diameter samples. Off-center impact also shows no detectable influence.

The error calculation applies the accuracy of the time and distance measurement to obtain the maximum error for the three velocities \( v_p \), \( u \) and \( v_c \). This is straightforward for \( v_p \). However, \( u \) and \( v_c \) are dependent on \( v_p \). To determine their maximum errors requires comparison against reference values for \( u \) and \( v_c \), which are the respective least-square fits for the specific ceramic tested. As there are only a few experiments for each ceramic sample—some very close together—it is not reasonable to take the actual fit for these experiments. A better procedure is to take the existing curve fit of [3] and adjust the axis intercept while maintaining the slope. This results in a relative maximum error of roughly 1% for most of the data. Experiments that were difficult to analyze show a slightly larger error. As discussed previously, the expected reduction of penetration velocity for \( u \approx 4.1 \text{ km/s} \) is around 0.3 km/s (\( \Delta u/u \approx 7\% \)); therefore, the maximum error of the experiments is significantly smaller than the expected \( \Delta u \) of the failure kinetics effect.

![Figure 4: Penetration and consumption velocity as a function of impact velocity](image-url)
CONCLUSIONS

The validation tests at impact velocities between 3.5 and 4.5 km/s demonstrated that a) the target redesign required to achieve higher impact velocities b) the exchange of the Orphal-Franzen SiC-B with SiC-N and c) substituting Au for W as the long-rod material have only a small effect, reducing the penetration velocity slightly. The small reduction in penetration velocity is fully in accord with the reduction in penetrator strength associated with the use of Au instead of W rods. Furthermore the error calculation showed that the sophisticated test-set-up and evaluation is able to achieve the necessary accuracy to detect the assumed failure kinetics effect. Experiments at higher impact velocities up to and above 6 km/s are currently under way and will provide useful information on the possible increase in SiC strength at high penetration velocities.
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