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AN ANALYSIS OF TELEOPERATION WORKLOAD IN VARIOUS SENSORY FEEDBACK MODES

INTRODUCTION

The Teleoperated Interface Research Team of the Soldier-Systems Control Branch, Human Research and Engineering Directorate (HRED) of the U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL) has been involved with state-of-the-art teleoperated vehicles and control systems of various types for scientific study and performance enhancement. One objective of the research program at ARL is to survey all possible sensory feedback modes and to analyze them for their sensory immersion value, as reflected in performance measures of speed, error rate, control frequency response, and other stress, workload, and preference measures. ARL is currently the lead entity in the Army that is examining the optimization of teleoperator performance.

The different feedback modes available for study are stereovision (allowing true depth perception), binaural feedback from microphones on the teleoperated vehicle, color vision, expanded field of view (FOV), and simulated force feedback. Other modes such as low data rate (foveal windowing, reduced frame rate, reduced resolution, etc.), low bandwidth audio (sub-woofer frequencies), and the use of helmet-mounted displays are being examined as well but are not part of the scope of this paper.

The impetus for modeling different sensory feedback modes related to teleoperation began with the examination of manpower-based system evaluation aid (MAN-SEVAL), one of the hardware and manpower (HARDMAN) III software modules. HARDMAN III was a major development effort of the Army Research Institute’s (ARI) System Research Laboratory and is now part of ARL (HRED). MAN-SEVAL is part of the HARDMAN III Government-owned software, which consists of a set of automated aids to assist analysts in conducting human factors assessments, including manpower, personnel, and training. MAN-SEVAL is a stochastic modeling environment that performs workload assessment analyses and manpower maintenance analyses. The workload analysis is the area of interest for this paper.

It appeared that MAN-SEVAL was capable of being used separately from the rest of the software modules as a modeling tool. The ability to define functions (groups of related tasks), tasks, workload (visual, cognitive, psychomotor, and auditory), and task times allowed the layout and examination of a workload and performance (time) model of the basic teleoperated driving task. It was realized that the tasks and workload could be altered, based on projected effect of different sensory feedback modes. Therefore, work began to attempt to capture, in
MAN-SEVAL, the workload associated with different sensory feedback modes. The workload associated with each (or a combination) of the feedback modes is seen to be an inverse relationship to performance in teleoperated driving. In other words, if the workload for a particular feedback mode is high, then the performance in that feedback mode will tend to improve. The performance of teleoperated tasks is the main research focus in the Teleoperated Interface Research Team at ARL.

The following is a list of the models built in the MAN-SEVAL modeling environment:

On-board driving model (baseline)

**Teleoperated modes (different sensory feedback)**

- Low level (narrow FOV, monoscopic viewing, no audio or force feedback)
- Binaural feedback
- Simulated force feedback (in steering device)
- Wide FOV (165°)
- Stereoscopic vision
- All feedback (combined)

The recent increases in quantity and quality of sensory feedback modes are to allow the operator to drive at higher speeds and during off-road conditions. These technical challenges were identified after Office of the Secretary of Defense demonstration of state-of-the-art robotics technology (OSD DEMO I) as critical teleoperator performance hurdles. The challenge to developing good teleoperated systems is the basic driving task, essential to the delivery or placement of the mission package; reconnaissance, surveillance, and target acquisition (RSTA); chemical detection; weapon system; or otherwise.

ARL is currently pursuing a research effort during which the sensory feedback modes will be examined to determine monetary cost, bandwidth transmission requirements, and operator performance changes. However, before this research effort, ARL’s focus involved modeling the feedback modes and the resultant workload outcomes to form the beginnings of a predictive set of models with which to project performance changes as technologies impact the teleoperated system.

The purpose of this report is three-fold:

1. Define the functions and tasks of the teleoperated driver,

2. Assess the teleoperator’s driving workload during conditions of varying sensory feedback, and
3. Provide a possible prediction tool for the impact of technology on teleoperation performance. Discussion will also encompass recommendations for further modeling development.

TELEOPERATED DRIVING MODEL ANALYSIS

Identification of Teleoperated Driving Functions and Tasks

Tasks are defined as the smallest single work units, depending upon the fidelity of the model, which are “mapped out” in order to understand a task network. Functions are related groups of tasks that are required to complete a part of the overall job. Driving functions (groups of related tasks) were identified through a task analysis of teleoperated driving tasks with the cooperation of resident ARL subject matter experts (SMEs) in the area of teleoperated driving and operations. The functions were then separated into discrete tasks (or relatively basic elements of work) that were required to complete the associated functions. All functions were sequenced according to logical flow as were all tasks associated with the functions. Task times were collected from a combination of interviews with ARL SMEs and the timing of simulated events as executed normally in driving operations. Note. Dummy functions or tasks are those non-data-generating functions or tasks incorporated into a network to maintain proper structure. The following teleoperated driving functions were identified:

- Move to area of operations (dummy function)
- Conduct surveillance (of driving scene)
- Monitor vehicle instruments
- Monitor vehicle status
- Perform self-recovery
- Nothing happens (dummy function)
- Steer vehicle
- Power vehicle

Table 1 is a detailed list of the functions and tasks identified to complete a generic teleoperated driving task. Dummy functions or dummy tasks are those which were included but have no data to be processed or generated, they are there for the formation of the model structure only.

It was determined that the tasks in Table 1 had to be represented to a “deep” enough level to be sensitive enough to and reflect possible changes in mission task times and workload levels influenced by different technologies in the ARL teleoperated high mobility multipurpose wheeled vehicle (HMMWV). The next step in the process was to “move” the function and task data into the MAN-SEVAL environment.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functions</th>
<th>Tasks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Move to area of operations</td>
<td>Dummy function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct surveillance</td>
<td>Conduct surveillance (dummy task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Detection of status change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alerted by external source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type of change?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Course (dummy task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is course in FOV?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Course not in FOV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alter vehicle orientation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Course in FOV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Search (dummy task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visual (dummy task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Look up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Look down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Look left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Look right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Auditory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dummy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ID course?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does ID course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does not ID course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not on course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consult navigational aid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ID potential obstacles and hazards?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does ID hazards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does not ID hazards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hazard immediate threat?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Immediate threat, alter course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not immediate threat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor vehicle instruments</td>
<td>Monitor Instruments (dummy task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequent (dummy task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infrequent (dummy task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor speedometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor tachometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor fuel level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor oil pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor engine temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 1 (continued)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor battery voltage</td>
<td>Verify normal range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal range</td>
<td>Not normal range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop vehicle and monitor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monitor vehicle status</strong></td>
<td>Monitor vehicle status (dummy task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor vehicle pitch</td>
<td>Monitor vehicle roll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle attitude in normal range?</td>
<td>Normal range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not normal range</td>
<td>Stop vehicle and correct vehicle attitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor engine speed</td>
<td>Engine speed in normal operation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Not normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow or stop vehicle and check</td>
<td>Monitor ground surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground surface suitable for travel?</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not suitable</td>
<td>Change course (dummy task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perform self-recovery</strong></td>
<td>Perform self-recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need to change direction?</td>
<td>Change needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change needed</td>
<td>Look to sides and estimate correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change not needed</td>
<td>Need to reverse direction?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need to reverse direction?</td>
<td>Reverse needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse needed</td>
<td>Look to rear, back up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change direction</td>
<td>Reverse not needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nothing happens</strong></td>
<td>(dummy function)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Steer vehicle</strong></td>
<td>Steer vehicle (dummy task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steer right</td>
<td>Steer left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hold straight</td>
<td>Let steering self-center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verify center position</td>
<td>Verify steering control action</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power vehicle</th>
<th>Power vehicle (dummy task)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accelerator (dummy task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apply accelerator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hold accelerator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Release accelerator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Verify accelerator action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brake (dummy task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apply brake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hold brake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Release brake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Verify brake action</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAN-SEVAL Modeling

This section describes how MAN-SEVAL is used. A description of all the steps required to build, run, and analyze models built in MAN-SEVAL follows, but first, a little bit about the major components of the modeling software is presented.

MAN-SEVAL was used to assess workload of the teleoperated driving task. The MAN-SEVAL workload assessment aid integrates two essential technologies, Micro Saint software simulation and the McCracken-Aldrich workload assessment methodology. Micro Saint (built by Micro Analysis & Design) is used to build and execute task network models that simulate operational procedures. Each task within the network is assigned to an operator. The McCracken-Aldrich workload assessment methodology is used to assess the visual, auditory, cognitive, and psychomotor workload components for each crew member. Each task is assigned a scaled value for each of the four workload components. When the simulation is run, the guard’s workload is traced over time and then graphed. The model allows easy identification of high or low workload periods. The McCracken-Aldrich workload scales are presented in Appendix A of this report.

The steps for conducting a MAN-SEVAL workload analysis are as follow:

1. Define Conditions. The conditions during which the teleoperated driving mission will be performed are documented.

2. Develop Function List. All possible functions that the teleoperator may perform are listed. They are normally placed in sequence as they would be performed during actual operations.
3. Develop Task List. All possible tasks that the teleoperator may be required to perform are listed and sequenced as they would be completed during actual operations.

4. Identify Crew Positions. The driver is the only position listed.

5. Assign Tasks to Jobs. Two things are done here. All crew members capable of performing each task are identified, and then each task is assigned to one specific crew member. In this analysis, all tasks were assigned to the teleoperated driver.

6. Define Performance Parameters. Several things are done here. All tasks are assigned a most likely and a fastest time. Each task is then assigned workload scale values for visual, auditory, cognitive, and psychomotor workload channels. The workload scale values are derived from the McCracken-Aldrich workload assessment methodology. Finally, a high workload level is mathematically defined by the user.

7. Execute Simulation Run. Using Micro Saint, the task network simulation mode is run.

8. Analyze Results. Workload graphs depicting each crew member’s workload in each workload channel are developed over time. High workload tasks are displayed and can be reallocated to other crew members automatically or manually when possible. Summary reports (e.g., percentage of time a high workload condition is experienced for each crew member) are also available.

Estimating Variance of Model Workloads

For each model developed, workload level and task time estimates were collected from HRED SMEs and from estimated scaling by using the descriptors of different workload channel tasks as described in the McCracken-Aldrich workload scale residing in the MAN-SEVAL software.

It is especially important to note that the workload scalings in the McCracken-Aldrich workload rating system are comprised of 8 points from 0 through 7, each with a different interval spacing. These workload ratings are established as interval data and have been validated by leading workload experts.

The workload levels for each channel were identified and set for the first model built or the low level feedback teleoperation model. Then, each task in each function was evaluated for a potential in workload reduction, based on the sensory feedback being examined. If the task was identified as one being affected by the sensory feedback technology, the workload level was
adjusted accordingly, either up or down each individual scale. The actual workload levels are listed in Appendix B. It is equally important that the reader understand that the workload adjustments in each model and the resultant output data be treated as an ordinal relationship. This will be mentioned again further in this report. The potential problem with the use of MAN-SEVAL is the forced usage of specific scale ratings, so that if a task were presumed to become easier, the next lower workload value may have been scaled lower in that subscale. Workload adjustments are subjective in nature and do not carry the confidence of true physiological workload data collection.

Data Generation of Different Sensory Feedback Modes

Data were generated from multiple runs of each sensory feedback model. Each model was run a total of 30 iterations. The criterion for accepting model output for each execution was that the overall task time be within one standard deviation (SD) of actual mean test course completion times for that mode of operation (i.e., on board or teleoperated). The mean course completion times were 3 and 6 minutes for on-board and teleoperated runs, respectively. Data for each workload channel were collected in the form of mean workload.

Each model was run six times (30 iterations per run) to collect workload information. Workload channel data were collected at 1/5-second intervals, as output in MAN-SEVAL, thus producing between 850 and 1800 data points for each workload channel, for each run.

DATA ANALYSIS

Mean workload values for iterative model runs were used as the final data that were examined to determine if any differences existed among the data. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), with an F test was used to determine if there were any significant differences among the seven different models run for each of four workload channels (visual, auditory, cognitive, and psychomotor), as well as overall workload, which was the sum of all workload channels. A Scheffé post hoc test was used to determine if mean differences were significant between all teleoperated models where significance dictated.

RESULTS

Note. Before the results of these data are reviewed, it is important to consider the results of the on-board versus teleoperated means in the following data sets if nonsignificant differences occur. This is so because of the differences between on-board driving and teleoperated driving.
A nonsignificant result is one in which there is marked similarity between the workload of that teleoperated feedback and on-board driving, which is desirable. However, among teleoperated means, significant differences are important to note. Additionally, when data (workload means) are plotted or depicted graphically, the lesser workload condition is desired.

The results of data analysis for overall workload are presented in Tables 2 through 5.

Table 2

F Test for Overall Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>s2</th>
<th>F ratio</th>
<th>Critical F value</th>
<th>Prob. F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>515.39 85.89</td>
<td>9.89</td>
<td>2.38 (.05)</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>303.73 8.67</td>
<td>3.38 (.01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>819.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3

Multiple Range Tests: Scheffé Test With Significance Level .05

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(On-board)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Wide FOV)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(All feedback)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Low level)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(stereovision)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(force fdbk)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(binaural)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference between two means is significant if

\[
\text{MEAN}(J) - \text{MEAN}(I) \geq 2.0831 \times \text{RANGE} \times \text{SQRT}(1/N(I) + 1/N(J))
\]

with the following value(s) for RANGE: 5.33

*Indicates significant differences
Table 4
Descriptive Statistics for All Groups, Overall Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-board</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31.30</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>32.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low level</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>39.18</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>33.11</td>
<td>42.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binaural</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42.34</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>38.49</td>
<td>45.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force Fdbk</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40.37</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>36.42</td>
<td>44.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereo</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>39.77</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>33.59</td>
<td>42.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide FOV</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>34.97</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>33.01</td>
<td>36.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Fdbk</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36.18</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>28.89</td>
<td>41.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5
Homogeneous Subsets for Overall Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subset</th>
<th>Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subset 1</td>
<td>1, 6, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subset 2</td>
<td>6, 7, 2, 5, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subset 3</td>
<td>7, 2, 5, 4, 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overall mean workload comparisons and homogeneous groups for all feedback modes are graphically depicted in Figure 1.
Figure 1. Mean and range values for overall work load with homogeneous grouping.
The results of data analysis for visual workload are presented in Tables 6 through 9.

Table 6

F Test for Visual Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>s2</th>
<th>F ratio</th>
<th>Critical F value</th>
<th>Prob. F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>114.65</td>
<td>19.10</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>2.38 (.05)</td>
<td>.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>73.05</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>(.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>187.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7

Scheffé Test With Significance Level .05, Visual Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(On-board)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(All feedback)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Wide FOV)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(binaural)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(low level)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(force fdbk)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Stereo)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference between two means is significant if

\[
\text{MEAN(J)} - \text{MEAN(I)} \geq 1.02 \times \text{RANGE} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{N(I)} + \frac{1}{N(J)}},
\]

with the following value(s) for RANGE: 5.33

*Indicates significant differences
Table 8
Descriptive Statistics for All Groups, Visual Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-board</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.11</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>11.38</td>
<td>12.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low level</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.02</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>13.14</td>
<td>18.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binaural</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.62</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>14.56</td>
<td>16.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force fdbk</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.29</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>14.42</td>
<td>18.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereo</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.50</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>12.88</td>
<td>17.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide FOV</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.02</td>
<td>.69</td>
<td>13.94</td>
<td>15.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All fdbk</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.94</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>16.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9
Homogeneous Subsets for Visual Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subset</th>
<th>Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,7,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,6,5,3,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6,5,3,2,4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The visual workload comparisons and homogeneous groups for all feedback modes are graphically depicted in Figure 2.
Figure 2. Mean and range values for visual work load with homogeneous grouping.
The results of data analysis for auditory workload are presented in Tables 10 through 13.

Table 10
F Test for Auditory Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>s2</th>
<th>F ratio</th>
<th>Critical F value</th>
<th>Prob. F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75.88</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td>106.24</td>
<td>2.38 (.05)</td>
<td>.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>(.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>80.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11
Scheffé Test With Significance Level .05, Auditory Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(force fdbk)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Stereo)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(binaural)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Wide FOV)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(On-board)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(All feedback)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(low level)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference between two means is significant if
\[
\text{MEAN}(J) - \text{MEAN}(I) \geq .24 \times \text{RANGE} \times \sqrt{1/N(I) + 1/N(J)}
\]
with the following value(s) for RANGE: 5.33

*Indicates significant differences
Table 12

Descriptive Statistics for All Groups, Auditory Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-board</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low level</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binaural</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>6.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force fdbk</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereo</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide FOV</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All fdbk</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13

Homogeneous Subsets for Auditory Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subset</th>
<th>2,4,5,6,1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subset 2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The auditory workload comparisons and homogeneous groups for all feedback modes are graphically depicted in Figure 3.
Figure 3. Mean and range values for auditory work load with homogeneous grouping.
The results of data analysis for cognitive workload are presented in Tables 14 through 17.

### Table 14

**F Test for Cognitive Workload**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>s2</th>
<th>F ratio</th>
<th>Critical F value</th>
<th>Prob. F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>226.20</td>
<td>37.70</td>
<td>29.73</td>
<td>2.38 (.05)</td>
<td>.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>44.37</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>3.38 (.01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>270.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 15

**Scheffé Test With Significance Level .05, Cognitive Workload**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(On-board)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Wide FOV)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(All feedback)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Low level)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(stereovision)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(force fdbk)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(binaural)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference between two means is significant if

\[
\text{MEAN}(J) - \text{MEAN}(I) \geq 0.79 \times \text{RANGE} \times \sqrt{1/N(I) + 1/N(J)}
\]

with the following value(s) for RANGE: 5.33

*Indicates significant differences
Table 16
Descriptive Statistics for All Groups, Cognitive Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-board</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.39</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>10.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low level</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.49</td>
<td>.73</td>
<td>14.69</td>
<td>16.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binaural</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14.63</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>15.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force fdbk</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.11</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>14.16</td>
<td>16.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereo</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16.85</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>13.86</td>
<td>18.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide FOV</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>11.49</td>
<td>12.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All fdbk</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.15</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>10.70</td>
<td>14.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 17
Homogeneous Subsets for Cognitive Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subset</th>
<th>Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subset 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subset 2</td>
<td>6,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subset 3</td>
<td>7,3,4,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subset 4</td>
<td>3,4,2,5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cognitive workload comparisons and homogeneous groups for all feedback modes are graphically depicted in Figure 4.
Figure 4. Mean and range values for cognitive work load with homogeneous grouping.
The results of data analysis for psychomotor workload are presented in Tables 18 through 21.

Table 18
F Test for Psychomotor Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>s2</th>
<th>F ratio</th>
<th>Critical F value</th>
<th>Prob. F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>114.65</td>
<td>19.10</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>2.38 (.05)</td>
<td>.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>73.05</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>187.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 19
Scheffé Test With Significance Level .05, Psychomotor Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| (force fdbk) | 2  | | | | | | *
| (binaural)    | 5  | | | | | | *
| (Wide FOV)    | 6  | | | | | | *
| (Stereo)      | 4  | | | | | | *
| (low level)   | 3  | | | | | | *
| (All feedback) | 7  | | | | | * | *
| (On-board)    | 1  | | | | | * | *

The difference between two means is significant if
MEAN(J) - MEAN(I) >= .52 * RANGE * SQRT(1/N(I) + 1/N(J))

with the following value(s) for RANGE: 5.33

*Indicates significant differences
### Table 20
Descriptive Statistics for All Groups, Psychomotor Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-board</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>8.28</td>
<td>8.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Level</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>.73</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>6.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binaural</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>8.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force Fdbk</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>7.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereo</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>7.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide FOV</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>.69</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>8.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Fdbk</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>9.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 21
Homogeneous Subsets for Psychomotor Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subset</th>
<th>2,5,6,4,3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subset 1</td>
<td>5,6,4,3,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subset 2</td>
<td>6,4,3,7,1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The psychomotor workload comparisons and homogeneous groups for all feedback modes are graphically depicted in Figure 5.
Figure 5. Mean and range values for psychomotor work load with homogeneous grouping.
The results of data analysis for number of on-going tasks workload are presented in Tables 22 through 25.

### Table 22

**F Test for Number of On-going Tasks Workload**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>s2</th>
<th>ratio</th>
<th>F value</th>
<th>Critical F value</th>
<th>Prob. F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>2.38 (.05)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.0095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>(.01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 23

**Scheffé Test With Significance Level .05, Number of On-going Tasks Workload**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Wide FOV)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(force fdbk)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(low level)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(binaural)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Stereo)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(On-board)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(All feedback)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference between two means is significant if

\[ \text{MEAN}(J) - \text{MEAN}(I) \geq .19 \times \text{RANGE} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{N(I)} + \frac{1}{N(J)}} \]

with the following value(s) for RANGE: 5.85

*Indicates significant differences
Table 24

Descriptive Statistics for All Groups, Number of On-going Tasks Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-board</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>5.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low level</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>4.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binaural</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>4.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force fdbk</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>5.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereo</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>5.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide FOV</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>4.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All fdbk</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>5.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 25

Homogeneous Subsets for Number of On-going Tasks Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subset</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subset 1</td>
<td>6,2,3,5,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subset 2</td>
<td>2,3,5,4,1,7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The visual workload comparisons and homogeneous groups for all feedback modes are graphically depicted in Figure 6.

F Tests

F tests revealed significant differences for all workload channels, including overall workload and number of on-going tasks. All ANOVAs yielded F ratios that were significant to the .01 α level. The Scheffé Test was used to perform group mean post hoc comparisons:

Post Hoc Analysis

For overall workload, the Scheffé Test showed that on-board was significantly different from low level, stereo, force feedback and binaural to the .05 level. Wide field of view was also significantly different from binaural to the .05 level. On-board, wide FOV, and all feedback conditions were not statistically different from each other, and were identified as a homogeneous group, where the highest and lowest means are not significantly different.
Figure 6. Mean and range values for number of on-going tasks with homogeneous grouping.
For visual workload, the Scheffé Test showed that on-board was significantly different from low level, stereo, force feedback, and binaural to the .05 level. All feedback was also was significantly different from force feedback to the .05 level. On-board, wide FOV, and all feedback conditions were not statistically different from each other and were identified as a homogeneous group, in which the highest and lowest means are not significantly different.

For auditory workload, the Scheffé Test showed that binaural feedback was significantly different from all other conditions to the .05 level.

For cognitive workload, the Scheffé Test showed that on-board was significantly different from all other conditions to the .05 level. Wide field of view was also was significantly different from binaural, force feedback, low level, and stereo to the .05 level. Additionally, all feedback was significantly different from stereo to the .05 level. Wide FOV and all feedback conditions were not statistically different from each other and were identified as a homogeneous group, in which the highest and lowest means are not significantly different. On-board was identified as a single homogeneous group.

For psychomotor workload, the Scheffé Test showed that low level was significantly different from all feedback and on-board to the .05 level. Stereo was also was significantly different from on-board to the .05 level. On-board, binaural, force feedback, and wide field of view conditions were not statistically different from each other and were identified as a homogeneous group, in which the highest and lowest means are not significantly different.

For number of on-going tasks, the Scheffé Test showed that wide field of view was significantly different from all feedback to the .05 level. All feedback, on-board, force feedback, stereo, binaural, and low level conditions were not statistically different from each other and were identified as a homogeneous group, in which the highest and lowest means are not significantly different.

CONCLUSIONS

Upon examination of the F Test results and the post hoc mean comparisons of the different model group means, it can be seen that a definite trend occurred in the data. It appears that the data for wide FOV and the all feedback models were similar to those of on-board driving with respect to overall and visual workload. Additionally, wide FOV and all feedback were significantly different from the other teleoperated feedback (binaural, force feedback, low level,
and stereo), even though different from on-board data for cognitive workload. The best evidence of this is seen by examining the graphical representations of the data (see Figures 1 through 6).

The reason for the similarities of the modeled data among on-board, wide FOV, and all feedback models appears to be because of the number of tasks that are affected by the feedback. The feedback qualities of the modeled conditions are similar because of the task workload similarity. Using the on-board model as a baseline comparison, wide FOV and all feedback data seem to be worth examining through the use of further modeling and model validation via field data collection during teleoperated driving conditions.

The author believes that the utility of the wide FOV and of course, the all feedback models, is for the most part, supported by the relevant scientific literature. The all feedback model is of particular concern for future modeling efforts because of the potentially strong effect of combining all possible feedback modes.

The author further believes that the modeled combination of all feedback modes, except for binaural (auditory) feedback, would have yielded stronger results beyond those reflected for wide field of view and all feedback combined. This hypothesized effect is expected because of the relatively high overall workload seen in the binaural feedback condition.

In the future, factorial analysis of the effects of different combinations of sensory feedback modes will benefit those teleoperated systems developers looking for the right mix of sensory feedback, for the particular task needs of the system. It is believed that in order to learn more about the interaction effects of all feedback scenarios, a factorial design or a specially selected set of feedback combinations for workload comparison should be tried in the future.

Overall, the confidence in the “generality” of the overall workload data is high, because of the examination of workload for each operator task and the common sense approach to sensory feedback modes. However, specific workload data to be used for the purpose of equipment design or fabrication would be foolhardy without model validation through the comparison of real workload data.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

A. Collect real task times from soldiers in the user population.
To enhance the fidelity of the models herein and in the future, it is suggested that task times to be included in the MAN-SEVAL models be collected from a large pool of actual system users. The task times from the larger pool of real users should prove to be more stable estimates. A current ARL effort with the project manager of unmanned ground vehicles (PMUGV) will accomplish this.

B. Verify the workload ratings of teleoperated tasks in MAN-SEVAL.

A truer method of estimating workload from different types of tasks should be included in the McCracken-Aldrich workload scales. A listing of all teleoperated tasks as they relate precisely to the workload scales should be developed for future modeling. Presently, tasks must be identified and a similar but not exact task is given in the workload scales. This would require the consultation of a workload expert.

C. Performing Maintenance and RSTA mission modeling.

RSTA should be examined in future modeling efforts to provide a more complete approach to the area of teleoperated mission and payload operation.

Additionally, it has been suggested that a maintenance workload and task time model be developed along with mission-oriented clothing restrictions as variations of the maintenance models (mission-oriented protective posture [MOPP] and arctic clothing). This will be performed in the near future by ARL to support PMUGV efforts.

D. Develop a common workload measure for data transfer from experimental to modeling environments.

Compare any experimental data findings with modeled predictions to determine if the modeling effort established in this report can be validated or verified. This may also encompass the use of a workload rating system such as the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) task load index (TLX) or other measures to successfully capture the four channels of workload as used in MAN-SEVAL. NASA TLX data can be transformed into “overall workload” data. However, there is no direct or standardized workload format. Such a format is needed to transfer common workload measures from the experimental situation into the modeling situation.

An effort to begin investigation in this area, which will be fostered by a Phase II small business innovative research (SBIR) contract, is progressing at ARL.
BIBLIOGRAPHY


APPENDIX A

MCCracken-Aldrich Workload Scales
# MCCCRACKEN-ALDRICH WORKLOAD SCALES

McCracken-Aldrich Scale Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale Value</th>
<th>Descriptor</th>
<th>Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>No Visual Activity</td>
<td>Visual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Visually Register/Detect (detect occurrence of image)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Visually Discriminate (detect visual difference)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Visually Inspect/Check (discrete inspection/static condition)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Visually Locate/Align (selective orientation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Visually Track/Follow (maintain orientation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Visually Read (symbol)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>Visually Scan/Search/Monitor (continuous/serial inspection, multiple conditions)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>No Cognitive Activity</td>
<td>Cognitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Automatic (simple association)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Alternative selection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Sign/Signal Recognition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>Evaluation/Judgment (consider single aspect)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Encoding/Decoding, Recall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>Evaluation/Judgment (consider several aspects)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>Estimation, Calculation, Conversion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>No Auditory Activity</td>
<td>Auditory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Detect/Register Sound (detect occurrence of sound)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Orient to Sound (general orientation/attention)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Orient to Sound (selective orientation/attention)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Verify Auditory Feedback (detect occurrence of anticipated sound)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>Interpret Semantic Content (speech)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>Discriminate Sound Characteristics (detect auditory differences)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>Interpret Sound Patterns (pulse rates, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>No Psychomotor Activity</td>
<td>Psychomotor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Speech</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Discrete Actuation (button, toggle, trigger)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Continuous Adjustive (flight control, sensor control)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>Manipulative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Discrete Adjustive (rotary, vertical thumb wheel, lever position)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>Symbolic Production (writing)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>Serial Discrete Manipulation (keyboard entries)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B

WORKLOAD BREAKDOWN BY SENSORY FEEDBACK TYPE
WORKLOAD BREAKDOWN BY SENSORY FEEDBACK TYPE

**Key:**
OB - On-Board Driving  LL - Low Level Feedback  BI - Binaural Feedback  
FF - Force Feedback  ST - Stereo Vision  WF - Wide Field of View  
AF - All Feedback Combined

**Note.** In the following tables, blank data spaces signify data from the Low Level Feedback condition that did not change as a result of the sensory feedback mode.

---

**Function 1:**  Move to Area of Operations (dummy function)

---

**Function 2:**  Conduct Surveillance

**Tasks:**
1. Conduct Surveillance (dummy)
2. Detection of Status Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct Surveillance</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection of Status</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change LL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change BI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change FF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change ST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change WF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change AF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 1.2 | .6  | 1.0 | 1.0 |

---

3. Alerted by External Source

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alerted by External</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source LL</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alerted by External</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source ST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alerted by External</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source WF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alerted by External</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source AF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 1.0 | .6  | .6  |

---

4. Type of Change (dummy)
5. Course (dummy)
6. Is Course in FOV?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is Course in FOV?</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course Not in FOV</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 1.0 | .6  | .6  |

---

7. Course Not in FOV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course Not in FOV</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course Not in FOV</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 1.2 | .6  | .6  |

---
8. Alter Vehicle Orientation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aud</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 4.8
Fastest Time to Compete (s) 2.5

9. Course in FOV (dummy)
10. Search (dummy)
11. Visual (dummy)

12. Look Up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aud</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.5
Fastest Time to Compete (s) 1.2

13. Look Down

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aud</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.5
Fastest Time to Compete (s) 1.2

14. Look Left

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aud</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.5
Fastest Time to Compete (s) 1.2

15. Look Right

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aud</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.5
Fastest Time to Compete (s) 1.2

16. Auditory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aud</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.2
Fastest Time to Compete (s) 1.0

17. Dummy
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>18. ID Course?</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD COG PSYM VIS</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audible</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fastest Time to Compete (s)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>19. Does ID Course</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD COG PSYM VIS</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audible</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fastest Time to Compete (s)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>20. Does Not ID Course</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD COG PSYM VIS</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audible</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fastest Time to Compete (s)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>21. On Course</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD COG PSYM VIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fastest Time to Compete (s)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>22. Not on Course</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD COG PSYM VIS</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audible</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fastest Time to Compete (s)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>23. Consult Navigational Aid</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD COG PSYM VIS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audible</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fastest Time to Compete (s)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>24. ID Potential Obstacles/Hazards?</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD COG PSYM VIS</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audible</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fastest Time to Compete (s)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Does ID Hazards</td>
<td>LL</td>
<td>OB</td>
<td>BI</td>
<td>FF</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td>AF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fastest Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Does Not ID Hazards</td>
<td>LL</td>
<td>OB</td>
<td>BI</td>
<td>FF</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td>AF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fastest Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Hazard Immediate Threat?</td>
<td>LL</td>
<td>OB</td>
<td>BI</td>
<td>FF</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td>AF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fastest Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Immediate Threat, Alter Course</td>
<td>LL</td>
<td>OB</td>
<td>BI</td>
<td>FF</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td>AF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fastest Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Not Immediate Threat</td>
<td>LL</td>
<td>OB</td>
<td>BI</td>
<td>FF</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td>AF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Function 3: Monitor Vehicle Instruments

Tasks:

1. Monitor Instruments (dummy)
2. Frequent (dummy)
3. Infrequent (dummy)
4. Monitor Speedometer
   | LL | OB | BI | FF | ST | WF | AF |
   | AUD | 5.3 |   |   |   |   | 5.3 |
   | COG |   |   |   |   |   |   |
   | PSYM |   |   | 4 |   |   | 4 |
5. Monitor Tachometer
<p>| LL | OB | BI | FF | ST | WF | AF |
| AUD | 5.3 |   |   |   |   | 5.3 |
| COG |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| PSYM |   |   | 4 |   |   | 4 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>AUD</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Monitor Fuel Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Monitor Oil Pressure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Monitor Engine Temperature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Monitor Battery Voltage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Verify Normal Range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Normal Range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Not Normal Range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Stop Vehicle and Monitor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fastest Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fastest Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Function 4: Monitor Vehicle Status

**Tasks:**

1. Monitor Vehicle Status (dummy)

2. Monitor Vehicle Pitch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUD</th>
<th>COG</th>
<th>PSYM</th>
<th>VIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 1.8 | 1.0 |
   Fastest Time to Compete (s)     | 1.2 | .6  |

3. Monitor Vehicle Roll

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUD</th>
<th>COG</th>
<th>PSYM</th>
<th>VIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 1.8 | 1.0 |
   Fastest Time to Compete (s)     | 1.2 | .6  |

4. Vehicle Attitude in Normal Range?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUD</th>
<th>COG</th>
<th>PSYM</th>
<th>VIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 2.4 | 1.0 |
   Fastest Time to Compete (s)     | 1.2 | .6  |

5. Normal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUD</th>
<th>COG</th>
<th>PSYM</th>
<th>VIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 1.6 | 1.0 |
   Fastest Time to Compete (s)     | 1.2 | .6  |

6. Not Normal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUD</th>
<th>COG</th>
<th>PSYM</th>
<th>VIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 1.6 | 1.0 |
   Fastest Time to Compete (s)     | 1.2 | .6  |

7. Stop Vehicle, Correct Vehicle Attitude

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUD</th>
<th>COG</th>
<th>PSYM</th>
<th>VIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 18.0 | 12.0 |
   Fastest Time to Compete (s)     | 15.0 | 6.0  |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>8. Monitor Engine Speed</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fastest Time to Compete (s)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **9. Engine Speed in Normal Operation?** |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| AUD     | 4.3| 1  |    |    |    |    |    |
| COG     | 4.6| 4.6| 4.6|    |    |    | 4.6|
| PSYM    | 5.9| 5.9| 5.9|    |    |    | 5.9|
| Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 1.6| 1.0| 1.2|    |    |    | 1.2|
| Fastest Time to Compete (s)     | 1.2| .6 | 1.0|    |    |    | 1.0|

| **10. Normal** |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| AUD     | 4.3| 1  |    |    |    |    | 1  |
| COG     | 4.6| 4.6| 4.6|    |    |    | 4.6|
| PSYM    | 5.9| 5.9| 5.9|    |    |    | 5.9|
| Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 1.6| 1.0| 1.2|    |    |    | 1.2|
| Fastest Time to Compete (s)     | 1.2| .6 | 1.0|    |    |    | 1.0|

| **11. Not Normal** |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| AUD     | 4.3| 1  |    |    |    |    | 1  |
| COG     | 4.6| 4.6| 4.6|    |    |    | 4.6|
| PSYM    | 5.9| 5.9| 5.9|    |    |    | 5.9|
| Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 1.6| 1.0| 1.2|    |    |    | 1.2|
| Fastest Time to Compete (s)     | 1.2| .6 | 1.0|    |    |    | 1.0|

| **12. Slow or Stop Vehicle and Check** |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| AUD     | 1.2|    |    |    |    |    |    |
| COG     | 2.6|    |    |    |    |    |    |

| **13. Monitor Ground Surface** |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| AUD     | 4.3| 4.3|    |    |    |    | 4.3|
| COG     | 3.7| 3.7| 3.7|    |    |    | 3.7|
| PSYM    | 4  | 3.7| 5  |    |    |    | 4  |
| Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 1.6| 1.2| 1.0| 1.0| 1.0|  .9 |  .9 |
| Fastest Time to Compete (s)     | 1.2| 1.0|  .9 |.9 |    |    |    |

| **14. Ground Surface Suitable for Travel?** |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| AUD     | 4.3| 4.3|    |    |    |    | 4.3|
| COG     | 6.8| 3.7| 4.6| 5.3| 4.6|    | 4.6|
| PSYM    | 4  | 3.7| 4  | 4  | 4  |    | 4  |
| Most Likely Time to Complete (s) | 1.6| 1.2| 1.0| 1.0| 1.0| .9 | .9 |
| Fastest Time to Compete (s)     | 1.2| 1.0| .9  |.9 |    |    |    |
15. Suitable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.6
Fastest Time to Compete (s) 1.2

16. Not Suitable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.6
Fastest Time to Compete (s) 1.2

17. Change Course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 3.0
Fastest Time to Compete (s) 1.2

18. (dummy)

Function 5: Perform Self Recovery

Tasks:
1. Perform Self-Recovery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.8
Fastest Time to Compete (s) 1.2

2. Need to Change Direction?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.8
Fastest Time to Compete (s) 1.2

3. Change Needed (dummy)

4. Look to Sides Estimate Correction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.4
Fastest Time to Compete (s) 1.25
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. Change Not Needed</td>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>COG</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fastest Time to Compete (s)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Need to Reverse Direction?</td>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>COG</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fastest Time to Compete (s)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Reverse Needed</td>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>COG</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fastest Time to Compete (s)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Look to Rear, Back-up</td>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>COG</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fastest Time to Compete (s)</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Change Direction</td>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>COG</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fastest Time to Compete (s)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Reverse Not Needed</td>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>COG</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Most Likely Time to Complete (s)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fastest Time to Compete (s)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Proceed (dummy)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Function 6: Nothing Happens (dummy)

Function 7: Steer Vehicle

Tasks:
1. Steer Vehicle (dummy)

2. Steer Right

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.3
Fastest Time to Compete (s) .95

3. Steer Left

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.3
Fastest Time to Compete (s) .95

4. Hold Straight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.3
Fastest Time to Compete (s) .95

5. Let Steering Self-Center

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.3
Fastest Time to Compete (s) .95

6. Verify Center Position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 2.5
Fastest Time to Compete (s) 1.2

7. Verify Steering Control Action

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 2.0
Fastest Time to Compete (s) 1.2
Function 8: Power Vehicle

Tasks:
1. Power Vehicle (dummy)

2. Accelerator (dummy)

3. Apply Accelerator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.3 1.2 1.3
Fastest Time to Compete (s) 1.0 .6 1.0

4. Hold Accelerator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.3 1.2 1.3
Fastest Time to Compete (s) 1.0 .6 1.0

5. Let Off Accelerator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.3 1.2 1.3
Fastest Time to Compete (s) 1.0 .6 1.0

6. Verify Accelerator Action

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.3 1.0 1.0
Fastest Time to Compete (s) .95 .8 .8

7. Brake (dummy)

    Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.3 1.2
    Fastest Time to Compete (s) 1.0 .6

8. Apply Brake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYM</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Likely Time to Complete (s) 1.3 1.2 1.3
Fastest Time to Compete (s) 1.0 .6 1.0
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>COG</td>
<td>PSYM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO. OF COPIES</td>
<td>ORGANIZATION</td>
<td>NO. OF COPIES</td>
<td>ORGANIZATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2            | ADMINISTRATOR  
DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFO CENTER  
ATTN DTIC DDA  
8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD STE 0944  
FT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218 | 1            | HEADQUARTERS USATRADOC  
ATTN ATCD SP  
FORT MONROE VA 23651 |
| 1            | DIRECTOR  
US ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY  
ATTN AMSRL OP SD TA/RD  
RECORDS MANAGEMENT  
2800 POWDER MILL RD  
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197 | 1            | COMMANDER  
USATRADOC  
COMMAND SAFETY OFFICE  
ATTN ATOS (MR PESSAGNO/MR LYNE)  
FORT MONROE VA 23651-5000 |
| 1            | DIRECTOR  
US ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY  
ATTN AMSRL OP SD TL/TECH LIBRARY  
2800 POWDER MILL RD  
ADELPHI MD 207830-1197 | 1            | COMMANDER  
US ARMY MATERIEL COMMAND  
ATTN AMCAM  
5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE  
ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001 |
| 1            | DIRECTOR  
US ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY  
ATTN AMSRL OP SD TP/TECH PUBLISHING BRANCH  
2800 POWDER MILL RD  
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197 | 1            | USA BIOMEDICAL R&D LABORATORY  
ATTN LIBRARY  
FORT DETRIC BUILDING 568  
FREDERICK MD 21702-5010 |
| 1            | DIRECTORATE FOR MANPRINT  
ATTN DAPE MR  
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF PERSONNEL  
300 ARMY PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON DC 20310-0300 | 1            | HQ USAMRDC  
ATTN SGRD PLC  
FORT DETRIC MD 21701 |
| 1            | CODE 1142PS  
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH  
800 N QUINCY STREET  
ARLINGTON VA 22217-5000 | 1            | COMMANDER  
USA AEROMEDICAL RESEARCH LAB  
ATTN LIBRARY  
FORT RUCKER AL 36362-5292 |
| 1            | DR ARTHUR RUBIN  
NATL INST OF STANDARDS & TECH BUILDING 226 ROOM A313  
GAIHITHERSBURG MD 20899 | 1            | US ARMY SAFETY CENTER  
ATTN CSSC SE  
FORT RUCKER AL 36362 |
| 1            | COMMANDER  
US ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
ATTN PERI IR  
(DR E M JOHNSON)  
5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE  
ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-5600 | 1            | CHIEF  
ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
AVIATION R&D ACTIVITY  
ATTN PERI IR  
FORT RUCKER AL 36362-5354 |
| 1            | DEPUTY COMMANDING GENERAL  
ATTN EXS (Q)  
MARINE CORPS RD&A COMMAND  
QUANTICO VA 22134 | 1            | TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER  
HQ S TRADOC TEST & EXPERIMENTATION  
CMD EXPERIMENTATION CENTER  
BLDG 2925  
FORT ORD CA 93941-7000 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AAMRL/HE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMMANDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OH</td>
<td>WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE</td>
<td>WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45433-6573</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ATTN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TECHNICAL LIBRARY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NM 88002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>US ARMY NATICK RD&amp;E CENTER</td>
<td>STRICOM</td>
<td>12350 RESEARCH PARKWAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATTN STRNC YBA</td>
<td>ORLANDO FL  32826-3276</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NATICK MA 01760-5020</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMMANDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USA TANK-AUTOMOTIVE R&amp;D CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>US ARMY TROOP SUPPORT CMD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>USA TANK-AUTOMOTIVE R&amp;D CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NATICK RD&amp;E CENTER</td>
<td>COMANDER</td>
<td>ATTN AMSTA RS/D REES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATTN BEHAVIORAL SCI DIV SSD</td>
<td>WARREN MI  48090</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NATICK MA 01760-5020</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMANDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USA TANK-AUTOMOTIVE R&amp;D CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>US ARMY TROOP SUPPORT CMD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>USA TANK-AUTOMOTIVE R&amp;D CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NATICK RD&amp;E CENTER</td>
<td>COMANDER</td>
<td>ATTN AMSTA TSL (TECH LIBRARY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATTN TECH LIBRARY (STRNC MIL) NATICK MA 01760-5040</td>
<td>WARREN MI 48397-5000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>HQ USA RESEARCH INST OF ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMANDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATTN MEDRI CL (DR J KOBRICK)</td>
<td>COMANDER</td>
<td>USA COLD REGIONS TEST CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NATICK MA 01760-5007</td>
<td>USA COLD REGIONS TEST CENTER</td>
<td>ATTN STECR TS A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>APO AP 96508-7850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DR RICHARD JOHNSON</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>US ARMY RSCH DEV STDZN GP-UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HEALTH &amp; PERFORMANCE DIVISION US ARIEM</td>
<td>US ARMY RSCH DEV STDZN GP-UK</td>
<td>ATTN DR MIKE STOUT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NATICK MA 01760-5007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PSC 802 BOX 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FPO AE 09499-1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>USAF ARMSTRONG LAB/CFTO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PURDUE UNIVERSITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATTN DR F WESLEY BAUMGARDNER</td>
<td>PURDUE UNIVERSITY</td>
<td>SERIALS UNIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SUSTAINED OPERATIONS BRANCH</td>
<td>PURDUE UNIVERSITY</td>
<td>CDM KARDEX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BROOKS AFB TX 78235-5000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1535 STEWART CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST LAFAYETTE IN 47907-1535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DR JON FALLESEN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>GOVT PUBLICATIONS LIBRARY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ARI FIELD UNIT</td>
<td>GOVT PUBLICATIONS LIBRARY</td>
<td>409 WILSON M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 3407</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FORT LEAVENWORTH KS 66027-0347</td>
<td>UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA</td>
<td>MINNEAPOLIS MN 55455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ARI FIELD UNIT FORT KNOX BUILDING 2423 PERI IK FORT KNOX KY 40121-5620</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DR HARVEY A TAUB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RSCH SECTION PSYCH SECTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VETERANS ADMIN HOSPITAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IRVING AVENUE &amp; UNIVERSITY PLACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SYRACUSE NY 13210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMMANDANT USA ARTILLERY &amp; MISSILE SCHOOL ATTN USAAMS TECH LIBRARY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DR ANTHONY DEBONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FORT SILL OK 73503</td>
<td>DR ANTHONY DEBONS</td>
<td>IDIS UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PITTSBURGH PA 15260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IDIS UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SUITE 227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMMANDER WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE ATTN STEWS TE RE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DR ROBERT KENNEDY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE NM 88002</td>
<td>DR ROBERT KENNEDY</td>
<td>ESSEX CORPORATION SUITE 227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1040 WOODCOCK ROAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ORLANDO FL 32803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO. OF COPIES</td>
<td>ORGANIZATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1             | DR NANCY ANDERSON  
DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND  
COLLEGE PARK MD 20742 |
| 1             | MR LARRY W AVERY  
BATTELLE PACIFIC NW LABS  
PO BOX 999 MAIL STOP K6-66  
RICHLAND WA 99352 |
| 1             | LIBRARY  
ESSEX CORPORATION  
SUITE 510  
1430 SPRING HILL ROAD  
MCLEAN VA 22102-3000 |
| 1             | DR BEN B MORGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA  
PO BOX 25000  
ORLANDO FL 32816 |
| 1             | LAWRENCE C PERLMUTER PHD  
UNIV OF HEALTH SCIENCES  
THE CHICAGO MEDICAL SCHOOL  
DEPT OF PSYCHOLOGY  
3333 GREEN BAY ROAD  
NORTH CHICAGO IL 60064 |
| 1             | MR AJ ARNOLD STAFF PROJECT ENG  
HUMAN FACTORS DEPARTMENT  
GENERAL MOTORS SYSTEMS ENG  
1151 CROOKS ROAD  
TROY MI 48084 |
| 1             | GENERAL DYNAMICS  
LAND SYSTEMS DIV LIBRARY  
PO BOX 1901  
WARREN MI 48090 |
| 1             | DR LLOYD A AVANT  
DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY  
IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY  
AMES IA 50010 |
| 1             | DR MM AYOUB DIRECTOR  
INST FOR ERGONOMICS RESEARCH  
TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY  
LUBBOCK TX 79409 |
| 1             | MR KENNETH C Crombie  
TECHNICAL LIBRARIAN E104  
DELCO SYSTEMS OPERATIONS  
6767 HOLLISTER AVENUE  
GOLETA CA 93117 |
| 1             | MR WALT TRUSZKOWSKI  
CODE 522.3  
NASA/GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER  
GREENBELT MD 20771 |
| 1             | DR TOM MALONE  
CARLOW ASSOCIATES INC  
SUITE 750  
3141 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE  
FAIRFAX VA 22042 |
| 1             | COMMANDER  
US ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
OF ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE  
NATICK MA 01760-5007 |
| 1             | DR DANIEL J POND  
BATTELLE PN/LK6-66  
PO BOX 999  
RICHLAND WA 99350 |
| 1             | HUMAN FACTORS ENG PROGRAM  
DEPT OF BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING &  
COMPUTER SCIENCE  
WRIGHT STATE UNIVERSITY  
DAYTON OH 45435 |
| 1             | PEO ARMORED SYS MODERNIZATION  
US ARMY TANK-AUTOMOTIVE CMD  
ATTN SFAE ASM S  
WARREN MI 48397-5000 |
| 1             | PEO COMBAT SUPPORT  
ATTN AMCPEO CS  
US ARMY TANK AUTOMOTIVE CMD  
WARREN MI 48397-5000 |
| 1             | PEO INTELLIGENCE & ELECTRONIC  
WARFARE  
ATTN AMCPEO IEW  
VINT HILL FARMS STATION BLDG 197  
WARRENTON VA 22186-5115 |
| 1             | DENNIS L SCHMICKLY  
CREW SYSTEMS ENGINEERING  
MCDONELL DOUGLAS HELICOPTER  
5000 EAST MCDOWELL ROAD  
MESA AZ 85205-9797 |
| 1             | JON TATRO  
HUMAN FACTORS SYSTEM DESIGN  
BELL HELICOPTER TEXTRON INC  
PO BOX 482 MAIL STOP 6  
FT WORTH TX 76101 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CHIEF CREW SYSTEMS INTEGRATION SIKORSKY AIRCRAFT M/S S3258 NORTH MAIN STREET STRATFORD CT 06602</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HQ US SPECIAL OPERATIONS CMD AMC FAST SCIENCE ADVISER ATTN SOSD MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE TAMPA FL 33608-0442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>OASD (FM&amp;P) WASHINGTON DC 20301-4000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HQ US ARMY EUROPE AND 7TH ARMY ATTN AAGX SA OFFICE OF THE SCIENCE ADVISER APO AE 09014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMMANDER MARINE CORPS SYSTEMS COMMAND ATTN CBGT QUANTICO VA 22134-5080</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMMANDER HQ 21ST THEATER ARMY AREA CMD AMC FAST SCIENCE ADVISER ATTN AERSA APO AE 09263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DIRECTOR AMC-FIELD ASSIST IN SCIENCE &amp; TECHNOLOGY ATTN AMC-FAST (RICHARD FRANSEEN) FT BELVOIR VA 22060-5606</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMMANDER HEADQUARTERS USEUCOM AMC FAST SCIENCE ADVISER UNIT 30400 BOX 138 APO AE 09128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMMANDER US ARMY FORCES COMMAND ATTN FCDJ SA BLDG 600 AMC FAST SCIENCE ADVISER FT MCPHERSON GA 30330-6000</td>
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Recent quality increases of sensory feedback for teleoperation are allowing greater sensory immersion for the teleoperator. High speed and off-road driving conditions are critical teleoperator performance hurdles. The primary challenge to the development of good teleoperated systems is the basic driving task essential to the delivery of a mission package. The Human Research and Engineering Directorate of the U.S. Army Research Laboratory is involved with the advance of sensory feedback technologies in teleoperated systems and examines the effect of these technologies on teleoperator performance. Several driving models reflecting different sensory feedback modes were built in MAN-SEVAL, a module of HARDMAN III. Seven models were built in all, including one baseline model of on-board driving. The six other models consisted of low level feedback, binaural feedback, force feedback, stereovision, wide field of view, and all teleoperated feedback combined. These models have identical functional and task flow structures; however, task workloads were altered in each model, based upon the impact of the sensory feedback technology. The purpose of this effort was to 1) define the functions and tasks of the teleoperated driver, 2) formulate teleoperated driving models to assess the teleoperator’s driving workload during varying sensory feedback conditions, and 3) develop a prediction tool for the impact of technology on teleoperator workload. Iterative model executions provided data that yielded significant differences between on-board and teleoperated workload as well as among workload for teleoperated models only.