ANGULAR DOSE VARIATIONS FROM 4- TO 6-MV ROD-PINCH DIODE EXPERIMENTS ON THE ASTERIX PULSED-POWER GENERATOR
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Abstract

The angular distribution of radiation from a rod-pinch diode is examined with coupled PIC-Monte-Carlo simulations using the LSP code[1] and compared with angular dose measurements on the ASTERIX pulsed-power generator. For the 4- to 6-MV voltage range accessible in the experiments, both the measured and simulated doses at 1 m were 25 to 38 rad-LiF in the forward direction (0°). The calculated dose at 90° is 1.2-1.8 times larger than the forward-directed dose depending on both the voltage and rod diameter. This is also in agreement with the measured angular variation[2]. At 6 MV, the simulations show that the dose near 180° is more than twice the forward-directed dose. At these high voltages, electrons approach the anode rod primarily at angles close to 180° resulting in peak radiation in the backward direction. This suggests that negative polarity should be considered for voltages at or above 6 MV to maximize the extracted dose. Simulations show that the 0° dose is higher with tapered rods and increases with rod diameter. Simulations at 10 MV show that the dose near 180° is more than ten times higher than the 0° dose. At 10 MV, the dose near 180° at 1 m from a 2-mm diameter, blunt rod is calculated to be ~800 Rad (LiF).

I. RADIATION MEASUREMENTS AND CALCULATIONS

A standard rod-pinch diode consists of a blunt or tapered tungsten or gold anode rod that protrudes a few cm beyond an annular cathode as shown in Fig. 1. For the Asterix experiments[2,3] analyzed here, the anode was either a 1- or 2-mm diameter tungsten or gold rod that extended 1.6 cm beyond the cathode annulus and was tapered to a point over the last 1.0 cm. The electron positions from a particle-in-cell (PIC) simulation (see Fig. 1) show that, because of the strong self-magnetic field and the emission of protons from the anode (not shown), electrons are strongly focused onto the tip of a high-atomic-number anode rod. The result is an intense source of x-rays with a source diameter comparable to the diameter of the rod when viewed along the rod axis.[4]

Figure 1. Geometry of the rod-pinch diode with electron positions from a PIC simulation.

The angular distribution of the dose is measured at several angles using both thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs) and Si $\text{pin}$ diodes. Time histories of the radiation are measured with an array of six lead-collimated Si $\text{pin}$ diode detectors. The angular locations of the detectors are shown in Fig. 2. These radiation diagnostics are described in detail in Ref. 2. The tip of the rod is positioned near the center of a 10-cm-radius, 1-cm-thick, hemispherical aluminum shell so that all radiation measurements are attenuated through 1 cm of aluminum.
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# Angular Dose Variations From 4- To 6-Mv Rod-Pinch Diode Experiments On The Asterix Pulsed-Power Generator

The angular distribution of radiation from a rod-pinch diode is examined with coupled PIC-Monte-Carlo simulations using the LSP code[1] and compared with angular dose measurements on the ASTERIX pulsedpower generator. For the 4- to 6-MV voltage range accessible in the experiments, both the measured and simulated doses at 1 m were 25 to 38 rad-LiF in the forward direction (0o). The calculated dose at 90o is 1.2- 1.8 times larger than the forward-directed dose depending on both the voltage and rod diameter. This is also in agreement with the measured angular variation[2]. At 6 MV, the simulations show that the dose near 180o is more than twice the forward-directed dose. At these high voltages, electrons approach the anode rod primarily at angles close to 180o resulting in peak radiation in the backward direction. This suggests that negative polarity should be considered for voltages at or above 6 MV to maximize the extracted dose. Simulations show that the 0o dose is higher with tapered rods and increases with rod diameter. Simulations at 10 MV show that the dose near 180o is more than ten times higher than the 0o dose. At 10 MV, the dose near 180o at 1 m from a 2-mm diameter, blunt rod is calculated to be ~800 Rad (LiF).
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## Supplementary Notes

The measurements show that the dose increases with angle and the pin diodes at large angles are subject to saturation effects. Therefore, the pulse-shape from the pin diode located at -20° is used to compare the measured and calculated dose-rates. There is no evidence of saturation effects in the smaller signals from this detector. The time-histories of the radiation at the various TLD locations is then inferred by scaling the -20° pin diode pulse-shape so that the time-integral is the TLD dose at that location. This scaling is appropriate because the measured TLD doses were observed to scale linearly with the dose from the pin diodes in a previous experiment on Asterix.

The angular distribution of the radiation from a rod-pinch diode is calculated with the particle-in-cell (PIC) code, LSP[1,5]. LSP incorporates electron scattering, energy loss, and bremsstrahlung production algorithms from the Integrated Tiger Series[6] (ITS) of electron-photon Monte-Carlo transport codes. The method which is used to calculate the angular dose distribution is similar to that used to calculate the forward-directed (0°) dose for voltages up to 4 MV[5] First, LSP is run to steady state for a number of voltages. Once a steady-state voltage is achieved, a list of electron positions and momenta incident on the surface of the rod is generated. The electron list is post-processed with the ITS code, CYLTRAN, to calculate the angular distribution of the radiation spectrum emerging from the rod. An alternative method of calculating the radiation spectrum utilizes the capability of LSP to generate a list of photons that contains their energy, direction, and location in the rod as they are created. The angular distribution of the radiation spectrum is then calculated by using CYLTRAN to model self-absorption in the rod. This is the method used in Ref. 5. The two methods provide an excellent cross-check and we have found that both methods produce essentially the same results.

The angular-dose distribution at 1 meter is calculated from the radiation spectrum using

\[
\frac{\text{Dose}(\theta)}{Q_e} = \int_0^\phi R(h\nu) F(h\nu) \frac{d\Phi(\theta, h\nu)}{d(h\nu)} d(h\nu),
\]

where \(Q_e\) is the incident electron charge on the rod, \(R(h\nu)\) is the spectral response of the detector [dose per photon flux], \(F(h\nu)\) is the attenuation from any filters between the source and the detector, and \(d\Phi(\theta, h\nu)/d(h\nu)\) is the angular distribution of the photon flux spectrum per incident electron charge calculated from the LSP/CYLTRAN model. Both the detector response and the filter attenuation are calculated using tabulated attenuation/absorption coefficients.[7] This approach avoids the problem of poor photon counting statistics associated with the small solid angle subtended by the detectors incurred with direct modeling of the detectors with ITS. However, Eq. (1) omits radiation scattered in the filters that can subsequently reach the detector and it can overestimate the energy absorbed energy in the detector if it is not properly equilibrated. Both of these effects are small in this experiment and the forward dose predicted by Eq. (1) agrees with that calculated in Ref. 5 where the detectors are modeled directly with ITS.

The incident electron charge on the rod in Eq. (1) must be calculated in terms of measurable quantities to be useful in comparing with experiments. This charge is determined from the measurables as

\[
Q_e = Q_T N_{\text{passes}} (I_{\text{ion}}/I_T),
\]

where \(Q_T\) is the total absorbed charge (i.e. the integral of the current) in the diode (both electrons and ions), \(N_{\text{passes}}\) is the number of passes the electrons make in the rod defined by the ratio of incident electron charge to absorbed electron charge, and \(I_{\text{ion}}/I_T\) is the ion-current fraction. \(N_{\text{passes}}\) can be obtained from either CYLTRAN or LSP and, for 1- and 2-mm diameter tapered rods, the simulations show that electrons make between 2 and 10 passes through the rod as the voltage increases from 2 to 10 MV. The ion current fraction from LSP varies from 25% to 45% when protons are the ion species and it is a weak function of the voltage. For blunt rods, electrons make only 2 to 3 passes through the rod and the ion current fraction is reduced to 25% to 35% for voltages between 2 and 10 MV since electrons spend less time in the diode. To apply Eq. (1) to time-dependent experiments, the dose-per-charge is interpreted as the dose-rate divided by the total current so that

\[
\text{Dose}/Q_T = (\text{Dose}-\text{Rate})/I_T.
\]

where \(I_T\) is the total current in the diode. This relationship is valid since the LSP calculations are at steady-state.

Results from angular dose calculations for a 2-mm diameter tapered rod are shown in Fig. 3. The calculations show that the angular variation of the dose-rate efficiency \((\text{Dose}-\text{Rate})/I_T\) is small for voltages below 4 MV but increases rapidly above 4 MV. The simulations also show that the 0° dose-rate efficiency becomes insensitive to changes in the voltage for voltages above 6 MV. This insensitivity is due to electron angles of incidence on the rod approaching 180° as the voltage increases which increases the x-ray emission at large angles increases relative to the smaller angles. The model predicts that the dose-rate efficiency at 6 MV
more than a factor of 2 higher near 180° than at 0° (dose at exactly 180° decreases due to self-absorption in the rod). This suggests that, to maximize the extracted dose, future rod-pinch diode experiments at or above 6 MV should be performed in negative polarity with an appropriately designed anode to minimize self-absorption.[8] Also shown in Fig. 3 are power-law fits to the dose-rate at various angles of the form $A/V^p$. These fits apply to voltages up to 6 MV and are used to model the Asterix experiments where peak voltages ranged between 4- and 6-MV.

**Figure 3.** Dependence of the dose-rate efficiency on voltage for various angles. Open symbols are from simulations and dashed lines are power-law fits for voltages up to 6 MV.

Calculated and measured dose-rates for a typical Asterix shot are compared in Fig. 4. The calculated dose-rates are obtained using the measured current and voltage waveforms (shown in Fig. 4A) in the power-law fits from the simulations. The diode voltage is obtained by applying a rather large inductive correction ($L=1030$ nH) to an electrolytic resistive divider located upstream of the diode.[3] As a result, there is a rather large uncertainty in the voltage waveform. The current is an average of three B-dot loops located near the diode load. The variation of the individual B-dots from this average is small indicating a less than ±5% uncertainty on the current. The magnitudes and shapes of the dose-rates calculated from the LSP/CYLTRAN model are compared with the measured dose-rates at 0°, 20°, 40°, 60°, and 80° in Figs. 4B-4F. A comparison of the angular variation of the total calculated dose (i.e. the time-integral of the dose-rate) and measured the TLD dose are shown in Fig. 5. The calculated doses and dose-rates are in agreement with the measurements to within the experimental precision. Similar agreement is obtained for a shot that used 1-mm diameter tapered tungsten rod.

A comparison of the calculated dose-rate efficiencies from 1-mm (Fig. 6A) and 2-mm (Fig. 6B) diameter tapered and blunt rods are shown in Fig. 6. For a given rod diameter, this figure shows that the 0° dose-rate efficiency is higher for tapered rods than blunt rods. Near 180°, the dose-rate efficiency from blunt rods is significantly higher than the tapered rods. The dose-rate efficiency at 10 MV is also seen to be more than ten times higher near 180° than at 0°. This suggests that blunt rods are better able to take advantage of the electron angles of incidence as the voltage increases. The calculations at 10 MV indicate a current of $I=210$ kA for a ratio of the cathode-to-anode radii of $r_C/r_A=11$. Therefore, a dose of ~800 Rad (LiF) near 180° is possible 1 m from a 2-mm diameter, blunt rod in a 50-ns full-width at half maximum radiation pulse. Further increases in the dose are possible if the ion current fraction (~40% at 10 MV) can be

**Figure 4.** Comparison of measured dose-rates with calculated dose-rates for a 2-mm diameter, tapered tungsten rod. A) The voltage and current waveforms. B) 0° dose-rates. C) 20° dose-rates. D) 40° dose-rates. E) 60° dose-rates. F) 80° dose-rates.

**Figure 5.** Comparison of calculated and measured angular dose variation for a 2-mm diameter, tapered tungsten rod.
One method for eliminating protons is to heat the tungsten anode to about 2000 K prior to the shot to drive off impurities.\cite{Weber1}

The simulations indicate very little difference in the dose-rate efficiency from 1- and 2-mm diameter blunt rods at 180°. This can be understood by comparing the current flow patterns from 1- and 2-mm diameter blunt rod pinch diodes at 10 MV shown in Fig. 7. From this figure it is seen that, when protons are replaced by tungsten ions, the ion current fraction is reduced to less than 5% and the dose increases by 50%. One method for eliminating protons is to heat the tungsten anode to about 2000 K prior to the shot to drive off impurities.\cite{Weber1}

Figure 6. Comparison of the calculated 0° and 180° dose-rate efficiencies for blunt and tapered rods. A) 1-mm diameter rods. B) 2-mm diameter rods.

Figure 7. Current flow patterns from LSP simulations of a 10 MV, blunt-anode, rod-pinch diode with \( r_C/r_A = 11 \). A) 1-mm diameter rod. B) 2-mm diameter rod.
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