

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

ABBREVIATED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

JLSS 03-0024

CONSTRUCT MAILROOM BUILDING



**911 AIRLIFT WING
AIR FORCE RESERVE COMMAND**

**Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station
Coraopolis, PA 15108**

March 2003

Prepared in Accordance with
Air Force Instruction 32-7061
In Compliance with
The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

Prepared by: 911 AW/CEV
Report Control Symbol: CE 02-21

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 20 MAR 2003	2. REPORT TYPE Environmental Assessment	3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2000 to 00-00-2003			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Abbreviated Environmental Assessment to Construct Mailroom Building at Pittsburgh Air Reserve Station		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
		5b. GRANT NUMBER			
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
		5e. TASK NUMBER			
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) United States Air Force,Pittsburgh Air Reserve Station,Coraopolis,PA,15108		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER			
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT Abbreviated Environmental Assessment to Construct Mailroom Building at Pittsburgh Air Reserve Station					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 20	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

FOR
JLSS 03-0024, CONSTRUCT MAILROOM BUILDING
911 AIRLIFT WING
PITTSBURGH AIR RESERVE STATION, PENNSYLVANIA

Agency: United States Air Force, Air Force Reserve Command

Background: Under the National Environmental Policy Act, the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing the Act (40 CFR 1500-1508), Department of Defense Directive 6050.1, Air Force Instruction 32-7061, *Environmental Impact Analysis Process*, which implements these regulations, and other applicable federal, state, and local regulations and Air Force policies, the United States Air Force has conducted an abbreviated assessment of the Proposed Action *JLSS 03-0024, Construct Mailroom Building*.

Proposed Action: The Proposed Action is to construct a new mailroom facility for the 911 Airlift Wing (AW), Pittsburgh International Airport (IAP) Air Reserve Station (ARS) located at the Pittsburgh International Airport (PIA), Coraopolis, PA. Outside mail received from the US Postal Service is received at a central facility located in the base headquarters building and operated by the Information Systems Flight. Force protection objectives and the physical security program at the 911 AW require a separate building for the base mailroom function due to the potential effects of terrorists activities that may occur through the base mail. Under the No Action Alternative, the current mailroom in the headquarters building would continue to be operated and the base would not be able to effectively meet security requirements.

Summary of Findings: The abbreviated assessment identified and evaluated potential impacts to the following resources: air quality and cultural resources. The potential impacts assessed are summarized below.

Air Quality: No significant increase in air emissions is expected as a result of the new facility construction or operation under the Proposed Action. The estimated air emissions resulting from the Proposed Action are well below de minimus thresholds for conformity with state or federal implementation plans, and therefore should have no impact on attainment of air quality standards in the region. Under the No Action Alternative, the facility would not be constructed, and no additional air emissions would occur.

Cultural Resources: No cultural resources are known to exist at the proposed site for the Proposed Action; the site has previously been developed as base officer housing and is currently a park. Since the project site was previously disturbed, there is no potential for impact on cultural resources. The No Action Alternative would not alter the site and result in no impact on cultural resources.

Finding of No Significant Impact: Based on review of the facts and analysis contained in this Abbreviated Environmental Assessment, which is incorporated herein, it is concluded that the Proposed Action will result in No Significant Impact. Accordingly, the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act regulations promulgated by the President's Council on Environmental Quality and Air Force Instruction 32-7061 are fulfilled. An Environmental Impact Statement is not required and will not be prepared for this Proposed Action and its' alternatives.



CARL E. VOGT, Colonel, USAFR
Chairperson, Environmental Protection Committee
Commander, 911 Airlift Wing

20 MAR 03
Date

ABBREVIATED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
JLSS 03-0024, CONSTRUCT MAILROOM BUILDING
911 AIRLIFT WING (AFRC), PITTSBURGH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION	1
1.1 PROPOSED ACTION	1
1.2 NEED FOR PROPOSED ACTION	1
1.3 DECISION TO BE MADE	1
1.4 ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	1
1.4.1 RELEVANT ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	1
1.4.2 NON-RELEVANT ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	2
2.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES (DOPAA)	3
2.1 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION	3
2.2 DESCRIPTION OF NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	3
3.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	4
3.1 AIR QUALITY	4
3.2 WATER RESOURCES	4
3.3 SAFETY AND OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH	4
3.4 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS/WASTE	5
4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	6
4.1 AIR QUALITY	6
4.2 WATER RESOURCES	6
4.3 SAFETY AND OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH	7
4.4 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS/WASTE	7
4.5 CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	8
4.6 IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF RESOURCES	8
5.0 PERSONS CONSULTED	9
6.0 REFERENCES	10
APPENDIX A ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	A-1
APPENDIX B AIR CONFORMITY APPLICABILITY ANALYSIS	B-1

**ABBREVIATED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
JLSS 03-0024, CONSTRUCT MAILROOM BUILDING
911 AIRLIFT WING (AFRC), PITTSBURGH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARS**

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ABBREVIATED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
JLSS 03-0024, CONSTRUCT MAILROOM BUILDING
911 AIRLIFT WING (AFRC), PITTSBURGH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARS

1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION

1.1 PROPOSED ACTION

The Proposed Action is to provide a new mailroom facility for the 911 Airlift Wing (AW), Pittsburgh International Airport (IAP) Air Reserve Station (ARS) located at the Pittsburgh International Airport (PIA), Coraopolis, PA. Outside mail received from the US Postal Service is received at a central facility operated by the Information Systems Flight (911 CF/XX) and is sorted and distributed to local mailboxes for each base department.

The proposed action would provide an efficient single story 830 SF facility housing a mailroom, package room, and reproduction center. A detailed description of the scope of work for the Proposed Action is included in the DD Form 1391[1] for the subject project.

1.2 NEED FOR PROPOSED ACTION

The base mailroom is located directly below the Wing Commander's office and directly above the Wing Plans office in the base Headquarters building (B316). To protect from the potential effects of terrorist activities occurring through the base mail (i.e. packages containing explosives or chemical/biological agents), it is important to separate the mailroom function from vital headquarters offices and functions. Force protection objectives and the physical security program at the 911 AW requires a separate building for the base mailroom function. A Unit Compliance Inspection (UCI) conducted in March 2002 noted an installation security observation item citing the need to relocate the base mailroom from its current location.

1.3 DECISION TO BE MADE

The decision to be made is whether or not the construction and operation of a new mailroom facility for the 911 AW can be implemented in order to meet mission and security requirements.

1.4 ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

1.4.1 Relevant Environmental Issues

Environmental issues considered relevant in the analysis of the Proposed Action are the impacts upon air quality and cultural resources. The important issues for each area are listed as follows.

Air Quality. Air emissions produced as a result of construction activities and operations at the new facilities may have an effect on the local air quality.

Cultural Resources. Construction of the project on the designated site could impact cultural resources that may be located at the site.

1.4.2 Non-Relevant Environmental Issues

Environmental issues considered not relevant in the analysis of the Proposed Action are the impacts on noise and land use, water resources, safety and occupational health, hazardous materials/waste, biological resources, geology and soils, and socioeconomic factors. Because these impacts are considered negligible, they are not further addressed in this EA. A brief explanation of why these issues are considered non-relevant is listed as follows.

ABBREVIATED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
JLSS 03-0024, CONSTRUCT MAILROOM BUILDING
911 AIRLIFT WING (AFRC), PITTSBURGH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARS

Air Installation Compatible Use Zone/Land Use. The 911 AW shares joint taxiways and runways with the Pittsburgh International Airport. Consequently, the installation does not have its own AICUZ Plan but instead falls under the land use guidance developed in the FAA Part 150 Study for the PIA [2]. The study indicates that the noise levels for all areas within the ARS range between the 70 and 75 DNL contours. This range is acceptable for industrial, commercial, public, and recreational land use. All facilities and activities at Pittsburgh ARS fall under one of these categories. The site for the Proposed Action is located in the northeast corner of the base in a slightly elevated land area. Noise impacts resulting from the Proposed Action would be minimal and would not change the existing noise levels nor alter the current land use.

Water Resources. Due to the minimal amount of disturbed area as a result of construction activities, erosion of site soils should be small. Any temporarily disturbed areas will be managed in accordance with standard erosion control methods incorporated into the construction contract requirements and outlined under the current base Storm Water Program [3]. As a result, the overall impact on local water quality would be negligible.

Safety and Occupational Health. Construction and operation of the new facility under the Proposed Action will be accomplished in accordance with all pertinent safety and occupational health requirements. As a result, the Proposed Action will have no overall impact on safety and occupational health.

Hazardous Material/Waste. The new facility constructed under the Proposed Action will handle no hazardous materials or generate no hazardous waste; no impact will result on hazardous material/waste.

Biological Resources. There are no federal and state listed endangered or threatened species known to exist in the immediate area of Pittsburgh ARS. There are no established wetlands located at Pittsburgh ARS. Pittsburgh ARS is not located within a 100 year flood plain or a state coastal zone. The site for the Proposed Action consists of a developed, landscaped area. The Proposed Action would have no impact on biological resources.

Geology and Soils. No major change in topography or land use will occur at the site as a result of the Proposed Action. Therefore, the overall impact on the base geology and soils due to the Proposed Action would be negligible.

Socioeconomic. The 911 AW employs approximately 350 full-time military and civilian personnel and 1,100 reservists, most of who are from the local area. The majority of facility projects on base are done by outside contractors. The addition of construction contract work under the Proposed Action may have a slight temporary effect on the local economy. There would be no increase in base personnel manning levels resulting from the Proposed Action. There would be no permanent impact on socioeconomic factors resulting from the Proposed Action.

Other. There are no other affected environmental issues known to exist that pertain to the Proposed Action. The facility constructed under the Proposed Action will serve to increase security provisions at the base and thereby better protect base personnel from potential terrorist actions.

2.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES (DOPAA)

2.1 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION

The Proposed Action would be performed on an existing site in the northeast portion of the base that is located near the main gate; the site is currently developed as a small park with landscaped and grassy areas. The proposed new project site is approximately 1,000 SF.

The Proposed Action would construct a new 830 SF mailroom building for the 911 AW. The project will include a mail/package storage area with a securable pass-through window and bank of local mailboxes, a reprographics center, office, bathroom, and mechanical room. The facility will be similar to surrounding structures and would include a concrete foundation and slab-on-grade, concrete block exterior walls with brick veneer, sloped metal roof, mechanical, electrical, and fire alarm systems, parking area, concrete sidewalks and curbs, and landscaping. As part of the project, all disturbed areas adjacent to the project site will be restored to match the existing conditions.

The Proposed Action would be accomplished under a fixed-price local construction contract administered by the 911 AW Contracting Officer (LGC) and managed by the 911 AW Civil Engineering Flight (CEC). The project is currently scheduled to be designed during the beginning of FY 2003 and constructed during the latter part of FY 2003.

2.2 DESCRIPTION OF NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE

The No Action Alternative would not provide Pittsburgh ARS with a new mailroom building to meet security requirements. Under the No Action Alternative, the base would continue to utilize the current mailroom facility located in the headquarters building. The current security deficiencies and force protection concerns would remain. Consequently, the base would not be able to efficiently meet security requirements for the management of incoming postal mail or mitigate possible terrorist mail threats to the base population and key personnel.

3.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

The following describes the baseline condition of the affected environment areas involved in the Proposed Action. Environmental effects on each area are discussed in the corresponding subsections of section 4.0, Environmental Consequences.

3.1 AIR QUALITY

Pittsburgh ARS is located in the Allegheny County Air Quality Control Region and is regulated by the Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD), Division of Air Quality, the regulating agency under the EPA-approved State Implementation Plan for Pennsylvania. Allegheny County is designated as a moderate nonattainment area for ozone and is in an ozone transport region. The major source threshold emission levels corresponding to this nonattainment status are: 50 tons/yr for VOCs, 100 tons/yr. for all criteria pollutants (NO_x, CO, PM-10, SO_x, and Lead), and 10 tons/yr for any hazardous air pollutant (HAP) or 25 tons/yr. of any combination of HAPS.

Based on its most recent air survey conducted for air emissions occurring during calendar year 2001 [4], Pittsburgh ARS is currently well under these emission thresholds and is not regulated as a major source. In addition, no current equipment on base operates over individual emission levels that would require an air operating permit.

3.2 CULTURAL RESOURCES

Current Federal and Air Force mandates regarding cultural resources require historical and archeological evaluations be performed before construction proceeds on lands under Air Force jurisdiction. A Cultural Resources Management Plan (CRMP) [2] has been developed for Pittsburgh ARS that includes procedures for managing potential cultural resource aspects of new projects; the base Cultural Resources Manager, CEV, is responsible for day-to-day implementation of the CRMP. Failure to comply with Federal historic preservation statutes and Air Force regulations regarding the cultural resource protection could result in the unintentional destruction of significant cultural resources.

There are no current historic or archeological resources currently identified at Pittsburgh ARS that require restrictions or limitations to construction on base. The majority of the base has previously been developed and most areas have been disturbed; however, the CRMP has delineated a number of "open areas" on the base that are believed to be previously undisturbed. The survey requirements and procedures outlined in the CRMP would have to be followed prior to development occurring on one of these areas.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

4.1 AIR QUALITY

Proposed Action

A small amount of new air emissions will be produced as a result of two items resulting from the Proposed Action: the facility construction operations will produce some air emissions during the construction period and additional emissions will be produced from installed equipment such as boilers/heaters. An *Air Conformity Applicability Analysis* was performed to determine the amount of emissions generated from the construction activity and installed facility equipment. The analysis can be found in Appendix B of this document. The results of the analysis determined that the emissions from the Proposed Action would be well below de minimus thresholds and would not exceed 10% of the total emissions inventory of Allegheny County. Therefore, the Proposed Action is exempt from further conformity analysis.

Construction operations under the Proposed Action would be responsible for a small amount of air emissions. However, emissions produced from the construction will be for a limited time only and stop upon completion of the project.

The site for the Proposed Action currently contains no air emissions sources. Annual emissions produced as a result of installed equipment contained under the Proposed Action would be very small. The new facility equipment would use natural gas for fuel. Under the Proposed Action, the new facility will introduce no new regulated air emission sources as defined by ACHD.

No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative, no new air emissions would be introduced to the base and current base emission levels would be unaffected.

4.2 CULTURAL RESOURCES

Proposed Action

The site for the Proposed Action is designated as an "open area" in the current CRMP. However, after publication of the plan, it has been determined that the plan is inaccurate regarding its designation of the proposed site. The site has previously been developed as base officer housing and is now a developed park area. There were no known cultural resources previously identified at the site. Because site has been previously disturbed, it is not properly classified as an open area and the site survey requirements outlined by the CRMP do not apply. There are no known cultural resources that would be disturbed by the project construction under the Proposed Action.

No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative, there would be no disturbance to the project site, or potential cultural resources located therein.

4.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

The site for the mailroom facility is previously developed as part of a base park area. The mailroom facility will utilize the entire intended site to accommodate the building and site areas specified under the facility requirements. The site is bordered by adjacent roadways. These factors provide for isolation of the site from additional potential construction that might occur. Therefore, the potential for cumulative

ABBREVIATED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
JLSS 03-0024, CONSTRUCT MAILROOM BUILDING
911 AIRLIFT WING (AFRC), PITTSBURGH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARS

impacts at the Proposed Action site would be very low. The No Action Alternative would allow certain impacts on the site, although again the possibility of cumulative impacts is low due to existing site constraints.

4.4 IRREVERSIBLE & IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF RESOURCES

Irreversible and irretreivable resource commitments are related to both the use of nonrenewable resources and the effects of the use these resources would have on future generations. Neither the Proposed Action nor the No Action Alternative would result in any irreversible or irretreivable commitments of resources.

ABBREVIATED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
JLSS 03-0024, CONSTRUCT MAILROOM BUILDING
911 AIRLIFT WING (AFRC), PITTSBURGH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARS

5.0 PERSONS CONSULTED

The following personnel were consulted in preparation of this Abbreviated Environmental Analysis.

Mr. Robert Moeslein
Base Civil Engineer
911 AW/CE
911 Airlift Wing, Pittsburgh IAP ARS
Coraopolis, Pennsylvania

Mr. John Arin
General Engineer
911 AW/CECE
911 Airlift Wing, Pittsburgh IAP ARS
Coraopolis, Pennsylvania

Ms. Francine Vollmer
Chief, Environmental Flight
911 AW/CEV
911 Airlift Wing, Pittsburgh IAP ARS
Coraopolis, Pennsylvania

Mr. Joseph Matis
Environmental Engineer
911 AW/CEVE
911 Airlift Wing, Pittsburgh IAP ARS
Coraopolis, Pennsylvania

ABBREVIATED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
JLSS 03-0024, CONSTRUCT MAILROOM BUILDING
911 AIRLIFT WING (AFRC), PITTSBURGH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARS

6.0 REFERENCES

- [1] DD Form 1391, FY2001 Military Construction Project Data, *Pittsburgh IAP ARS (AFRC)*, Coraopolis, PA, *Project JLSS 03-0024, Construct Mailroom Building*, 18 September 2002.
- [2] FAA Part 150 Study for PIA.
- [3] *Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan, Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station, Pennsylvania*, Ecology and Environment, Inc., September 2000.
- [4] *2000 Air Emissions Inventory (Stationary and Mobile Sources), Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station, Pittsburgh, PA*, Ecology and Environment, Inc., May 2001.
- [5] *Cultural Resources Management Plan, Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station*, Science Applications International Corporation, October 1997.

ABBREVIATED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
JLSS 03-0024, CONSTRUCT MAILROOM BUILDING
911 AIRLIFT WING (AFRC), PITTSBURGH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARS

APPENDIX A
ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ACCD	Allegheny County Conservation District
ACHD	Allegheny County Health Department
ACM	Asbestos Containing Material
AF	Air Force
AFI	Air Force Instruction
AFRC	Air Force Reserve Command
AICUZ	Air Installation Compatible Use Zone
ARS	Air Reserve Station
AW	Airlift Wing
BCE	Base Civil Engineer
BEE	Bioenvironmental Engineer
BMPs	Best Management Practices
CBCF	Combat Communications Flight
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CO	Carbon Monoxide
CRMP	Cultural Resources Management Plan
DNL	Decibel (dB) Noise Level
DoD (DD)	Department of Defense
DOPAA	Description of Proposed Action and Alternatives
EA	Environmental Assessment
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
HAP	Hazardous Air Pollutant
HQ	Headquarters
HUD	(Department of) Housing and Urban Development
HWMP	Hazardous Waste Management Plan
LBP	Lead Based Paint
MTMA	Moon Township Municipal Authority
NOx	Nitrous Oxides
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PA DEP	Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection
PIA	Pittsburgh International Airport
PM-10	Particulate Matter – 10 microns or less
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RCS	Report Control Symbol
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SOx	Sulfur Oxides
STD	Standard
SWP3	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan
USAFR	United States Air Force Reserve
VOC	Volatile Organic Compound

**ABBREVIATED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
JLSS 03-0024, CONSTRUCT MAILROOM BUILDING
911 AIRLIFT WING (AFRC), PITTSBURGH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARS**

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ABBREVIATED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
JLSS 03-0024, CONSTRUCT MAILROOM BUILDING
911 AIRLIFT WING (AFRC), PITTSBURGH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARS

APPENDIX B

AIR CONFORMITY APPLICABILITY ANALYSIS

Purpose

The purpose of this air conformity applicability analysis is to determine whether the construction of a new mailroom building at the 911 Airlift Wing, Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station, complies with the conformity rule for implementation of the amended Clean Air Act.

Background

As part of the planning process, Air Force personnel and installation planners are required to analyze each Air Force action in accordance with EPA regulation 40 CFR 93, *Determining Conformity of General Federal Actions to State or Federal Implementation Plans* (also known as the Air Quality Conformity Rule), which took effect on 31 January 1994. The conformity analysis is required to examine the impacts of the direct and indirect air emissions from a proposed Air Force action and determine whether the action conforms to the applicable State Implementation Plan (SIP) or Federal Implementation Plan (FIP). The *U.S. Air Force Conformity Guide* (August 1995) by Resource Applications, Inc., outlines the procedures and requirements for completing a proper conformity analysis.

Air Force actions that result in emissions under established de minimus levels are not required to demonstrate further conformity. The de minimus levels vary depending on the status of the nonattainment area within which the action is occurring. In addition to meeting de minimus requirements, an Air Force action must not be considered regionally significant. An action is considered regionally significant when the total emissions from the action equal or exceed ten percent of the air quality control area's emissions inventory for any criteria pollutant. If an Air Force action meets de minimus requirements and is not considered regionally significant, then it is exempt from further conformity determination.

Pittsburgh ARS Status

The 911 Airlift Wing (911 AW), Pittsburgh Air Reserve Station (ARS), is located within Allegheny County, Pennsylvania. Air quality management within Allegheny County is under the jurisdiction of the Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) Air Quality Program. ACHD is approved by the Pennsylvania (PA) Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) to implement its EPA-approved SIP within the Allegheny County region.

The Air Quality Conformity Rule requires that total direct and indirect emission of six criteria pollutants, including ozone precursors nitrous oxides (NO_x) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), be considered in determining conformity within areas of nonattainment. The 911 AW is located in an area that is classified as being in moderate non-attainment for ozone and within an ozone transport region. As such, emissions of ozone precursors NO_x and VOCs must be analyzed. These pollutants along with their de minimus threshold levels (App. E, U.S. Air Force Conformity Guide) are listed in Table B-1.

Table B-1: De Minimus Thresholds for Conformity Determination at Pittsburgh ARS

Pollutant	Degree of Attainment	Threshold (tons/year)
Ozone		
VOCs	Nonattainment, Moderate	50
NO _x	Nonattainment, Moderate	100

ABBREVIATED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
JLSS 03-0024, CONSTRUCT MAILROOM BUILDING
911 AIRLIFT WING (AFRC), PITTSBURGH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARS

Proposed Action

Personnel and activities associated with the construction of a new mailroom facility are currently in place at the 911 Airlift Wing. There are two sources of additional emissions to be considered for conformity during the lifetime of the Proposed Action. One would be construction activities that produce NO_x or VOCs. The other would be operation of facility equipment that produces NO_x or VOCs. For the duration of the construction project, construction vehicle and surface-coating emissions would be the main producers of NO_x and VOC emissions. During the operation of the facility, facility heating equipment would be the main producer of NO_x and VOC emissions. There are no other known additional emissions sources that need to be analyzed for conformity.

Estimated Emission Calculations

Construction Equipment Exhaust Emissions

Emissions for construction equipment were calculated using emission factors from the *California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Handbook* (November 1993). Total duration of the construction project is estimated at approximately 180 days; listed hours are for total equipment use during the construction period. Calculations for total VOC and NO_x emissions due to construction are shown in Table B-2.

Table B-2: Exhaust Emissions for Construction Equipment

Equipment	hours	VOCs		NO _x	
		lbs/hour	lbs	lbs/hr	lbs
Truck Off Highway	200	0.19	38.0	4.17	834.0
Scraper	20	0.27	5.4	3.84	76.8
Wheeled Loader	100	0.23	23.0	1.9	190.0
Tracked Tractor	40	0.12	4.8	1.26	50.4
Roller	20	0.065	1.3	0.87	17.4
Misc.	240	0.15	36.0	1.7	408.0
Total			108.5		1576.6

Construction Surface Coating Emissions

As part of the construction of the new facility, finishes will be applied, including the interior painting of all walls with architectural surface coatings. The amount of emissions (VOCs) generated from surface coating operations can be calculated using the total building area (area to which finish will be applied), the total construction duration, and an emissions factor for VOCs.

To calculate VOC emissions, the total area of the completed facility, 830 SF, will be used. The estimated duration of the construction project is 180 days. An emissions factor for architectural coatings from *Air Quality Thresholds of Significance*, (SMAQMD, 1994) will be used. *Note that the emissions factor is in units per ft; therefore, the square root of the total building area must be taken before multiplying by the emissions factor.* Calculations for total VOC emissions due to construction surface coating are shown in Table B-3.

ABBREVIATED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
JLSS 03-0024, CONSTRUCT MAILROOM BUILDING
911 AIRLIFT WING (AFRC), PITTSBURGH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARS

Table B-3: VOC Emissions from Facility Surface Coating

Total Building Area (ft ²)	Total Duration (days)	Emissions Factor (lbs/day-ft)	Total VOCs (lbs)
830	180	.0815	422.6

Facility Equipment Emissions

Using the required building capacity and mission requirements listed in the programming documents for the new facility, an estimation of required internal combustion engine equipment can be completed. It is assumed one (1) furnace unit (100,000 BTU/hr) for space heating will be installed in the facility. All equipment installed in the new facility would be natural gas fired in keeping with current base utility requirements.

Annual emissions from the equipment in the new facility can be calculated using historical natural gas consumption data from Pittsburgh ARS and emission factors for natural gas boilers/heaters. During CY01, Pittsburgh ARS consumed 31.1 Mft³ of natural gas through use of boilers/heaters at a total capacity of 58.7 MBTU/hr, or a utilization rate of approximately 0.53 ft³/ (BTU/hr). It is assumed for calculation purposes, that any future consumption rate would remain unchanged from this figure. Emission factors for uncontrolled natural gas boilers/heaters are listed in Section 1.4 of AP-42. Calculations for total annual VOC and NO_x emissions due to facility equipment usage are shown in Table B-4.

Table B-4: VOC and NO_x Emissions from Facility Equipment

Equipment	Total Capacity (BTU/hr)	Calculated Natural Gas Utilization (ft ³ /(BTU/hr))	VOC Emissions Factor (lbs/10 ⁶ ft ³)	Total VOC (lb)	NO _x Emissions Factor (lbs/10 ⁶ ft ³)	Total NO _x (lb)
Furnace	100,000	0.53	7.3	0.4	94.0	5.0
		TOTAL		0.4		5.0

Analysis of Results

The total estimated annual emissions for the Proposed Action are shown in Table B-5.

Table B-5: Total Estimated Emissions

Activity	Criteria Pollutants of Concern			
	VOCs (lbs/year)	VOCs (tons/year)	NO _x (lbs/year)	NO _x (tons/year)
Construction Equipment	108.5	0.1	1576.6	0.8
Surface Coating	422.6	0.2	0.0	0.0
Facility Equipment	0.4	0.0	5.0	0.0
TOTAL	531.5	0.3	1581.6	0.8

The total direct and indirect emissions for the Proposed Action are well below the de minimus thresholds for the criteria nonattainment pollutants and precursors. Therefore, no further conformity determination is required. In addition, since emissions from the Proposed Action do not exceed ten percent of the Allegheny County total emissions inventory, they are not regionally significant. Therefore, the Proposed Action is exempted from further conformity analysis.

**ABBREVIATED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
JLSS 03-0024, CONSTRUCT MAILROOM BUILDING
911 AIRLIFT WING (AFRC), PITTSBURGH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARS**

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
Gr
M
Su
A
Su
A
(F
th
Pr
T
Fe
AF
QU

Staff Summary Sheet

	To	Action	Signature (Surname), Grade, Date		To	Action	Signature (Surname), Grade, Date
1	911 MSG/CEV	COORD	<i>Manuela Vollmer, GS12 18 MAR 03</i>	8			
2	911 MSG/CE	COORD	<i>Robert F. Myerlein 3/20/03</i>	9			
3	911 MSG/CC	COORD	<i>Castelluccio, LT, 20 MAR 03</i>	10			
4	911 AW/CC	APPRV	<i>Carl S. Velt 20 MAR 03</i>	11			
5				12			
6				13			
7				14			

Grade and Surname of Action Officer Matis, GS-11	Symbol 911 MSG/CEVE	Phone X-8425	Suspense Date
---	------------------------	-----------------	---------------

Subject Abbreviated EA and FONSI for JLSS 03-0024, Construct Mailroom Building	SSS Date 18 March 2003
---	---------------------------

Summary

Attached is an abbreviated Environmental Assessment (EA) and resulting Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for project JLSS 03-0024, *Construct Mailroom Building*. The EA was prepared in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and AFI 32-7061, *The Environmental Impact Analysis Process*; the FONSI requires the signature of the Base Commander for final approval.

The EA/FONSI was previously reviewed by EPC members and approved during the EPC meeting held on 27 February 2003.