**ABSTRACT**

This project studies the basic processes involved in the interaction of infrared radiation with matter and, in this effort, establishes a method to harvest infrared radiation and transform it into usable energy. We have identified an electrical contribution to the energy transfer from the infrared radiation to the device we use to harvest it. This device behaves as a capacitor, and we found a way to triplicate the voltage generated by the device when exposed to the infrared radiation. This significant increase can be obtained by placing two devices in series and covering the surface of the device facing the infrared radiation to tape, which modifies the capacitance of the device. We plan on modeling the interaction of the infrared radiation with our device using the conjugated variable-based approach. We also plan on studying the current produced by the device to increase efficiency in power generation. We are also looking into other alternative device designs to fabricate nano- and macro-power generation device. Seven undergraduate students were involved in the research and the research inspired an approach to Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics related to the conjugated variable-based approach. We plan on outlining the view in a textbook.
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OBJECTIVE:
The electrodynamic mechanisms of blackbody emission of infrared (IR) radiation from matter are well known, as testified by the prolific literature existing in the field and covering scientific aspects and applications [1-3]. The reversed process, however, i.e. the interaction of IR radiation

Fig. 1. Schematic of the PG device hit by IR radiation. The figure shows the sequence of insulating and semiconducting layers (AlO and Bi₂Te₃) acting as dielectrics. The metallic (Cu) layers behave as electrodes [4].
with matter, is still obscure. This Project aims at unveiling the basic mechanisms involved in the IR radiation-matter interaction, and outlining the possible applications related to harvesting IR radiation as a sustainable and renewable source of energy. These two goals are achieved by illuminating a power generator (PG) device, shown in Fig. 1, with IR radiation, and studying the voltage difference $\Delta V$, the current $I$, the power $\Delta V*I$, and temperature difference $\Delta T$ produced as a function of time $t$ upon starting the illumination. This procedure is IR power generation. In addition, this Project also aims at (i) training undergraduate students in energy-related science and technology relevant for the U.S. Navy, (ii) disseminating knowledge derived from IR power generation to general and scientific audience, and (iii) developing knowledge relevant for a course in Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics.

**Scientific and technological objectives.** In Year-1 we discovered the existence of an electric and an entropic contribution in the energy transfer from IR radiation to matter through the PG device [4]. Additionally, we found that, with the electric contribution, the PG device has a capacitor-type behavior, whereas it has a thermoelectric-type behavior with the entropic contribution. We also started examining the effects on the voltage difference $\Delta V(t)$ of (i) colored tape covering the illuminated face of the PG device (Fig. 2), (ii) angle of incidence $\theta$ of the IR radiation with respect to the PG device (Fig. 3), (iii) in-series coupling of two PG devices (Fig. 3), (iv) variable laser power [with Marc Currie at the Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) and Oleksandr Kokhan (JMU)] (Fig. 4), (v) nano-scale architecture of the PG device (Figs. 5 and 6) with active element consisting of a thin film of variable resistance fabricated via atomic layer deposition (ALD) by Virginia D. Wheeler at NRL. We disseminated the knowledge we acquired through the Workshop on “Infrared radiation, Thermoelectricity and Chaos” which took place on June 17, 2015 at JMU. The Workshop was funded by the ONR Award # N000141410378. In Year-2 we will complete the objectives of Year-1. In addition, we will (i) develop a macro-PG device with Boris Feygelson at NRL, (ii) study the current $I(t)$ produced when the PG device is illuminated by IR radiation, and (iii) model the behavior in time $t$ of the variables at play in IR power generation adopting the conjugated-variable based approach to capture the mechanism triggering the switch in behavior of the
PG device from capacitor-type to thermoelectric-type, and vice-versa.

**Educational objective.** In Year-1, (i) 7 undergraduate students participated in research and dissemination activities related to this Project, and (ii) we shared knowledge and awareness on IR power generation to a general audience [5], and the broader scientific community [6-12]. In Year-2 we plan on disseminating our results in venues such as AVS 63rd International Symposium, 94th Annual Meeting of the Virginia Academy of Science, 2016 Undergraduate Research Symposium in Physics (JMU), 2016 Summer REU Research Symposium (JMU), and, possibly, SPIE Optics and Photonics Nanoscience and Engineering Conference; San Diego (CA), August 30-September 1, 2016. In addition, we plan on developing an innovative approach to Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics using a conjugated-variable based approach. We showed that this concept is useful in recognizing the electric and the entropic contributions in IR power generation [4], and propose that it might be useful also for other physical phenomena. We plan on drafting a textbook in Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics possibly also with additional funding.

**Significance and Future Naval Relevance:** The low frequency and low power radiation studied in this Project is important for fundamental studies and also for applications on ships, satellites, cars, personal backpacks, and, more generally, where non-dangerous energy is needed at all hours of the day, independent of weather conditions. Full understanding of power AV*I generation, and designing robust devices (nano-PG or macro-PG devices) are important objectives to implement the concept of IR power generation and move it into applications. The importance of our studies lies also in that the method of harvesting IR radiation using a PG device could be extended to other electromagnetic radiation. Broadening the spectrum of the usable electromagnetic radiation would greatly contribute to the exploitation of the electric contribution for an efficient sustainable and renewable source of energy available to humankind.

**TECHNICAL REPORT.**

Scientific achievements.

(i) **Decoupling of the electric and entropic contributions in IR power generation.** We discovered that energy transfers from IR radiation into matter (e.g. the illuminated surface of the PG device) affects the couples of conjugated variables consisting of charge q and voltage V, on one hand, and entropy Σ and temperature T, on the other. The q-V couple generates the electric contribution and dominates when IR radiation activates the PG device [4]. This contribution imparts on the PG device a capacitor-type behavior, and is sensitive on how the insulating and conducting layers alternate in the PG device (Fig. 1). The Σ-T couple generates the entropic contribution, and dominates when Joule heating, due to an electrical resistance, activates the PG device [4]. This contribution imparts on the PG device a thermoelectric-type behavior obeying the Seebeck effect: \( \Delta V(t) = S \Delta T(t) \), where S is the Seebeck coefficient. We have yet to explain
what switches the PG device’s behavior from capacitor-type to thermoelectric-type, and vice-versa, and plan on using the conjugated-variable based approach in this effort. Relevant couples of conjugated variables will be charge q and voltage V, and entropy Σ and temperature T. Here, q=σ(z,t)*surface area, where σ(z,t) is surface charge density.

(iii) Effect of colored tape on the production of voltage ΔV(t) in IR power generation. We discovered that when the electric contribution is at play in the interaction between IR radiation and matter in a PG device, the amplitude of the voltage jump Δ(ΔV) achieved upon starting the illumination can be increased at least twice by simply placing tape on the face of the PG device hit by IR radiation. The finding is illustrated in Fig. 2a [4], and is not detected when the entropic contribution dominates, as can be seen in Fig. 2b. This result (a) decouples the electric from the entropic contribution, and (b) suggests that tape can modify the capacitance C of the PG device. Thus, we need to investigate on the role of C in switching the PG device behavior’s from capacitor-type to thermoelectric-type, and vice-versa.

(iv) Effect of in-series coupling of two PG devices on the production of ΔV(t) in IR power generation. We coupled two PG devices in series, and found that the amplitude of the voltage jump Δ(ΔV(t)) increases after starting the illumination with IR radiation, as can be seen in Fig. 3a. The amplitude can increase up to three times when tape is used in addition to in-series coupling. We did not observe a clear increase in Δ(ΔV) when the PG devices are activated by Joule heating, as shown in Fig. 3b. Also in this case we will need to collect more data to
exploit this finding and use it to decouple the electric from the entropic contribution in IR power generation.

\[ \frac{A(\Delta V)\{2PG+Tape\}}{A(\Delta V)\{2PG\}} = 3.1 \]

\[ \Delta(\Delta V)\{2PG+Tape\}/\Delta(\Delta V)\{2PG\} = 1.6 \]

(v) **Effect of variable laser power on the production of \( \Delta V(t) \) in IR power generation.** In collaboration with Marc Currie at NRL and Oleksnadr Kokhan at JMU, we are testing the effects of IR radiation's power on PG devices. We are using monochromatic lasers at wavelength \( \lambda = 1064 \) nm with range of average power from 5 to 700 mW. With continuous wave (CW) lasers, the power remains constant throughout the duration of the illumination. With pulsed lasers, the power changes from a minimum to a peak value over a well-defined pulse duration. The peak-power in our experiments spans from the kW to the MW range. Our results with the CW lasers, summarized in Fig. 4a, indicate that the amplitude of the voltage jump \( \Delta(\Delta V) \) and of the temperature jump \( \Delta(\Delta T) \) increase linearly, however the slope of \( \Delta(\Delta V) \) vs. average power is 0.013 mV/mW, one order of magnitude larger than that of \( \Delta(\Delta T) \) vs. average power, which is 0.003 °C/mW. We interpret this discrepancy as an effect of the prevalence of the electric contribution, and of its independence from the entropic contribution. On the other hand, our results for the pulsed laser case, summarized in Fig. 4b and 4c, indicate that the amplitudes of \( \Delta(\Delta V) \) and \( \Delta(\Delta T) \) increase linearly vs. average power and with similar slopes, 0.008 mV/mW and 0.009 °C/mW, respectively. This behavior is consistent with the Seebeck effect, and points out the prevalence of the entropic contribution. From the experimental point of view, we will need to investigate the effects of (i) colored tape, (ii) angle of incidence \( \theta \), and (iii) in-series coupling of PG devices illuminated by the lasers to gain further insight on what causes switch of the of PG devices behavior from capacitor-type to thermoelectric-type when illuminated by CW and pulsed lasers, respectively.

(vi) **Effect of a nano-scale architecture of the PG device with active element consisting of a thin film layer fabricated via ALD with variable resistance.** With the collaboration of Virginia D. Wheeler at NRL we have fabricated thin films of variable resistance to build nano-PG devices as sketched in Fig. 5, and test their response to IR radiation and Joule heating. The thin films so far consist of stacks of conducting (e.g. TiN) and non-conducting (e.g. TiO\(_2\)) layers deposited via ALD. This technique enables precise thickness and doping control. The thin film resistance so far spans from about 90 Ω/sq to 67 kΩ/sq. Our results, summarized in Fig. 6, indicate that the illumination of the nano-PG device with IR radiation raises the amplitude of the voltage jump \( \Delta(\Delta V) \) with increasing the thin film resistance. This finding is quite unexpected and not yet understood. We plan on exploring whether the observed trend persists at even higher and lower resistances.
Conclusions: The current picture of the interaction of IR radiation with matter, achieved through our studies on IR power generation, is as follows. The transfer of energy from IR radiation obeys the laws of conservation and is constrained by the balance between the following couples of conjugated variables active in the process: charge ($q$) and voltage ($V$), and entropy ($\Sigma$) and temperature ($T$). When low power IR radiation illuminates a PG device, the electric contribution dominates and dictates a capacitor-type behavior to the device. In this situation, $q$ and $V$ are related by the capacitance $C$ of the PG device and, by properly engineering $C$, maximum efficiency can be achieved in harvesting IR radiation. When the power of the IR radiation is increased to the kW range and above, the entropic contribution, related to $\Sigma$ and $T$, dominates and dictates a thermoelectric-type behavior to the PG device. This situation suppresses voltage production at the advantage of temperature increase. The circumstances of the switch in the PG device behavior must be investigated and might involve an entanglement among the conjugated-variables $q$-$V$ and $\Sigma$-$T$. These findings are important for harvesting IR radiation as a renewable and sustainable source of energy. The picture on the behavior of the conjugated variables might impact other scenarios: e.g., in biology and medicine the nerve system is viewed as capacitor system which might be affected by low power IR radiation [13, 14] in ways similar to those described in this research.

Educational achievements.

The educational results achieved are as follows:

(*) Student training: In Summer 2015 three undergraduate students (Justin M. Kaczmar, Graham P. Gearhart and Tara R. Jobin) performed work with the PI and Co-PI. These students were entirely supported by ONR Award # N000141512158. Four other students were involved: Zachary J. Marinelli, Aidan L. Gordon, Brian N. Lang, and Yosyp Schwab. In Fall 2015 Aidan L. Gordon, performed modeling and data analysis work for this Project and received a stipend for it. Supervised by the PI, Co-PI, and technical personnel at JMU, the students assembled the insulated compartment, interfaced the experimental set-up with the electronic data collection system, and finally collected and analyzed the experimental data. The students used the machine shops at JMU, were involved in the intellectual effort of modeling and understanding the data, and presented the results at various venues [8-12]. The student’s efforts were rewarded by their inclusion in the list of authors of the publication reporting most of the recent findings [4]. Five students actively participated to the Workshop on “Infrared radiation, Thermoelectricity and Chaos” on June 17, 2015 at JMU.

(*) Academic outreach: In Fall 2015, the PI taught the course on Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics PHYS 380 at JMU stemming out from the observation that the conjugated variable-based approach can (a) broaden the concept of heat, and (b) include the description of several phenomena such as equilibrium, stability, the laws of Thermodynamics, phase equilibrium, specific heat, compressibility, boson and fermion statistics, Maxwell-Boltzman distribution for the momentum of many non-correlated particles, momentum for correlated particles, the law of ideal gases, properties of solids at low temperatures, magnetic properties of materials, continuity equation in fluids and Fick’s laws. The PI became convinced that the conjugated variable-based approach can also serve as the framework in which to model the research in this Project on IR power generation. The conjugated variable-based approach generated a new and original way to design and deliver the lectures in PHYS 380. All the newly developed class material is now in hand-written notes. However the PI thinks that it is worth the effort to draft a textbook based on these notes and will pursue this goal in the future months.
(•) **Broader outreach:** Two main objectives were achieved:

→ The PI illustrated some of the findings of the research project-ONR Award # N000141512158 in an event organized by the Mount Jackson Rotary Club, Mount Jackson (VA), on August 4, 2015 [5]. Similar events might take place in the near future. The PI’s presentation was well received and effective in disseminating the awareness of the U.S. Navy’s interest in alternative sustainable energy programs.

→ The PI presented the finding in the project at two international venues [6, 7] focusing on the general aspects of IR power generation [6] and on the potentials of the nano-PG devices [7]. The PI’s presentations generated discussions with other researchers (e.g. A. V. Zenkevich, the organizer of the event in Moscow) and created connections with Angela Belcher – MIT in Boston, Leora Peltz – Boeing in Huntington Beach (CA), and Scott Strum – Medical School at Loma Linda University in Loma Linda, CA.
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THE WORKSHOP “Infrared radiation, Thermoelectricity and Chaos”.

The Workshop, which took place on June 17, 2015 at JMU, was one of the most important activities of the research project-ONR awards # N000141410378 and N000141512158. The
event was organized by the PI and Co-PI together with Mr. Benjamin T. Delp, Director of the JMU Research Development and Promotion Office. The Workshop featured two international speakers. Prof. Giulio Casati of Italy spoke about Conservation laws, symmetry breaking and control of the heat current. Prof. Francisco Javier Gonzalez Contreras of México described Seebeck nanoantennas for solar energy harvesting. Three speakers represented the U.S.A. academic world. Prof. Gabriel A. Rincón-Mora of the Georgia Institute of Technology, presented Microwatt CMOS harvesters. Prof. Rajeevan Amirtharajah of the University of California at Davis, discussed Powering systems from ambient energy sources. Finally, Prof. S. Joseph Poon of the University of Virginia, presented Practical realization of ZT>1 from the materials perspective. The business and entrepreneurial world was represented by Dr. Ronald J. Parise of Parise Research Technologies, who introduced The Nighttime solar cell®: infrared energy to deep space. Dr. Joseph R. Blandino of the Virginia Military Institute, and Dr. David J. Lawrence of JMU, presented a poster on Transient response of a thermoelectric generator subjected to spatially non-uniform heating: implications for heat and IR sensing applications. In her presentation, the Dr. G. Scarel (PI) explained the connection among the words in the Workshop’s title (IR radiation, thermoelectricity and chaos) justified the selection of the speakers, and illustrated the current knowledge on IRPG. Mr. Lynn J. Petersen, ONR’s Program Officer (Code:331) who funded the awards, participated to the event.

Two posters were presented by JMU Physics students Graham P. Gearhart and Brian N. Lang. Graham presented “Infrared source and thermoelectric device trends in infrared power generation”, while Brian discussed “The interaction between current and infrared radiation”. The JMU Physics students Justin M. Kaczmar, Zachary J. Marinelli and Tara R. Jobin joined the event by helping in the logistics, asking questions during the sessions and actively networking.

Dr. Brian C. Utter (Co-PI) and Mr. Lynn J, Petersen (ONR) chaired the morning and the afternoon sessions, respectively. Dr. Yvonne R. Harris, JMU’s vice Provost for Research and Scholarship, and Mr. Lynn Petersen opened the event in the morning and addressed the final remarks in the afternoon, respectively.

The Workshop was funded by the ONR award # N000141410378. Additional funding, used to support the logistics, pay the honorarium for Dr. J. R. Blandino and partially that of Prof. F. J. Gonzalez, was provided by the JMU 4-VA Consortium and The JMU Madison Trust—Fostering Innovation and Strategic Philanthropy. The international speakers (Prof. G. Casati and Prof. F. J. Gonzalez) were mainly funded by ONR Global-London (UK).

The Workshop revealed that IR power generation explores a rather unknown topic in a unique experimental setting. Specifically:

- **F. J. Gonzalez**: excites a PG device exploiting Joule heating, i.e. an entropic contribution, derived from an electric current activated in an antenna by the IR radiation. His research does not explore the direct effects of radiation, i.e. of the electric contribution, on voltage output as we do in IR power generation.

- **R. J. Parise**: uses IR radiation as a means to carry heat to deep space which, acting as a heat sink, facilitates the creation of a temperature difference in a PG device.

- **D. J. Lawrence and J. R. Blandino**: use high power fiber optics to activate a PG device. Their results are above the power range of IR power generation.

- **G. Casati**: pointed out that the term “soliton” was improperly used by PI in her presentation, thus underlining that the hyperbolic instability highlighted in the briefing on IR power generation is a new entity.
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ABSTRACT.

This project studies the basic processes involved in the interaction of infrared radiation with matter and, in this effort, establishes a method to harvest infrared radiation and transform it into usable energy. We have identified an electrical contribution to the energy transfer from the infrared radiation to the device we use to harvest it. This device behaves as a capacitor, and we found a way to triplicate the voltage generated by the device when exposed to the infrared radiation. This significant increase can be obtained by placing two devices in series and covering the surface of the device facing the infrared radiation to tape, which modifies the capacitance of the device. We plan on modeling the interaction of the infrared radiation with our device using the conjugated variable-based approach. We also plan on studying the current produced by the device to increase efficiency in power generation. We are also looking into other alternative device designs to fabricate nano- and macro-power generation device. Seven undergraduate students were involved in the research and the research inspired an approach to Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics related to the conjugated variable-based approach. We plan on outlining this view in a textbook.
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Correlation Between the Sinusoidal Instability in Radiation Power and the Hyperbolic Instability in Voltage in Infrared Power Generation

Justin Michael Kaczmar\textsuperscript{1}, Tara Rose Jobin\textsuperscript{1}, Brian C. Utter\textsuperscript{1}, and Giovanna Scarel\textsuperscript{1}

\textsuperscript{1}Department of Physics and Astronomy, James Madison University, Harrisonburg VA 22807

Abstract:

Goal: Looking for methods to harvest alternative sources of energy, specifically infrared, and transform it into usable energy. The advantage in harvesting infrared is its day and night availability. Specifically we are looking at the instability in the power source in comparison to the instability in the resulting voltage the device produces.

Experimental setup:

- Infrared Radiation:
  - Device support
  - AIO plate
  - IR beam
  - Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} elements

Equation: Power

\[ P(t) = P_o + P_{osc} \sin \left( \frac{t-t_c}{\gamma} \right) \]

Equation: Voltage

\[ \Delta V(t) = \Delta V_{f2} + \Delta V_{osc} \sec \left( \frac{t-t_c}{\gamma} \right) \]

Results:

- Observing a sinusoidal instability in the power source.
- Observing a hyperbolic secant instability in the resulting voltage.

Conclusion:

There is a correlation between the parameters between the two functions, specifically with $t_c$.
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The nano-power generator fabricated with thin atomic layer deposited

G. Scarel,1 V.D. Wheeler,2 H.S. Mann,1 B.N. Lang,1 Z.J. Marinelli,1 and B.C. Utter1

1Department of Physics and Astronomy, James Madison University, Harrisonburg VA 22807
2U.S. Naval Research Laboratory, Washington D.C. 20375

Abstract:
Can infrared (IR) radiation [1,2] generate voltage on a nano-power generator (NPG) with active element consisting of an atomic-layer deposited (ALD) film [3,4]?

Experimental setup:

References:

Result-1:

68.4 nm thick Nb-doped TiO$_2$ on borosilicate glass, resistivity = 1.4 10-5 Ω m (~ graphite)

\[ \Delta V_I = -0.0045 \text{ mV} \]
\[ \Delta V_A = 0.0023 \text{ mV} \]
\[ \tau = 100 \text{ s} \]


Result-2:

TiO$_2$: TiN ~2 nm~2 nm on glass, sheet resistance = 26700 Ohms/sq

\[ \Delta V_I = -0.02 \text{ mV} \]
\[ \Delta V_A = -0.016 \text{ mV} \]
\[ \tau = 100 \text{ s} \]


Result-3:

TiO$_2$: TiN ~5 nm~5 nm glass, sheet resistance = 634 Ohms/sq

\[ \Delta V_I = 0.009 \text{ mV} \]
\[ \tau = 150 \text{ s} \]
\[ \Delta V = 0.0075 \text{ mV} \]
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Outlook
These preliminary results suggest that power generation with ALD NPG might be significantly improved by engineering the film resistance.

Conclusions
I. The IR radiation is able to excite the voltage on a NPG.
II. Voltage and temperature difference have the same time constant.
III. Correct resistivity to achieve larger voltage rise upon starting the illumination with IR radiation still needs to be found.
Giovanna Scarel
Department of Physics and Astronomy
James Madison University, Harrisonburg (VA), U.S.A.

“Atomic layer deposition for rare earth oxides and thermoelectric thin films”.

Atomic layer Deposition: Russia 2015
Moscow (Russian Federation), September 21-23 2015
OUTLINE

Part A

• ALD of \( \text{Lu}_2\text{O}_3 \) films
• ALD of \( \text{GeO}_2 \) films

Part B

• ALD films for infrared power generation
INTRODUCTION

- Unexplored avenues in ALD
- Enhance partnership between ALD communities in Russia and Worldwide
- Innovative applications and fundamental research enabled by ALD
General properties of rare earth oxides:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>La^{3+}</th>
<th>Ce^{3+}</th>
<th>Pr^{3+}</th>
<th>Nd^{3+}</th>
<th>Sm^{3+}</th>
<th>Eu^{3+}</th>
<th>Gd^{3+}</th>
<th>Tb^{3+}</th>
<th>Dy^{3+}</th>
<th>Ho^{3+}</th>
<th>Er^{3+}</th>
<th>Tm^{3+}</th>
<th>Yb^{3+}</th>
<th>Lu^{3+}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.092</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Higher ionic radius \( \Rightarrow \) Lower sensitivity to moisture
\( \Rightarrow \) Lower chemical activity with Si
ALD of Lu$_2$O$_3$ films

\{[C_5H_4(SiMe_3)]_2LuCl\}_2 at 195 °C

H. Schumann, I.L. Fedushkin, M. Hummert, G. Scarel, E. Bonera, and M. Fanciulli,
"Crystal and molecular structure of \{[\eta^5-C_5H_4SiMe_3]_2LuCl\}_2 – a precursor for the production of Lu$_2$O$_3$ films”.

G. Scarel, E. Bonera, C. Wiemer, G. Tallarida, S. Spiga, M. Fanciulli, I.L. Fedushkin, H. Schumann, Y. Lebedinskii, and A. Zenkevich,

\( \kappa \approx 12\pm1 \)

Cubic Lu$_2$O$_3$ film after annealing
ALD of Lu$_2$O$_3$ films

... otherwise Lu is used for ternary compounds!

1) L. Nyns et al., JVST A 30, 01A120 (2012); Lu-aluminates using (Lu(1PrCp)$_3$) tris(isopropyl cyclopentadienyl) Lu; $\kappa \cong 12.7$ with large Lu content

2) H. Wang et al., ESSL 12, G13 (2009); lanthanum-Lu ternaries using Lu tris(N,N'-diethyl-formamidinate); $\kappa \cong 28\pm 1$ for LaLuO$_3$

3) M. Roeckerath et al., TSF 517, 210 (2008); lanthanum-Lu ternaries using Lu(thd)$_3$, Lu (2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-3,5-heptadionato)$_3$; $\kappa \cong 17$ for LaLuO$_3$

discovery
ALD of Lu$_2$O$_3$ films

Unique and precise study of the band alignment of Lu$_2$O$_3$ on Si(100) and on Ge(100)

Conduction band offset (CBO)

Valence band offset (VBO)

ALD of Lu₂O₃ films

Crystalline structure from infrared spectroscopy

Cubic structure of some rare earth oxides after annealing
SiO$_2$ and GeO$_2$ films are difficult to create with ALD because thermal oxidation of silicon and germanium precursors is not very efficient and results in the formation of various sub-oxide species.
ALD of GeO$_2$ films

... Ge precursors mostly used for chalcogenide compounds!

1) S.B. Kim et al., Chem. Mater. 26, 3065 (2014); GeS using Ge(II) cyclic amides from N$_2$N$_3$-di-tert-butylbutane-2,3-diamine

2) K. Knapas et al., Chem. Mater. 22, 1386 (2010); GeTe using (1,4-dioxane complex of GeCl$_2$) i.e. GeCl$_2$·C$_4$H$_8$O$_2$. Also here Ge(II)

3) B.J. Choi et al., Chem. Mater. 21, 2386 (2009), GST films using tetraisobutyl germanium, Ge(i-C$_4$H$_9$)$_4$. Here Ge(IV)
ALD of GeO$_2$ films

New precursor design:
(dpp-BIAN)Ge

dpp-BIAN = 1,2-bis[(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imino]acenaphthene)

- compound based on Ge(II)
- monomeric two-coordinate Ge derivative
- volatile
- solid
- easy to handle at room temperature

ALD of GeO$_2$ films

Role of O$_3$ in changing the oxidation state of Ge(II) to Ge(IV):

M. Perego, G. Scarel, M. Fanciulli, I.L. Fedushkin, and A.A. Skatova,
"Fabrication of GeO$_2$ layers using a divalent Ge precursor".
ALD of GeO$_2$ films

Film composition:
(dpp-BIAN)Ge and O$_3$: $T_{\text{growth}} = 300$ °C,
$T_{\text{sublim.}} = 225$ °C

High resolution XPS

GeO$_2$ on chemically oxidized Si(100)
No Ge sub-oxides!
Pure GeO$_2$
on Si(100)

GeO$_2$ on
H-terminated Si(100)

M. Perego, G. Scarel, M. Fanciulli, I.L. Fedushkin, and A.A. Skatova,
"Fabrication of GeO$_2$ layers using a divalent Ge precursor".
**ALD of GeO$_2$ films**

**Film electric properties:**

**XPS: energy loss features**

$O\ 1s$

$(dpp$-BIAN)$Ge and $O_3$: $T_{growth} = 300\ ^\circ C$, $T_{sublim.} = 225\ ^\circ C$

$GeO_2$ band gap $= 5.8\ eV$

**CBO on GeO$_2$/Ge: 0.6\ eV**

**VBO on GeO$_2$/Ge: 4.5\ eV**

$\kappa \sim 5.5$

---

M. Perego, G. Scarel, M. Fanciulli, I.L. Fedushkin, and A.A. Skatova,

"Fabrication of GeO$_2$ layers using a divalent Ge precursor".

Summary
Part A

- **Novel precursors** can be discovered
- **Pure** oxides and compounds can be prepared
- Detailed and precise characterization of the **electric properties of interfaces** can be enabled
ALD films for **infrared power generation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AM Radio</th>
<th>Short wave radio</th>
<th>Television</th>
<th>FM radio</th>
<th>Microwaves</th>
<th>Radar</th>
<th>Millimeter waves, telemetry</th>
<th>Infrared</th>
<th>Visible light</th>
<th>Ultraviolet</th>
<th>X-rays</th>
<th>Gamma rays</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Low frequency**
- **Long wavelength**
- **Low quantum energy**

- **High frequency**
- **Short wavelength**
- **High quantum energy**

- **Cars**
- **Backpacks**
- **Ships**
- **Satellites**
ALD films for infrared power generation

Experimental set-up with macro-device:

- AIO plate
- Power generator device
- Bi₂Te₃ elements
- Cu plates
- Sample holders
- Infrared beam

\[ \Delta V(t) \quad \Delta T(t) \]
ALD films for **infrared power generation**

**Effects of radiation on the device:**

- **infrared radiation**
  - Hits the device at variable power

**Charge density (C/m²)**

- At the 30th hour after starting the illumination
  - Perturbs the charge density on the device
  - Generates a variable non-periodic voltage difference \( \Delta V(t) \)
ALD films for infrared power generation

Remarkable similarity between the experimental data .......

\[ \Delta V(t) \ [mV] \]

\[ \begin{array}{c|c}
0 & 0.16 \\
10 & 0.14 \\
20 & 0.12 \\
30 & 0.10 \\
\end{array} \]

... and our model:

\[ \Delta V(t) \ [mV] \]

\[ \begin{array}{c|c}
0 & 0.418 \\
20 & 0.416 \\
40 & 0.414 \\
60 & 0.412 \\
80 & 0.410 \\
\end{array} \]

⇒ infrared radiation generates an USABLE electric signal on the power generator device
ALD films for **infrared power generation**

Core of the JMU discovery:

The **surface charge** on the power generator device **breaks the periodicity** in the relationship between the **time dependence** of the **radiation power below a critical threshold** and the **time dependence** of the **voltage** produced by the device.
ALD films for **infrared power generation**

Thin ALD films enable:
- **nano**-power generators
- tuning active layer **resistivity**
- large **area** coverage
ALD films for infrared power generation

Experimental set-up with nano-power generator:

ALD films for **infrared power generation**

**Results with nano-power generator:**

68.4 nm thick Nb-doped TiO₂ on borosilicate glass, resistivity = \(1.4 \times 10^{-5}\) Ω m (~ graphite)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta V_o &= -0.0045 \text{ mV} \\
\Delta V_f &= 0.0023 \text{ mV} \\
\tau &= 100 \text{ s}
\end{align*}
\]

ρ = 0.01 1/s
A = 0.0045 mV

H.S. Mann, B.N. Lang, Y. Schwab, J.-P. Niemelä, M. Karppinen, and G. Scarel,
ALD films for **infrared power generation**

Results with **nano-power generator**:

**Nanolamine** \( \text{TiO}_2/\text{TiN} \) 2 nm/2 nm on glass,

Sheet resistance = **26700 \( \Omega \)/sq**

\[
\Delta V_a = -0.02 \text{ mV}, \quad \Delta V_f = -0.016 \text{ mV} \\
\tau = 100 \text{ s}
\]

\[
\rho = 0.01 \text{ 1/s} \\
A = 0.02 \text{ mV}
\]

**Dr. Virginia Wheeler**

Naval Research Laboratory

Washington D.C. (U.S.A.)
ALD films for infrared power generation

Results with **nano-power generator:**

68.4 nm thick Nb-doped TiO$_2$ on borosilicate glass, resistivity = $1.4 \times 10^{-5}$ $\Omega$ m ($\sim$ graphite)

Less noise, small jump in $\Delta V(t)$

**Nanolaminate** TiO$_2$/TiN 2 nm/2 nm on glass, Sheet resistance = **26700 $\Omega$/sq**

More noise, larger jump in $\Delta V(t)$
Summary
Part B

ALD can contribute in many ways to improve efficiency of the active layer in nano-power generators for infrared power generation through:

- Film thickness?
- Film resistivity?
- Film structure and architecture?
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Abstract

The interaction between infrared radiation and a power generator device in time is studied as a route to harvest infrared, and possibly other electromagnetic radiations. Broadening the spectrum of the usable electromagnetic spectrum would greatly contribute to the renewable and sustainable energy sources available to humankind. In particular, low frequency and low power radiation is important for applications on ships, satellites, cars, personal backpacks, and, more generally, where non-dangerous energy is needed at all hours of the day, independent of weather conditions. In this work, we identify an electric and an entropic contribution to the energy transfer from low power infrared radiation to the power generator device, representing electrical and thermal contributions to the power generation. The electric contribution prevails, and is important because it offers multiple ways to increase the voltage produced. For example, placing black-colored gaffer tape on the illuminated face doubles the voltage produced, while the temperature difference, thus the entropic contribution, is not sensitive to the presence of the tape. We recognize the electric contribution through the fast changes it imparts to the voltage output of the power generator device, which mirror the instabilities in time of the infrared radiation. The device thus acts as sensor of the infrared radiation’s behavior in time. On the other hand, we distinguish the entropic contribution through the slow changes it causes to the voltage output of the power generator device, which reflect the relative delay with which the two faces of the device respond to thermal perturbations.
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1. Introduction

A power generator (PG) device can be used to harvest electromagnetic (EM) and, in particular, infrared (IR) radiation. The interaction between the radiation and the device is a complex phenomenon of energy transfer ($\Delta E$). The rate of energy transferred from the EM radiation per area $a$ of the device is the Poynting vector $|S| = |E \times H| = P/a$, where $E$ and $H$ are the electric and magnetic fields, respectively, and $P$ is power. Therefore, because of $E$ and $H$, the interaction between radiation and device involves the charges on the device surface. Electromagnetic radiation with large frequency $\nu$ interacts through, e.g., Compton scattering [1], X-ray photoelectron effect [2], photoelectric effect [3], photovoltaic effect [4], and plasmon generation [5].

Electromagnetic radiation with low frequency $\nu$, e.g. in the IR and microwave regions, resonates with molecular rotation and oscillation frequencies [6] or generates polaritons [7]-[10]. When the photon frequency $\nu$ or energy $h\nu$, where $h$ is Planck’s constant, do not match with the frequency or the energy of a specific phenomenon involving charges, the energy of the EM radiation contributes to temperature $T$ changes. In photosynthesis this phenomenon is known as internal conversion [11].

We name the energy transferred from the EM radiation to a PG device through the action of the electric $E$ and magnetic $H$ fields as the electric contribution:

$$\Delta E_{el} = q \Delta V,$$

where $q$ is the charge and $V$ voltage. We name the energy transferred through changes in temperature $T$ at entropy $\Sigma$ as the entropic contribution:

$$\Delta E_{en} = \Sigma \Delta T.$$

The energy transferred from IR and microwave radiation is usually associated with the entropic contribution in Equation (2). For example, sunlight gives the sensation of temperature increase, and therefore of warmth, on human skin. The microwave radiation in microwave ovens is used to increase the temperature, i.e., cook food and heat-up beverages. Similarly, through laser radiation it is possible to increase temperature, even with nanoscale control [12].

The effects of the electric contribution $\Delta E_{el} = q \Delta V$ are less apparent in the energy transfer from low frequency and low power EM radiation. In the current literature, the existence of the electric contribution is acknowledged [13]-[16], but the interplay between the electric and the entropic contributions is not investigated. Specifically, there is a lack of knowledge of (1) the possibility of decoupling the electric from the entropic contributions, 2) the factors that promote the electric over the entropic contribution, or vice-versa, 3) the existence of a threshold where one contribution prevails over the other, and 4) the benefits of the electric over the entropic contributions, or vice-versa.

In this work we aim at decoupling $\Delta E_{el}$ and $\Delta E_{en}$ in a PG device illuminated by low power IR radiation. The device is expected to respond to the entropic contribution by exploiting the Seebeck effect [17]-[20], i.e. producing a voltage difference $\Delta V$ directly proportional to the temperature difference $\Delta T$ applied to the two faces of the PG device, so that $\Delta V = -S \Delta T$. Here, $S$ is the Seebeck coefficient. On the other hand, we expect the PG device to also respond to the electric contribution through its capacitor-type of structure consisting of a sequence of conducting and insulating layers, as illustrated in Figure 1. For the device used in this work, the sequence is, starting from the face illuminated by the IR radiation, a copper (Cu) plate, a layer of pillars made of adoped Bi$_2$Te$_3$-based alloy, another Cu plate, and, finally, an alumina (AlO) plate. On the Cu plates there are electrons whose surface density $\sigma = q/a$ is sensitive to the $E$ and $H$ fields of the IR radiation, thus enabling changes in the electric contribution $\Delta E_{el} = q \Delta V$

In our experiment, the voltage difference $\Delta V(t)$, generated by the PG device through the electric and the entropic contributions, and the temperature difference $\Delta T(t)$, related to the entropic contribution, are observed as a function of time $t$. The measurements capture the first minutes after starting the illumination, and in the 30 hours thereafter. We hypothesize that changes in $|S(t)| \times a = |E(t) \times H(t)| \times a = P(t)$ slowly vary the amplitude of the surface electron density $\sigma(t)$, i.e. to prove this hypothesis, we study the power $P(t)$ of the IR radiation using a power-meter sensor and compare its behavior with that of $\Delta V(t)$ and $\Delta T(t)$.

Summarizing, we consider the total energy transfer in time from the IR radiation to a PG device as the sum of the electric and the entropic contributions such that:

$$\Delta E(t)_m = q(t) \Delta V(t) + \Sigma \Delta T(t).$$
Consequently, we assume the voltage difference \( \Delta V(t) \) produced by the PG device in time to be the addition of two summands:

\[
\Delta V(t) = \frac{\Delta E(t)}{q(t)} + \left( -\frac{\Sigma \Delta T(t)}{q(t)} \right).
\]

The first summand relates to the electric and the second to the entropic contribution. The term \( S = -\Sigma / q(t) \) can be associated with the Seebeck coefficient.

We will show that with the low power irradiation employed in our measurements, the electric contribution can be decoupled from the entropic contribution, and largely dominates. Decoupling the two contributions is important for IR energy harvesting, because the electric contribution offers a variety of ways to increase the voltage produced by the PG device, e.g. by placing black-colored gaffer tape on the illuminated face of the device, as we will show in Appendix-1. The entropic contribution, instead, is limited by the temperature difference \( \Delta T(t) \) established between the two faces of the PG device.

2. Experimental Set-Up

For this experiment, continuous broadband IR radiation in the middle IR (MIR) region (i.e. frequency between 350 - 7500 cm\(^{-1}\), or wavelength between 20 - 2.2 \(\mu\)m) was produced by a globar (Q301) source. The power \( P(t) \) of the IR radiation was monitored versus time using a power-meter sensor Coherent Power Max RS PS19, sensitive to the 300 - 11000 nm wavelength range, and to the 100 \(\mu\)W to 1 W power range.

The voltage difference \( \Delta V(t) \), generated by the electric and the entropic contributions to \( \Delta E_{tot} \) according to Equation (4), was produced using a PG device 07111-9L31-04B by Custom Thermoelectric Inc. The device consists of a sequence of layers: 1) a Cu plate on the face exposed to the IR radiation, 2) a layer of pillars made of a doped Bi\(_2\)Te\(_3\)-based alloy, 3) another Cu plate, and 4) an AlO plate. The Cu plate not illuminated by the IR radiation is non-continuous, as highlighted through the white hole in the left side of Figure 1(a) and Figure 1(b).

In the away architecture, illustrated in Figure 1(a), we established the continuity by placing the sample holders, made of anodized aluminum, in contact with the non-continuous Cu plate. Thus, the Cu plate together with the sample holder behaves as the electrode of a capacitor. The illuminated Cu plate, instead, was free of contact with the sample holder. In the toward architecture, pictured in Figure 1(b), we left non-continuous the Cu plate opposite to the IR radiation, while the illuminated Cu plate was kept in contact with the sample holder.

The temperatures \( T_{ir}(t) \) and \( T_{non-ir}(t) \) of the illuminated and non-illuminated faces, respectively, of the PG device were measured using OMEGA type E Ni-C/Cu-Ni thermocouple probes. The temperature difference \( \Delta T(t) \) was obtained as \( \Delta T(t) = T_{ir}(t) - T_{non-ir}(t) \). The trends of \( \Delta V(t) \), \( T_{ir}(t) \) and \( T_{non-ir}(t) \) were measured using Keithley 2000 multi-meters. The data were collected using LabView 2012 and National Instruments PXI-1042q communications chassis.

During the measurements, the PG device and the power-meter sensor were positioned vertically and at an angle of incidence \( \theta = 45^\circ \) with respect to the IR radiation. The instrumentation was placed in a closed sample
compartment purged with N₂ to prevent disturbances for the whole duration of the measurements versus time of
$P(t)$, $\Delta V(t)$, $T_{in}(t)$ and $T_{no-IR}(t)$ [21]. The experimental parameters are summarized in Table 1.

3. Results and Discussion

a) Behavior in time of $P(t)$
In the 100 seconds immediately after starting the illumination of the power-meter sensor, $P(t)$, displayed in
Figure 2(a), rises exponentially as follows:

Table 1. Summary of the experimental parameters in the main text and in the appendices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Main text</th>
<th>Appendices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IR frequency (μm)</td>
<td>20 - 2.2</td>
<td>20 - 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrared angle of incidence (°)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG device final layer</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>Alumina</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. (a) Exponential rise, as in Equation (5), of the power $P(t)$ versus time of the IR radiation emitted by the globar source in the 100 seconds immediately after starting the illumination of the power-meter sensor; (b) Graph of $\Delta P(t)$, $\frac{dP(t)}{dt}$ in the same time interval of (a) reporting the slope and amplitude $A_p$; (c) The power $P(t)$ in the 50 hours after starting the illumination of the power-meter sensor; (d) Same as (c), with the vertical scale expanded to highlight the sinusoidal instability region fitted with Equation (6). The zero of the time-scale coincides with the start of the illumination with the IR radiation. The parameters $t_p$ and $H_p$ are labeled; (e) Graph of $\Delta P(t)$, $\frac{dP(t)}{dt}$ in the 50 hours after starting the illumination of the power-meter sensor.
\[ P(t) = P_0 e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_p}} + P_f , \]  

where \( P_0 \) is the offset, \( P_f \) the final value, and \( \tau_p \) the time constant. Typical values of these parameters are reported in Table 2. The slope of the \( \left( P(t), \frac{dP(t)}{dt} \right) \) graph in Figure 2(b) is negative, indicating the evolution of \( P(t) \) toward a stable fixed point [22]. The rate of increase of \( P(t) \), i.e. the absolute value of the slope of the \( \left( P(t), \frac{dP(t)}{dt} \right) \) graph, is \( \rho_p = 2.04 s^{-1} \). The amplitude \( A_p \) of \( P(t) \), i.e. the magnitude of the interval along the horizontal scale of the \( \left( P(t), \frac{dP(t)}{dt} \right) \) graph in Figure 2(b), is 21.5 mW. In the 50 hours after starting the illumination of the power-meter sensor, the power \( P(t) \), shown Figure 2(c), reaches a plateau. However, in multiple data sets, we always observe that \( P(t) \) undergoes small sinusoidal instabilities shown in Figure 2(d) in which the vertical scale has been expanded. The instability, due to small periodic fluctuations in the closed sample compartment, can be fitted with:

\[ P(t) = P_{\text{off}} + P_{\text{asc}} \sin \left( \frac{t - t_{cP}}{H_p} \right) , \]

where \( P_{\text{off}} \) and \( P_{\text{asc}} \) are the offset value and half of the separation between \( P_{\text{MAX}} \) and \( P_{\text{MIN}} \), respectively. The critical time \( t_{cP} \) is the point in time in which \( P(t) \) reaches \( P_{\text{MIN}} + P_{\text{ASC}} \), while \( H_p \) is the amount of time necessary to move \( P(t) \) from \( P_{\text{MIN}} + P_{\text{ASC}} \) to \( P_{\text{MAX}} \) (practically, 1/4 of the period of the sinusoidal function). The typical values of \( P_{\text{off}} \), \( P_{\text{asc}} \), \( t_{cP} \), and \( H_p \) are reported in Table 2, labeled in Figure 2(d), and were obtained by placing the zero of the time-scale at the start of the illumination. The instability in \( P(t) \) is small, as inferred from \( P_{\text{off}} / P_{\text{asc}} \approx 10^3 \). The \( \left( P(t), \frac{dP(t)}{dt} \right) \) graph, shown in Figure 2(e), highlights a periodic behavior with frequency \( \nu_p = 1/4H_p \approx 2 \times 10^5 \) Hz! We observe the sinusoidal instability of \( P(t) \), which modulates the amplitudes of the electric \( E \) and magnetic \( H \) fields of the IR radiation, to persist beyond the 50 h time interval in Figure 2(d).

b) Action of the IR radiation on \( \sigma(r,t) \)

We observed that the power \( P(t) \) of the IR radiation rises exponentially obeying Equation (5) at the start of the illumination, and exhibits a sinusoidal instability in the 50 hours thereafter. For the entire time span, we hypothesize that the IR radiation transfers energy, through electric contribution \( \Delta E_{\text{el}} \), to the surface density

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time interval</th>
<th>( P_0 ) [mW]</th>
<th>( P_f ) [mW]</th>
<th>( \tau_p ) [s]</th>
<th>( \rho_p ) [s(^{-1})]</th>
<th>( A_p ) [mW]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 - 100 s</td>
<td>-21.9</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time interval</td>
<td>( P_{\text{off}} ) [mW]</td>
<td>( P_{\text{asc}} ) [mW]</td>
<td>( t_{cP} ) [h]</td>
<td>( H_p ) [h]</td>
<td>( A_p ) [mW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 - 50 h</td>
<td>21.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.03</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>16 ± 2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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\( \sigma(r,t) \) of the electrons on the illuminated Cu plate of the PG device, and contributes to producing \( \Delta V(t) \) through the \( E \) and \( H \) fields (electric contribution) and \( \Delta T(t) \) (entropic contribution). To prove that a link exists between \( P(t) \) and \( \Delta V(t) \), possibly also between \( P(t) \) and \( \Delta T(t) \), we sketch the behavior of \( \sigma(r,t) \) and relate it to the observed \( P(t) \), \( \Delta V(t) \), and \( \Delta T(t) \).

To sketch \( \sigma(r,t) \), we hypothesize that, while hitting the surface of the Cu plate, the IR radiation modulates the electric field \( E \) through the sinusoidal instability of the IR power \( P(t) = a|S(t)| = a|E(t) \times H(t)| \). In turn, \( E \) and its modulation act on the electrons of the Cu plate with force \( f = eE \), where \( e \) is the electron's charge. As in the photoelectric effect [3], \( f \) displaces the electrons away from the location in which the IR radiation impinges on the Cu plate, locally decreasing their surface density such that \( \sigma(r,t) \propto 1/P(t) \). However, unlike in the photoelectric effect, \( f \) does not kick the electrons out of the Cu plate. In this process, \( \sigma(r,t) \) varies in time \( t \) as well as in space \( r \), i.e., the 2-dimensional (2D) surface of the Cu plate. To allow us versatility in choosing reference system, orientation and phase, we represent the 2D space variable \( r \) as the complex variable \( z = r + i\gamma \), where \( i \) is the imaginary unit. This choice resembles that adopted to describe light polarization through Jones matrices [23]-[25]. Thus, \( \sigma(z,t) \) requires a spatiotemporal set of variables, the functions \( \Delta V(t) \), \( T_{ir}(t) \), \( T_{eq-ir}(t) \), and \( \Delta T(t) \) are only time-dependent. To decouple \( z \) from the effects of \( \sigma(z,t) \) on \( \Delta V(t) \), \( T_{ir}(t) \), \( T_{eq-ir}(t) \), and \( \Delta T(t) \), and allow time \( t \) to be the only effective variable, we integrate \( \sigma(z,t) \) over the surface area \( a \) of the Cu plate as \( \int \sigma(z,t)dz \). Because of the capacitor-type structure of the PG device, with overall capacitance \( C \), we expect \( \Delta V(t) = \frac{\sigma(\sigma(z,t))}{C} \). This integration causes the loss of correlation between the phase of
\(P(t)\) and \(\Delta V(t)\). In the 30 hours after starting the illumination, since \(1/\sin(r_{c},i) > 1\) where \(\sin(r_{c},i) \neq 0\), from Equation (7) we expect the \(\text{sech}(r_{c},i)\) function to determine the behavior in time of \(\Delta V(t)\). We also envision the capacitor-type structure of the PG device to affect \(T_{in}(t)\) and \(T_{no-i}(t)\), while leaving \(\Delta T(t)\) constant.

Summarizing, we expect \(\Delta V(t)\) to obey an exponential behavior in the first minutes after starting the illumination, and to exhibit a hyperbolic-secant-type of instability in the 30 hours thereafter, with no phase relationship with \(P(t)\), no periodic behavior, and with \(\Delta T(t)\) constant. We are currently exploring this hypothesis further numerically, which will be the focus of future work.

c) Behavior of \(\Delta V(t)\) and \(\Delta T(t)\) in the 400 seconds after starting the illumination

The voltage difference \(\Delta V(t)\) and temperature difference \(\Delta T(t)\) in the 400 seconds after starting the illumination of the PG device with IR radiation are pictured in Figure 3 and Figure 4 for the away and the toward architectures. We find that the voltage difference \(\Delta V(t)\) in Figure 3(a) and Figure 3(d) fits a sum of exponential functions:

\[
\Delta V(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \Delta V_{0i} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_i}} + \Delta V_{\#} \right),
\]

where the \(\Delta V_{0i}\) and \(\Delta V_{\#}\) terms are the initial and final voltage differences, and the \(\tau_i\) terms are the time constants. These parameters and the number of summands \(N\) are summarized in Table 3. In both the away and the toward architectures, the electric contribution is related to the summand with \(N = 1\) and \(\tau_1 = 3.8\) s. The

Figure 3. Panels (a), (b), and (c) correspond to the away architecture and refer to the 400 seconds immediately following the start the illumination of the PG device with IR radiation. (a) Voltage difference \(\Delta V(t)\) with fitting curves obeying Equation (8) highlighting the summands related to the electric (el) and the entropic (en) contributions; (b) Graph of \(\left(\Delta V(t), \frac{d\Delta V(t)}{dt}\right)\) obtained from the fitting parameters in Table 3, reporting the slope and amplitude \(A\); and (c) Dimensionless voltage \(\Delta V(T) = \Delta V(t)/\Delta V_{\#}\) as in Equation (9). Panels (d), (e), and (f) report the voltage difference \(\Delta V(t)\), the \(\left(\Delta V(t), \frac{d\Delta V(t)}{dt}\right)\) graph with slope and amplitude \(A\), and the dimensionless voltage \(\Delta V(T) = \Delta V(t)/\Delta V_{\#}\), respectively, for the toward architecture in the 400 seconds immediately following the start the illumination of the PG device with IR radiation. Panel (d) highlights the two summands related to the electric (el-1 and el-2) contributions, and the summand related to the entropic (en) contribution.
entropic contribution is related to the summand with larger time constant, \( \tau_2 = 55 \text{ s} \), and is labeled with \( N = 2 \). This association is justified because \( \tau_2 = 55 \text{ s} \) is of the same order of magnitude of \( \tau_7 = 30 \text{ s} \) for the temperature difference \( \Delta T(t) \) in both architectures, as can be seen in Table 3, and further in Appendix-1. The summand with \( N = 3 \), detected only in the toward architecture, is related to an electric contribution because the "decay" in \( \Delta V(t) \), shown in Figure 3(d), is absent in the corresponding \( \Delta T(t) \) in Figure 4(e). We claim that the summand with \( N = 3 \) causes the "decay" in \( \Delta V(t) \) shown in Figure 3(d) because of the non-continuity of the Cu plate opposite to the IR radiation illustrated in Figure 1(b). The large value of \( \Delta V_0 = 1.1 \text{ mV} \) signals that the toward architecture tries to avoid the "decay" by pumping up the voltage production.

The rates of increase of \( \Delta V(t) \) \((\rho_{AV})\) in the initial linear regime of the graph in Figure 3(b) and Figure 3(e), are \( \rho_{AV} = 0.25 \text{ s}^{-1} \) in the away architecture, and \( \rho_{AV} = 0.29 \text{ s}^{-1} \) in the toward architecture. The amplitudes \( A \), also derived from Figure 3(b) and Figure 3(e), are 0.95 mV and 0.66 mV in the away and the toward architectures, respectively.

Table 3. Fitting parameters \( \tau_\alpha, \Delta V_\alpha, \) and \( \Delta V_\beta \) of the voltage difference \( \Delta V(t) \) in: \( \Delta V(t) = \sum_{\alpha} \left( \Delta V_\alpha e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_\alpha}} + \Delta V_\beta \right) \), Equation (8) (top rows) and \( \tau_\alpha, \Delta T_\alpha, \) and \( \Delta T_\beta \) of the temperature difference \( \Delta T(t) \) in \( \Delta T(t) = \sum_{\alpha} \left( \Delta T_\alpha e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_\alpha}} + \Delta T_\beta \right) \), Equation (10) (bottom rows) in the away and toward architectures in the 400 seconds immediately following the start of the illumination of the PG device with IR radiation. The corresponding experimental data are shown in Figure 3(a) and Figure 3(d), and Figure 4(a) and Figure 4(c). The indexes \( N \) and \( M \) indicate the number of summands in Equations (8) and (10), respectively. The relationship of the summands with either the electric or the entropic contribution is highlighted.
As with the power $P(t)$, the voltage difference $\Delta V(t)$ produced by the PG device also rises exponentially in the first few minutes after starting the illumination. However, $\rho_{\Delta V} = 0.25$ and $0.29 \, s^{-1}$ are one order of magnitude smaller than $\rho_p = 2.04 \, s^{-1}$ for the power $P(t)$ shown in Figure 2(b). We ascribe this discrepancy to the activation process occurring in the PG device to start the $\Delta V(t)$ production.

We capture the relative behavior of the electric and the entropic contributions to the generation of $\Delta V(t)$ using the dimensionless voltage $\Delta V(T) = \Delta V(t) / \Delta V_{\text{f12}}$ [22], pictured in Figure 3(c) and Figure 3(f), and derived from Equation (8) and its parameters in Table 3 as follows:

$$\Delta V(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{\Delta V_{0i}}{\Delta V_{\text{f12}}} e^{-\frac{T}{\tau_i}} + \frac{\Delta V_{fi}}{\Delta V_{\text{f12}}} \right). \tag{9}$$

Here, the term $T = t / \tau_2$ is the dimensionless time [22], while $r_0 = \Delta V_{0i} / \Delta V_{\text{f12}}$ and $r_f = \Delta V_{fi} / \Delta V_{\text{f12}}$ are dimensionless voltage parameters. We performed the normalization with respect to the slower summand, i.e. the one related to the entropic contribution with $N = 2$. Such a choice leads us to observe an evolution of $\Delta V(T)$ in Figure 3(c) and Figure 3(f) on a similar $T$-scale in both the away and the toward architectures, signifying that the dynamics is not affected by the architecture. On the other hand, from the plateau value at $\Delta V(T) = 4$ in the away architecture, we infer that the summands related to the electric and entropic contributions add one to the other, with the former prevailing over the latter because of $r_f = \Delta V_{fi} / \Delta V_{\text{f12}} = 2.6 > 1$. On the contrary, from the plateau value at $\Delta V(T) < 1$ in the toward architecture, we conclude that the two summands related to the electric contributions with $N = 1$ and 3 almost annihilate one another, while the summand related to the entropic contribution survives in the long term.

The temperature difference $\Delta T(t)$, shown in Figure 4(a) and Figure 4(c), surprisingly exhibits negative values, indicating that $T_{\text{in}}(t) < T_{\text{in,ir}}(t)$. This behavior is typical when the PG device faces the IR radiation with the Cu plate. Nevertheless, we find that the temperature difference $\Delta T(t)$ rises exponentially as follows:
\[
\Delta T(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left( \Delta T_{i}\frac{t}{\tau_{i}} + \Delta T_{j} \right),
\]

where \( \Delta T_{i} \) and \( \Delta T_{j} \) are the initial and final temperature differences, respectively, and the \( \tau_{i} \) terms are the time constants. These time constants reflect the fact that generally \( T_{ir}(t) \) rises faster than \( T_{no-ir}(t) \). The parameters and the number of summands \( M \), all related to the entropic contribution, are summarized in Table 3. We observe that \( 1 \leq M \leq 2 \), and that summands similar to that with \( M = 2 \) (rarely detected) in the toward architecture in Figure 4(c) exhibit an extremely large time constant of \( \tau_{ij} = 2000 \) s. We also note that no “decay” appears for \( \Delta T(t) \) in the toward architecture in Figure 4(c), as opposed to the corresponding voltage difference \( \Delta V(t) \) in Figure 3(d).

The slopes of the initial linear regimes in the \( \left( \Delta T(t), \frac{d\Delta T(t)}{dt} \right) \) graphs, displayed in Figure 4(b) and Figure 4(d), are negative, as those of \( P(t) \) in Figure 2(b), and \( \Delta V(t) \) in Figure 3(b) and Figure 3(e). The rates of increase of \( \Delta T(t) \) are \( \rho_{AV} = 0.033 \) s\(^{-1} \) and \( \rho_{AV} = 0.031 \) s\(^{-1} \) in the away and toward architectures, respectively. The corresponding amplitudes \( \Delta_{i} \) are 0.13°C and 0.20°C in the away and the toward architectures, respectively. The ratio \( R = \Delta(\Delta V)/\Delta(\Delta T) \) between the jump in voltage \( \Delta(\Delta V) \) and temperature difference \( \Delta(\Delta T) \) in the away architecture is \( R = 7.31 \) mV/°C.

Overall, Figure 3 and Figure 4 suggest that \( \Delta V(t) \) and \( \Delta T(t) \) evolve independently, with different rates of increase such that \( \rho_{AV} \gg \rho_{AV} \). The electric contribution affects only \( \Delta V(t) \). Thus, we conclude that the electric and entropic contributions in the interaction of IR radiation with a PG device are decoupled. To further support this conclusion, in Appendix-1 we will compare the trends of \( \rho_{AV}, \rho_{AV}, R = \Delta(\Delta V)/\Delta(\Delta T) \), and the values of \( \Delta(\Delta V) \) upon activating the PG device with IR radiation, as done so far, and conductive heat transfer from a 100 Ω resistor and a 0.02 A current. Only the entropic contribution is activated in this case because the produced power of 0.04 W, corresponding to a temperature of ±24°C on the PG device, is too low to produce significant blackbody radiation to trigger the electric contribution.

d) Behavior of \( \Delta V(t) \) and \( \Delta T(t) \) in the 30 hours after starting the illumination

The voltage difference \( \Delta V(t) \) and temperature difference \( \Delta T(t) \) evolve in the 30 hours after starting the illumination of the PG device as pictured in Figure 5 and Figure 6. We observed one possible behavior in Figure 5(a), which displays the data collected with the toward architecture. The voltage difference \( \Delta V(t) \) in Figure 5(a), exhibits an instability around the 20th hour. No instability is detected in \( \Delta T(t) \) in Figure 5(b), which is almost flat. The same instability affecting \( \Delta V(t) \), however, is revealed in \( T_{ir}(t) \) and \( T_{no-ir}(t) \), displayed in Figure 5(c) and Figure 5(d), respectively. This finding suggests that a strong correlation exists among \( \Delta V(t) \), \( T_{ir}(t) \) and \( T_{no-ir}(t) \), which we ascribe to the capacitor-type of structure of the PG device, as predicted in Section 3(b).

We observed another possible behavior in Figure 6, displaying data collected with the away architecture. In this case, \( \Delta V(t) \), shown in Figure 6(a), exhibits an instability around the 12th hour which is uncorrelated with the trends occurring in \( T_{ir}(t) \) and \( T_{no-ir}(t) \). The lack of correlation highlights the lack of coupling between the electric and the entropic contributions. Since the trends of the two temperatures are identical, we show only \( T_{ir}(t) \) in Figure 6(b). We omit to display \( \Delta T(t) \), which is flat as hypothesized in Section 3(b). The instability of \( \Delta V(t) \) in Figure 6(a) is non-periodic, as predicted in Section 3(b). Thus, to find a suitable fitting function, we recall the hypothesis in Section 3(b) where we pictured the time-dependence of \( \Delta V(t) \) in Figure 6(a) as captured by a hyperbolic secant function with no phase relationship with \( P(t) \) and no sinusoidal periodicity. Thus, we propose:

\[
\Delta V(t) = \Delta V_{off} + \Delta V_{osc}\sech\left(\frac{t-t_{c}}{H}\right).
\]

In this expression, \( \Delta V_{off} \) and \( \Delta V_{osc} \) are the offset and amplitude of the departure of \( \Delta V(t) \) from the offset, respectively. The positive or negative sign of \( \Delta V_{osc} \) corresponds to a downward or upward concavity, respectively, of the instability. The critical time \( t_{c} \) is the instant in which the maximum \( |\Delta V_{osc}| \) value is achieved. Finally, the term \( H \) indicates the half width at half maximum (HWHM), or minimum (depending upon the sign of \( \Delta V_{osc} \)) of the instability. The magnitude of \( \Delta V_{off} \) corresponds to the long term equilibrium voltage.
Figure 5. Panels (a), (b), (c), and (d) correspond to data collected from the toward architecture in the whole time span of about 30 hours following the start of the illumination of the PG device with IR radiation. (a) Voltage difference $\Delta V(t)$. (b) Temperature difference $\Delta T(t)$. Temperatures $T_{in}(t)$ (c) and $T_{non}(t)$ (d) of the illuminated and non-illuminated faces, respectively, of the PG device. The zero of the time-scale coincides with the start of the illumination with the IR radiation, and the unit for time is the hour ($\text{[h]}$).

$\Delta V_f$ reported in Table 3. The typical values of $\Delta V_{eff}$, $\Delta V_{osc}$, $t_c$, and $H$ are reported in Table 4, labelled in Figure 6(a), and were obtained by placing the zero of the time-scale at the start of the illumination. We highlight that, since $T_{in}(t)$ in Figure 6(b) does not peak at $t_c$, it does not correlate with the behavior in time of $\Delta V(t)$. The instability in $\Delta V(t)$ is evidenced in the graph in Figure 6(c), where stable and unstable fixed points [20] alternate in a complex fashion without periodicity.

Since the time-dependence is enclosed in a hyperbolic secant function, we name the instability in $\Delta V(t)$ in Equation (11) and Figure 6(a) as hyperbolic instability. We establish the lack of correlation between $\Delta V(t)$, on one hand, and $T_{in}(t)$ and $T_{non}(t)$ on the other, as the criterion to identify such instability. Since the hyperbolic instability in $\Delta V(t)$ is absent in $\Delta T(t)$, as expected from Section 3(b), we relate the instability to the sole electric contribution.

e) Correlation between $P(t)$ and $\Delta V(t)$ in the 30 hours after starting the illumination

Here we highlight the correlations existing between the power $P(t)$ and the voltage difference $\Delta V(t)$ to further support the choice of the fitting function in Equation (11) for $\Delta V(t)$ based on the hypothesis highlighted in Section 3(b).

1) The average $H_p$ of the power $P(t)$ in Table 2 is $4.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ h}$, about twice the value of $1.82 \text{ h}$ reported in Table 4 for $H$ of $\Delta V(t)$.

2) The instabilities in $P(t)$ and $\Delta V(t)$ are both small: the former is $P_{eff}/P_{osc} \approx 10^3$, while the latter is $\Delta V_{eff}/\Delta V_{osc} \approx 10/10^2$.

3) The $t_c$ term in Table 4 is found at $11.65 \text{ h}$, which is reasonably close to the average $t_{cp}$ at $16 \pm 2$ in Table 2.

These observations further support our hypothesis in Section 3(b) relating the sinusoidal instability of $P(t)$ to $\Delta V(t)$ through $\sigma(z,t)$ on the Cu plate. In Appendix-2 we will show that the hyperbolic instability in $\Delta V(t)$, selected according to the criterion established in Section 3(d), occurs frequently in our observations. We will provide further evidence of the link between $t_c$ and $t_{cp}$. Finally, we will prove the lack of phase relationship between $P(t)$ and $\Delta V(t)$ through lack of predictability of the sign of the amplitude $\Delta V_{osc}$ of the departure of $\Delta V(t)$ from equilibrium.

f) The nonlinearity of the hyperbolic instability in $\Delta V(t)$

We found that Equation (11), used to fit the hyperbolic instability in $\Delta V(t)$ in the 30 hours after starting the
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Figure 6. Panels (a), (b), and (c) correspond to data collected from the away architecture in the whole time span of about 30 hours following the start of the illumination of the PG device with IR radiation. (a) Voltage difference $\Delta V(t)$ exhibiting the hyperbolic instability obeying Equation (11). The parameters $\Delta V_{\text{off}}$, $\Delta V_{\text{on}}$, $t_c$, and $H$, reported in Table 4, are labelled. (b) Temperature $T_{IR}(t)$ of the face of the PG device illuminated by the IR radiation, and exhibiting no correlation with the hyperbolic instability in $\Delta V(t)$ highlighted by $t_c$. (c) Graph of $\Delta V(t)$ obtained using the parameters in Table 4. The zero of the time-scale coincides with the start of the illumination with the IR radiation, and the unit for time is the hour (h).

Table 4. Parameters of $\Delta V(t) = \Delta V_{\text{off}} + \Delta V_{\text{on}} \sech \left( \frac{t-t_c}{H} \right)$ used to fit the voltage difference $\Delta V(t)$ in Figure 6(a) collected from the away architecture in the time interval of about 30 hours following the start the illumination of the PG device with IR radiation. The parameters $\Delta V_{\text{off}}$, $\Delta V_{\text{on}}$, $t_c$, and $H$ are labeled in Figure 6(a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Away</th>
<th>$\Delta V_{\text{off}}$ [mV]</th>
<th>$\Delta V_{\text{on}}$ [mV]</th>
<th>$t_c$ [h]</th>
<th>$H$ [h]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.037</td>
<td>0.0137</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Illumination of the PG device with IR radiation, can be a solution of the equation:

$$\sigma \Delta V(t) \frac{\partial \Delta V(t)}{\partial t} + \zeta \frac{\partial^3 \Delta V(t)}{\partial t^3} = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Equation (12) is nonlinear because of the $3^{\text{rd}}$ order partial differential of $\Delta V(t)$ with respect to time $t$. The equation resembles the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation [26] [27] after eliminating the space-dependence, and flipping the space variable with time $t$. In order for $\Delta V(t)$ in Equation (11) to be a solution of Equation (12), we select the $\sigma$ and $\zeta$ coefficients by rewriting Equation (12) as:
By substituting Equation (11) into Equation (13), we obtain:

$$\Omega(t) = \frac{1}{H^2} \left( \frac{5\text{sech} \left( \frac{t-t_c}{H} \right)^2 - \tan \left( \frac{t-t_c}{H} \right)^2}{\Delta V_{\text{max}} \text{sech} \left( \frac{t-t_c}{H} \right) + \Delta V_{\text{off}}} \right).$$

(14)

The time-dependent coefficient $\Omega(t) = \sigma(t)/\zeta(t)$ of Equation (13) stands for nonlinear effects [26], and infers that a complex dynamics is hidden in the hyperbolic instability of $\Delta V(t)$ [28] [29]. In Figure 7 we graph $\Omega(t)$ using the parameters reported in Table 4 for the away architecture. Despite the complexity of Equation (14), $\Omega(t)$ appears surprisingly simple, correlates with the time-scale of the hyperbolic instability in $\Delta V(t)$, and peaks at $t_c$. Furthermore, we determined the physical units of $\Omega(t)$ to be $1/H^2(1/mV)$ (where $h$ indicates the hour), which suggests that $\Omega(t)$ could be interpreted as the change in time of the rate of $1/\Delta V(t)$, or simply, the “acceleration” of the inverse voltage. Such identification points out the existence of nonlinear “forces” that continuously push and slow down the changes in $\Delta V(t)$. We plan on further investigating this topic.

4. Summary and Significance

We identify and decouple an electric and an entropic contribution to energy transfer from low power infrared radiation to a power generator device. The electric contribution is related to the effects of the electric $E$ and magnetic $H$ fields in the infrared radiation and is detected through the voltage produced by the power generator device. The entropic contribution is mainly related to the temperature difference between the faces of the device. Two observations enable us to decouple the electric and entropic contributions. First, the electric contribution imparts faster rates of increase of the voltage difference $\Delta V(t)$ produced by the power generator device immediately after starting the illumination with infrared radiation. Second, the electric contribution generates a hyperbolic instability in the 30 hours after starting the illumination. The entropic contribution changes slowly and simply reflects the relative delay with which the two faces of the power generator device respond to thermal perturbations.

Our preliminary studies suggest that it is important to learn to exploit the electric contribution, because it offers a variety of ways to increase the voltage produced by the power generator device. For example, black-colored gaffer tape on the illuminated face doubles the amount of voltage produced, as discussed in Appendix-1, while the temperature difference, thus the entropic contribution, is not sensitive to the presence of the tape. Our findings are relevant for understanding the mechanisms for harvesting IR radiation, and possibly other electromagnetic radiations, through a power generation device as an alternative energy source.

![Figure 7. “Acceleration” of the inverse voltage $\Omega(t)$ for the away architecture obtained from Equation (14) using the parameters in Table 4. The time-dependent coefficient $\Omega(t)$ peaks at $t_c$, reported in Table 4. The unit for time is the hour (h).](image-url)
The future efforts will be devoted to overcome the limitations of the present work: 1) understand the relationship among infrared source power, surface charge density on the power generator, and produced voltage, 2) clarify the behavior of the power generator as a capacitor, and 3) investigate the role of infrared radiation power on the results.
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Appendix-1

To highlight the effects of the entropic contribution and decouple it from the electric contribution, we collected the voltage difference $\Delta V(t)$ and the temperature difference $\Delta T(t)$ from the PG device activated by conductive heat transfer from a 100 $\Omega$ resistor in contact with the surface of the device. A temperature of $\approx$24°C was generated by the PG device activated with a B&K Precision 1665 power supply providing 0.02 A and 3.2 V to the resistor. The achieved temperature is of the same order of magnitude as that detected when IR radiation hits the PG device, as can be seen in Figure 5(c) and Figure 5(d). We used a PG device 07111-9L31-04B by Custom Thermoelectric Inc. finished with an AIO plate (not with a Cu plate) and, in selected cases, gaffer tape. We placed the sample holders on both sides of the PG device in the toward architecture to create a capacitor structure with electrodes on both faces and thus avoid the “decay” in $\Delta V(t)$ shown in Figure 3(d). The experiments investigating the effects of conductive heat transfer were performed in an insulated sample compartment described in Ref. [30]. The PG device was horizontally fixed on the sample holders in all the measurements.

When the IR radiation was used to compare the results obtained with conductive heat transfer, the PG device was also positioned horizontally and at an angle of incidence $\theta_i = 30^\circ$ with respect to the IR radiation. The basic experimental parameters are summarized in Table 1.

In the 400 seconds immediately following the start the activation of the PG device by either conductive heat transfer or IR radiation, we measured the rate of increase of $\Delta V(t)$ ($\rho_{AV}$) and $\Delta T(t)$ ($\rho_{AT}$), and the ratio $R = \Delta(\Delta V)/\Delta(\Delta T)$ between the jumps in voltage ($\Delta(\Delta V)$) and temperature difference ($\Delta(\Delta T)$) in the away and toward architectures. We report the average values of $\rho_{AV}$, $\rho_{AT}$, and $R$, alongside their uncertainties, in Figures A1(a)-(c), respectively. Figure A1(a) indicates that the average $\rho_{AV}$ values obtained by activating the PG device with IR radiation are always larger than those obtained by activating the PG device using conductive heat transfer. Figure A1(b) suggests that the average $\rho_{AT}$ values have a common value, independently of the source of activation of the PG device. Moreover, the average $\rho_{AV}$ and $\rho_{AT}$ values are similar when the PG device is activated by conductive heat transfer. In addition, the $\rho_{AT}$ values coincide with those derived from Figure 4(b) and Figure 4(d). This finding supports the identification of the contribution to energy transfer with slower rate of increase with the entropic contribution. Figure A1(c) shows that, within the errors, the average

![Figure A1](image-url)
value of the ratio $R$ is larger when the PG device is activated by IR radiation through the electric contribution.

In the 400 seconds immediately following the start the activation of the PG device by either conductive heat transfer or IR radiation, we also measured the jump in voltage ($\Delta(\Delta V)$) obtained performing measurements on the PG device described above and finished with colored gaffer tape. We performed the measurements for the away and toward architectures. Figure A2(a) displays the magnitude of $\Delta(\Delta V)$ obtained by activating the PG device with conductive heat transfer from a 100 $\Omega$ resistor. The observed trends are neither affected by the color nor by the presence of the tape. On the other hand, Figure A2(b) shows that the magnitude of $\Delta(\Delta V)$ obtained by activating the PG device with IR radiation, is slightly sensitive to the color of the tape, and exhibits a noticeable drop when the tape is absent. Interestingly, the black-colored tape on the illuminated face of the PG device doubles the magnitude of $\Delta(\Delta V)$ compared to the case without tape. Values of $\Delta(\Delta V)$ above 0.5 mV, represented by the horizontal line in Figure A2(b), can be achieved only with tape present on the illuminated face of the PG device. Thus, we conclude that the tape profoundly affects the capacitor-type behavior of the PG device.

In the time span of about 50 hours following the start of the activation of the PG device with conductive heat transfer from a 100 $\Omega$ resistor, the voltage difference $\Delta V(t)$, temperature difference $\Delta T(t)$, and temperatures $T_{\text{hot}}(t)$ and $T_{\text{cold}}(t)$ of the activated and non-activated faces, respectively, are shown in Figures A3(a)-(d). We collected the particular set of data chosen using the PG device described above, finished with pink-colored gaffer tape, and set-up in the away architecture. Nevertheless, Figure A3 is representative of all the data collected in the away and toward architectures, with tape of all the available colors, and without tape. In all these cases, we observed $\Delta V(t)$, $\Delta T(t)$, $T_{\text{hot}}(t)$, and $T_{\text{cold}}(t)$ to be featureless and follow the same trends. The trend of $\Delta V(t)$ is symmetric to that of $\Delta T(t)$, $T_{\text{hot}}(t)$, and $T_{\text{cold}}(t)$. This behavior differs from that observed for the PG device activated by the IR radiation and captured in Figure 5. In this case, $\Delta V(t)$ and the temperatures $T_{\text{IR}}(t)$ and $T_{\text{non-IR}}(t)$ of the illuminated and non-illuminated faces are strongly correlated, while $\Delta T(t) = T_{\text{IR}}(t) - T_{\text{non-IR}}(t)$ is flat and featureless.

The results in Figures A1-A3, together with those of Figures 3-5, further support that the electric and the entropic contribution to energy transfer from low power IR radiation to the PG device are decoupled.

Appendix-2

Figure 6 shows the hyperbolic instability revealed in the voltage difference $\Delta V(t)$ in the time span of about 30 hours following the start of the illumination of the PG device with IR radiation in the away architecture. We identify such hyperbolic instability when no correlation is found between $\Delta V(t)$ and the temperatures $T_{\text{IR}}(t)$ and $T_{\text{non-IR}}(t)$ of the illuminated and non-illuminated faces of the PG device, respectively, and when $\Delta T(t)$ is flat. To demonstrate that hyperbolic instabilities are common in the interaction between IR radiation and a PG device, we collected a set of measurements with the PG device described in Appendix-1. With the AlO plate or
Figure A3. Panels (a), (b), (c), and (d) correspond to data collected from the away architecture in the whole time span of about 50 hours following the start of the activation of the PG device with conductive heat transfer from a 100 Ω resistor. (a) Voltage difference $\Delta V(t)$. (b) Temperature difference $\Delta T(t)$. Temperatures $T_{\text{act}}(t)$ (c) and $T_{\text{non-act}}(t)$ (d) of the activated and non-activated faces, respectively, of the PG device. The PG device was horizontally fixed on the sample holders in these measurements and was finished with a layer of pink-colored gaffer tape on the AlO plate. The unit for time is the hour (h).

Figure A4. (a) Averages of the critical time ($t_c$) and (b) amplitude ($\Delta V_{\text{act}}$) of the hyperbolic instability detected in the time span of about 50 hours following the start of the illumination of the PG device with IR radiation. The data were collected performing measurements on a PG device finished with an AlO plate, described in Appendix-1, and in the away (A) and the toward (T) architectures. The PG device was positioned horizontally and at an angle of incidence $\theta = 30^\circ$ with respect to the IR radiation. The average of the higher and lower values of $t_c$ found in the away and toward architectures are compared with the average value of $t_{cp}$ at 16±2 h for the power $P(t)$. In (b), the horizontal line separates the positive and negative $\Delta V_{\text{act}}$.

The layer of tape facing the IR radiation, the surface density $\sigma(z,t)$ refers to the charges in the AlO plate or in the tape. The results, summarized in Figure A4, report the average values of the critical time ($t_c$) and amplitudes ($\Delta V_{\text{act}}$) of the revealed hyperbolic instabilities. We found a correlation neither between the values of $t_c$ in Figure A4(a) and the signs of $\Delta V_{\text{act}}$ in Figure A4(b), nor between these quantities and the away or toward architectures. However, by observing Figure A4(a), we found that $t_c$ is typically located around 36±1 h, which is about twice the magnitude of $t_{cp}$ at 16±2 h for the sinusoidal instability in $P(t)$ of the IR source reported in Table 2. In addition, we noted lower values for $t_c$ in Figure A4(a) located around 20±3 h, i.e. in the neighborhood of $t_{cp}$. These observations highlight the correlations existing between the instabilities in $P(t)$ and $\Delta V(t)$ as already discussed in Section 3(e). Furthermore, in Figure A4(b) we noted randomness in the sign of $\Delta V_{\text{act}}$, which we attribute to the loss of correlation between the phase of $P(t)$ and $\sigma(z,t)$, and then between $\sigma(z,t)$ and $\Delta V(t)$. We predicted the loss of phase relationship in Section 3(b).

We summarize the findings in Figure A4 as follows: the hyperbolic instability in $\Delta V(t)$ is a common phenomenon, which is linked with the sinusoidal instability in the power $P(t)$ of the IR radiation.