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**Detection of Brain Reorganization in Pediatric Multiple Sclerosis Using Functional MRI**

**12. ABSTRACT**

Significant findings: 1) detection of brain organization in a cohort of 12 pediatric onset multiple sclerosis patients (POMS); 2) development of refined methods for reliable mapping of brain function using passive functional MRI (fMRI) techniques in pediatric study participants.

**Item 1:** We performed a comparative study of POMS patients against healthy controls. We found that 7 patients presenting with mild to severe deficits in the clinic also demonstrated abnormal brain function as measured by our fMRI methods when compared to healthy controls. These preliminary findings support our project’s hypothesis that patients who suffer from impairment will also demonstrate abnormal fMRI patterns.

**Item 2:** In meeting the project’s goal of reliable fMRI mapping in very young patients, we developed refined passive methods suitable for fMRI mapping in very young subjects. We tested these novel methods in a cohort of 20 healthy controls and 15 pediatric epilepsy patients. We showed our passive fMRI methods will result in reliable fMRI mappings of language when compared to the clinical gold-standards. Our findings are in press as a peer-reviewed article in the journal of Epilepsy Research, titled: “Passive fMRI mapping of language function for pediatric epilepsy surgical planning: Validation using Wada, ECS, and FMAER.”
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1. **INTRODUCTION:**

The goal of this research is to assess the capability of the pediatric brain to respond to brain injury suffered during MS disease progression. In this study we focus on the characterization of adaptive functional reorganization as a way to explain how some early-stage MS patients are able to perform well in clinical cognitive testing despite the accumulation of underlying brain injury; and furthermore, why later-stage patients often suffer a sharp decline in cognitive performance. We aim to examine the phenomena of adaptive reorganization of vital abilities, as a potential biological mechanism supporting the favorable clinical courses seen in some MS patients compared to others. By quantifying the strategies used to redistribute primary motor, visual, and language function, we aim to develop new techniques for monitoring the impact of disease progression on these functional systems in individual patients. As tools to accomplish this, we apply comparative assessments of fMRI mappings of language, memory, and motor function, and performance on clinical neurocognitive examinations. Our research represents an innovative application of fMRI functional mapping in the evaluation of MS in young patients. The prospective study aims to assess fMRI activation patterns in 30 POMS patients and 40 healthy control volunteers as a method for understanding the correlations between abnormal functional activation by fMRI and performance indicators measured by standard neuropsychological tests.

2. **KEYWORDS:** Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI); functional MRI (fMRI); multiple sclerosis (MS); pediatric onset MS (POMS); auxiliary activation ratio (AAR); lateralization index (LI)

3. **ACCOMPLISHMENTS:**

   a. **What were the major goals of the project?**

      i. **Task 1.** Acquisition and analysis of control data from healthy volunteers, including the formation of normative consensus fMRI activation maps for subsequent comparison against POMS patient’s activation maps (months 1-12):

         a) **Subtask 1a.** Initiate regulatory review and approval process to include local Institutional Review Board and Department of Defense Human Research Protection Office for the use of human subjects (months 1-3).

         b) **Subtask 1b.** Recruit 40 healthy volunteers from the local community at a target rate of 13 volunteers per quarter period; acquire fMRI data for language, memory, and visual-motor functions (months 3-12).

         c) **Subtask 1c.** Acquire neuropsychological testing of the 40 healthy volunteers (months 3-12).

         d) **Subtask 1d.** Determine normative values for fMRI lateralization based on the average laterality index from the 40 healthy volunteers; determine mean lateralization index (LI) for language, memory, and visual-motor tasks (months 8-12).
e) Subtask 1e. Perform statistical analysis of normative fMRI maps from the 40 healthy volunteers in order to form consensus fMRI activation maps for language, memory, and visual-motor tasks (months 8-12).

f) Subtask 1f. Prepare publication to disseminate our findings from the evaluation of normative fMRI activation patterns in healthy pediatric volunteers for language, memory, and visual-motor processing.

ii. Task 2. Acquisition and comparison of fMRI activation patterns in 30 POMS patients against normative patterns recorded in healthy controls (months 3-21):

a) Subtask 2a. Recruitment of 30 POMS patients at a target rate of 5 patients per quarter period; acquire fMRI data for language, memory, and visual-motor functions (months 3-21).

b) Subtask 2b. Acquire neuropsychological testing of the 30 POMS patients (months 3-21).

c) Subtask 2c. Determine Auxiliary Activation Ratios (AAR) for each of the 30 POMS patients based on the comparison against normative consensus fMRI activation maps for language, memory, and visual-motor functions (months 3-21).

d) Subtask 2d. Compare individual LI values for each of the 30 POMS patients against the normative mean LI values determined from healthy volunteers (see Subtask 1e.), for language, memory, and visual-motor functions (months 3-21).

e) Subtask 2e. Prepare publication to disseminate our findings from the comparison of fMRI activation patterns in POMS patients against healthy controls for language, memory, and visual-motor processing (months 18-21).

iii. Task 3. Correlation of fMRI metrics in 30 POMS patients with neuropsychological performance scores (months 18-24):

a) Subtask 3a. Incorporate AAR and LI metrics from POMS patients into statistical regression model against neuropsychological scores for language, memory, and visual-motor functions; use leave-one-out strategy to assess the predictive strength of proposed fMRI metrics (months 18-24).

b) Subtask 3b. Prepare publication to disseminate our findings in regression model analysis of the proposed fMRI metrics for predicting performance decline in POMS (months 18-21).

iv. Task 4. Apply for and secure additional funding in order to pursue the long-term objectives of the proposed research (months 12-24):
a) Subtask 4a. Use the findings from the proposed cross-sectional study to develop compelling applications to The National Institutes of Health (NIH) by way of K and R01 funding mechanisms in order to carry out longitudinal study of MS patients in translational assessment of the proposed methodologies (months 12-24).

b. What was accomplished under these goals?

i. Task 1. By combining efforts from other studies by the PI which also use healthy controls, have been successful at maintaining the target schedule for recruitment and scanning of healthy controls. We have recruited and scanned 31 healthy volunteers (inclusive of healthy controls recruited and scanned for our other studies using the identical fMRI protocols). We have not yet performed consensus maps from the healthy data because we must first collect our POMS cohort and carefully age- and sex-match the healthy cohort to the POMS cohort. We are however able to recruit and scan healthy volunteers without much delay---POMS patients are slightly more challenging in that respect.

   a) Subtask 1a. We have successfully obtained and presently retain Institutional Review Board and Department of Defense Human Research Protection Office for the use of human subjects.

   b) Subtask 1b. We have successfully recruited and acquired fMRI data for language, memory, and visual-motor functions in 31 of the 40 healthy volunteer target. This cohort has served as a normative database from which we have successfully validated the proposed fMRI methods in pediatric epilepsy patients. This same control cohort will serve as the normative group for POMS patients.

   c) Subtask 1c. In the first 12 months of the study, we have relied upon normative scales from the healthy population in order to assess POMS neuropsychological scores and detect deficits. We therefore have not yet met a need to perform neuropsychological on the incomplete healthy control cohort.

   d) Subtask 1d. We determined normative values for fMRI lateralization of language on 25 healthy volunteers. See Fig. 1. These are significant findings which demonstrated that our proposed fMRI protocols for the mapping of language, results in the expected left-dominant pattern for normal language in the pediatric brain. We published our findings in this task in peer-reviewed journal, *Epilepsy Research* (please see Appendix A).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>subject</th>
<th>IFG</th>
<th>TPG</th>
<th>IFG</th>
<th>TPG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c01</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c02</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c03</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c04</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c05</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c06</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c07</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c08</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c09</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c10</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c11</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c12</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c13</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c14</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c15</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c16</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c17</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c18</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c19</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c20</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c21</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c22</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c23</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c24</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c25</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mean LI value: +0.38 +0.15 +0.24 +0.45
Fig. 1: Control cohort language lateralization by fMRI using activate and passive fMRI tasks. Listed are group mean lateralization indices (LI) in the inferior frontal (IFG) and temporoparietal (TPG) language regions. Positive LI indicate left (L) lateralization; (-) denotes omitted scans.

e) Subtask 1e. We have not yet performed the statistical analysis of normative fMRI maps from the 40 healthy volunteers because these must first be age- and sex-matched to the POMS cohort (which is presently incomplete).

f) Subtask 1f. The fMRI methods which we developed for the mapping of language function in our young POMS patients were applied in healthy controls as normative cohort to pediatric epilepsy patients who underwent invasive functional mapping by the gold-standards. This gave us the significant opportunity to validate our proposed fMRI language mapping protocols. In this validation study we showed strong congruency of our methods to those obtained invasively in pediatric patients in the clinic. This should be viewed as an extremely important step in the project as the fMRI protocols we proposed, have now been validated. These findings are currently in press in the journal of Epilepsy Research, where acknowledgment to our Federal funding under the W81XWH-13-1-0464 award was stated. Please see Appendix A.

ii. Task 2. We successfully recruited and consented 21 POMS patients of the target 30 total for the project; of these 21, we have acquired fMRI in 9; in addition, we have acquired previously an additional 15 POMS patient fMRI from the PI’s National Multiple Sclerosis Society (NMSS) Pilot study. While we are slightly behind in acquiring POMS patients fMRI scanning under the W81XWH-13-1-0464 award project (9 instead of the planned 15 by the 1st year), we nonetheless have sufficient data to demonstrate very compelling findings. We have shown that of a cohort of 15 POMS patients, 7 patients who demonstrated mild to severe impairments also demonstrated abnormal fMRI patterns when compared to healthy controls. We presented these findings in an invited talk to the National Multiple Sclerosis Society (NMSS 2013) and in a poster presentation at Harvard Medical School’s Translational Neuroscience Center Inaugural Symposium 2014. Please see Appendix B.

a) Subtask 2a. We have presently recruited 21 POMS, 9 of these have fMRI scans acquired; with our efforts from our NMSS funded Pilot study we have a total of 15 POMS patients with fMRI. We therefor are on schedule with respect to POMS cohort recruitment an only slightly behind schedule in terms of fMRI scanning.
b) Subtask 2b. Of our 21 POMS cohort recruited, we have neuropsychological testing of 18 POMS patients. We are therefore on schedule for neuropsychological testing of POMS patients.

c) Subtask 2c. We have not yet calculated Auxiliary Activation Ratios (AAR) for each of the POMS patients. This requires the consensus control map and therefore we first need a completed dataset of 30 POMS patients. We are otherwise on schedule for this subtask.

d) Subtask 2d. We have compared individual functional lateralization index (LI) values for each of the 12 POMS patients against the normative mean LI values determined from healthy volunteers (see Subtask 1e.), for language and motor function. This analysis demonstrated that POMS patients who suffer from mild to severe language or motor impairments also show abnormal fMRI activation patterns. This is a significant finding which directly supports the hypothesis behind our project. These compelling findings were presented at an invited talk and in a poster presentation. See Appendix B and C.

e) Subtask 2e. Our efforts and research activities made possible under the W81XWH-13-1-0464 project has resulted in dissemination of high-impact publications, an invited talk, and a poster presentation to the neuroscientific community:

1. Peer-reviewed journal article to Epilepsy Research titled:
   “Passive fMRI mapping of language function for pediatric epilepsy surgery: validation using Wada, ECS, and FMAER”

2. Invited talk to NMSS 2013 titled: “Detection of Brain Reorganization in Pediatric Multiple Sclerosis Using Functional MRI”

3. Poster presentation to Translational Research Symposium 2014 at Harvard Medical School, titled: “Detection of Brain Reorganization in Pediatric Multiple Sclerosis Using Functional MRI”

Please see Appendix A-C for reproductions of these publications.

iii. Task 3. We have not yet performed correlation of fMRI metrics in POMS patients with neuropsychological performance scores. We first need to form the healthy control consensus map, and for that task, we first need to have a complete POMS cohort of 30 patients:

   a) Subtask 3a. Nothing to report.

   b) Subtask 3b. Nothing to report.

iv. Task 4. In the first year of the funding period, we have acquired compelling preliminary data which have allowed us to submit 3 major
research proposals for additional funding in support of the long-term research objectives supported under W81XWH-13-1-0464. These proposals described as: 2 grant proposals to the NMSS and one R01 grant proposal to The National Institutes of Health (NIH)

a) Subtask 4a. We have submitted the following grant proposals


c. What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?

i. The project provided opportunities for training and professional development of a research assistant, Jack Hussey. This research assistant was trained to acquire and interpret fMRI data from healthy controls and patients with either multiple sclerosis or epilepsy. Additionally, he was invited to be a coauthor on a poster and to present relevant findings from the project at an institutional conference, the Translational Neuroscience Conference.

d. How were the results disseminated to communities of interest?

i. Our major contributions to the dissemination of results to interested communities consist of publications and invited talks to neuroscientist and clinicians working in translational science and MS patient care. Transcripts of these publications are provided in the Appendix A-C. Briefly, they are described as:

a) The PI was invited to present our preliminary findings from the project to The National MS Society Northeast Region Research Symposium 2013 held in Boston, MA

b) We were invited to present our preliminary findings from the project to Harvard Medical School’s Translational Neuroscience Center Inaugural Symposium 2014, held in Boston, MA

c) We published a scientific article in the international, peer-reviewed journal of Epilepsy Research.

e. What do you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals?

i. The main objective of our project in the next reporting period is to collect fMRI data on the remaining POMS patients and additional healthy controls in order to meet the targeted, 30 POMS patient and 40 controls. Once our cohort
is complete, we will at that point be in a position to perform the proposed
group-level analysis comparing our novel measures of functional
reorganization against patient performance in neuropsychological
examinations in order to draw the conclusion from the study.

4. **IMPACT:**

   a. **What was the impact on the development of the principal discipline(s) of the project?**

      i. The publications disseminated by the project, described above, have made
         compelling impact in the field of functional brain imaging. We have shown
         that fMRI mapping can be done reliably even in very young pediatric subjects,
         including also those who suffer from brain disorders such as epilepsy and
         POMS.

   b. **What was the impact on other disciplines?**

      i. Nothing significant to report

   c. **What was the impact on technology transfer?**

      i. Our publication in the journal of *Epilepsy Research*, titled “Passive fMRI
         mapping of language function for pediatric epilepsy surgical planning:
         validation using Wada, ECS, and FMAER” outlines the most effective
         protocol for reliable fMRI in very young pediatric patients. This
         technology transfer stands to change current practices for the mapping of
         function in young children world-wide.

   d. **What was the impact on society beyond science and technology?**

      i. We have noted that many of the readers and attendees to our dissemination
         activities—journal articles, abstracts, and presentations—have friends or
         family who suffer from pediatric brain disorders; these members of society
         have expressed their appreciation, awareness, and support of research efforts
         aimed at improving the health of patients. This impacts society positively.

5. **CHANGES/PROBLEMS:**

   a. **Changes in approach and reasons for change**

      i. Noting to report.

   b. **Actual or anticipated problems or delays and actions or plans to resolve them**

      i. Nothing to report

   c. **Changes that had a significant impact on expenditures**

      i. Nothing to report

   d. **Significant changes in use or care of human subjects, vertebrate animals,
      biohazards, and/or select agents**
i. Nothing to report

e. Significant changes in use or care of human subjects
   i. Nothing to report

f. Significant changes in use or care of vertebrate animals.
   i. Not applicable

g. Significant changes in use of biohazards and/or select agents
   i. Not applicable

6. PRODUCTS: List any products resulting from the project during the reporting period. If there is nothing to report under a particular item, state "Nothing to Report."

   a. Publications, conference papers, and presentations
      Report only the major publication(s) resulting from the work under this award.


      ii. Other publications, conference papers, and presentations:

         a) Invited lecture to The National MS Society Northeast Region Research Symposium 2013. Talk titled “Functional magnetic resonance imaging of pediatric MS” was presented by PI, Ralph O. Suarez on September 21, 2013. Acknowledgement of federal support (YES)
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Passive fMRI mapping of language function for pediatric epilepsy surgical planning: validation using Wada, ECS, and FMAER
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1. INTRODUCTION

The mapping of language is important in pediatric patients who will undergo resection surgery near cortical regions essential for language function. However, due to young age, pediatric patients are typically unable to comply with complicated functional mapping protocols—particularly invasive clinical gold-standards, as well as non-invasive imagining techniques more easily used with adult
populations. A trend presently in the field promotes resection surgery to cure or prevent disease progression in increasingly younger epilepsy patients. This creates an urgent demand for reliable functional language mapping methods in characteristically incompliant patients, those often ineligible to undergo the invasive functional mapping gold-standards. In this article, we address this need by introducing functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) methodologies for mapping of language in pediatric patients. Our approach evokes language activation without the need of overt compliance from the patient, and as such is designed for presurgical planning in pediatric patients.

The goal of brain resection is maximal removal of diseased tissue while at the same time minimizing potential damage to the eloquent brain systems. Resection surgery can raise the risk of damaging one or both language centers of the brain, resulting in permanent postsurgical language deficit (Duffau et al. 2009; Loiselle et al. 2012; Matsuda et al. 2012). Determining the lateralization and localization of the essential language centers in relation to the surgical site therefore provides surgeons with a valuable tool for evaluating risk of postoperative morbidity associated with language deficit (Abou-Khalil et al. 2007; Sharan et al. 2010). Among the initial considerations in this evaluation is establishing the language dominance of the patient. Language dominance is of particular importance in patients for whom the planned surgical site is in the left cerebral hemisphere, as the vast majority of the population is left hemisphere dominant for language – on the order of 90% for the general healthy population (Wada and Rasmussen, 1960; Binder et al. 1996; Knecht et al. 2000). A planned resection in the same cerebral hemisphere as the patient’s language dominance will consequently increase the risk of postsurgical language impairment. In such cases, a more precise localization of eloquent cortical regions is often determined by awake functional mapping in the operating room. The clinical gold-standards for determination of language lateralization and language localization are
the intracarotid sodium amobarbital procedure (Wada test) and direct electrocortical stimulation (ECS) mapping, respectively (Wada and Rasmussen, 1960; Ojemann et al. 1993). Functional MRI of language is a noninvasive alternative used with increasing frequency as a noninvasive alternative (Beers and Federico 2012; Garrett et al. 2012). Clinical fMRI language mapping has the potential for providing analogous language mapping measures to that of the clinical gold-standards noninvasively, and therefore is well suited for application in the youngest patient populations.

In previous work we demonstrated that an active fMRI language tasks, such as vocalized antonym-generation, produces lateralization and localization of cortical language processing highly congruent with gold-standards in adult epilepsy surgical patients (Suarez et al. 2009 and 2010). However, the mapping of language in pediatrics presents additional challenges. Children can be expected to have shorter attention spans to follow complicated cognitive tasks, and less tolerance for holding still during prolonged or complicated paradigms. The ideal pediatric fMRI paradigm therefore should be simple for the patient to perform and fast to acquire. In this study, we compare fMRI language mappings acquired using the active antonym-generation task we previously validated in adults (Suarez et al. 2008; Tie et al. 2009; Suarez et al. 2009), to a passive listening paradigm more ideally suited for application in pediatric clinical populations as it does not require any overt patient participation and can be acquired in approximately 7 minutes.

Given the clinically relevant information obtained from fMRI language maps in epilepsy surgical candidates, it is necessary to clearly define image-based indicators of map quality. We therefore defined a list of quality checks (QC) in order to evaluate the reliability of the language activation maps obtained for clinical applications. This novel approach defines a systematic protocol for
identifying, correcting, or if necessary, rejecting activation maps based on the following QC measures:

- **Patient motion** – quantitative assessment of head motion from translation and rotation during the full fMRI time series
- **Functional overlay to structural underlay alignment** – quantitative assessment of proper alignment between the functional map and the underlying anatomical reference frame (typically T1-weighted structural images)
- **Behavioral-specific primary motor activation** – confirmation of requisite primary motor cortex activation consistent with patient compliance for the behavioral task
- **Stimulus-specific primary sensory activation** – confirmation of requisite primary sensory cortex activation consistent with stimulus perception by the patient (primary auditory cortex or primary visual cortex, depending on the stimulus mode used)
- **Language-specific activation** – confirmation of activation in the putative language centers of the inferior frontal and temporoparietal cortices

These measures represent quantifiable features which while practical in nature are often overlooked or neglected in standard fMRI practices. In particular, the use of behavioral-specific and sensory-specific activations are often ignored, or actively eliminated by intricately designed fMRI subtraction schemes (Binder et al. 2008; Szenkovits et al. 2012; Stoppelman et al. 2013). However, we argue that if such non-language sensory-specific activation is not robustly apparent in the fMRI activation pattern, the diagnostic value of the language-specific activation pattern also should not be relied upon.
In this study, we initially perform a comparative study of active language fMRI (previously validated) against passive language fMRI (no yet validated); we recruited a healthy, right-handed control cohort of volunteers age-matched to a cohort of presurgical pediatric epilepsy patients. This control cohort was chosen as it can be expected to demonstrate typical language activation patterns—characterized by left-dominant activation of the putative language centers in the left inferior frontal and left temporoparietal regions, classically referred to as Broca’s and Wernicke’s areas, respectively (Broca et al. 1861; Wernicke et al. 1874). We test the hypothesis that our passive language fMRI task will activate both language centers of the brain similarly as the active task. We then validate the passive language fMRI findings in surgical patients by comparisons to the clinical gold-standards. Finally, we illustrate the use of our recommended methods in a challenging cohort of very young and sedated presurgical patients (mean age 7.5 years).

Pediatric temporal lobe epilepsy patients who undergo clinically-indicated Wada test and/or implantation of subdural electrodes with ECS mapping are a unique cohort with whom to validate language mappings done noninvasively by fMRI. The clinical gold-standard for lateralization of language is the Wada test, and ECS is the gold-standard for the localization of essential language regions. As such, results from these invasive modalities typically serve as the ground-truth for the evaluation of noninvasive fMRI results. In particular, validation of fMRI language lateralization is based on comparison against Wada testing in the same patient (Binder et al. 1996; Suarez et al. 2009), and validation of fMRI language localization is based on comparison against ECS functional mapping performed on the patient (Petrovich et al. 2007; Suarez et al. 2010). In addition, the frequency modulated auditory evoked response (FMAER) recorded from scalp electrodes has been previously shown to identify key aspects of language-level processing from auditory stimuli (Green...
at al. 1979 and 1980; Stefanatos at el, 1989). More recently, we have recorded FMAER from intracranial implanted electrode grids to localize cortical function associated with language-level auditory processing (Duffy et al. 2013).

The goal of this study is to extend clinical fMRI mapping of cognitive function for epilepsy surgical applications in adults (Branco et al. 2006; Suarez et al. 2008, 2009, and 2010) to more challenging populations, and to demonstrate feasibility of performing reliable fMRI language mapping in presurgical pediatric epilepsy patients. We perform a validation study using a cohort of 15 surgical patients who undergo both preoperative language fMRI and invasive functional language mapping in the clinic. Finally, we present passive language fMRI results from an additional cohort of 6 patients who were too young to receive invasive mapping (mean age: 7.5 years), two of whom were sedated during scanning.

2. METHODS

2.1. Study participants and consent:

We recruited 25 right-handed volunteers (hand dominance determined by The Ediburgh Handiness Inventory, Oldfield RC 1971) with no history of neurologic disorders to participate in noninvasive MR imaging and language mapping, 14 females and 11 males mean age of 14.2 years (SD: 3.5 years).
The total patient cohort consisted of two populations. One patient cohort consisted of 15 pediatric epilepsy surgical patients, 8 females and 7 males mean age of 14.6 years (SD: 3.0 years), all of whom underwent clinically-indicated invasive testing to map language function as part of their clinical evaluation at Boston Children’s Hospital (BCH). The second patient cohort consisted of younger patients, 4 female and 2 males, mean age of 7.5 years (SD: 1.8 years). All patients were diagnosed with pediatric onset epilepsy, and underwent comprehensive neuropsychological evaluation as part of the process for determining surgical candidacy: Verbal Comprehension Index (AVG: 92.9, SD: 25.1); Perceptual Reasoning Index (AVG: 97.8, SD: 13.8). Informed signed consent and institutional approval for medical records reviews was obtained in accordance with Institutional Review Board (IRB) regulations.

2.2. Imaging protocols:

All of the participants in our study, 46 in total (25 controls and 21 patients) attended MRI imaging to acquire high-resolution T1-weighted anatomical images and functional MRI imaging (3-Tasla Trio scanner, Siemens, Germany); 5 of the 15 patients also received computer tomography (CT) imaging (General Electric Medical Systems, USA) in order to localize indwelling cortical electrode strip and grid positions with respect to the surrounding brain anatomy.

We acquired high-resolution T1-weighted images using 3D Magnetization Prepared Rapid Acquisition Gradient Echo (MPRAGE). Typical imaging parameters consisted of: 24cm field-of-view (FOV), 1.0mm contiguous slice thickness, sagittal slices covering the entire head,
TR/TE=1410ms/2.27ms, matrix 256x256, TI=800 ms, and a flip angle=9-degrees; scan duration was approximately 7 min.

For 5 of the 15 patients, those who had intracranial electrodes implanted for seizure localization, CT scans of the head were acquired shortly after strip and grid implantation; CT imaging was carried out using a tube voltage of 125kV, and voxel size of $0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0.625\text{mm}^3$, with FOV=500mm.

We compared two language paradigms designed to be administered in less than 7 minutes, and which use simple behavioral tasks easy to perform by pediatric subjects—vocalized antonym-generation presented in visual mode and passive story narrative paradigm presented in auditory mode. Functional MRI imaging was implemented in blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) acquisitions using a 32-channel head coil configured to accommodate MRI-compatible visual and auditory presentation equipment (Resonance Technology, Inc., USA). Typical scanning parameters consisted of: 24cm FOV; image matrix 128x128, between 3.0 – 4.0 mm thick contiguous axial slices in order to cover the entire brain; TR/TE=2500ms/31ms; and a flip angle=90-degrees.

Active language fMRI: visually presented, vocalized, antonym-generation task presented in a rapid, event-related fMRI paradigm consisting of presentation of antonym cue words (e.g., UP, LEFT, OPEN, etc) presented as black text on white background for 2 sec each with a pseudo-random inter-stimulus interval of 8 sec on average. Between each cue word, a fixation point was presented at center of the monitor; participants were asked to articulate a word having the opposite meaning as each of the cue words presented (e.g., DOWN, RIGHT, CLOSE, etc) without moving their head.
Visual stimulus was presented using MRI-compatible video goggles (Resonance Technology, Inc., USA). Total scan duration: 5.5 min with a TR of 2.5 sec.

**Passive language fMRI:** auditory presentation of a children’s story narrative read by a female, monotone voice presented in a standard fMRI blocked design interleaving 40 sec intervals of passive listening to the story narrative contrasted with 40 sec intervals of silence. Participants were asked to listen to the sounds they hear holding their head still while fixated on the fixation point at center of the monitor. Auditory stimulus was presented using MRI-compatible headphones (Resonance Technology, Inc., USA). Total scan duration: 6.5 min with a TR of 2.5 sec.

**BOLD contrasts, fMRI thresholds, and overlays:** standard preprocessing of fMRI volumes was done including low band pass filtering, spatial smoothing with 8mm kernel, and rigid alignment of all fMRI BOLD time-series acquisition volumes (128 for antonym-generation task and 155 for passive story narrative task). Highly activated cortical regions were then identified in fMRI images by statistical parametric mapping (SPM5 Wellcome Laboratories, UK) based on the statistical correlation between BOLD signal fluctuations and the stimulus presentation paradigm used. We generated BOLD contrasts for antonym-generation compared to rest (silent viewing of fixation point), and for passive story narrative presentation compared to rest. Activation maxima in fMRI maps were identified after setting a threshold based on the uncorrected P statistic – all fMRI activation maps were thresholded at 0.05 or better, to avoid excessive false negatives in family-wise-error frequency rate; however, lateralization calculations were done independent of any threshold setting (Suarez et al, 2008 and 2009). Functional MRI activation maps were rigidly aligned,
resampled to high-resolution T1-weighted voxel dimensions, and overlaid onto T1-weighted anatomical volume.

2.3. FMRI QCs:

2.3.1. Participant Motion: quantitative assessment of patient motion was performed for each fMRI session acquired. This QC evaluates the amount of translations and rotations performed during standard rigid alignment of BOLD time-series volumes to the mean BOLD signal using rigid alignment. We define unacceptable amounts of x, y, z translations as those equal to or greater than twice the largest dimension of the fMRI image voxels, when occurring for more than one third of the full time series. That is, translations greater than 6.6 mm with respect to any Cartesian direction. Unacceptable degree of rotation was defined as rigid alignments greater than 1.0-degree with respect to any rotational axis. See Figure 1.

2.3.2. Functional overlay to structural underlay alignment: quantitative assessment of the alignment between fMRI functional overlay and anatomical T1-weighted underlay reference frames was performed on all acquisitions. This QC required confirmation of proper alignment between the mean BOLD volume and the anatomical T1-weighted underlay volume, see Figure 2. We used a standard rigid alignment algorithm based on mutual information in order to align the BOLD time series mean to the T1-weighted reference volume. We required accurate fMRI to anatomical volume
alignment precision to within the order of T1-weighted anatomical voxel size in each plane (0.5×0.5×0.625mm³). Any misalignment errors were by this means identified, and if necessary corrected. Often, alignment improvements were achieved by aligning the fMRI mean to skull-stripped versions of the T1-weighted anatomical reference using methods previously described (Grau et al. 2004; Weisenfeld and Warfield, 2009).

2.3.3. Behavioral-specific primary motor and sensory-specific primary visual activation: antonym-generation fMRI activation maps were inspected in order to confirm requisite sensory-specific and motor-specific activation. This QC confirms robust, focal activation of the primary visual centers bilaterally in the occipital lobes consistent with sensory processing of visually presented antonym cue words. Additionally, as the task required vocalized responses, we inspected all activation maps to confirm robust, bilateral, focal activation of primary motor cortex consistent with articulation of the response words (often this activation was accompanied by activation of supplementary motor cortex in the midline). The presence of this activation pattern confirms the participant perceived the visual stimulus presented and consistently vocalized the antonym response words; in this way confirming successful visual stimulus delivery and participant compliance for the active task. See Figure 3. Absence of these activation patterns classified the fMRI map as unreliable and therefore was excluded from further analysis.

2.3.4. Sensory-specific primary auditory activation: passive language fMRI activation maps were inspected in order to confirm sensory-specific activation from aurally presented story narrative. This QC required confirmation of robust, focal activation of the primary auditory cortex bilaterally in the
middle portion of the superior temporal gyrus consistent with proper auditory perception of the auditory stimulus. Absence of this sensory-specific activation pattern rendered the fMRI map unreliable and therefore was excluded from further analysis. See Figure 4.

2.3.5. **Language-specific activation:** all fMRI activation maps were then inspected in order to confirm robust, local activation maxima in regions of the brain consistent with the putative language centers of the: 1) inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), and 2) temporoparietal regions (TPG). This activation is typically lateralized favoring one cerebral hemisphere over the other, often the left (Wada and Rasmussen, 1960; Binder et al. 1996; Knecht et al. 2000), see Figure 5. The x, y, z coordinates of IFG and TPG local activation peaks were identified and recorded for localization validation.

2.4. **Lateralization of language fMRI:**

We quantified language activation asymmetry using an algorithm independent of fMRI threshold. We previously described this approach in detail (Branco et al. 2006; Suarez et al. 2008 and 2009). Briefly, a unique laterality index (LI) was calculated based on the T-squared weighted sums of the un-thresholded positive fMRI activation correlation values recorded within the two language-specific regions-of-interest (ROIs): 1) the IFG, and 2) the TPG regions. See Figure 5. This calculation yielded a unique LI value for each ROI in the range between −1.0, 0.0, and +1.0, where negative LI values indicate right-lateralized activation, positive LI values indicate left-lateralized activation, and absolute LI values less than or equal to 0.1 indicate no activation asymmetry (Suarez et al. 2008 and 2009).
2.5. Comparison of fMRI tasks in healthy controls:

We compared the previously validated vocalized antonym-generation language task (Suarez et al. 2008 and 2009) to passive language fMRI in the control cohort of 25 individuals, see Table 1. Subject and group region-specific LIs for IFG and TPG were calculated in order to evaluate language-specific activation asymmetry trends for the two task conditions. Each subject’s LI were plotted and the mean LI for the group tabulated and compared across task conditions. Active and passive language fMRI paradigms were judged based on ability to activate the known language centers and demonstrate preponderance for left-dominant language processing in the control cohort.

2.6. Validation of fMRI in temporal lobe epilepsy patients:

The validation of passive language fMRI was carried out on patient cohort 1, made up of 15 pediatric-onset temporal lobe epilepsy surgical patients all of whom had some form of invasive mapping for comparisons against fMRI activation patterns. See Table 2. As part of their standard surgical workup, these patients underwent fMRI language mapping as well as clinically-indicated lateralization of language function by Wada testing and/or localization of eloquent language cortex by ECS. Five of these 15 patients additionally underwent recording of subdural FMAER evoked responses, which were used to validate auditory evoked activation by passive fMRI. Thirteen of these 15 patients had clinically-indicated language dominance determined by Wada testing, for comparison against fMRI LIs for IFG and TPG. Five of these 15 patients had ECS sites confirmed
for essential language function and were used to compare against fMRI language activation.
Language localizations were assessed based on the Cartesian distance mismatch between positive
electrode sites by found by ECS and fMRI activation peaks.

2.7. Testing of passive fMRI in cohort 2:
In a second patient cohort (cohort 2), we tested passive language fMRI in a challenging population
of patients who because of their young age were not candidates for invasive functional mapping.
Cohort 2 consisted of 6 patients (age 5, 8, or 9 years), two of who generally undergo MRI scanning
under clinically-indicated sedation: patients p20 and p21; age 5 and 8 years. Please see Table 2.
Passive language fMRI activation patterns in cohort 2 were used as compelling proof-of-concept in
the most challenging pediatric population.

2.8. Neuropsychological measures:
Sixteen of twenty-one patients underwent recent neuropsychological evaluation as part of their
standard presurgical workup. All measures were administered by a licensed clinical
neuropsychologist or by a postdoctoral fellow in neuropsychology under the supervision of a
licensed clinician. Intellectual functioning (VCI and PRI) were assessed using the Wechsler
Intelligence Scale for Children-Fourth Edition.
2.9. **Wada testing procedures:**

Clinically-indicated intracarotid sodium amobarbital procedure (Wada) was conducted in 13 of 21 patients as part of the standard epilepsy surgery candidacy determination at BCH. The procedure involved administration of 100-150 mg of amobarbital to the presumed lesional hemisphere, followed by administration to the nonlesional hemisphere approximately 30 minutes later; neurobehavioral evaluation was administered immediately after each injection. Effects of medication were confirmed by contralateral hemiparesis and EEG slowing at the target hemisphere. A battery of language tests was completed including single-word comprehension, confrontation naming, repetition of phrases, and recitation of complex verbal sequences such as reciting months of the year in reverse order. Region-specific lateralization outcome was determined for receptive and expressive language functions separately for each patient.

2.10. **Direct electrocortical stimulation mapping (ECS):**

Clinically-indicated functional language mapping by direct electrocortical stimulation was performed in 5 of the 21 presurgical patients; ECS mapping was carried out utilizing electrode strips and grids previously implanted for standard phase II monitoring at BCH. The implanted electrodes consisted of stainless-steel or platinum-iridium alloy electrode discs 4mm in diameter arranged in grids of: 8×8, 8×4, or 8×2, or strips of: 8×1, 6×1, or 4×1, with an inter-electrode spacing of 10mm center-to-center (AdTech, Racine, USA). After implantation, strips and grids were sutured to the dural membrane. Patients p01, p02, p08, p11, and p12 received ECS functional mapping of language.
To map the regions essential to language by ECS, patients were awaken after craniotomy and asked to perform behavioral language tasks designed to test receptive and expressive language functions, including overt repetition of words, simple phrases, or sentences, and recitation of sequences such as reciting months of the year, or days of the week. While patients performed these behavioral tasks, brief electrical currents were systematically applied to the strip and grid electrodes using an IRES 600 CH electrical stimulator (Micromed, Italy; 50 Hz, 4e7 s, 1.5e10 mA). Intensity of the stimulations was adjusted for each patient in order to maximize the stimulation effect while at the same time minimizing the occurrence of after-discharges. Electrode stimulation sites were considered positive for essential language function if disruption of the behavioral task was consistently observed upon two or three separate stimulations; the unique number indentifying that electrode site was recorded. Stimulations that were accompanied by after-discharges were screened for the occurrence of spread to other electrodes and when this occurred the respective stimulation was excluded from further analysis. We measured the Cartesian distance between the ECS electrodes confirmed for language function and the localization of peak fMRI TPG activation for language processing. Note that cortical stimulation sites in each patient were by necessity limited to the specific electrode coverage clinically-indicated in each patient for the purpose of epilogenic foci localization and not necessarily for comprehensive functional mapping.
2.11. Frequency modulated auditory evoked responses (FMAER):

Subdural FMAER responses were recorded in 5 of the 15 patients in cohort 1. The FMAER procedures used were described in detail in a previous publication (Duffy et al. 2013). Briefly, we administered aurally presented stimulus consisting of a carrier sine wave at 1000 Hz frequency modulated by a slower 10 Hz sine wave, causing the frequency of the carrier wave to deviate between 960 and 1060 Hz at the 10 Hz rate and thus producing a frequency modulation warbling tone. Next, a 10 Hz sine wave was amplitude modulated by a slower 4 Hz sine wave such that the warbling was sinusoidally turned on and off at 4 Hz rate. Each stimulus onset was used to trigger separate epochs used for signal averaging and generation of the averaged evoked response. Typically 300–1000 msec trigger pulses were averaged over an epoch duration of 1000 msec (Green et al. 1979 and 1980). Data were recorded directly from the cortex utilizing indwelling electrodes. Recordings that contained continual or frequent seizure discharges or electrodes that had not been placed near or over the temporal regions were not included in the analysis. Signal averaging was performed using BESA GmbH (v6.0, Germany). Only the electrode(s) demonstrating the maximal evoked responses following 4 Hz FMAER stimulus were recorded for our analysis; we measured the Cartesian distance between the uniquely numbered electrodes and the localization of peak fMRI primary auditory activation for language stimuli.
2.12. Preparation of 3D surfaces for electrode grid and fMRI map co-registrations:

In order to produce co-registrations and 3D models of ECS, FMAER, and fMRI mapping results for comparative study in the same patient, we utilized an alignment algorithm we previously described in detail (Taimouri et al. 2013). Briefly, we created a patient-specific 3D geometric model of the cortical brain surface by means of automatic segmentation of the T1-weighted anatomical images. The co-registration of T1-weighed anatomical volumes and CT images was achieved by mutual information rigid alignment. We then projected the grid electrodes onto the cortical surface in the perpendicular direction, that is, normal to the plastic sheet on which the electrodes were mounted. A unique normal vector was determined for the strip electrodes by minimizing the sum squared distances between electrodes and the plane crossing all of the strip electrodes. Lastly, we colored the 3D brain surface by fMRI activation maps in order to measure the Cartesian distance between positive electrode localization and local fMRI maxima. See Figure 7.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Comparison of fMRI tasks:

Both of the fMRI language tasks we tested in the control cohort demonstrated robust activation consistent with the expressive language region (IFG) and the receptive language region (TPG) in all
of the subject scans with satisfactory QCs (38 out of 50): 17 active language fMRI and 21 passive language fMRI.

In the control cohort, the active task demonstrated 94% incidence of left-lateralized IFG activation (mean LI: +0.38), and 74% incidence of left-lateralized TPG activation (mean LI: +0.15), compared to the passive task which demonstrated 67% incidence of left-lateralized IFG activation (mean LI: +0.24), and 86% incidence of left-lateralized TPG (mean LI: +0.45). These comparisons were summarized in Table 3 and Figure 6.

3.2. Validation of passive language fMRI:

Patient cohort 1 received passive language fMRI and invasive functional mapping by Wada testing and/or ECS. Two of the fMRI scans were re-acquired due to lack primary auditory activation in the first session (we suspected poor or no audio stimulus being delivered to the patient as a result of user error in the first attempt—the patient failed to report the problem). Patient p04 did not demonstrate the expected bilateral primary auditory activation (only observed in the left side), however, the lack of right activation was attributed to the presence of large lesion encompassing the primary auditory cortex of that side of the brain and therefore that fMRI scan was not repeated in that case. All of the fMRI scans acquired in the patient cohort with satisfactory QCs (15 of 15, after 2 scans were redone) demonstrated local fMRI activation peaks in IFG and TPG consistent with language-specific activation.
3.3. Validation of passive language fMRI lateralization:

Language lateralization by fMRI in the TPG ROI agreed with invasive clinical testing for receptive language dominance (Wada testing and ECS mapping) in 11 of 15 patients. Generally when fMRI was compared against these reference standards, we observed 80% congruency in TPG language activation, and 73% congruency in IFG activation. See Table 4.

The fMRI language lateralization of IFG agreed with Wada test language dominance for expressive language processing in 9 of 13 patients (70% fMRI to Wada test congruency); fMRI TPG lateralization agreed with Wada test for receptive language dominance in 10 of 13 patients (77% fMRI to Wada test congruency). Of 5 patients who received ECS language mapping, 4 showed dominant TPG activation by fMRI in the same cerebral hemisphere as essential receptive language processing localized by ECS (80% fMRI to ECS congruency).

3.4. Validation of fMRI localization:

In patient cohort 1, 5 of 15 patients received both ECS language mapping and FMAER localization. In the comparison between FMAER localizations and primary auditory activation from passive language fMRI, the mean distance mismatch observed was 14.4mm (SD: 5.7mm). In the comparison
between ECS language localizations and TPG language activation from passive language fMRI only
2 of the 5 patients (p01 and p02) had sufficient electrode coverage over the TPG activation region
and as a result the distance mismatch could not be confirmed in those cases. In patient p01, TPG
activation by fMRI localized over 50mm away from the nearest electrode. In patient p02, TPG
activation localized to the right hemisphere, where there was no electrode coverage. With p01 and
p02 results excluded, the mean distance mismatch between receptive language ECS electrodes and
fMRI TPG activation was 9.2mm (SD: 2.6mm). These findings are summarized in Table 5 and
Figure 7.

3.5. Testing of passive fMRI in cohort 2:

For 6 of 6 patients in cohort 2, we recorded robust bilateral fMRI activation of primary auditory
cortex consistent with normal perception of the auditory narrative stimulus presented. In 6 of 6
patients in cohort 2 we detected lateralized activation consistent with typical left-lateralized
processing of the putative temporoparietal language center; two of these patients (p17 and p20)
demonstrated lateralized activation consistent with typical left-lateralized processing of the putative
frontal language center. Patients p20 and p21 were sedated during passive language fMRI recording.
See Figure 8.
**4. DISCUSSION**

In this study we defined, validated, and tested passive language fMRI imaging protocols which do not require any participation from the patient and are designed for reliable application in pediatric epilepsy applications.

We initially compared two fMRI language mapping paradigms: a previously validated protocol for adults (Suarez et al. 2008 and 2009) which is active in nature (vocalized antonym-generation); and passive listening to a story narrative. Group-level analysis demonstrated that both tasks produced consistent activation in the two main language centers. We found that the active task more robustly activated the expressive language region (IFG) compared to the receptive language region (TPG), while the passive task more robustly activated TPG compared to IFG. Additionally, more left-lateralized activation was observed in IFG (LI = +0.38) compared to TPG (LI = +0.15) using the active task, whereas more left-lateralized activation was observed in TPG (LI = +0.45) compared to IFG (LI = +0.24) using the passive task.

We then validated the passive language fMRI in cohort 1, made up of 15 presurgical patients with invasive functional mapping results. The validation approach compared language dominance by Wada testing and ECS, against functional lateralization by fMRI; FMAER peak response localizations were compared against auditory-specific activation by fMRI; and ECS language localizations against language-specific TPG activation by fMRI. We confirmed strong fMRI congruency to the invasive clinical procedures: 80% congruency with respect to TPG activation, and 73% congruency with respect to IFG activation. In the comparison between fMRI and FMAER for
the 5 patients tested, we found a mean FMAER to fMRI mismatch distance of only 14.4mm. In the comparison between ECS and TPG activation in the 3 patients tested with sufficient electrode coverage, we observed a mean ECS to fMRI mismatch distance of only 9.2mm.

Lastly, we tested the recommended passive language fMRI and QC protocols in a challenging cohort of very young patients, cohort 2, two of who were sedated during scanning. Reliability evaluation of these fMRI maps was based on our recommended quality checks (QC)—primarily on detection of expected sensory-specific primary auditory activation patterns, and detection of lateralized activation patterns in the putative language regions consistent with language-specific cortical processing. We confirmed reliable activation patterns from passive language fMRI in 6 of 6 patients in this challenging cohort.

There is a recent trend which advocates increased indication for surgical intervention in younger epilepsy patients than was true in the past. It is now understood that in most cases, early and minimally invasive surgery is essential for successful management of epilepsy progression in children (Holthausen et al. 2013; Glauser and Loddenkemper, 2013). Accordingly, children who in the past were excluded from epilepsy surgery, for example due to early onset age or presentation of multiple lesions, are now in greater numbers becoming surgical candidates (Beier and Rutka 2013; Holthausen et al. 2013). While the gradually widening spectrum of indications for surgery in children is shown effective for preventing later-stage development of more catastrophic disease manifestations (Holthausen et al. 2013), it places greater than before emphasis on reliable presurgical language mapping in increasingly younger patients. Because passive language fMRI can be administered quickly and simply (7min scan which does not require any behavioral participation
from the patient) and is therefore ideal for use with younger patients who may not be expected to comply with the more complicated behaviorally active tasks.

Localization of neurophysiological activity in the brain is most reliably mapped by direct mapping techniques applied subdurally to the cortical surface, such as ECS (Ojemann et al. 1993). Intracranial electrode strips and grids implanted for invasive monitoring in presurgical epilepsy patients hence offers a unique opportunity to perform activation studies using the same averaged evoked potential paradigms used for decades with scalp electroencephalography and magnetoencephalography (Green et al. 1979 and 1980; Reite et al. 1978; Stefanatos et al, 1989). The frequency modulated auditory evoked response (FMAER) techniques used in this study localize sensory-specific activation of the auditory cortex which in addition to processing simple sensory perception of the stimulus also holds promise as a proxy for language-level processing from the FM stimulus presented (Duffy et al. 2013). In this study, we found that sensory-specific fMRI activation produced by auditory presentation of a story narrative agreed to within 15mm with the electrodes of peak FMAER responses. This close proximity provides compelling validation for fMRI localization of auditory-level processing of the language-specific stimulus in the passive language paradigm.

Invasive functional mapping of language processing by ECS, in contrast to the evoked FMAER responses, is a deactivation technique which aims to identify and localize the cortical sites which are essential for language function (Ojemann et al. 1993). Application of electric currents to the implanted electrodes in effect produces a temporary lesion which momentarily ceases cortical function at the application volume. By electrically deactivating the cortical sites which if damaged during resection cause similar postsurgical language deficits, the ECS technique is currently the
reference standard for localizing essential language in the brain. However, ECS functional language mapping is an invasive procedure requiring adequate electrode coverage over the essential language regions, awake surgery, and strict patient task compliance intra-operatively after craniotomy. For these reasons, functional language mapping by ECS is extremely limited or not feasible in very young or incompliant patients. We found that in most cases, receptive language activation by fMRI acquired passively agreed with ECS localization of essential receptive language function to within 10mm.

The novel set of QCs we recommend for clinical fMRI language mappings were designed to rule out many common errors which if not identified (and if possible corrected) would render the fMRI activation maps unreliable, but which to our knowledge have never been used to systematically classify fMRI maps as unreliable. When assessing fMRI language maps, we recommend close attention to non-language activation patterns, such as sensory or motor activation which while not directly associated with language processing are nevertheless required features consistent successful application of a particular fMRI language protocol. For example, visual words or auditory presentation of a story narrative by necessity require initially the sensory-specific perception of the stimulus by the patient (e.g., primary visual cortex, or primary auditory cortex, depending on the stimulus mode used). Therefore, the absence of this sensory-specific activation indicates that the resulting activation map is inconsistent with the fMRI paradigm and should not be relied upon to correctly delineate language-specific activation in the clinic. In prior studies by others, subtraction schemes have been proposed in order to eliminate non-language activation, typically by carefully defined baseline conditions and subtraction methodologies (Binder et al. 2008; Szenkovits et al. 2012; Stoppelman et al. 2013), or precisely timed MRI scanner sampling schemes (Birn et al. 1999;
Preibisch et al. 2003). However, it is not clear how to define the most appropriate choice of baseline condition, which eliminates all of the non-language activation while not at all impacting language-specific activation patterns. It is also not clear that non-language activation is irrelevant for the analysis of language-specific activation patterns. We additionally point out that while a myriad of factors could potentially lead to alignment errors when creating fMRI map overlies, often these alignment errors are not detected or are ignored; thereby introducing localization errors in activation maps.

By applying the recommend QC's systematically to the fMRI activation maps in our control population, we were able to determine that while overt antonym-generation task can more robustly activate frontal language processing in IFG, it also has a higher likelihood of poor task compliance or becoming contaminated by excessive patient motion compared to the passive narrative task. This indicates that in most pediatric temporal lobe epilepsy surgical candidates, the fMRI mapping of essential language can proceed with passive language fMRI. This protocol similarly holds strong promise for routine use with sleeping, sedated, or unconscious patients in whom language mapping would otherwise not be possible. We presented compelling evidence that our recommended fMRI language mapping protocols will noninvasively produce language maps which are equivalent with the invasive clinical procedures.
5. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that our recommended passive language fMRI paradigm can be applied for reliable determination of language dominance and language functional localization in children as young as 5-9 years of age, including patients who are sedated. As such, the fMRI protocols we present effectively meet an increasing demand for reliable noninvasive functional mapping of language in very young presurgical epilepsy patients.
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**Table 1:** Healthy control cohort consisting of 25 volunteers age-matched and sex-matched to pediatric epilepsy surgical patients, all strong right-handers by the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory (Oldfield 1971).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>subject</th>
<th>sex</th>
<th>age</th>
<th>handedness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c01</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>+100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c02</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c03</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>+100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c04</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>+70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c05</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>+85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c06</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>+100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c07</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>+100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c08</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c09</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>+85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>+60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>+100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>+85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>+75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>+90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>+100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>+70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c17</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>+90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c18</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c19</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>+85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>+90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c22</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>+85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c23</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>+100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c24</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>+90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c25</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>+100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**mean age (years):** 14.2
Table 2: Demographics and description of pediatric epilepsy patient cohorts; Verbal Comprehension Index (VCI) and Perceptual Reasoning Index (PRI) are shown. Data not shown were not available in those patients. Shown are the two patient cohorts used in the study, including their etiology and pathology data if available.
### TABLE 3: Control cohort language lateralization by fMRI using activate and passive fMRI tasks. Listed are group mean lateralization indices (LI) in the inferior frontal (IFG) and temporoparietal (TPG) language regions.

Positive LI indicate left (L) lateralization; (-) denotes omitted scans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>subject</th>
<th>active task</th>
<th>passive task</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IFG</td>
<td>TPG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c01</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c02</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c03</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c05</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c06</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c07</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c09</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c10</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c11</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c12</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c13</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c14</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c15</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c16</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c18</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c19</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c21</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c24</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mean LI value:** +0.38 +0.15 +0.24 +0.45
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Expressive</th>
<th>Receptive</th>
<th>ECS</th>
<th>IFG</th>
<th>TPG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p01</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Left TPG</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p02</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>Left TPG</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p03</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p04</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p05</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p06</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p07</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p08</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Left TPG</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p09</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p10</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Left TPG</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Left TPG</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p13</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p14</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p15</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cases congruent with clinical standards:** 11/15 (73%)  12/15 (80%)

**Table 4:** Congruency between region-specific language lateralization by invasive clinical standards compared to fMRI. Shown are left, right (L, R), or bilateral expressive and receptive language dominance as determined by Wada testing; hemispherical localization of receptive language function as determined by direct electrocortical stimulation mapping (ECS); and language lateralization of the inferior frontal (IFG) and tempoparietal (TPG) language regions as determined by passive language fMRI. Bold lettering denotes instances of fMRI incongruity with the clinical reference standards.
Table 5: The cortical Cartesian distance mismatch between FMAER and primary auditory activation from passive language fMRI (FMAER – auditory fMRI), and between ECS language sites and TPG activation by passive language fMRI (ECS – language fMRI). Cases which did not have sufficient electrode coverage in regions identified as dominant for receptive language by fMRI are denoted with (*) and were excluded from the calculation of mean value.
**FIGURES**

![Figure 1](image-url)

**Figure 1:** Motion parameters from SPM5 rigid alignments of fMRI time-series volumes and the BOLD mean, plotted as a function of each volume, top panels show x, y, z translations and bottom panels show pitch, roll, and yaw rotations. Left and right panels depict similar data for two representative subjects, left panel shows a scan with unaccepted amount of subject motion, right panel shows a representative scan with satisfactory amount of motion.
Figure 2: Quantitative assessment of functional overlay to anatomical underlay alignment.

Top panel depicts a representative subject scan illustrating poor alignment – note unacceptable alignment errors visible in the sagittal and axial planes (green arrows). Conversely, the bottom panel illustrates a representative example depicting proper alignment.

Figure 3: Illustration of quality checks to confirm expected sensory-specific (top panels) and motor-specific (bottom panels) fMRI activation patterns from the active language task. A representative participant scan showing an unacceptable absence of robust, bilateral
activation of primary visual cortex is shown in the top left panel; conversely, the top right panel shows a representative scan with green arrows highlighting the expected activation pattern consistent with proper sensory perception of the visual cue words. The bottom left panel shows a scan with unacceptable absence of robust, bilateral activation of primary motor cortex; conversely, the bottom right panel shows an activation pattern consistent with articulation of antonym response words and thus compliance for the active task.

**Figure 4:** Illustration of quality check to confirm the expected sensory-specific fMRI activation pattern from passive listening to story narrative language task. A representative participant scan showing unacceptable absence of robust, bilateral activation of primary auditory cortex is shown in the left panel; conversely, the right panel shows a representative scan confirming robust, bilateral activation of primary auditory cortex (green arrows) consistent with proper sensory perception of the auditory stimulus.
Figure 5: A representative fMRI language activation map (p < 0.001, uncorrected) from the visually presented antonym-generation task, note the expected language-specific activation peaks in the putative language centers of the inferior frontal gyrus and temporoparietal regions (white circles). Note the clear activation asymmetry across the cerebral hemispheres, in this case denoting typically left-lateralized language for this participant.
**Figure 6:** Control cohort lateralization indices (LI) for the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) and temporoparietal (TPG) language regions, using activate language fMRI (top panel) and passive language fMRI (bottom panel). Positive LI values indicate left-lateralization, negative LI indicates right-lateralization, and LI values of magnitude 0.1 or less indicate bilateral activation.
Figure 7: Three-dimensional renderings of cortical brain surfaces from the 5 participants in our patient cohort who underwent implantation of intracranial electrode strips and grids. Depicted on the cortical surfaces are the intracranial electrodes (black dots), and the cortical activation maps from passive language fMRI ($p < 0.001$ uncorrected). Note fMRI
activation patterns consistent with primary auditory activation. Note fMRI activation patterns consistent with temporoparietal receptive language processing. The electrodes of maximal frequency modulated auditory evoked responses (FMAER) are denoted by blue circles, electrode locations for essential language function by direct electrocortical stimulation (ECS) are denoted by green dots.

**Figure 8**: Passive language fMRI activation patterns recorded in patient cohort 2 \( (p < 0.001, \text{ uncorrected}) \), made up of young patients (mean age of 7.5 years). Six of six fMRI activation patterns demonstrated bilateral primary auditory activation in the middle portion of the superior temporal gyrus consistent with typical perception of auditory narrative stimulus. Six
of six fMRI maps demonstrated lateralized activation of the temporoparietal language region consistent with typical left-dominant language-specific activation; patients p17 and p20 additionally demonstrated left-lateralized activation of the frontal language region. Patients p21 and p20 were sedated during fMRI scanning.
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MS disease monitoring

- Individual disease time-course unpredictable
  - May impair visual-motor, language, memory, cognitive, ..

- MRI imaging
  - Periodic evaluation of lesion activity

- Neuropsychological evaluation
  - Periodic performance testing
    - Presentation of declined performance

- If function at risk, why no periodic fMRI?
  - Technology available
  - Patient in MRI scanner --- approx 30 min additional scanning
  - Mapping of functional neural processing
Motivation of research

- Establish fMRI as component to standard MS disease monitoring

Study hypothesis
- Functional reserve
  - Reorganization prior to performance decline
- Pediatric brain and plasticity
  - Pediatric onset MS (POMS)

Systems to study
- Primary motor
- Language processing
Normative fMRI for hand motor task

left hand

right hand
Language

- Two language centers
  - Frontal
  - Temporoparietal

- Language dominance
  - Asymmetric favoring left
    - Typical language is left-dominant, over 90%
Normative fMRI for language tasks

passive auditory narrative
- sensory activation
- language activation

vocalized antonym generation
- sensory activation
- motor activation
- language activation
Pilot study:
Does the pediatric MS brain function differently?

- Functional MRI
  - Verbal fluency
  - Passive language
  - Hand motor
- Standard neuropsychological evaluation
- Comparison of fMRI activation patterns to normative age-matched healthy volunteers
- Correlation of fMRI metrics to neuropsychological testing
Pilot data

Primary motor activation: FMRI activation mappings of primary motor cortex in a POMS. Note asymmetry of activation favoring the left hemisphere in the POMS patient (white circles) which differs from the more bilateral pattern seen in the control subject.
Language activation from passive auditory narrative: This POMS patient exhibits an abnormal bilateral activation pattern of receptive language processing (white circles) likely due to reorganization following MS disease burden in the left temporal lobe.
## fMRI compared to performance testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POMS</th>
<th>hand motor fMRI activation</th>
<th>visual-motor performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>typical</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>typical</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>typical</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>typical</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>typical</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>atypical</td>
<td>mild impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>typical</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>atypical</td>
<td>mild impaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>atypical</td>
<td>mild impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>typical</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POMS</th>
<th>language fMRI activation</th>
<th>language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>atypical</td>
<td>mild impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>typical</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>atypical</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>atypical</td>
<td>mild impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>atypical</td>
<td>impaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>typical</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>typical</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>typical</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>typical</td>
<td>mild impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>atypical</td>
<td>severe impairment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

- In primary motor and language systems atypical patterns of fMRI correlate with poor performance testing in pediatric MS – exhausted functional reserves?

- Longitudinal fMRI evaluation of individual POMS, from onset periodically through their disease time-course will delineate the progression of decline.
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INTRODUCTION

Pediatric-onset Multiple Sclerosis (POMS) is a progressive demyelinating disease that often leads to debilitating language and motor impairments that vary widely across age and other demographics. Pediatric MS patients can demonstrate neural plasticity in the face of increasing lesion burden, which can reorganize functional localization. This reorganization can allow for the preservation of function, but it also serves to mask the true state of the brain’s functional reserve. Currently, patients with abnormal brain function are not recognized until they present with abnormal clinical results.

POMS has a progression that is unique to each patient. Lesions due to MS may appear in a number of brain regions, and it is currently unclear what causes lesions to develop in one brain region and not another. Additionally, due to the plasticity found in pediatric brains, functions may be relatively intact in spite of a substantial lesion load. The unpredictable nature of disease progression makes clinical management challenging, and the large majority of diagnoses rely on clinical evaluation. Periodic MRI to monitor lesion burden is done in standard clinical MS disease monitoring, along with neuropsychological evaluations to test performance. However, functional MRI (fMRI) represents an important and unique view of functional brain well-being which is presently not utilized in typical MS disease management. By using fMRI to evaluate functional reorganization, changes to a patient’s function-related activation can theoretically be assessed even when the function itself is normal in clinical evaluation and when lesion load is relatively constant.

OBJECTIVE

In this preliminary study, we performed a comparative study of POMS patients against age- and gender-matched healthy controls in order to test the hypothesis that POMS patients presenting with mild to severe language or primary motor deficits will also demonstrate abnormal brain function by fMRI. In this way, we sought to correlate abnormalities in clinically-evaluated function with abnormalities in fMRI-detected activity. We sought to evaluate fMRI-derived metrics based upon their correlation to performance indicators as measured by standard neuropsychological testing in the same patients.

The major goal of this research is to show that fMRI can provide clinicians with valuable new insights into MS disease progression that are not readily discernable using current metrics.

METHODS

Established, quantifiable fMRI localization and lateralization metrics for language and primary motor tasks were utilized in healthy control volunteers and 12 age- and sex-matched POMS patients. The POMS patients’ results were evaluated for abnormal language and/or primary motor activation patterns. fMRI-derived metrics in POMS patients were compared with performance indicators from neuropsychological evaluations. fMRI activation patterns were assessed to detect abnormal cortical recruitment and by this means predict potential pre-clinical deficits in POMS patients.

To assess the neuropsychological state of the patients, a battery of tests was administered, including WISC-IV/WAIS-IV for verbal and non-verbal intelligence, the Boston Naming Test, which evaluates a patient’s ability to name images of objects with increasing difficulty, DKEFS Letter Fluency and Category Fluency, for verbal fluency, DKEFS Trails, for visual motor-sequencing, and a Grooved Pegboard task to assess manipulative dexterity. Results for patients were compared with normative values for each of the tasks to determine if deficits were present.

RESULTS

Results from both fMRI and neuropsychological evaluations of POMS patients indicate that disease progression is somewhat idiosyncratic and individualized to each of the patients. Generally, clinical disease progression as evaluated by neuropsychological measures matched the findings from fMRI. For example, POMS1 demonstrates responses consistent with normal language functioning in all four of the language related tasks, and has expressive language localized to the normal region as revealed by fMRI. Similarly, POMS1 demonstrates a substantially impaired motor ability with their dominant hand, which meshes with the result from the motor fMRI that shows an abnormal, bilateral, non-localized motor activity. These kind of congruencies between results obtained from fMRI and neuropsychological evaluation, as evidenced by POMS1, POMS3, POMS4, POMS6 and POMS7 show that both methods generally converge on a consistent evaluation of function.

POMS2 has at least one result from one of the modalities that is at odds with the other. While the majority of cases show significant cross-modal consistency, this case raises an interesting issue. POMS2 demonstrates a normal language response in their neuropsychological profile, but at the same time the activity associated with these language tasks as assessed via fMRI is significantly abnormal. This may indicate a functional reorganization that has preserved function in the face of increasing MS lesion burden. Thus, fMRI is able to detect an abnormality associated with MS that is not yet making a clinical impact. These preliminary data suggest that fMRI is a valuable tool to add to the conventional diagnostic battery utilized by clinicians in assessing disease progression.
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