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Abstract

Model-based system engineering methodologies advocate using system models as the main vehicle in system engineering processes. In this methodology, a system model represents the relationships and interaction between the entities being modelled. Figure 2 depicts an example of such abstraction of the interaction within and between two subsystems.

As a result of the difficulty in understanding complex relationships within comprehensive systems models, there is a need for a systematic approach in assessing properties of such models.

---
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Towards the Use of Network Analysis Method In Analysing Node Properties In A System Model

Model-based system engineering methodologies advocate using system models as the main vehicle in system engineering processes. In this methodology, a system model represents the relationships and interaction between the entities being modelled. Figure 2 depicts an example of such abstraction of the interaction within and between two subsystems.
Lacking evaluation mechanism for system models presents three major problems:

1. Difficulty in understanding fundamental properties of the model which are often attributed as a major reason for failure of the system;

2. Lack of a systematic and efficient mechanism in ensuring consistency of the model through all stages of process, system, and product development; and

3. Difficulty in understanding which components perform critical functions, and which components serve as a bridge between sub-systems.

This paper presents a two-step approach in assessing properties and consistency of the model. The definitions of the properties and consistency are briefly discussed below:

- Properties are defined based on a set of network science measures. To use the network science measures, the relationships between entities in the system model are represented as an entity network (see Figure 1 for a simple example). The network measures can be computed and the results of the computation can be explained meaningfully within the system engineering discipline.

- Consistency refers to the congruent between entities or artefacts developed in the system development process. These measures can be quantitative or qualitative.

Jiang et al. have shown that, in the context of software development, analysing properties of a model provides meaningful feedback for the purpose of design and system verification processes.

The proposed approach provides a practical mechanism for analysing properties of the system. The major contribution of this work is two folds:

1. Properties of system models can be used at both network and node level, containing critical information on the overall entity network, and

2. Consistency-assessment measures provide a mechanism to verify consistency of the system model.

The implication and significance of using properties of nodes within the context of system engineering are also discussed.

---
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Introduction

Model-based system engineering (MBSE)

- MBSE is the formalized application of modelling to support system requirements, design, analysis, verification and validation activities in the system engineering life cycle.
  - Requirements Models – Requirement Diagram
  - Design Models - Package Diagram, Sequence Diagram, Activity Diagram, State Machine Diagram, etc.

Introduction (Cont'd)

- Problems
  - Hard to ensure the consistency between the designs and evolutions of design.
  - Hard to identify the critical elements (nodes) in the system
Introduction (Cont'd)

- How to verify and evaluate the system models remains challenges in both industry and academia
  - Status quo in industry practices
  - Research – major focus on mathematical approaches
    - model-checking and automated theorem proving
    - using description logic to maintain consistency
- Lacking evaluation and/or verification mechanism for system models presents three major problems
  1) lack of a systematic and efficient mechanism in ensuring consistency of the model
  2) difficulty in understanding which components perform critical functions
  3) difficulty in understanding fundamental properties of the model

The Proposed Approach

- An approach is proposed for verification and evaluation of the models.
  The approach include two parts:
  1) Define a set of measures to compute the consistency between the models.
  2) Using several network measures to identify the properties of the elements in the model.
    - Compute the complexity of the model
    - Compute the properties of the elements in the model.
The Proposed Approach (Cont'd)

- Assumption with the approach:
  - The system design following the system engineering process and SysML (or UML) are used in the design.
  - The relationships between the requirements, objects, components or package of the system in the system models are well-established.
  - Targeting on the project covering the entire system development lifecycle.

- The applicability of the approach to the system integration is briefly discussed at the end of the presentation.

Part 1: Compute The Consistency Between The Models

Step 1: Define a set of measures

- The measures are divided into following classes
  - Quantity metrics
    - counts of the design entities and relationships.
  - Complexity metrics
    - measure the relations between design entities and the structure of the proposed system architecture.
  - Quality metrics
    - measure the relationship between the desired and the actual characteristics of the architecture.
Part 1: Compute The Consistency Between The Models (Cont'd)

- Examples of the proposed quantity metrics for evaluation of the models
  - Number of Diagrams
    - Package Diagrams, Use Case Diagrams, Sequence Diagrams, State Diagrams, Activity Diagrams, Requirements Diagram, Class Diagram
  - Number of entities
    - Requirements, Use Cases, Actors, Activities, Package
  - Number of design relationship type
    - Links between entities, Interactions, Activity Flows, State Transitions.
Part 1: Compute The Consistency Between The Models (Cont'd)

Step 2: Understand the links and traceability between the models

- Objects map to objects
- Classes map to classes
- Messages map to the links between objects or classes

**Sequence Diagram**

Realised by

**Use Cases**

Realised by

**Class Diagram**

Aggregated to

**Block Diagram**

**Requirements**

"ilities" requirements maps to
- System architecture
- Hardware (such as safety and reliability)
- Network (such as, cable, hub, structure, security)
- Operation, system and software

Part 1: Compute The Consistency Between The Models (Cont'd)

Step 3: Define a set of consistency measures

\[
\text{DegreeOfConsistency}_{\text{relinUseCase}} = \frac{\text{No Requirements Realised By Use Cases}}{\text{No Requirements}}
\]

\[
\text{DegreeOfConsistency}_{\text{seqToDiagram}} = \frac{\text{No Use Cases Modelled By Sequence Diagram}}{\text{No Use Cases}}
\]

\[
\text{DegreeOfConsistency}_{\text{reqs:Class Diagram}} = \frac{\text{No Classes In Class Diagram} + \text{No Objects In Object Diagram}}{\text{No Classes In Sequence Diagram} + \text{No Objects In Sequence Diagram}}
\]

\[
\text{DegreeOfConsistency}_{\text{unresolved methods}} = \frac{1 - \text{No Undefined Methods References} + \text{No Undefined Parameter References}}{\text{No Defined Methods} + \text{No Defined Parameters}}
\]
Part 1: Compute The Consistency Between The Models (Cont'd)

Case Study 1: Compute the consistency between the models

- Data Sources: Student Group’s Design Documents:
  - Information about the students:
    - Year 3 students from computer science, math and other engineering program.
    - Students are involved in Group Project with 5 to 6 group members.
    - Intensive one term-long project supervised by lecturers.
    - The project is about developing a robot that can detect mines in the “battle field”
    - Students are guided through the entire engineering process from requirements gathering to the final deliverables.
    - Students uses various engineering process models.
    - SRS, SDD, SPMP are compulsory deliverables and presented during the processes of the project.

Unclassified

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DegreeOfConsistency (Requirements and UseCases)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DegreeOfConsistency (UseCases and SequenceDiagram)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DegreeOfConsistency (SequenceDiagram and ClassDiagram)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Consistency</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unclassified
Part 2: Identify the properties of the elements in the models

- Using network analysis approach

- Examples of network measures used
  - Network level
    - Network size, Link count, Density, Isolate count
      (Component count), Clustering coefficient
  - Node level
    - Degree centrality, Betweenness centrality,
      Eigenvector centrality, Closeness centrality

- Network analysis techniques used
  - Visualisation
  - Computation analysis
  - Statistical analysis
Part 2: Identify the properties of the elements in the models (Cont'd)

- Case study 2
  - Analyse the critical nodes in the two use case diagrams for two subsystems for an industry project.

![Diagram showing network analysis]

Note: Filled-circles represent actors or agents and filled-diamonds represent use cases.

---

Part 2: Identify the properties of the elements in the model (Cont'd)

- Case study 2
  - Analyse the critical nodes in the two use case diagrams for two subsystems for an industry project.
  - Compute the measures
  - Analysis the results

### Rank | Total degree centrality | Betweenness centrality | Closeness centrality | Eigenvector centrality
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
1 | Sub1_A_2 | Sub1_A_1 | Sub1_A_2 | Sub2_UC_5
2 | Sub1_A_1 | Sub2_A_2 | Sub1_A_4 | Sub2_A_2
3 | Sub2_A_1 | Sub2_UC_5 | Sub1_A_1 | Sub2_A_1
4 | Sub1_A_3 | Sub1_UC_8 | Sub2_A_1 | Sub2_A_3
5 | Sub1_UC_8 | Sub2_UC_3 | Sub2_A_2 | Sub2_UC_9
6 | Sub2_UC_7 | Sub1_A_3 | Sub2_UC_1 |  

![Diagram showing network analysis]
Part 2: Identify the properties of the elements in the model (Cont'd)

- The empirical verification of the model:
  - Project actual results obtained from programmers and testing engineers
    - Following use cases took more time to implement than other nodes:
      Sub1_A_2, Sub1_A_1, Sub2_A_1, Sub1_A_3
    - Following use cases took more time to implement than other nodes and more test cases were required and implemented in the testing process than other nodes:
      Sub2_UC_5, Sub1_UC_8, Sub2_UC_3, Sub2_UC_7

- Case study 3
  - Visualisation of the system architecture
Part 2: Identify the properties of the elements in the model (Cont'd)

Analysis Via Visualisation (2) - Release 1

Part 2: Identify the properties of the elements in the model (Cont'd)

Analysis Via Visualisation (3) – Release 1
Part 2: Identify the properties of the elements in the model (Cont'd)
Analysis Via Visualisation (4) - Release 2

Application of the approach to the system integration

- The major problems involved in system Integration
  - Difficult in modification and maintenance
    - Difficulty in understanding the existing system and interfaces
  - Not coherent and not unifying data structure
  - Many different application and systems to supports
    - incompatible system and/or system interfaces
  - Aging of the systems
  - Social issues
  - ……
Application of the approach to the system integration (Cont'd)

- The proposed approach is still applicable
  - For integrating the systems with well-defined system models
    - The approach enforces the consistency checking principles
    - Network analysis approach can provide good information about which components (nodes) are vulnerable and with higher complex (higher values of centrality and/or centrality between)
  - For integrating a new system to an old system without the well-developed models
    - If the old system can be reverse-engineered
      - Some models can be obtained and can be used for analysis as discussed before
    - If it can not be reverse-engineered
      - The old system has to be understood, and architecture level node connections will need to be developed.

Conclusion

- Conclusion:
  - MBSE provides a practical approach to develop complex systems
  - Models produced in the system engineering processes have to be evaluated or assessed to ensure that the requirements are fully implemented, and models are consistent throughout the entire engineering process.
    - The proposed approach is the first step toward addressing the issue
    - More research is required to address other burning issues
  - In order to have better understanding of the system, models have to be studied from holistic level
    - Networks science provides good tools for studying the holistic view of the system, the interconnections, and their changes/evolutions