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I. INTRODUCTION

As computer and communication technologies become integrated into people’s daily life, many actions and decisions that used to happen in real-world are now carried out on online platforms. For instance, email has become a ubiquitous means of communication, and social groups are increasingly important for people to share information with their real-world friends. The same happens in corporate environment. An increasing number of companies now rely on technologies such as Facebook-like social platforms, twitter-like information sharing platforms, and instant messages for their daily operations. As real-world actions manifest into online data, modeling online behavior in relation to the real-world behavior becomes an interesting and important research topic in both computer science and sociology. Researchers try to address questions such as how people’s real-world social context shows up in online social data, whether and how one’s action/decision is influenced by online interactions, and whether such manifestation and influence can be measured and predicted. Many approaches have been proposed and experimented with, for instance, network analysis of social network graphs, content analysis of email text, and temporal analysis of email traffic. The topic of this paper is along the same line. We study online social interactions, in particular, email communications, and use them to understand real world behaviors of the involved individuals.

In this paper, we focus on the problem of understanding attrition within real-world organizations. Attrition rate, also called churn rate, in its broadest sense, is a measure of the number of individuals or items moving out of a collective over a specific period of time [1]. The term is used in many contexts, for example, referring to users switching to different service providers under a subscriber-based service model, players changing affiliation in online multiplayer games, user involvement and movement in online social networking platforms, and employee turnover within an organization. Attrition rate reflects an important aspect of group stability and thus is highly related to the profitability of a business. Analyzing, modeling, and predicting churn is of great practical significance and has also been extensively studied in research communities. Modeling and predicting attrition in a corporate setting is of particular practical importance.

However, the problem of modeling churn in an organization has received very little or nearly no attention in terms of concrete data analysis. This is largely due to the difficulty in data collection. Privacy concerns are often a major hurdle to data collection and need to be properly addressed. In our work, we have spent extra effort to guard personal information and designed a two-stage approach: (1) careful anonymization in the preprocessing stage to remove personally identifiable information (PII) such as name, address, email address and all numbers, and (2) the innovative design of an email logger, which processes emails and retains only aggregated statistics. Furthermore we assure that the aggregated statistics are sufficiently abstract such that the original content and/or meaning cannot be reconstructed from the feature set. These measures mitigate the privacy concerns and are key to the success of our data collection.

Besides the challenges of data collection, modeling and predicting attrition in a corporate environment is difficult from a data analysis perspective. First, any collected online data is inherently incomplete. It is impossible to collect a complete dataset of an individual in his/her social context – whom the individual is in contact with, what he/she is up to, the person’s emotional state, etc. Any data collection approach is a best-effort approach. To make things worse, the ground truth, i.e., whether and why an employee is leaving the company, is noisy in nature or sometimes even lacking. There are various possible reasons attributing to an employee’s departure, for instance, a voluntary leave (e.g., better opportunities offered by a competing company, taking time off for personal reasons, etc) or an involuntary one (management administering a layoff as an organizational cost-cutting measure, or being fired for job disfunction). The exact underlying reason is unobservable. This makes the problem of attrition modeling and prediction difficult. However, we argue that, despite the diversity in
possible reasons, almost all of them likely stem from job dissatisfaction (job mismatch, feeling devalued, stress, lack of support, and so on). This has been validated by extensively studied scenarios in social science literature [2], [3].

In this paper, we present a data-driven approach to attrition modeling and prediction. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study of its kind to tackle this problem using real-world data. We obtain real workplace activity and communication data from two sources, a small startup company (43 employees) and a large US company (3600+ employees), as a platform for studying this problem. From the dataset, we extract a rich feature set and first perform correlation analysis to discover which features are strong in correlation with the departure. Some findings are expected and well in alignment with qualitative findings in social science studies. For instance, quitters may be involved more in external communication (i.e., with others outside of the company) and less in internal communications (with colleagues within the company). Quitters may initiate fewer email conversations and instead forward more onto colleagues. Our analysis has also discovered some unanticipated findings. For instance, we originally anticipated that through emails people may convey less positive sentiment and more negative sentiment as they disengage from the company, but the correlation analysis indicates that both negative and positive sentiment go down, and people become generally less expressive. This phenomenon is observed in both datasets. From these correlation observations, we build a model to predict if and when an employee is likely to quit the company. Our predictor achieves a modest accuracy of roughly 60–65% prediction accuracy over the dataset from the large company. Giving the noisy nature of the data and the difficulty in attrition prediction, the prediction accuracy is encouraging.

The main contribution of our paper is as follows:

1) We have designed a privacy preserving data logging and feature extraction approach to capture a rich online behavior dataset free of personally identifiable information.
2) Through correlation analysis, our study shows that people’s online behavior often exhibits a change point due to quitting intent. Though such change point has been speculated in various social studies, our work is the first to validate its existence from online data traces.
3) Over a large dataset in a corporate environment, we have built a predictive model predicting incipient quitting behavior based on online data with a moderate prediction accuracy.

II. RELATED WORK

Here we briefly summarize related work on attrition from social science studies, business surveys, and data analysis.

A. Qualitative Findings from Social Science Studies

Social science studies have discovered that company size, industry and pay scales play a key role in determining attrition rate [1]:

- Larger companies tend to have lower rates of attrition.
- Industries that employ a large number of unskilled labor have a higher rate of attrition as compared to the ones that largely require skilled labor.
- Attrition rate is the highest amongst lowest paying jobs and vice-versa.

These findings have provided us with qualitative insights, but have not yet reached the level of mathematical precision that can be deployed to perform churn modeling and prediction. Our paper aims to fill this gap.

B. Studies on Churn Rates

In the scenario of subscriber based services, subscribers may leave a service provider for a number of reasons, including customer dissatisfaction, cheaper/better services/products provided by the competitors, or better marketing of products by competitors. Since this scenario has a direct impact on the profitability of a company, often companies come up with strategies to stem this exodus of subscribers. Strategies such as, creating barriers for subscribers to prevent them from switching or providing incentives such as loyalty programs are utilized for this purpose. Companies go this length because the cost of retaining an existing customer is far less than acquiring a new one [4]. The telecommunications industry gives special attention to this problem [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12]. This is due to the low barriers involved in switching service providers. The problem has been studied in other service-based industries as well, such as banking [13], ISPs [14], credit cards [15], insurance [16] and P2P networks [17].

A particular example is the video-gaming industry, a huge and growing market around the world, valued at about $65 billion in 2011 [18]. In order to generate revenues, it is critical that a given game is able to (a) attract new gamers and (b) retain gamers that are already playing the game. Coelln [19] talks about different metrics that can be used to gauge the success of a game in retaining current gamers. The strategies used by various gaming providers in retaining loyal users is discussed in [20]. Various studies [21], [22] have also analyzed the problem of churn prediction in online games.

The same problem of reducing churn rates appears in the scenario of social networking platforms. In recent years there has been a proliferation in the kind and number of social networking platforms available to people for interacting in the online space. For a social networking platform to remain active, it is important that it is able to attract users to contribute over a long period of time. Thus identifying people who are likely to churn early would allow the platform to investigate such users and make changes (or add features) to rectify such a situation. In [23], [24], the authors try to identify user features that can lead to churn in social networks. They then use these features to train classifiers for churn prediction achieving fair accuracy.

Most of the work listed above considered customers leaving/quitting the services as separated, independent events. They seek reasons from the internal of an individual. The proposed method for lowering churn rate is either by improving user satisfactory and providing better incentives, or by raising
the bar of switching services and imposing penalties. Nevertheless, in reality customers do not make quitting decisions independently. There is a hidden, yet powerful social factor behind user decision-making processes. It is often the case that a customer decides to subscribe/unsubscribe a service based on the decisions of his/her social friends. The aspect of social relationships in modeling and predicting churns is only studied until very recently. In our previous work [25], we looked at a related problem of predicting departures from groups and whether such departures are likely to cause damage to a social group. We performed the analysis using World of Warcraft (WoW), the most popular online role-playing game, as a platform. The analyses from real-world data sets indeed demonstrate a clear social influence when people make decisions on joining/quitting social groups.

C. Career Switch Modeling

A recent paper by Wang et al. [26] proposes a probabilistic model for career switching, to help a career recommender system in providing recommendations at the right time, i.e., to identify the time-period when a user is likely to be susceptible to making a career-switch. It models the duration between two successive job-related actions (such as a promotion or a churn event) using a proportional hazard model [27]. Proportional hazard is a technique that originates from reliability theory, describing the life span of a component (in this case the length that the user remains at the same job level) as a function of a baseline duration modulated by exponentials of a set of related factors. The paper then fits the proportional hazard model to a job application database from LinkedIn1.

Our work is fundamentally different from [26]. For instance [26] models using a survival model on tenure, i.e., for how long an individual expected to remain in the same position, while our approach examines data traces and discovers which data features may point towards a manifestation of an underlying attrition. Unlike [26] that uses tenure to decide when to pop job recommendation to users, our method predicts incipient departure based on features that may capture precursors of one’s departure.

III. Analysis on Startup Email Dataset

A. Dataset

For attrition modeling, we recruited 43 participants from a research lab to share their social interaction data. The participants have diverse job roles: managers, individual contributors, and administration staff. About half of the participants were associated with an internal spin-off startup company, which had not been successful in its business venture and later got incorporated back into the research lab. During the business turmoil, a significant portion of the employees left the company. From a supervised learning perspective this is an ideal dataset for analyzing quitting behavior, with positive and negative data samples and ground truth of who quit the company and when.

As we mentioned earlier in Section I, one major barrier to attrition analysis is data collection. We have designed an email feature extraction tool that respect users’ privacy. Our tool can be deployed as a software agent installed on participant’s PC to extract features from Outlook emails. Our tool takes two steps to protect privacy: (1) Participants are assigned a random ID, and only this ID is used to identify the participant, and all PII such as name, address, email address, and all numbers are ignored from email content. (2) Email content is processed to extract aggregated features such as word frequency counts. No raw content is logged in a feature set, and hence the original content cannot be reconstructed from the feature set. Furthermore, upon completion of feature extraction, the software agent uploads only aggregated features such as word frequencies onto an encrypted server and uninstalls itself.

For PII removal, emails go through a set of carefully designed pre-processing steps. First, reply lines and signature blocks are detected using regular expression and conditional random field techniques, as describe in [28]. The identification of reply lines and signature blocks serves two purposes. First, the reply lines facilitate email thread reconstruction, e.g., A sends an email to B, which B then replies to A and cc to C. By capturing email threads we can formulate an email graph and analyze its structure. Secondly, reply lines and signature blocks often contain personal information and sometimes redundant or irrelevant content. For instance, reply lines often quote original text from a previous message, and signature blocks sometimes have quotes from famous people. We remove these content portions when extracting content features.

B. Feature Set

Loosely speaking, we extract three categories of features: (1) meta-features, (2) graph structure features, and (3) content features.

Meta-features summarize various aspects of email usage: when an email send/receive event occurs, how many emails one sends a day, how many friends (defined as distinct people with email correspondence) one may have, how many internal
professional emails often have a conventional
structured format, with greeting in the beginning and closings
to express emotions. We add these to the feature set to
investigate the expressiveness.

Speech act scores: One important use of work-related emails
is to request or prompt certain actions, such as negotiation or
task delegation. We use a pre-trained speech predictor [30]
to predict six Speech Act scores: request, deliver, commit,
propose, meet, and communicate data. Although not extremely
accurate (around 70% F1 scores), the Speech Act scores make
a good feature set due to its semantic importance, especially
in work-related content.

C. Class Labels

For investigating quitting dynamics, we first associate labels
to differentiate multiple stages of one’s employment. Given a
participant, we take his/her employment period and segment it into 4 segments:

A warm-up period (class label 0): The first 6 weeks of em-
ployment is labeled as the warming up period. Email events in
this period are discarded because they are not representative of
one’s regular work. Rather, the participant is communicating
to familiarize with his/her environment.

An exit period (class label 3): The last 3 weeks of employ-
ment is labeled as the exit period. The employee has already
made a decision to quit the company. The activities during this
phase are usually wrapping up existing work and hand-off to
colleagues.

A first & second working period (class labels 1 & 2):
Cutting out the warm-up and exit periods, the rest of one’s
employment is divided into two equal-length segments. It
is of interest to see whether one’s email behavior changes
during these two working periods (transition 1 → 2) and as
he/she starts to exit (transition 2 → 3).

D. Correlation Analysis

Table I reports email features with non-negligible correla-
tion coefficient with the employment stage labels. The second
column (“transition 1 → 2”) lists the correlation coefficient
as participant transits from his/her first employment period to
the second. The third column (“transition 2 → 3”) lists the
correlation coefficient as participant transits from the second
employment period to the exit period. A positive correlation
coefficient indicates that a general increase of feature value as
participant progresses through the employment periods, while
a negative correlation indicates a generally decreasing feature
value.

The correlation coefficient for the meta-features exhibits
a very distinct change pattern. For instance, as participants
transition from stage 1 to 2, the number of emails generally
goes up, but as participants transit from stage 2 to 3, the
number of emails drops. Same pattern persists for a number
of other features. In general people’s internal email activities
(internal with respect to the company) tend to drop, with
fewer emails and fewer recipients. Also fewer activities after
the normal working hours are observed. Fewer emails with
attachments are observed. All these indicate generally less
job-related activities. Furthermore, the number of forward
emails drops but to a much lesser extent than the number of
all emails. Percentage-wise the quitting participant is doing
more email forwards and less originals or replies. This is
also anticipated as people disengaging themselves from work.
The email forwards are probably delegation and hand-off to
colleagues.

Among the feature set for graph structure, the entropy
feature is computed over the empirical distribution of email
frequency across recipients. It measures how evenly or skewed
the participant communicates with email recipients. It takes
a high value if the participant communicates with friends
evenly, and a low value if his/her email activities are confined
to a small subset of recipients. Table I shows that entropy
drops, indicating that the communication is more skewed to a
smaller subset. This is not surprising as we anticipate quitters to communicate more with close friends and less to the broader set of colleagues. Clustering coefficient [31] is defined as the ratio of triangle cliques over the number of possible triplets. It takes value 1 if the participant’s local neighborhood is fully connected and 0 if the participants live in a star topology. Table I shows that the clustering coefficient generally drops. This is probably because the participant is involved in more external and less internal communication. The internal communication community is expected to be tightly knit, hence closer to a fully connected graph, while the external network is only connected through the participant and hence exhibits more of a star topology.

Analysis over content features turned out to be somewhat surprising. We had originally anticipated that positive sentiment may go down and negative sentiment may go up as people plans to exit. Data seems to suggest that both positive and negative sentiments go down. In addition, exclamation marks and rare/complex words are used less often than before. In general people become less expressive and distant themselves from controversial expressions. On the other hand there is no significant change observed in terms of questioning and the frequency of using superlatives. Although a change point has long been speculated and empirically observed in social science studies, our work is the first to report its existence in real-world email data. These preliminary results encourage us to look into the possibility of constructing predictive models to further analyze quitting behavior in larger datasets.

IV. Analysis on Large Company Dataset

A. Dataset

The second dataset comes from a large US company with several tens of thousands of employees (hereafter we will refer to this dataset as the “Large Company Dataset”). The company has adopted a logging mechanism for their employees, logging activities on company operated PCs. Some of the types of activities logged are email communications, logon activities, file-access activities, websites visited from work PC etc. For our problem, email communications serve as the most important source of information as compared to other activity data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistic for Large Company Dataset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Target Internal Employees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Other Internal Employees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of External People</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Email Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Quitters Identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Range</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While studying our problem we limit our attention to communication data for a large subset (3600+) of employees during a 20-week time period. Table II details the scale of the dataset. For analysis, we distinguish individuals into three categories. The first category includes individuals that belong to our targeted subset of employees, i.e., the ≈3600 employees in our dataset. These are the samples for our analysis. The second category are the ones that are employees of the company but not in our subject dataset. They are excluded for purposes of churn analysis. The last category are people external to the company. All communication between the first two categories of people are treated as “internal” communication and all other communication is treated as “external” communication. For the purposes of this analysis we have focused on email communication trace data without using actual email content for analysis. We exclude actual
email content from our analysis due to privacy concerns. Since
the dataset does not have actual information on employees
quitting the company (i.e. date & reason of departure), we
will use a simple but effective heuristic to determine the
approximate date of departure. We will also model the email
communication data as a social network that can then be used
to extract certain structural features that could be useful for
churn prediction. We construct the social network by placing
an edge between two people that have participated in an email
communication.

B. Identifying Quitters

The dataset does not have the ground truth with respect to
employees quitting the company (that is treated as proprietary
information). Since we require ground truth in order to train
and validate our approach, we need to be able to deduce the
fact that an employee has quit the company from the given
communication and activity data.

![Fig. 3. Email Communication Plot for 4 employees. Top-2 employees (blue bars) are those that have been deemed to have quit the company based on the “Sent Email” heuristic. The 2-day gaps indicate lack of email activity on weekends. One can also see a temporary week-long absence for couple of the employees indicating vacation, sick leave etc.
](image)

We use a heuristic based on “Sent Emails”. For a given
employee we keep track of his/her sent emails at regular
intervals of time (daily in our experiments). We can then
classify an employee as having quit the company if we fail
to see any emails being sent by this employee for a prolonged
period of time such that this employee never sends out any
further emails. The “Sent Email” heuristic should allow us
to get reasonable ground truth regarding quitters. In our
experiments we will say that an employee $E$ has quit the
company at time $T$ if he has sent his last email at time $T$,
followed by complete & permanent absence for at least 21
days. We set the minimum time duration for lack of sent emails
as 21 days; that should take care of scenarios where employees
are temporarily absent due to vacation, sick leave etc. While
we realize that no heuristic would be perfect, we believe that
our heuristic is effective in identifying most if not all quitters.

We also believe that we can deduce the approximate date
of departure for such employees by using the “Sent Email”
heuristic. A drawback of our approach would be in cases where
employees use (or switch to) machines that have not been
registered for logging email and other activities. In such cases
we might identify some employees as quitters even though
they might still be employees. We consider such cases as
noise; another reason that makes this problem harder than in
other scenarios. Figure 3 shows the sent email activity plot
for 4 employees, top 2 employees are deemed to have quit
the company based on the “Sent Email” heuristic. In all, we
identify 566 employees that have quit the company in the 20-
week time period in the Large Company Dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>percentage of emails sent</td>
<td>0.0306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percentage of distinct recipients</td>
<td>0.0294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percentage of external emails</td>
<td>0.0263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percentage of after hours external emails</td>
<td>0.0197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percentage of after hours internal emails</td>
<td>-0.0197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percentage of internal emails</td>
<td>-0.0263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percentage of distinct senders</td>
<td>-0.0294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percentage of emails received</td>
<td>-0.0306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of emails received</td>
<td>-0.0419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of distinct senders</td>
<td>-0.0426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of after hours internal emails</td>
<td>-0.0449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of after hours external emails</td>
<td>-0.0541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of after hours emails</td>
<td>-0.0566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of internal emails</td>
<td>-0.0583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of distinct recipients</td>
<td>-0.0663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of external emails</td>
<td>-0.0667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of emails sent</td>
<td>-0.0670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of emails</td>
<td>-0.0703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percentage of external friends</td>
<td>0.0870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percentage of internal friends</td>
<td>0.0700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of friends emailed excessively</td>
<td>-0.0314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of emails per internal friend</td>
<td>-0.0490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of emails per external friend</td>
<td>-0.0542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of emails per friend</td>
<td>-0.0569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of internal friends</td>
<td>-0.0804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of external friends</td>
<td>-0.0920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of friends</td>
<td>-0.0930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clustering coefficient</td>
<td>-0.0453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>email entropy</td>
<td>-0.0695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weighted degree</td>
<td>-0.0706</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Feature Set

The first step towards churn analysis entails extracting a
rich set of features from the communication dataset. Table III
provides a list of features that we compute from the dataset.
Most features are similar to those described in Section III,
except that Meta-features are further split into two categories:

**Email Features:** The features are extracted from email com-
munication data and form the bedrock of our analysis. Email
communications data provide the a large set of features that can be used to detect changes in workplace behavior.

**Social Features:** These are features that are a direct byproduct of constructing the email social graph. These features are useful in capturing statistics on one’s ego network.

### D. Feature Importance & Correlation

The observation dataset is organized into temporal snapshots, sampled at weekly intervals i.e. we compute the set of features for every employee at weekly intervals. Furthermore, we label each feature sample for an employee as being a “quitting” or “not quitting” sample. If an employee is to quit the company within the next 3 weeks, we classify the feature sample for that employee at that particular time as “quitting”, if not then the sample is classified as “not quitting”. Labeling in such a manner allows us to train classifiers for the churn prediction problem. Also, it allows the classifiers to uncover any precursors that lead to an employee departure. Overall there are about 50,000 feature samples, out of which about 1713 (3.42%) are samples with “quitting” label.

Table III reports the Spearman’s Rank Correlation Coefficient between each feature and the class labels (1 for quitting, 0 for not quitting). We prefer Spearman’s correlation coefficient over Pearson’s correlation coefficient to mitigate issues that may arise due to outliers and skewed nature of the data. Some features exhibit distinct trends; following are the observations from the correlation analysis,

- An employee that is about to quit the company will participate in fewer emails (number of emails sent, number of emails received).
- He/she will communicate with a selected (fewer) group of people (number of friends, number of external friends, number of internal friends, email entropy, weighted degree, clustering coefficient).
- There is a marginal increase in his/her percentage of external emails i.e. emails sent to people outside the company.
- Overall such an employee is likely to be less communicative as compared to other employees.

Table IV shows the top-10 features as ranked by the information gain criterion. This indicates how informative a given feature is with respect to deducing the class label (quitting or not quitting). This is consistent with Table III regarding which features are most important for churn prediction.

**E. Predicting Quitters**

Given the feature samples along with the class labels, we train classifiers for the churn prediction problem. Due to the skewed nature of the data (i.e. very few “quitting” samples), we first randomly select equal number of samples from both classes. We conduct experiments using a wide range of supervised learning techniques, with Bagging providing the best accuracy. We are able to achieve accuracy levels that range between 58-63% using various classifiers such as Naive Bayes, Decision Trees, Random Forests & Bagging. Figure 4 provides the precision, recall & f-measure numbers for each of the classifiers. Table V shows the detailed results obtained by using Bagging. One can see that we are able to achieve a modest accuracy of about 63% when predicting churn in an organization. The results also indicate that predicting churn in an organization seems to be a much harder problem than predicting churn in other well studied scenarios.

![Figure 4: Prediction Results using different classification techniques.](image)

Through analysis on the startup email dataset and the large company dataset, we are able to make the first (albeit modest) headway into the problem of churn modeling prediction in an organization. Though the results are still preliminary, our analysis establishes a data-driven correlation model between people’s online behavior and their real-world company churn events. We have identified informative features and found weak trends, indicating that employees become less communicative and less expressive before they are likely to quit the company. Our analysis also has verified the existence of a change point in people’s work-related emailing behavior. Furthermore, through analysis on the large company dataset, we can use the weak trends to predict incipient departure with a moderate accuracy.

**TABLE IV**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>number of external friends</td>
<td>Social</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>number of friends</td>
<td>Social</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>number of emails sent</td>
<td>Email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>number of distinct recipients</td>
<td>Email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>number of emails</td>
<td>Email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>number of internal friends</td>
<td>Social</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>weighted degree</td>
<td>Structural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>number of external emails</td>
<td>Email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>email entropy</td>
<td>Structural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>number of emails per friend</td>
<td>Social</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE V**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quitting</td>
<td>62.96%</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>0.613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Quitting</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Average</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION
This effort is inscribed in a broader scope of research seeking to establish correspondence between people’s real-world context and online social behavior. We hope that the method presented in this paper can be extended to other similar problems as well. We plan to look into other social dynamics, such as influence propagation, dynamics between work place collaborators, and roles people take in their online social groups. Through such effort we hope to achieve a better understanding of the social space around us, so as to make online platforms more helpful to real-world users.
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