# Iron Drinking Water Pipe Corrosion Products: Concentrators of Toxic Metals

The capability of iron pipe corrosion products in active drinking water systems to concentrate metal ions, such as Pb, Sr and V from treated drinking water and mechanisms that can cause consumer exposures and releases back into the environment will be presented. We propose that sorption is the main mechanism concentrating metal ions on the surfaces of iron corrosion products. Typically metal concentrations in the corrosion products are an order(s) of magnitude greater than in the water entering the distribution system. Several mechanisms, including hydraulic disturbances, chemical disassociations, road work, or earthquakes, can result in acute exposures of consumers or concentrated releases of toxic metal back into the environment.
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ABSTRACT

The capability of iron pipe corrosion products in active drinking water systems to concentrate metal ions, such as Pb, Sr and V from treated drinking water and mechanisms that can cause consumer exposures and releases back into the environment will be presented. We propose that sorption is the main mechanism concentrating metal ions on the surfaces of iron corrosion products. Typically metal concentrations in the corrosion products are an order(s) of magnitude greater than in the water entering the distribution system. Several mechanisms, including hydraulic disturbances, chemical disassociations, road work, or earthquakes, can result in acute exposures of consumers or concentrated releases of toxic metals back into the environment.
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INTRODUCTION

As the world's population increases, so will the demand for safe drinking water,¹ which is essential for human existence. Thus, developing an understanding now of how metals concentrate to, and later desorb from, corroded lead and iron drinking water pipes is critical to being able to deliver safe drinking water to consumers worldwide.

Lead (Pb) drinking water pipes and associated corrosion products are a known health risk. In addition Pb corrosion products may be sinks for other metals such as chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), manganese (Mn), and zinc (Zn). These metals are toxic when ingested from drinking water and accordingly are...
regulated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).\textsuperscript{2} Lead piping constitutes a small portion of a drinking water distribution system infrastructure.

Iron (Fe) pipes typically constitute the majority of distribution system's infrastructures and recent studies indicate that Cr, Co, Mn, Pb, and Zn concentrate on the corroded inner surfaces of the pipes.\textsuperscript{3-6} The USEPA reviews its drinking water standards periodically as new research determines additional metals that may be toxic if ingested.

Ingestion of vanadium (V) and non-radioactive strontium from drinking water (Sr) has recently been suggested to be a threat to human health.\textsuperscript{7-11} Based on these findings, the USEPA listed V on the Drinking Water Contaminant Candidate List 2 (CCL 2) and V and Sr\textsuperscript{2+} on the CCL 3\textsuperscript{12} which, if approved, would result in regulatory drinking water limits for both.

In May of 2012 the Director of the USEPA signed the Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule 3 which includes V and Sr\textsuperscript{2+} (UCMR3).\textsuperscript{13} Sampling locations are at the point-of-entry into and at the point-of-maximum residence time in a drinking water distribution system (DWDS). These locations were chosen because water chemistry and quality are changeable as drinking water travels through and interacts with the DWDS infrastructure and associated corrosion products.\textsuperscript{13, 14} Reporting to the USEPA is required if the Sr\textsuperscript{2+} water concentration exceeds 0.3 \(\mu\text{g L}^{-1}\) or V water concentration exceeds 0.2 \(\mu\text{g L}^{-1}\) at either location in the DWDS. Average V drinking water concentrations are not available. Crans et al.\textsuperscript{15} reported that typical V concentrations in surface and ground water were approximately 40 \(\mu\text{g L}^{-1}\). The highest reported concentrations in surface water range from 160 to 220 \(\mu\text{g L}^{-1}\), and are associated with volcanic regions.\textsuperscript{16} Average Sr\textsuperscript{2+} concentration in United States drinking water is approximately 1.1 \(\text{mg L}^{-1}\). Therefore, it is likely that the drinking water of numerous DWDS will exceed the reporting limits for V and Sr\textsuperscript{2+}.

The potential for Sr, V and other toxic metals to concentrate in lead and iron corrosion products is not well documented. Neither is the potential for release if corrosion products are disturbed, either hydraulically or chemically, creating pulses of elevated metal concentrations in the water or metal-rich particulates that could reach the consumer tap.\textsuperscript{4, 17}

The objective of the current study was to determine the abundance, distribution and bonding mechanisms of V and Sr\textsuperscript{2+} in surface layers of iron corrosion products from lead, unlined cast iron and galvanized iron drinking water pipes. In addition to traditional physiochemical characterization techniques we examined V and Sr\textsuperscript{2+} and other metals distribution using micro X-ray fluorescence mapping (\(\mu\)-XRF). Binding mechanisms of V and Sr\textsuperscript{2+} within the corrosion products was examined using \textit{in-situ} micro X-ray adsorption near edge spectroscopy (\(\mu\)-XANES). The amount of V and Sr\textsuperscript{2+} that could potentially be reintroduced into drinking water from iron corrosion products was examined using particulates collected at the point-of-entry into a consumer's home. The combined data provide a preliminary assessment of the extent of a potential reservoir of V and Sr\textsuperscript{2+} in a single drinking water distribution system and its mobility.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE**

Sample selection and preparation

All pipe sections were cut longitudinally with a saw, allowed to air dry for up to 72 h, and imaged using a Canon G3\textsuperscript{1} digital camera. A Pb service line and two galvanized Fe premise pipes were obtained from Utility B (UB), a fully operational drinking water distribution system (DWDS). Mound-shaped Fe
corrosion products were obtained from an unlined cast iron residential main from Utility A (UA) also a fully operational DWDS. While in service, all pipes were exposed to daily periods of stagnation.

Sub-samples (up to 3.0 g) from iron pipes were obtained from regions within the mounds, i.e., core, shell and surface layers (Figure 1). Colors for each layer were determined using standard color chart of Cornell and Schwertmann. Lead corrosion product sub-samples were obtained based on color.

![Figure 1: Schematic of idealized internal structure of a mound-shaped iron corrosion product from DWDS (after)](image)

All corrosion product samples were ground by hand with an agate mortar and pestle and aliquots were used for mineralogical and chemical analyses. Aliquots of each Pb sample were analyzed by ICP-OES at the United States Geologic Survey, Denver, Colorado USA. Subsamples from each region of the Fe corrosion products (approximately 0.25 g) were mixed with 2.25 g of cellulose and pressed into 31 mm pellets for X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis.

Two representative Fe corrosion products from UA (samples UA11 and UA13) and one from UB were processed for synchrotron analyses following protocols of Gerke et al., 2010 and 2012. Each section was digitally photographed. These images were used in selecting locations to obtain μ-XRF elemental maps and for in-situ μ-XANES analyses.

**Sediment filter collection and preparation**

A 25 cm long sediment filter in use for four years (2008 to 2012) in UA was removed from a home, transported to the laboratory and air-dried. The filter was cut in half longitudinally and laterally (Figure 2) and two 12.5 cm long strips were removed from the outer wall. These strips were placed in sterile beakers and heated to 200 °C for 1 h. Heating melted the filter material, creating disks that were used for XRF analysis (Figure 1). The heating did not alter the concentrations of the metals of interest.

![Figure 2: Image of a 25 cm long sediment filter and XRF disks from a home in Utility A. Filter was in use for four years.](image)
Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD)

Powder XRD analyses for all Pb samples were conducted using a Scintag XDS-2000 with Cu Kα radiation at USEPA, Cincinnati, OH (Gerke et al. 2009). All Fe samples were analyzed using a Siemens D-500 automated diffractometer system equipped with a Cu Kα tube at the Department of Geology, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH. Crystalline phases were identified following the protocol of Gerke et al. 4.

ICP-OES and X-ray fluorescence analyses

Scale material from layers of the Pb pipe corrosion products were analyzed by ICP-OES at the US Geological Survey in Denver. Pressed pellets and sediment filter disks were analyzed for major oxides and trace elements using a Rigaku 3070 X-ray fluorescence spectrometer (Department of Geology, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH). Intensity data were converted to percent (by weight) or mg kg⁻¹ following the protocol of Gerke et al. 4.

Synchrotron μ-X-ray absorption near edge structure (μ-XANES) and μ-XRF mapping run conditions and analysis

X-ray μ-beam studies were performed at beamline XOR/PNC 20 BM-B and MRCAT Sector 10 of the Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory (Argonne, IL). The protocols for micro-XANES and micro-XRF mapping for V and Pb are published in Gerke et al. 5, 6. Three Sr K-edge μ-XANES scans were collected at ambient temperature in fluorescence mode with a solid-state 13-element germanium solid-state Canberra detector for samples UA11 and UA13 at beamline XOR/PNC 20 BM-B. The monochromator beam energy position at both beamlines was calibrated by assigning the first inflection of the adsorption edge of Sr²⁺ to 1,605 eV following the protocol of O'Day et al. 25. Bulk XANES scans of the Pb, V and Sr standards were collected. All spectra were placed on the same energy grid by element and aligned, averaged, normalized, and the background removed by spline fitting using IFEFFIT. 26

Linear combination fitting (LCF) was conducted on the first derivative of the normalized (E) XANES spectra of the standards and samples. Levenberg–Marquardt least squares algorithm was applied to a fit range of -10 or -20 to 80 eV. Each LCF analysis encompassed 124 to 180 data points of a given sample spectrum and five standard spectra. Best-fit scenarios were defined as having the smallest residual error and the sum of all fractions was close to 1. To fully describe any particular sample within 1% reproducible error, a minimum of two components was necessary, and results have a ±10 percent accuracy.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Strontium in the Fe pipe and sediment filter particulate from Utility A

Strontium concentrations in the surface layers of the 18 iron corrosion products from UA ranged from 3 to 128 mg kg⁻¹ (sample UA11 and UA13 data provided; Table 1). The average Sr²⁺ concentration for surface layers was 38 mg kg⁻¹ and the Sr²⁺ concentrations of the sediment filter particulates ranged from 39.74 to 40.77 mg kg⁻¹, with an average of 40.26 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 1).

1 Trade name.
2 Trade name.
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Table 1. Bulk powder X-ray fluorescence results for Fe corrosion products from four drinking water distribution systems and two iron particulate sediment filter samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utility</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Metals</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Sr</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Zn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mg kg(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Iron pipe samples</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA11</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td></td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shell</td>
<td></td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Veinlets</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Core</td>
<td></td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA13</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shell</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Veinlets</td>
<td></td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Core</td>
<td></td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBFe1</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td></td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>19797</td>
<td>69981</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>3866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shell</td>
<td></td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5356</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Core</td>
<td></td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>12418</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>1860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sediment filter particulate samples</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UASFLT1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.71</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6522</td>
<td>45100</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UASFLT2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4692</td>
<td>49155</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lead pipe samples</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UB Pb1</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11000</td>
<td>406100</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>5480</td>
<td>770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UB Pb1</td>
<td>L2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3670</td>
<td>502100</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>2650</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UB Pb1</td>
<td>L3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>679400</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UB Pb2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UB Pb2</td>
<td>L2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>11000</td>
<td>522000</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>8500</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UB Pb2</td>
<td>L3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>3860</td>
<td>629000</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td>744</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(bd = \text{below detection}\)

\(In-situ \mu\text{-XANES spectra from samples UA11 (one spectrum) and UA13 (two spectra) are shown in Figure 3. The Sr K-edge in-situ \mu\text{-XANES spectra for UA11 Point 1 and UA13 Points 1 and 2 have prominent peaks at 16,110, 16,120, and 16,156 eV (Figure 3). The energies of the predominant sample peaks corresponded to characteristic peaks of the standards (Table 2).}\)
Figure 3: Strontium K-edge spectra for bulk XANES Sr standards and the in-situ μ-XANES for samples a) UA11 point 1 (UA11PT1) and UA13 points 1 and 2 (UA13PT1, and UA13PT2). Sr standards are CaCO₃ in which some of the Ca²⁺ sites have been filled with Sr²⁺ (Sr incorp. CaCO₃) and Sr adsorbed to the following: α-FeOOH (Sr abs. α-FeOOH), γ-FeOOH (Sr abs. γ-FeOOH), Fe₃O₄ (Sr abs. Fe₃O₄), and CaCO₃ (Sr abs. CaCO₃).

Table 2. Strontium K-edge μ-XANES characteristic peak energies for the five Sr standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Characteristic Peaks (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16,110.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr abs. α-FeOOH</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr abs. γ-FeOOH</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr abs. Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr abs. CaCO₃</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr incorp. CaCO₃</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LCF spectra, generated using all standards and the μ-XANES spectrum of a given sample, were superimposed on the first derivative spectrum of UA11 and UA13 (Figure 4). Sixty to 83.8% of the Sr²⁺ was adsorbed to or bound in CaCO₃ (Table 3). This finding was not unexpected because Sr²⁺ has a high affinity for binding with or adsorbing to CaCO₃. The remaining Sr²⁺ in the surface layers was adsorbed to α-FeOOH (16 to 39%) (Table 3). Based on the work of Carroll et al. and Sahai et al., Sr²⁺ was likely adsorbed to α-FeOOH by outer-sphere complexation.
Figure 4: a) Linear combination fitting (red lines) and the first derivative of the normalized \( \mu(E) \) (black lines) of the \( \mu \)-XANES of Sr K-edge spectra for UA11PT1, UA13PT1, UA13PT2, and UB1PT1.

Table 3. Linear combination fitting results for Sr K-edge \( \mu \)-XANES spectra in Fig. 4. Data presented as weighted percents (±10%) over the fit range of -20 to 80 eV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Sr abs. ( \alpha )-FeOOH</th>
<th>Sr abs. ( \gamma )-FeOOH</th>
<th>Sr abs. CaCO(_3)</th>
<th>Sr incorp. CaCO(_3)</th>
<th>R-factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine Disinfection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA11 Point 1</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>0.0196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA13 Point 1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>0.0235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA13 Point 2</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vanadium in the Pb and Fe pipes from Utility B

Vanadium concentrations in the surface layers of the 14 lead corrosion products from UB ranged from 237 to 8,500 mg kg\(^{-1}\). The average V concentration for surface layers was 3,260 mg kg\(^{-1}\). Vanadium concentrations in the surface layers of three iron pipes from UB ranged from 9 to 86 mg kg\(^{-1}\), with an average of 39 mg kg\(^{-1}\). Data for two representative Pb corrosion samples (UBPb1 and UBPb2) and one representative Fe corrosion product (UBFe1) are provided in Table 1.

Micro-XRF mapping of samples UBPb2 and UBFe1 found regions of discrete high vanadium concentration in the outer most portions of the corrosion products (Figure 5; only UBFe1 presented).
Figure 5: a) is a digital image of the 35 micron thick cross-section of UBFe1. The red box corresponds to the general region mapped by µ-XRF and the arrows indicate the core (C), shell layer (HSL), and surface layer and b) is the µ-XRF maps of the distribution and relative concentration of Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Pb, V, and Zn. Where blue indicates the lowest relative concentrations and red the highest relative concentrations.

Locations of relatively high V concentrations in UBPb2 and UBFe1 were analyzed by µ-XANES. The µ-XANES spectra had distinct normalized pre-edge peak positions between 5,469.46 and 5,469.5 eV with an absolute position approximately 4.5 eV above 5,465 eV (absolute position for V metal). Also intensities ranged from 0.928 to 1.046 and the absolute derivative peak positions of the main edge were between 16.44 and 16.52 eV. Robust methods developed by Chaurand\textsuperscript{33} and Wong et al.\textsuperscript{34} were used in this study to identify the V-rich phases. Vanadinite [Pb$_5$(V$^{5+}$O$_4$)$_3$Cl] was the vanadium-rich phase identified based on the normalized pre-edge peak positions (Figure 6) (Table 4).\textsuperscript{33, 34}

Figure 6: Normalized µ-XANES of V K edge spectra from SampleB1. White boxes in the digital images are the locations of the µ-XRF maps for pipe B. The notation for the sample IDs is as follows: B1 refers to the pipe, Sc1 or Sc2 refers to the µ-XRF map number for a given sample, and Pt1 or Pt2 refers to the location within a given µ-XRF that the V K-edge spectra were obtained.
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Table 4. Detailed XANES analysis for intensity and position of pre-edge peak and derivative main edge peak position.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Identification</th>
<th>Normalized Intensity</th>
<th>Absolution Position (eV)</th>
<th>Main Edge $E_{1/2}$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UBFe1 (Sc1Pt1)</td>
<td>1.011</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>16.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBFe1 (Sc1Pt2)</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>16.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBFe1 (Sc2Pt1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>16.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBFe1 (Sc2Pt2)</td>
<td>1.051</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>16.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPb2 (Pt2)</td>
<td>1.010</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>16.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References

- Vanadinite: 0.996, 4.50, 16.49
- Lenoblike: 0.398, 5.20, 17.05
- V(V) Oxide: 0.320, 5.62, 15.30
- V(IV,V) Oxide: 0.381, 4.71, 14.71
- V metal: 0.281, 0.98, 8.80

Ninety-one to 98% of the V present in these samples was present as vanadinite and the remaining 2 to 9% percent was identified as V(V) oxide, based on LCF data (Table 5). The presence of V(V) oxide could suggest that the vanadate oxyanion is forming or that vanadate ions are adsorbing to available Pb ions or onto the iron oxide/oxyhydroxide mineral surfaces within the iron pipe corrosion by-products.

Table 5. Linear combination fitting results for vanadium XANES spectra in Figure 5. Data presented as weighted percents over a fit range of -20 to 80 eV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Identification</th>
<th>Vanadinite</th>
<th>Lenoblike</th>
<th>V(V) Oxide</th>
<th>V(IV,V) Oxide</th>
<th>V metal</th>
<th>R-factor $^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UBFe1 (Sc1Pt1)</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBFe1 (Sc1Pt2)</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBFe1 (Sc2Pt1)</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBFe1 (Sc2Pt2)</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPb2 (Pt2)</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The notation for the sample IDs is as follows: B1 refers to the pipe, Sc1 or Sc2 refers to the μ-XRF map number for the given sample, and Pt1 or Pt2 refers to the location within a given μ-XRF map where the V K-edge spectra were obtained. $^a$ R-factor = [(data-fit)$^2$]/[data$^2$]

Distribution of other toxic metals in Pb and Fe pipe corrosion products

Bulk XRF analyses of Pb and Fe pipe corrosion products, regardless of drinking water distribution system, show that in general the highest concentrations of Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sr, V, and Zn tended to be in the surface layers (Table 1). The fine-scale distribution of these elements mapped using synchrotron-based μ-XRF (Figure 5) displayed similar trends.
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CONCLUSIONS

Strontium concentrations in the Fe corrosion products from UA ranged from 3 to 128 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and the Sr\(^{2+}\) concentration in the associated drinking water was 300 \(\mu\)g L\(^{-1}\). For UB the Pb and Fe corrosion product Sr\(^{2+}\) concentrations ranged from 22 to 139 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and the Sr\(^{2+}\) concentration in the treated drinking water ranged from 164 to 174 \(\mu\)g L\(^{-1}\).

In Case of V, from UB the Pb corrosion products had concentrations that ranged from 5,480 to 8,500 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (Pb corrosion) and in the Fe corrosion products the concentration was 899 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (Table 1). The associated V drinking water concentration for UB was 1.4 to 1.7 \(\mu\)g L\(^{-1}\). Vanadium concentrations in the Fe corrosion products from UA ranged from 58 to 83 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and the V concentration in the associated drinking water was below detection (1.0 \(\mu\)g L\(^{-1}\)).

Average V and Sr\(^{2+}\) concentration in the filter particulates from UA were 12 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 40 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively. These concentrations were approximately the same as those of the surface layers of the Fe corrosion products examined from UA (Table 1). Also the concentrations of Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Pb, and Zn were similar to the concentrations found in surface layers of Pb corrosion products of that same DWDS (data not shown) and UB (Table 1). Regardless of the distribution system, it is clear that Pb and Fe corrosion products not only concentrate toxic metals but in concentrations that are at least an order of magnitude greater than the concentration found in the water to which they were exposed. These findings indicate the potential of large toxic metal reservoirs in distribution systems that, if disturbed, could produce pulses of drinking water with elevated toxic metal concentrations.
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