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1. Introduction

1.1 Background

The Centralized Aviation Flight Records System (CAFRS) is used to manage US Army aviation flight and air traffic services (ATS) records that contain information such as the number of flight hours that pilots have flown and status of pilot and unit flight training requirements. The records are managed in accordance with regulations and policies through a centralized, automated, globally accessible, and secure system. CAFRS provides the Army’s senior-level leadership with aviation flight operations information to assist in resource, readiness, and personnel management. Commanders have access to essential aviation information to accomplish risk assessment and risk management throughout the aviation mission planning process.

The US Army Research Laboratory’s Human Research and Engineering Directorate (ARL/HRED) conducted a software interface assessment of CAFRS version 4.0 (v4.0) during User Test Event (UTE) 1 and UTE 2 at the Aviation Networks and Mission Planning software development facility at Huntsville, AL. UTE 1 was conducted 29 April–2 May 2013 and UTE 2 was conducted 5–8 August 2013. These assessments were performed to identify CAFRS software design features that enhanced or degraded user performance. The following items were evaluated:

- Software menu architecture
- Number of steps required to complete data entry tasks
- Help functions
- Menu navigation
- Error messages
- Logic and intuitiveness of the menu screens
- How quickly v4.0 operated on the host computer
- Comparison of v4.0 with v3.0.3
- Time to complete tasks
- Task pass/fail rates

The assessments supported the CAFRS material release process and led to improvements in the software interface.
ARL/HRED also conducted a software interface assessment of CAFRS v3.0 UTE (in 2009) and v3.0.2 software interface UTE (in 2010). The data collection methodology for each assessment during the UTEs was very similar, to allow comparisons of the software interface for each successive version. The results of the v3.0 and v3.0.2 assessments led to improvements in the software interface. ARL/HRED will assess future versions of CAFRS to improve the software interface and functionality.

1.2 System Description

CAFRS standardizes the process of compiling, tracking, and analyzing flight records and ATS records. This is accomplished by storing information in a centralized repository that can be accessed by the Internet. Internet accessibility provides visibility of unit and individual flight data as well as certification and qualification data above the unit level.

CAFRS augments the Army’s ability to more accurately track and control aviator flight and training records, air traffic control (ATC) training records, and unmanned aircraft system (UAS) operators’ hours. The CAFRS design requirement is to have a user-friendly software interface while reducing man-hours and recording errors through automation.

CAFRS replaced 3 legacy systems used for maintaining aircrew flight records: the DOS (Microsoft Disk Operating System)–based Automated Flight Records System (AFRS), Aviation Center Flight Records System (ACFRS), and the Unit Level Logistics System-Aviation (ULLS-A) flight operations module for aircrew flight records.

CAFRS is a Microsoft Windows–based client/server application with database functionality for managing and storing flight record information. The CAFRS software can be installed on any Windows-based platform. CAFRS supports battalion- and company-level operations during deployed operations, to include split-base operations and in garrison.

Figure 1 shows the high-level concept of operations of CAFRS v4.0 with both the Army’s Forces Command (FORSCOM) and Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC) architectures.
The CAFRS FORSCOM architecture (Fig. 2) has a local database installed on each CAFRS FORSCOM client machine where all data transactions occur. Network connectivity from a CAFRS FORSCOM client to the central database at Redstone Arsenal, AL, or network connectivity from a company-level CAFRS FORSCOM client to a battalion-level CAFRS FORSCOM machine is not required to perform daily operations. A battalion-level CAFRS machine setup to allow company-level machines to connect for data synchronization is known as a CAFRS Data Collection Point (CDCP).
The CAFRS TRADOC architecture shown in Fig. 3 does not have a local database installed on the CAFRS TRADOC client machines. Network connectivity is required for daily operations. All CAFRS TRADOC client machines connect to the TRADOC CAFRS Central Database (CCDB) server where all the data transactions occur.
The architecture and data flow of CAFRS v4.0 (Fig. 4) consists of the following 3 tiers and nodes:

- **CAFRS enterprise servers** are the first and highest tier or node in the CAFRS architecture. There are 2 types of enterprise-level servers supporting CAFRS: the CCDB enterprise server or primary CCDB, and the TRADOC CCDB enterprise server or secondary CCDB.

- **CDCPs** make up the second tier or node of the CAFRS architecture. Numerous CDCPs exist at the Army aviation battalion-level and equivalent organizations, such as Army Aviation Support Facilities within the Army National Guard (ARNG), Operational Support Airlift Command fixed-wing flight detachments, and UAS platoons. The CDCPs’ primary functions are to operate as CAFRS clients to support management of aviation flight and training records and to perform data synchronization with the CCDB to keep data current within the CCDB and within each of the CDCPs.

- **CAFRS client machines** make up the third and lowest tier or node of the CAFRS architecture. A CAFRS client machine is defined as any government computer that has the CAFRS client application installed. Numerous CAFRS client machines operate at all levels to include, but are not limited to, platoon, company, battalion, and other equivalent type organizations, i.e., basically at any level where Army aviation personnel and their flight record data need to be managed.
A CAFRS person consists of 2 categories, the user and the aviation person. A CAFRS user is one who has been set up in CAFRS with a login and password for data access. They have no records. An aviation person may be a rated crew member, a nonrated crew member, or a UAS operator, and will have an individual flight record folder associated with them. A user may also belong to ATC, as in the case of a flight operations officer in charge.

A CAFRS user requires permissions to perform virtually any function within CAFRS, such as reviewing the commander’s task list (CTL) (Fig. 5), accessing a Department of the Army (DA) 7120 progress report (Fig. 6), adding a custom event (Fig. 7), or accessing and updating a DA 7122 crew member’s training record (Fig. 8). The CTL is a list of military tasks meant to be used as a tool to accomplish the commander’s intent. The DA 7120 progress report allows a crew member to track the progress of the required task, and the DA 7122 is a comprehensive record of the crew member’s training. These permissions will typically be granted by a CAFRS unit administrator based on the role of the user needing the permission. Within CAFRS, some permissions are grouped into common roles based on job description. This allows, for example, a safety officer to have a set of permissions based on his role of safety. These roles can be tailored to meet the needs of each unit.
Fig. 5  Commander’s task list

Fig. 6  DA 7120 progress report
Fig. 7  Custom event screen

Fig. 8  DA 7122 crew member training record
2. Method

Test participants received 2–3 h of training prior to the beginning of each UTE. The training consisted of classroom instruction and hands-on training using a Toughbook personal computer loaded with the CAFRS v4.0 software.

2.1 Test Participants

At total of 14 CAFRS users participated in UTE 1 (6 users) and UTE 2 (8 users). The relevant demographic characteristics of the users are listed in the Results section of this report.

2.2 Data Collection

A software interface questionnaire was used to assess the v4.0 design characteristics that enhanced or degraded user performance. The questionnaire was developed in accordance with published guidelines for proper format and content (O’Brien and Charlton 1996). A pretest was conducted to refine the questionnaire and to ensure that it could be easily understood and completed by test participants.

At the completion of each UTE, the users completed the software interface assessment questionnaire. Questionnaire results were clarified with information obtained during discussions with the users and during the after-action review that was conducted at the end of each UTE.

Test participants also recorded the time required to complete each task and whether they successfully completed the tasks. Problems with the software interface and system functionality were identified and recorded during the UTEs. This helped ensure that the problems would be tracked and resolved for future CAFRS upgrades.

2.3 Assessment Limitations

Primary limitations included the small sample size of users (N = 14) who participated in UTE 1 and UTE 2 and limited test time.

These limitations are fairly common due to funding and time constraints. However, the information and data listed in the Results and Conclusions sections of this report should be interpreted based on these limitations. Additional data should be collected during future user and operational tests to augment and expand the findings contained in this report.

3. Results

The following is a summary of the data collected during UTE 1 and UTE 2.
3.1 Participant Demographic Data

The participants (N = 14) were warrant officers, noncommissioned officers (NCOs), and DA civilians. Two participants held the rank of chief warrant (CW) 5, 4 held the rank of CW4, 3 held the rank of sergeant first class (SFC), one held the rank of staff sergeant (SSG), one held the rank of sergeant (SGT), and 3 were DA civilians. The participants represented a fairly broad range of experience with CAFRS and previous versions of flight records management systems.

Job Title (UTE 1):

- Flight records branch chief
- Battalion standardization pilot
- Standardization pilot
- Brigade standardization pilot
- UAS standardization instructor operator
- UH-60 repairer, nonrated crew member flight instructor

Job Title (UTE 2):

- Brigade standardization pilot
- Regiment standardization pilot
- ARNG standardization officer
- AH-64 Apache helicopter repairer/test and evaluation NCO
- UH-60 helicopter repairer
- 15W senior small group leader
- Helicopter instructor pilot
- Flight records branch chief

Test participant experience with the following systems:

ACFRS

(UTE 1)
Average = 0.3 years
Range = 0–2 years

(UTE 2)
Average = 2.9 years
Range = 0–5 years
CAFRS

(UTE 1)
Average = 2.5 years
Range = 0–5.3 years

(UTE 2)
Average = 3 years
Range = 0–3 years

ULLS-A

(UTE 1)
Average = 4.2 years
Range = 0–16 years

(UTE 2)
Average = 3.4 years
Range = 0–8 years

Computer skill level ratings (e.g., proficiency, experience, and comfort):

Mean rating for UTE 1 computer skill level was 1.8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>1.8</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Skill Level</td>
<td>Moderate Skill Level</td>
<td>Low Skill Level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean rating for UTE 2 computer skill level was 2.25.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2.2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Skill Level</td>
<td>Moderate Skill Level</td>
<td>Low Skill Level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 CAFRS Software User Interface Assessment

The CAFRS software user interface questionnaire was the primary data collection tool used in this assessment. Soldiers were asked specific questions about how logical and consistent a task was to complete, the number of steps involved in completing a task, and how quickly they were able to perform a task. Soldiers were also asked to rate the CAFRS software based on a number of variables including how easy it was to navigate, the speed at which the software performed tasks, and effectiveness of the Help menu. Table 1 is a summary of the test participant responses to the questionnaire.
Table 1  CAFRS software user interface assessment results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change to Find Person</th>
<th>Changes to Person Editor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Were task steps logical and consistent?</strong></td>
<td><strong>Were task steps logical and consistent?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 100% No 0% N/A 0% (UTE 1)</td>
<td>Yes 100% No 0% N/A 0% (UTE 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 100% No 0% N/A 0% (UTE 2)</td>
<td>Yes 87.5% No 12.5% N/A 0% (UTE 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Did task require an excessive number of steps?</strong></td>
<td><strong>Did task require an excessive number of steps?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 0% No 100% N/A 0% (UTE 1)</td>
<td>Yes 100% No 0% N/A 0% (UTE 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 0% No 100% N/A 0% (UTE 2)</td>
<td>Yes 0% No 87.5% N/A 12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Were you able to quickly perform this task?</strong></td>
<td><strong>Were you able to quickly perform this task?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 100% No 0% N/A 0% (UTE 1)</td>
<td>Yes 100% No 0% N/A 0% (UTE 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 100% No 0% N/A 0% (UTE 2)</td>
<td>Yes 75% No 12.5% N/A 12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manage Readiness Level (RL) Progression</th>
<th>Manage Aircrew Training Program (ATP) Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Were task steps logical and consistent?</strong></td>
<td><strong>Were task steps logical and consistent?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 83.3% No 16.7% N/A 0% (UTE 1)</td>
<td>Yes 100% No 0% N/A 0% (UTE 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 87.5% No 0% N/A 12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
<td>Yes 75% No 12.5% N/A 12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Did task require an excessive number of steps?</strong></td>
<td><strong>Did task require an excessive number of steps?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 16.7% No 83.3% N/A 0% (UTE 1)</td>
<td>Yes 16.7% No 83.3% N/A 0% (UTE 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 0% No 87.5% N/A 12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
<td>Yes 12.5% No 75% N/A 12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Were you able to quickly perform this task?</strong></td>
<td><strong>Were you able to quickly perform this task?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 100% No 0% N/A 0% (UTE 1)</td>
<td>Yes 83.3% No 16.7% N/A 0% (UTE 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 87.5% No 0% N/A 12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
<td>Yes 75% No 12.5% N/A 12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manage Crewmember Training Record</td>
<td>Manage Waivers and Extensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Were task steps logical and consistent?</strong></td>
<td><strong>Were task steps logical and consistent?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes  100% No  0% N/A  0% (UTE 1)</td>
<td>Yes  100% No  0% N/A  0% (UTE 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes  87.5% No  0% N/A  12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
<td>Yes  87.5% No  0% N/A  12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Did task require an excessive number of steps?</strong></td>
<td><strong>Did task require an excessive number of steps?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes  33.3% No  66.7% N/A  0% (UTE 1)</td>
<td>Yes  0% No 100% N/A  0% (UTE 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes  0% No  87.5% N/A  12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
<td>Yes  0% No 87.5% N/A  12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Were you able to quickly perform this task?</strong></td>
<td><strong>Were you able to quickly perform this task?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes  66.7% No  33.3% N/A  0% (UTE 1)</td>
<td>Yes  100% No  0% N/A  0% (UTE 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes  75% No  12.5% N/A  12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
<td>Yes  87.5% No  0% N/A  12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manage Commanders’s Task List</td>
<td>Changes to Record Status Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Were task steps logical and consistent?</strong></td>
<td><strong>Were task steps logical and consistent?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes  100% No  0% N/A  0% (UTE 1)</td>
<td>Yes  33.3% No  0% N/A  66.7% (UTE 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes  87.5% No  0% N/A  12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
<td>Yes  87.5% No  0% N/A  12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Did task require an excessive number of steps?</strong></td>
<td><strong>Did task require an excessive number of steps?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes  16.7% No  83.3% N/A  0% (UTE 1)</td>
<td>Yes  0% No 50% N/A  50% (UTE 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes  12.5% No  75% N/A  12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
<td>Yes  0% No 87.5% N/A  12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Were you able to quickly perform this task?</strong></td>
<td><strong>Were you able to quickly perform this task?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes  83.3% No  16.7% N/A  0% (UTE 1)</td>
<td>Yes  50% No  0% N/A  50% (UTE 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes  75% No  12.5% N/A  12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
<td>Yes  87.5% No  0% N/A  12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UTE 1 participant comments:

- Standard remarks for 7122s were not arranged in an order that was logical to me. Numerous steps in creating CTL templates; many tasks I was not familiar with due to not having experience managing individual aviation training folders (IATFs).
- Most windows required scrolling.
- CTL template: Name should be at top. I had to scroll to find it.
- Had some difficulty selecting aircraft for the CM to progress.
• For a new user of this program, the steps and navigation for the crew member training record were somewhat overwhelming.

• Manage readiness level (RL) Progression: Should operate through 7122 entry.

UTE 2 comments:

• 7120-1: Task iteration is too complicated.

• Crew member task list date entry was a little tricky. Entering the date would not allow the day to be entered until you first adjusted the year. The date entry went from right to left instead of how one normally reads (left to right).

Table 2  CAFRS software user interface assessment results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. Did you experience any problems with the following user-interface characteristics of CAFRS 4.0?</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Was the wording (terms, abbreviations, etc) presented on the screens simple and easy to understand?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 100%  No 0% (UTE 1)  Yes 100%  No 0% (UTE 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were there any tabs or buttons that were not logically named?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 16.7%  No 83.3% (UTE 1)  Yes 0%  No 100% (UTE 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was the information presented on the menu screens efficiently arranged so you did not have to spend a lot of time searching for it?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 66.7%  No 33.3% (UTE 1)  Yes 100%  No 0% (UTE 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was the order in which you were required to enter data on the menu screens intuitive and logical?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 66.7%  No 16.7%  N/A 16.7% (UTE 1)  Yes 100%  No 0% (UTE 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Could you easily reverse an input you made in CAFRS 4.0 (e.g., delete an entry) when you made an error?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 50%  No 50% (UTE 1)  Yes 87.5%  No 12.5% (UTE 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did error messages provide enough information to understand the problem?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 100%  No 0% (UTE 1)  Yes 100%  No 0% (UTE 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UTE 1 participant comments:

- CTL template: Only backspace could be used to delete number of iterations.
- Use to Person Editor in 3.0.3.
- Once you enter a comment on the 7120 and save it, you can’t go back and modify or remove it.
- Trying to organize an out of date entry before the CM initials. Any changes or edits to the events will set it out of order and to the bottom of the page.
- Entering a 7122 event was not consistent with a paper 7122.

UTE 2 comments:

- Still needs permissions to work.
- Event entries regarding changes from one RL status to another status is confusing. I talked to Paul Williams about changing event entries to simplify. Ex: “Compl RL3 ref tng – Designated RL2 D/N, RL3 Night Vision Goggles (NVG)”.
- Once entry is made on 7122 initial and signed and remark PART759 annotated and the entry was made by mistake, those remarks cannot be deleted on the 759.

3. Overall, how quickly were you able to navigate through the CAFRS 4.0 screens to perform tasks? (Circle one.)

Mean UTE 1 rating was 2.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Quickly</th>
<th>Somewhat Quickly</th>
<th>Borderline</th>
<th>Somewhat Slowly</th>
<th>Very Slowly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean UTE 2 rating was 1.8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Quickly</th>
<th>Somewhat Quickly</th>
<th>Borderline</th>
<th>Somewhat Slowly</th>
<th>Very Slowly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15
4. Rate the effectiveness of the CAFRS 4.0 “Help” for troubleshooting problems. (Circle one.)

Mean UTE 1 rating was 1.8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very Effective</td>
<td>Somewhat Effective</td>
<td>Borderline</td>
<td>Somewhat Ineffective</td>
<td>Very Ineffective</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean UTE 2 rating was 2.0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very Effective</td>
<td>Somewhat Effective</td>
<td>Borderline</td>
<td>Somewhat Ineffective</td>
<td>Very Ineffective</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Rate how quickly (or slowly) CAFRS 4.0 operated on the computer. (Circle one)

Mean UTE 1 rating was 2.7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very Quickly</td>
<td>Somewhat Quickly</td>
<td>Borderline</td>
<td>Somewhat Slowly</td>
<td>Very Slowly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean UTE 2 rating was 1.8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very Quickly</td>
<td>Somewhat Quickly</td>
<td>Borderline</td>
<td>Somewhat Slowly</td>
<td>Very Slowly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you rated the operating speed as “Somewhat Slowly” or “Very Slowly”, describe how this affected your performance:

UTE 1 participant comments:

- Waiting for pages to load.
- I think it was mostly due to an older computer.
UTE 2 participant comments:

- Speed was attributed to processing power of computer.

6. Overall, were you faster or slower when using CAFRS v4.0 versus using CAFRS v3.0.3? (Circle one)

Mean UTE 1 rating was 3.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Much Faster</td>
<td>Somewhat Faster</td>
<td>About the Same</td>
<td>Somewhat Slower</td>
<td>Much Slower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With CAFRS 4.0</td>
<td>With CAFRS 4.0</td>
<td>With CAFRS 4.0</td>
<td>With CAFRS 4.0</td>
<td>With CAFRS 4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean rating was 2.7 (UTE 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Much Faster</td>
<td>Somewhat Faster</td>
<td>About the Same</td>
<td>Somewhat Slower</td>
<td>Much Slower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With CAFRS 4.0</td>
<td>With CAFRS 4.0</td>
<td>With CAFRS 4.0</td>
<td>With CAFRS 4.0</td>
<td>With CAFRS 4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you were “Somewhat Slower” or “Much Slower” with CAFRS v4.0, explain why:

UTE 1 participant comments:

- Person Editor screen was totally rearranged and looks nothing like version 3.0.3.

UTE 2 participant comments:

- Person editor has been changed completely and also tool bars have been changed.
- First time using CAFRS, software was intuitive.

Describe any other positive or negative characteristics of CAFRS v4.0 that impacted your performance:

UTE 1 participant comments:

- Not having ability to change Part III on Person Editor could affect number of “errors” on closeout.
- Need UAS aircrew training manual tasks

UTE 2 participant comments:

- Would like to see more validation on 7122.
• CAFRS was very user friendly and will enhance our IATF capability in my unit.
• Fairly user friendly considering I have no experience with CAFRS.
• The system is very user friendly and easy to navigate. I am very limited in my experience. What I did notice was the lack of 2397-U form. Did not affect my performance, but it is a necessary form for incidents in the UAS community.
• Blocking Part III and aircraft qualification dates from being modified through Person Editor causes numerous errors on the 759.

During the UTEs, test participants completed a series of tasks and subtasks. For each task and subtask the evaluation software recorded the steps taken by the test participant and compared that with the appropriate preloaded steps. All steps taken in appropriate sequence to complete that specific task were recorded as a pass. Each occurrence of a diversion from the appropriate steps was recorded as a fail. The time required to complete the task was documented by the test participants. Table 3 shows each task, the number of passes and fails, and the average time (in minutes) it took to accomplish each task.

UTE 1 test participants had an 80% pass rate and took an average of 34 min to complete tasks (Table 3). UTE 2 test participants had a 94% pass rate and took an average of 32 min to complete tasks (Table 4). There was variability between test participants in how long it took them to complete the tasks. The variability was mostly because of the different levels of experience and proficiency with CAFRS among participants. The tasks that were performed during UTE 1 and UTE 2 were not identical. However, some of the increase in task pass rates and slight reduction in task times for UTE 2 can be attributed to software improvements that were made after UTE 1.
Table 3  UTE 1 task pass/fail and completion times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Pass</th>
<th>Fail</th>
<th>Avg. Time (min)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Getting started: register common access card (CAC)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting started: set up and manage working sets</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting started: find person</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01 Create IATF for aviator</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 Create IATF for self</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 Create CTL template</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01A Create DA7122 event</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01B.1 Create DA 7120</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01B.2 Create DA 7120</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01B.3 Create DA 7120</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01B.4 Create DA 7120</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01C RL progression</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01D Create and apply a unit waiver</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01E Create and apply an individual waiver</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01F Create and apply a unit extension</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01G Create and apply an individual extension</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>. . .</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pass/failure percentage for tasks  

80%  20%
Table 4  UTE 2 task pass/fail and completion times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Pass</th>
<th>Fail</th>
<th>Avg. Time (min)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Getting started: register CAC</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting started: set up and manage working sets</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting started: find person</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01A Create DA7122 event</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01B.1_Create a DA 7120-R basic</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01B.2_Create a DA 7120-R template</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01B.3 Create DA 7120-1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01B.4 Create DA 7120-3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01C RL progression and timeline</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02A Create and apply a unit waiver</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02B Create and apply an individual waiver</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02C Create and apply a unit extension</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02D Create and apply an individual extension</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03_Create a CTL Template</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04_Search_IATF</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05_Ad Hoc</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>333</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pass/failure percentage for tasks  

94%  6%
If test participants encountered problems with the software, an issue trouble report was created. The list of UTE 1 issues is in the Appendix. Several of the issues were addressed and resolved between UTE 1 and UTE 2 to improve the user interface and software functionality.

### 4. Conclusions

#### 4.1 CAFRS v4.0 Software Interface

The participants reported they were able to effectively use the CAFRS v4.0 software during UTE 1 and UTE 2. They indicated that they were able to quickly complete most tasks, navigate through the menu screens fairly quickly, task steps were logical and consistent, wording on the menu screens was easy to understand, error messages were understandable, and they were able to complete tasks about as quickly with v4.0 as with v3.0.3. They identified usability issues with some of the design characteristics of v4.0 (e.g., data entry for 7122 tasks). All participants reported that they experienced problems when using Person Editor during UTE 1. None of the participants reported problems using the Person Editor during UTE 2. Fifty percent of participants reported that it was easy to reverse an input when they made an error during UTE 1. Based (partly) on the changes made after UTE 1, 87.5% of participants reported that it was easy to reverse an input when they made an error during UTE 2.

#### 4.2 Task Completion Rates and Tasks Times

Participants reported an 80% pass rate when performing tasks with the CAFRS v4.0 software during UTE 1. Data collected during UTE 1 resulted in improvements to the CAFRS v4.0 software based on user input during the assessment. Based (partly) on the improvements made after UTE 1, users reported a 94% pass rate when performing tasks during UTE 2. Overall task time completion was 34 min for UTE 1 and 32 min for UTE 2. There were some differences in the tasks performed during UTE 1 versus UTE 2.

In summary, the participants were able to effectively maintain aviation flight records and quickly complete most tasks during UTE 1 and UTE 2. Software interface and functionality issues were reported by the participants and addressed by the software developers during (and after) UTE 1 and are being addressed after UTE 2. Work should continue to address the issues until they are resolved. Future software releases should be assessed to ensure that an intuitive software interface is provided to allow users to perform flight records management tasks quickly and accurately. Consideration should be given to developing a mobile web-based version of CAFRS (that synchronizes with ULLS-A) to expedite data entry and reduce manpower requirements for records management.
5. References

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Rec. Priority</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9720</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 54) - RL Progression -- Select N/A for NVG get error, but if you leave it blank no error.</td>
<td>Closed (Cannot Reproduce)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9711</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 16) - 7122 Clear Out of Sequence entries</td>
<td>Closed (Duplicate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9729</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR34): CTL Template: Enter Eval iterations not intuitive.</td>
<td>Closed (Duplicate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9730</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 18) - W&amp;E: Redundant (Hours) in comment instead of entering actual hours not useful.</td>
<td>Closed (Duplicate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9753</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 13) - DA 7120-R: After entering a 12 month annual and first and second period date ranges and hours, prorate of first and second period hours are prorated with no logic</td>
<td>Closed (Duplicate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9768</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 31) -- DA 7120-R: Date Time picker for Part 3 does not completely calculate and display from crew member's ATP periods</td>
<td>Closed (Duplicate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9772</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 25) -- DA 7120-R: DA 7120 Creation Part IV Commander, can there be a dropdown or right click for personnel in commander roles?</td>
<td>Closed (Duplicate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9778</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 86) -- DA 7120-R: Annual and Semi-Annual Periods should auto fill from birth month entry in part 1.</td>
<td>Closed (Duplicate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9783</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 65) -- DA 7120-R: Multiple Additional Aircraft, last entered is what fills in 759 Part III Block 15.</td>
<td>Closed (Duplicate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9784</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 83) -- DA 7120-1: Editing task iterations after CM and CDR sign should require re-signing (CDR initial).</td>
<td>Closed (Duplicate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9785</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 24) -- DA 7122+7120: Cannot complete new 7120 for addition aircraft until CM initials the 7122 event.</td>
<td>Closed (Duplicate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9700</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR01)- Nav Tree unable to redock when PC is using AERO Windows Theme.</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9701</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR07) - W&amp;E: Requesting NVG extension in noted step causes attached error. Event guid doesn't exist.</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9703</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR08) - Person Editor: Change CAFRS user to Aviation Personnel does not create a viable record.</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9707</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1:(TR 32) - CTL Template: 3000 Series Task creation, save of task is not intuitive and no confirmation</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9709</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 101) - 7122 Add an event type for Non Medical Suspension</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9710</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR11) - 7122 Customize button prompts to save</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9714</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 34) - CTL Template -- Entering Iterations with E for Eval is not intuitive.</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9717</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR44) - 7122 show complete list of ACFT series for Commander's Eval - Record Review entry</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9718</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR36) - CTL Template Export -- move export button to top of window.</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>Issue Description</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9719</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 53) - W&amp;E; When entering an individual extension, need to be able to enter specific details in remark.</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9721</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR47) - 7122 CDR Signature required but not allowed</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9722</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 99) -- DA 7120: After adding remarks to saved 7120, &quot;Exception During Save' error is thrown upon re-saving.</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9724</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 37) -- DA 7120-3: Remarks on -3 are all run together and unreadable on the 7120 DA FORM, need to be separate lines.</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9725</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR73) - CTL Template -- Could not delete or change Iterations and numbers under 1000 series task on the CTL Template.</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9726</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR96) - W&amp;E - Unit Waiver at battalion level doesn't include sub units.</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9732</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1 (TR58) - Permissions: CAFRS needs the ability to apply delegation authority for the ATP commander. For signing the DA From 7120 and the DA From 7122</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9736</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 76) - DA 7120-R: Remarks disappear after saved/closed and reopened on the DA FORM 7120-R. This happens in Part II Authorized flight Duties.</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9737</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR Multiple) - 7122 Add event for categories</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9741</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR22) - 7122 Add simulators to Aircraft dropdown</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9745</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR30) - 7122 Crew member can initial without realizing there is a related remark on page 2</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9749</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 05) - DA 7120: Evals listed in the task dropdown on the 7120 are not bold/caps like in the template. Need them to be at least CAPS</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9750</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR29) - 7122 Changing Event type that contains a default remark prompts to change the default remark</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9751</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR69) - 7122 Changing the Event type clears the Aircraft dropdown</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9756</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR82) - 7122 Custom Event change 'Evaluation Requirement' to a dropdown selection</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9757</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 19) - DA 7120: Want to type in Remarks fields instead of right click edit.</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9758</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR68) - 7122 Need capability to manually enter historic data</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9761</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 26) -- DA 7120: While creating, save 7120, form blanks. We want to review data, should not clear form.</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9763</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR63) - 7122 Extension restricts duties</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9765</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 72) - 7122 Red Date on Printed DA Form</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9767</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR80) - 7122 Need list of uninitialed events</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9771</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 79) -- DA 7120: Right Click on a remark should select the current row.</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9775</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 51) - DA 7120-R: Completion Dates for Part 4 of 7120-R should be blank.</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9780</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 92) -- DA 7120-R: The drop down lists for PART IV Other Evals and PART III Other Flying Hour requirements should pull from DB not from template.</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9781</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 81) -- DA 7120-R: There is no indication similar aircraft type information exists until you right click on the aircraft field.</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9793</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: 7122: GUI opens offset to Start Window and should not.</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9798</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: W&amp;E: Add all extensions and waivers to the 759 part 4</td>
<td>Closed (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9706</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR17) - CTL Template 3000 Series Task label correction.</td>
<td>Closed (OBE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9712</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 33) - CTL Template: need confirmation of save of template</td>
<td>Closed (OBE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9752</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR97) - 7122 Add event text for RL Progression - &quot;must progress to next RL by &lt;90 day date from now&gt;&quot;</td>
<td>Closed (OBE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9704</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR14) - UI/Description standardization</td>
<td>Closed (WAD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9713</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 39) - Creating a DA 4186 will trigger a 7122 Event entry</td>
<td>Closed (WAD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9716</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 35) - New GUI's (7120, CTL Template, and 7122) do not open all on visible window.</td>
<td>Closed (WAD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9733</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1 (TR 74) - MWS: Remove a person from working set is not intuitive at all.</td>
<td>Closed (WAD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9738</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR03) - 7122 DA Form Page 2 need to add crew member information</td>
<td>Closed (WAD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9744</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR102) - CAC registration not allowed for Foreign Military (FMs) in TRADOC</td>
<td>Closed (WAD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9754</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 94) - 7122 Remove duplicate Evals from the standard eval list</td>
<td>Closed (WAD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9762</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 62) - Add CM initial menu option like the CDR sign</td>
<td>Closed (WAD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9764</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 67) - 7122 change tab order when entering an event</td>
<td>Closed (WAD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9777</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 59) - DA 7120: Do not need for long remarks to be pushed from the 7120-R and 7120-1 to the 7120-3.</td>
<td>Closed (WAD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9782</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 84) -- DA 7120-R: Don't allow selection of impossible combinations (eg: PI/PC/MP) on the station selections.</td>
<td>Closed (WAD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9734</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1 (TR 100) DecertifiedCloseout_WhatCanBeChangedPosted</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Doss, Katherine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9699</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 02) - 7122 Crew member initial events before they take affect</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9702</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 09) - 7122 Delayed Commander Signature</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9715</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR43) - 7122 Remark for event type 'Assignment' should auto-populate data within the remark text from Person Editor</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9728</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 55) - DA 7120-1: CAFRS needs to disable tasks and/or text fields that do not apply to prevent data from being entered in the wrong text field.</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9739</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR06) - 7122 when adding/editing multiple events, clicking saving should cause a simultaneous update to events listed on the Events tabs</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9740</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR22) - 7122 when adding events should automatically select the crew member's primary aircraft</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9742</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR23) - 7122 Retain Duty Position when creating multiple events</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9743</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR28) - 7122 Add a Duty Position dropdown to select from for person recording the event.</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9746</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR42) - 7122 Need a method for correcting qualification date information using 7122 entries</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9747</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 04) - DA 7120-R: FAC Level can be changed through personnel editor aviation personnel data tab even after the CDR has signed DA Form 7120</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9748</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR49) - 7120 need ability to assign Additional aircraft when individual has more then one additional aircraft</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9755</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR89) - 7122 Add the Customize Event button to Add events window</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9760</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 21) -- DA 7120: Provide the capability for the user to maximize all windows (e.g., 7120) to allow data entry/view data/edit data without having to scroll through the window to view/edit/add data.</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9766</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 70) -- DA 7120: Delete Key will not change number of iterations. Only Backspace key works. Should be able to use both keys.</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9773</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 38) -- DA 7120-R: Duties listed in the 7120-R PART 2 must have a remark and do not</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9774</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 41) - DA 7120: Changed several fields on a 7120 that is already signed by the commander (ie. FAC level, Additional and Primary aircraft).</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9776</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 50) - DA 7120-R: On Part IV and V Eval periods should default to last period entered, allow us to copy date down for all evals.</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9779</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 66) -- DA 7120-1: IPs know task names but not numbers, can type ahead work on both numbers and task name parts?</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9799</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: TRADOC Only: Allow corrections to quals prior to graduation.</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9829</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1 CTL Template: Add 7120-3 to template creation.</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9830</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: DA 7120-3 apply template should include new -3 template remarks</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Reece, Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9759</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 20) -- DA 7120-R: Default Annual Period to Birth month.</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Roberts, Phillip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9770</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 48) -- DA 7120: Remarks are not in numerical order.</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Roberts, Phillip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9735</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1 (TR 103) - Graduation, identify what event should be posted at grad to IERW 7122</td>
<td>Open, assigned to Williams, Paul F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9727</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 12) -- DA 7120-R: Prorate against a 12 month year is removing one month's hours and should not.</td>
<td>Released to Testing, assigned to Roberts, Brad S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9731</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (TR 57) - ATP Reports -- closeouts ready to sign</td>
<td>Released to Testing, assigned to Smith, Tracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9708</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>v4.0 UTE 1: (Multiple) - 7122 Corrections required for event data</td>
<td>Released to Testing, assigned to Wells-Whitted, Davina</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List of Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACFRS</td>
<td>Aviation Center Flight Records System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFRS</td>
<td>Automated Flight Records System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARNG</td>
<td>Army National Guard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARL</td>
<td>US Army Research Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATS</td>
<td>air traffic services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM</td>
<td>air traffic management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATS</td>
<td>air traffic services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>common access card</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAFRS</td>
<td>Centralized Aviation Flight Records System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCDB</td>
<td>CAFRS Central Database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDCP</td>
<td>CAFRS Data Collection Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTL</td>
<td>commander’s task list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>chief warrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>Department of the Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FORSCOM</td>
<td>US Army Forces Command</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRED</td>
<td>Human Research and Engineering Directorare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IATF</td>
<td>individual aviation training folder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCO</td>
<td>noncommissioned officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL</td>
<td>readiness level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFC</td>
<td>sergeant first class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGT</td>
<td>sergeant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSG</td>
<td>staff sergeant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRADOC</td>
<td>US Army Training and Doctrine Command</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS</td>
<td>unmanned aircraft system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULLS-A</td>
<td>Unit Level Logistics System-Aviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTE</td>
<td>User Test Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CTR DTIC OCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB RDRL CIO LL IMAL HRA MAIL &amp; RECORDS MGMT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GOVT PRINTG OFC A MALHOTRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ARMY RSCH LABORATORY – HRED RDRL HRM D T DAVIS BLDG 5400 RM C242 REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-7290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ARMY RSCH LABORATORY – HRED RDRL HRS EA DR V J RICE BLDG 4011 RM 217 1750 GREELEY RD FORT SAM HOUSTON TX 78234-5002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ARMY RSCH LABORATORY – HRED RDRL HRM DG J RUBINSTEIN BLDG 333 PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ARMY RSCH LABORATORY – HRED ARMC FIELD ELEMENT RDRL HRM CH C BURNS THIRD AVE BLDG 1467B RM 336 FORT KNOX KY 40121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ARMY RSCH LABORATORY – HRED AWC FIELD ELEMENT RDRL HRM DJ D DURBIN BLDG 4506 (DCD) RM 107 FORT RUCKER AL 36362-5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ARMY RSCH LABORATORY – HRED RDRL HRM CK J REINHART 10125 KINGMAN RD BLDG 317 FORT BELVOIR VA 22060-5828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ARMY RSCH LABORATORY – HRED RDRL HRM AY M BARNES 2520 HEALY AVE STE 1172 BLDG 51005 FORT HUACHUCA AZ 85613-7069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ARMY RSCH LABORATORY – HRED RDRL HRM AP D UNGVARSKY POPE HALL BLDG 470 BCBL 806 HARRISON DR FORT LEAVENWORTH KS 66027-2302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ARMY RSCH LABORATORY – HRED RDRL HRM AR J CHEN 12423 RESEARCH PKWY ORLANDO FL 32826-3276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ARMY RSCH LAB – HRED HUMAN SYSTEMS INTEGRATION ENGR TACOM FIELD ELEMENT RDRL HRM CU P MUNYA 6501 E 11 MILE RD MS 284 BLDG 200A WARREN MI 48397-5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ARMY RSCH LABORATORY – HRED FIRES CTR OF EXCELLENCE FIELD ELEMENT RDRL HRM AF C HERNANDEZ 3040 NW AUSTIN RD RM 221 FORT SILL OK 73503-9043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ARMY RSCH LABORATORY – HRED RDRL HRM AV W CULBERTSON 91012 STATION AVE FORT HOOD TX 76544-5073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ARMY RSCH LABORATORY – HRED RDRL HRM DE A MARES 1733 PLEASONTON RD BOX 3 FORT BLISS TX 79916-6816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ARMY RSCH LABORATORY – HRED SIMULATION &amp; TRAINING TECHNOLOGY CENTER RDRL HRT COL G LAASE RDRL HRT I MARTINEZ RDRL HRT R SOTTILARE RDRL HRT B N FINKELSTEIN RDRL HRT G A RODRIGUEZ RDRL HRT J HART RDRL HRT M C METEVIER RDRL HRT S B PETTIT 12423 RESEARCH PARKWAY ORLANDO FL 32826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ARMY RSCH LABORATORY – HRED HQ USASOC RDRL HRM CN R SPENCER BLDG E2929 DESERT STORM DRIVE FORT BRAGG NC 28310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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