Targeting of Convoy Vehicles is Not Disrupted by a Green Laser: Moving, Predictable Targets in Bright Lighting
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Shooter skill did not affect impairment. Under bright lighting conditions, shooting at moving (but predictable from extrapolation), brief-exposure targets, the maximum eye-safe green laser exposure did not impair targeting success while on the shooters eyes nor afterward. Perceptual mechanism and situational contributors to effectiveness are discussed.
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The Problem

- Protecting military convoys from sniper fire is a priority.
- Soldiers would like to use non-injurious lasers in civilian settings to impair potential shooters to keep convoys safe.
Specific Objectives

• Determine effectiveness of a green laser under eye-safe conditions against the ability of a shooter to hit a target.

• Test laser effectiveness
  • during laser exposure
  • immediately after laser exposure.
General Method

- Test human volunteers
- shooting outdoors
- under daytime lighting
- at moving convoy vehicles
- Compare shooting accuracy
- laser-exposure trials vs. non-laser trials
The Problem

Convoy Test-Bed Layout

- Laser shines over trucks, across road to shooter
- Two convoy trucks serve as targets
- Each target is available for ~1.4 sec
The Laser

- B.E. Meyers
- GBDIII-C Laser

- Laser shone on shooter’s face on some trials
• Shooter view
• Truck targets closely spaced
  • 1.4 sec apart
• Laser on tripod above Target 1
  • Sitting on parked truck
  • Shines over first target
Convoy Targeting Area

- Convoy targets are visible upon approach
- Shots allowed when targets are between white reflector posts
- Pink dot on forward truck’s target: Hit
• 8 healthy subjects with good eyesight participated as shooters
• Subjects were trained to criterion on shooting task with an FN-303 less-lethal launcher
• On each trial, subjects shot at targets mounted on two moving convoy vehicles
• Trucks were closely following one another
Experiment Method

- Experiment consisted of 14 trials consisting of two targeting opportunities each, for 28 total targeting opportunities.
- 7 of the 14 trials began with laser exposure during Target 1 presentation; no laser was presented during the other 7 trials.
- For each laser trial, a subject was exposed to the laser for the duration that the first target was in range and available to be hit.
- The laser appeared to originate from immediately above Target 1 (0.5° visual angle).
- When the first target had passed, the laser was terminated simultaneously and immediately the second target was available to be hit.
The Results

- Medians and quartile boundaries for hit rates
- On laser-exposure and non-exposure trials
- For the first target (top plot) and second target (bottom plot) in each
Results: During Laser Shooting While Laser Is On Eyes:

Question:
Does the laser interfere with hitting the target while it is on the eyes?

Findings:
• Hit percentages for Target 1 when laser was on did not differ from hit percentages when laser was off.
  • 95% vs. 90% difference was not reliable
  • [Kruskal-Wallis test $H_{1,15} = 0.45, p=.502$]
Results: After Laser

Shooting After Laser is Turned Off:

Question:

Does the laser cause residual interference with targeting after it ends?

Findings:

• Hit percentages after the laser did not differ from no-laser trials. There is no residual effect.
  • 95% vs. 100% difference was not reliable
  • [Kruskal-Wallis test $H_{1,15} = 0.34$, $p = .558$]
On non-exposure trials:

- Targeting success for the first target and the second target were identical (95% hits).
- Suggests that the difficulty of the two targeting tasks was similar.
- Any difference in targeting accuracy between the two targets on the laser-exposure trials cannot be attributed to differential difficulty.
Results: Shooting skill

- Skill was not related to laser effectiveness
- Predicted less than 6% ($R^2=.056$) of the variance
Discussion: Predictability

- Predictability of the target location may have kept the laser from interfering with targeting.
- Trucks moving at constant speed could be anticipated prior to laser onset.
- In another experiment (Short et al., 2007), static targets were presented for a similar duration but in an unpredictable manner, and the same green laser was highly effective.
• Alternatively, the relevant feature may be high level of ambient light during task
  • Therefore laser had low temporal contrast
  • Light-acclimated (2782 lux ± 306 SEM) subjects would have low sensitivity
  • Same laser was highly effective in dim light, laboratory targeting test (Short et al., 2007)