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ABSTRACT

Decades of heavy investment in laboratory-based brain imaging and neuroscience have led to foundational insights into how humans sense, perceive, and interact with the external world. However, it is argued that fundamental differences between laboratory-based and naturalistic human behavior may exist. Thus, it remains unclear how well the current knowledge of human brain function translates into the highly dynamic real world. While some demonstrated successes in real-world neurotechnologies are observed, particularly in the area of brain-computer interaction technologies, innovations and developments to date are limited to a small science and technology community. We posit that advancements in real-world neuroimaging tools for use by a broad-based workforce will dramatically enhance neurotechnology applications that have the potential to radically alter human–system interactions across all aspects of everyday life. We discuss the efforts of a joint government-academic-industry team to take an integrative, interdisciplinary, and multi-aspect approach to translate current technologies into devices that are truly fieldable across a range of environments. Results from initial work, described here, show promise for dramatic advances in the field that will rapidly enhance our ability to assess brain activity in real-world scenarios.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding human behavior and, at its core, the human brain has long been considered critical to creating revolutionary technologies. Over the past three decades, significant developments in novel laboratory-based experimental neurotechnologies have led to meaningful and nuanced insights into the connection between human experience and nervous system structure and function; a connection that is considered to be the foundation for understanding how we sense, perceive, and interact with the external world [1], [2]. However, both theoretical and experimental evidence suggests that there may be fundamental differences in how the human brain functions to control behavior when it is situated in ecologically-valid environments (i.e., situated cognition) versus that observed in highly-controlled laboratory environments. This generalizability issue defines the need to expand the capability of neuroimaging technologies beyond the laboratory and into real-world environments where natural human, task, and environmental interactions can be studied. Further, such an extension of neurotechnologies is expected to have a dramatic impact on the rise of novel brain-computer interaction technologies (BCITs; see for discussion: [3], [4]). BCITs are potentially revolutionary technologies that are moving human-systems communication beyond the mere transmission of information between man and machine and toward the capability for mutually-derived data analysis, interpretation, and prediction. The latter is a core capability that has the potential to radically alter human–system interactions across all aspects of everyday life.

This article discusses the current state of neuroimaging technologies and the lack of any laboratory-grade system specifically designed for real-world neuroimaging. In large part, real-world neurotechnology development is limited by
the fact that only a relatively small circle of scientists and technology developers are focused on these issues and, related to that, the relative inaccessibility of current neuroimaging tools to the broad-based workforce. Here we discuss efforts undertaken by the Army Research Laboratory’s (ARL) Translational Neuroscience Branch in forming a government-academic-industry team focused on developing real-world neuroimaging tools that support broad-based scientific and applied pursuits. We highlight the specific translational goals of this effort and numerous advancements of this unique, multidisciplinary team.

II. BACKGROUND

“Brain imaging … will become even more powerful when high-resolution neural data are gathered from participants moving freely and interacting in natural ways.” - Executive Office of the President, National Science and Technology Council [1]

Neuroimaging techniques (e.g., electroencephalography [EEG], functional magnetic resonance imaging, functional near-infrared spectroscopy, magnetoencephalography, and positron emission tomography) have unveiled deep insights into brain structures and functions and how mental representations and behavior are generated. However, many of the recent insights into the human brain have been gained from research conducted only with highly-controlled laboratory tasks and environments that are not representative of the real world. Although this approach has advanced our basic understanding of the brain, the extent to which controlled laboratory-based research generalizes to brain function under the complex and dynamic environments of the real world is not well understood. In fact, it has been argued that the consequences of failing to extend neuroimaging approaches to more realistic environments may be far worse than failing to generalize to natural environments; they may generate fundamental misunderstandings of real-world brain function [5]. For example, it has been argued both experimentally and theoretically that the complexity of the human brain’s estimated quadrillion neural connections allows (or even requires) not only different individuals to process information differently, but also the same individuals may engage different brain regions to cognitively process similar information, particularly in response to contextual changes [2], [6]. These concepts suggest that there may be fundamental differences in situated cognition relative to that observed in highly controlled laboratory environments, supporting the need for more ecological approaches [7] focusing on human, task, and environmental interactions [8]. Our primary motivation for attempting neuroimaging in real-world environments is based on the potential impact that answering these open fundamental scientific questions would have on translating basic neuroscience to technology development.

Initial attempts at real-world neuroimaging were focused on controlling and replicating aspects of naturally occurring human behaviors within the laboratory [9]–[14]. More recently, attempts have been made to extend neuroimaging to more complex environments previously thought to be unapproachable even with state-of-the-art methodologies [15]–[19]. These efforts have generally adapted laboratory-based neuroimaging technologies to suit specific and unique measurement requirements. While they have made significant steps forward, the overall field is still quite limited; researchers are forced to balance between studying well-controlled, potentially unnatural, behavior and performing studies that generalize to ecologically relevant situations. In large part, the research is limited by the technological gap between the neuroimaging tools that currently exist and the tools needed to appropriately conduct neuroimaging research in real-world environments. The development of such tools will require more than a mere extension of current laboratory-based technologies due to the complex dynamics and uncertainties inherent to real-world environments combined with an overwhelming number of influences that limit signal-to-noise ratio [15], [19]–[21]. Recently, significant progress has been made in novel technologies that have the potential to radically advance real-world neuroimaging (for reviews, see [4], [22]). While these advancements are enabling preliminary system designs, true real-world neuroimaging will require further development in four critical technology areas: Neuroimaging Hardware including multi-aspect sensors arrays, power, and on-board processing capabilities that meet the wearability and usability requirements for real-world research and application; Algorithms addressing issues ranging from signal-to-noise ratios to multi-aspect sensor integration enabling the joint interpretation of neural signals, human behavior, and environmental context; Interfaces that both facilitate highly multidisciplinary research amongst scientists from varying fields of study and enable effective data collection by naïve participants; and Experimentation, Testing, and Validation including novel paradigms for neuroimaging under uncertainty and technologies for validating new hardware and algorithms.

III. TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH TOOLS

“Agencies should continue to develop new tools and techniques for research and ensure the broadest dissemination possible for those tools.” - Executive Office of the President, National Science and Technology Council [1]

ARL’s Translational Neuroscience Branch has formed a government-academic-industry team to develop a wide variety of novel tools to enable real-world neuroimaging systems across a wide variety of operationally-relevant environments and in support of neurotechnologies, such as BCITs. Such tools will directly support enhancing human-system integration with the potential for broad-based impacts across almost all aspects of everyday life. However, traditional neuroscience approaches to tool development have the potential for creating the same translational risks that have hindered the transition of neuroscience research in the past. For example, the broad variability in technical system requirements for
different applications (e.g., temporal and spatial resolution; amounts, types, and sources of data; acceptable signal-to-noise ratios) combined with the sheer complexity of the nervous system and the uncertainty of the signals being detected can lead to an abundance of research results and developed tools that, while important in and of themselves, ultimately cannot be effectively combined into singular functional systems. Furthermore, the development and application of neurotechnologies remains limited to a relatively small circle of scientists and technology developers and has not achieved a broader focus within the greater science and technology (S&T) development community. Complicating this, the large majority of existing tools are expensive and/or require scientists with an extensive knowledge base to use them appropriately. As long as the tools and knowledge needed to develop neurotechnologies remains inaccessible to the broad-based workforce within the S&T community, innovation and development will remain limited, especially with regards to BCITs.

To mitigate these issues, it is critical to take a multi-disciplinary approach in which scientists and engineers from a wide-range of backgrounds work together to push neuroimaging systems into the real world in both scientific and applied pursuits. We focus on coupling the creation of functional systems targeted at narrow specific aims along with the development of tools targeted at enabling a multi-disciplinary team to bridge the scientific and technological gaps between neuroscientists, signal processing experts, and technology innovators, among others [23]. We posit that the results from these kinds of functional systems, even when narrowly focused on specific questions and applications, have the potential to dramatically change how we conceive of human brain functioning and its interactions with real-world technologies, providing insights that will directly influence the types and functionality of the real-world neuroimaging tools being developed.

With this in mind, ARL has developed two translational research objectives, described below, that target developing capabilities to answer fundamental scientific questions in real-world environments and applying that knowledge to proof-of-principle neurotechnologies. Both objectives will require wireless, high-resolution, ruggedized, wearable hardware and software tools that disentangle and differentiate brain signal, human behavior, environmental events, and artifacts. However, the relative requirements for each objective are very different. Pursuing these objectives is a team comprised of members from different collaborative programs (e.g., Cognition and Neuroergonomics Collaborative Technology Alliance (CaN CTA: [24]), the Institute for Collaborative Biotechnologies (ICB), Small Business Innovation Research Awards (SBIR), and internal research programs, among others).

A. OBJECTIVE 1: LABORATORY GRADE REAL-WORLD NEUROIMAGING

Our first objective is the creation of a toolkit that can support basic research on fundamental questions underlying neural functions within real-world environments (e.g., see Figure 1). In the near-term, the development of this hardware and software toolkit targets specific questions regarding how the interrelated factors of stress, fatigue, and circadian rhythms impact persons within real-world office environments. As compared to state-of-the-art laboratory neuroimaging tools, the characteristics of the target system include: similar temporal and spatial resolution neural data; the capacity for extensive multi-aspect sensor integration, including physiological, behavioral, and environmental sensing to help build a rich characterization of context; extended duration of data acquisition system wearability; greater ruggedization; limited set-up time requirements; usability by both subject matter experts and trained participants; functionality in office type environments; efficient data storage, transfer, and handling; and complex, often computationally-intensive offline data
IV. REAL-WORLD NEUROIMAGING SYSTEMS

The conceptual and theoretical foundations for the real-world neuroimaging approach we are advocating here can be found in scientific disciplines ranging from artificial intelligence and robotics [25] to embedded and embodied or situated cognition [26] to ecological psychology [27]–[29]. The growing importance of these concepts is reflected in an increasing appreciation that human mental processes are intimately bound with our interactions with the world [30], [31]. Considering this perspective, ARL and its partners advocate an approach to real-world neuroimaging that leverages technological advancements across a broad array of sensors that sample not only the human brain, but human physiology and behavior, in addition to task and environmental conditions [20], [32], [33]. A fundamental element of this multi-aspect approach to neuroimaging is to place information about brain function into the context of when it occurs. In typical laboratory settings, this is accomplished through control of the participant’s actions and environment; however, such control is infeasible in real-world environments and situations.

Over the past five years, there have been tremendous advancements in sensor and communication technologies that are enabling our vision of real-world neuroimaging. Perhaps the most significant, direct advancements have occurred in the area of dry and wireless EEG systems. Of the array of existing classes of neuroimaging technologies, EEG has shown the most promise as a near-term solution in terms of quality, mobility, and wearability within real-world environments and, as such, has seen the most commercial development in this domain. A second area of advancement has been in EEG analysis software that is much more broadly available, ranging from commercial ventures (e.g., BESA, MidWare Technologies, Neuroscan) to freely available toolboxes available from academic research groups (e.g., FieldTrip, BrainStorm, EEGlab, ERPLab), to academic software which contains real-time analysis and classification capabilities (e.g., BCILAB toolbox developed by the University of California, San Diego (UCSD); [34], [35] or BC12000 from the Wadsworth Center of the New York State Department of Health; [36]), as well as sophisticated approaches to extracting neural signals in complex and noisy environments (e.g., see DETECT and EEGVIS toolboxes developed by the University of Texas at San Antonio (UTSA) in collaboration with ARL; [37]–[39]). These advancements in neuroimaging technologies provide a strong basis for leveraging the broad ranging sensor advancements that have occurred in other domains to create multi-aspect systems that meet our team’s objectives.

A. LABORATORY GRADE REAL-WORLD NEUROIMAGING

Laboratory-grade systems designed specifically for real-world neuroimaging do not exist to our knowledge. Current laboratory-grade hardware solutions are bulky and not designed for comfort or ease-of-use. For example, wired systems with as many as 256 sensor leads are awkward to wear, take long set-up times of up to an hour, and can cause participant discomfort when worn over extended durations (Figure 2). The typical wet electrodes found in laboratory equipment can begin to dry out within 30-minutes to 2 hours, which directly influences signal quality [40]. High-bandwidth data transmission requirements typically force participants to be tethered to computing systems or to carry relatively heavy hardware, such as batteries, amplifiers, and laptop computers [16]. These hardware constraints limit the naturalistic behaviors that can be observed, as well as the types of contexts that may be investigated.

Despite these limitations, there have been several recent efforts to understanding brain function in real-world conditions using actual laboratory systems. One approach has been to take laboratory-grade EEG systems into relatively well-controlled, real-world task environments, such as on-road vehicle driving [41], learning marksmanship [21], [42] or even flying a plane [43]. These types of research efforts allow the study of the rich task dynamics and behavioral contexts of real-world conditions, while taking advantage of behaviors that do not generally require a lot of bodily movements that would induce excessive motion and/or muscle-related signal artifacts that negatively affect the quality of the recorded raw EEG signals. However, the constraints imposed by the hardware necessarily limit this approach to a very narrow scope of tasks and experimental durations. Further, even in these real-world environments, participants are so constrained by the systems that it is unclear how effective the results will be in generalizing to other types of naturalistic human behavior.

An alternative approach has been to take laboratory-grade measurement and tasks into environments that reflect rele-
FIGURE 2. State-of-the-Art Laboratory Grade EEG System made “Mobile.” Participant wears a high-density wet-electrode cap which is wired to a laptop and amplifiers in a backpack. This system takes approximately 1 hour to set-up, and can become uncomfortable in minutes.

vant aspects of real-world settings. For example, ARL studied standard auditory event-related potentials (ERP) while participants stood, walked, or ran on a treadmill or sat on a six-degree-of-freedom ride motion simulator (RMS) during increasingly dynamic ride-motion conditions [19]. The motion conditions of this study extended the behavioral environments where ERP responses were previously observed far beyond typical laboratory conditions, while still structuring the environment in ways consistent with controlled-laboratory research: the treadmill allowed the control of walking or running speed; the RMS ensured that all participants experienced the exact same ride motions. Except under the most extreme motion conditions, the results demonstrated that even when large body movements induced significant motion- and muscle-related signal artifacts, well-known temporal (e.g., latencies of N100 and P300 peaks) and spatial (e.g., increased P300 amplitudes from anterior to posterior electrode sites) patterns of ERP responses seen in the laboratory can be recovered. In a similar fashion, team partners at the University of Michigan (UM) and UCSD also demonstrated that visual ERPs could similarly be recovered during treadmill walking using Independent Component Analysis (ICA) techniques [15]. UM further collaborated with ARL to show that visual event-related activity could be observed using artifact-resistant functional connectivity metrics [44]. Though these experiments lack the richness and depth of real-world neuroimaging, they demonstrate the plausibility of extracting traditional neural signatures in the face of significant artifact.

Starting from these initial studies, our group has continued to build towards more fully realized real-world neuroimaging capabilities. First, by extending our efforts into more complex task environments through the use of complex and behaviorally-sophisticated virtual reality simulations (cf., [45]–[47]), we have shown that it is possible to successfully extend current neuroscience research paradigms to better capture and reflect the subtle complexities of real-world, embedded, interaction. Second, by developing more mobile and user-friendly measurement systems we hope to both minimize the burden on the experimenter and to alleviate intrusiveness on the participant, thereby encouraging more naturalistic behavior.

1) OVERVIEW: STRESS AND FATIGUE NEUROIMAGING SYSTEM V1.0

Currently, ARL and its partners, National Chiao Tung University (NCTU) in Taiwan and DCS Corporation (DCS) are collaborating to implement a first-of-its-kind, wearable, high-density, real-world neuroimaging system for studying stress and fatigue in the workplace [48]. This laboratory-grade Stress and Fatigue Neuroimaging (SFN) System V1.0 has been specifically designed to overcome several of the limiting issues for real-world neuroimaging. The prototype is comprised of an Android device, a Samsung Galaxy S3 in the current implementation, which monitors, records, and synchronizes data streamed from three physiological monitoring devices: a high-density MINDO EEG system (Hsinchu, Taiwan), a multifunction Zephyr Bioharness 3 lightweight chest strap (Annapolis, MD, USA), and a multifunction Affectiva QSensor wrist-watch style device (Waltham, MA, USA); as well as obtaining user inputs (Figure 1). All three of these physiological systems use dry-type electrodes for faster set-up and longer-term wearability. The complete system weighs approximately 406 grams (0.9 pounds; MINDO-64: 200g, Bioharness 3: 50g, QSensor: 22.7g, Samsung Galaxy S3: 133g). The numerous components of the prototype system have been integrated and the system is undergoing initial phases of use-case testing.

As this system is designed for scientific pursuits, the primary analysis software will be offline, where the Android based physiological data and behavioral data can be combined with contextual information from additional sources, such as the user’s calendar, user annotations, or questionnaire responses (see below for more detailed description). State-of-the-art offline-analyses programs, such as EEGLAB, will be used for data processing.

2) LONG-TERM, WEARABLE NEURAL DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM

The centerpiece of SFN System V1.0 is the NCTU-developed 64-channel wireless EEG system (MINDO-64), which is designed to address issues of: high-resolution laboratory-
grade data acquisition, long-term comfortable wear, quick user set-up, and high portability. The use of high-bandwidth wireless data transmission overcomes the constraints of physically tethering participants to computing systems or having them carry the equipment with them during the experiment. The MINDO-64 system uses both Bluetooth and WiFi modules to transmit EEG signal during recording, offering a maximum 512Hz sampling rate with 24-bit resolution and is the first wireless EEG system integrated into a form factor with a flexible printed circuit board inside, a novel head circumference adaptable mechanical design for improved stability, and active dry sensors that amplify signals at a very early stage to improve signal-to-noise ratios and avoid the need for skin preparation and gel application. The system also stores raw data on-board for use in off-line processing to help mitigate critical issues surrounding wireless transmission. Through the integration of active sensor and power control on the main circuit, the system enables long-term wear of up to 10 consecutive hours of operation time. The system’s wireless technologies, light weight (200g), and dry sensor designs also support comfort, fast set-up, and portability.

3) UNDERSTANDING CONTEXT
One of the most critical assumptions in our vision of real-world neuroimaging is that developing a rich multi-dimensional characterization of context will be critical to the accurate and meaningful interpretation of measured neural activity. As outlined above, most previous attempts at neuroimaging, both traditional and mobile, have relied on controlling context through environmental, behavioral, and task constraints, which can sacrifice naturalistic human behavior. By contrast, we posit that meaningful interpretation of observed real-world neural signals will result from the characterization and understanding of statistical relationships between broadly defined contextual events (to include behavioral, physiological, task, and environmental events across multiple timescales) and the observed neural activity that supports our behavior.

Working in collaboration, ARL and DCS developed a range of applications on the Android platform to enable an observational, multi-aspect measurement approach targeted at building a context to interpret the neural activity related to stress and fatigue. In building the context of the internal state of the user, the system integrates the Bioharness for ECG and respiration rate; the QSensor to provide skin conductance (a form of electrodermal activity) and skin temperature data; and subjective reports related to stress and fatigue. To build a context of the user’s activities, the system integrates 3-axis accelerometers from both the Bioharness and QSensor with incident reports (e.g., voluntary annotation of a stressful event), start and end times of defined user activities (eating, drinking, meetings, exercise, etc.) questionnaire responses, and other user annotations.

Off-line software will be used to further integrate the Android-based data with information from the user’s calendar and other information gathered by the experimenters. EEG analysis software has also been used to isolate and interpret EEG activity that is traditionally discarded as artifact (e.g., muscle activity; see description of artifact classifiers by Lawhern and colleagues in Section IV). Our multi-aspect approach advocates integrating these different sources of information, such as artifact, to complete a contextual picture that is sufficient to frame the moment-to-moment dynamics of the user’s brain activity.

4) OTHER IMPORTANT ISSUES
Several other features have been designed into SFN System V1.0 to address issues critical to real-world neuroimaging. For example, the wearer of a real-world EEG system is going to have more responsibility for the system and for the data collection than in typical experiments where the experimenter is present. Towards this end, novel user interfaces have and continue to be designed to enable trained users to set-up the system and troubleshoot bad sensors and periods of ineffective data collection. A second critical issue is the need to reference observational findings to the extant literature on stress and fatigue. To address this issue, our team developed Android-based applications that represent laboratory tasks including a continuous tracking task [49] and can be triggered through a master scheduler. A third issue is the observer effect; the concept that the act of measurement inherently changes the phenomena being measured. While no system can avoid the observer effect, the new technology solutions described here help to minimize some of the most obvious and intrusive effects that have undoubtedly affected the measurement of human behavior in previous research. Finally, SFN System V1.0 has been designed to be highly flexible, enabling the researcher to choose nearly any combination of components and applications, and thus weigh the costs and benefits of the system to measure true naturalistic behavior in addressing their research questions.

B. REAL-TIME NEUROIMAGING FOR BCIT
Many of the critical issues for real-world neuroimaging are discussed above and are being addressed in the design of SFN System V1.0. However, there are critical differences in designing such a system for applied as opposed to scientific pursuits. Recently, there have been tremendous advances in commercial EEG technologies, and many systems start to address the issues specific to applied systems. In this section we discuss some of those advances in light of four critical issues for applied systems:

1) BUILT FOR A NARROW PURPOSE
Scientific systems are generally intended for broad-ranging research, where many of the specifics of the signals elicited through their use are unknown to the system designers a priori. As a result, systems are generally designed to maximize data availability for the user. Neuroimaging for BCIT purposes, however, is almost exclusively framed around a previously established target signal, or at least a specific realm of signal types. As a result, BCIT systems can be designed...
efficiently to detect the target signal, at the cost of flexibility or maximal data availability. For example, systems could be designed to use small numbers of electrodes placed in strategic locations, as opposed to the high-density arrays found in laboratory-grade systems. Likewise, designers of specific BCIT systems will have to weigh the costs and benefits of addressing many constraints such as: a) the additional complexity required by high-precision timing accuracy or timing integration with several other devices, b) the ability to flexibly change the devices to which they are connected, c) the need for additional sensory modalities to supplement EEG, and d) the cost and effectiveness of manufacturing processes.

3) USABLE BY ANYONE
Future BCIT systems are envisioned as a core technology that can permeate all aspects of everyday life [3]. As such, it will be critical that the systems are usable by anyone. Consequently, the systems must be simple to setup and troubleshoot and they likely will have to be relatively transparent or even invisible to the operator for compliance purposes. For example, games such as the Star Wars Force Trainer (Uncle Milton) and the Mindflex (Mattel) marked incredible advances in making BCITs usable; however, they are still far from being a reliable neuroimaging technology that people will wear regularly and for long durations in everyday environments.

One of the critical elements is the lack of systems and tools to enable non-specialists to develop and assess BCITs [23]. An important step towards this goal was the release of the commercial product, the Emotiv EPOC (San Francisco, CA, USA). This system is relatively easy to set-up and can allow non-specialists to use classifiers to train the system to control keyboard keys among other things. However, the ease of use of the system without sufficient software for basic developer education and training can lead to misinterpretation of system outputs and is, potentially, a significant limiter on BCIT development [23]; current systems allow developers to make basic mistakes that will ultimately inhibit the BCIT’s applicability. Team partners at USCD have also released an open-source BCIT toolkit for MATLAB that aids in bridging the gaps between specialists from different backgrounds (e.g., neuroscientists, engineers, psychologists), BCILAB [53]. Further significant advancements are necessary to fully bridge the gap to non-specialists.

4) SAFE, ROBUST, AND COST EFFECTIVE
The real-world neuroimaging systems developed for BCITs will need to be cost-effective, as well as safe and robust across a wide variety of environments, including some that are highly dynamic and potentially hazardous. While these are issues for the scientific systems described above, as well, there are several factors that emphasize their importance for applied systems: Safety is a critical issue for any system with sensors, electronics, and other components directly above the scalp. Health and cleanliness issues must be addressed by all system designs. In the scientific domain, constraints are placed on experimentation to limit the risk to study participants, such as those emplaced by Institutional Review Boards. However, as applied systems become more functional and available, they are likely to be found in situations with the potential for trauma-inducing head impact. As discussed in section V, ARL and NCTU are investigating solutions to enable safe system designs for all environments.

Systems will also have to be robust and cost-effective. Robust systems will need to operate consistently and reliably within an environment that could be physically damaging to a sensitive unit, as well as being able to properly deal with the noise and artifacts that will occur within the BCIT application. For example, a system designed for use...
within an off-road vehicle must be capable of functioning for extremely long time periods when subject to vibration (e.g., see [19]), as well as electrical noise from the engine and other sources. Further, the systems must be available at a cost that is affordable to a wide range of potential users and BCIT developers. Alternative approaches to cost-effective robust EEG measurement range from systems with very low-cost disposable sensors to higher-quality, highly-reconfigurable systems with lower sensor density. ARL is currently working with the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) on its efforts to advance portable, inexpensive, easy-to-use hardware solutions to EEG (e.g., see DARPA proposed SBIR SB131-002). In section V below, we highlight several specific algorithmic approaches enabling robust system design.

V. ENABLING TECHNOLOGIES FOR FUTURE SYSTEMS

As discussed above, major technological, scientific, and conceptual strides have been made towards developing real-world neuroimaging as a viable and legitimate approach to research and technology application development. Still, the creation of integrated, fieldable, wearable, multi-aspect measurement systems and the attendant capabilities to enable the efficient handling, analysis, and modeling of real-world neuroimaging data, and the use of such data in systems designs, will require further advancements across a wide range of technology domains to enable a fully realized capability. This section delineates major challenges and targeted enabling technology capabilities for each of the four critical technical areas identified previously: Neuroimaging Hardware, Algorithms, Interfaces, and Experimentation, and discusses recent research and development efforts within our programs that have started to address these challenges.

A. NEUROIMAGING HARDWARE

Recent technological advances have greatly increased our capabilities for data acquisition and measurement of all manner of variables outside of the laboratory. For neuroimaging, a number of commercially-available devices are starting to make fieldable recording of EEG data more reality than science fiction (e.g. ABM X-series (Carlsbad, CA, USA), Emotiv Epoc, Quasar DSI (San Diego, CA, USA)). However, there remain several interrelated technical hurdles that still must be overcome for real-world neuroimaging systems to truly provide either laboratory-grade real-world measurement capabilities or commercially-viable end-user applications.

In the neurotechnologies field, one of the most active, current research and development areas to meet either of these objectives is in dry sensor designs that can provide comparable signal quality as standard passive or active sensor designs that require conductive gels and extended application time [54], [55]. Generally, conductive gels serve two primary purposes. The first is to increase the conductive nature of the skin, by creating a moistened conductive bridge with the electrode tip, lowering the overall impedance between the internal biological source and the recording system components. This problem, however, has generally been alleviated by the development of ultra-high impedance amplifiers capable of matching the conductance of dry skin [56], which can be in excess of megaohms. Second, it provides a physical bridge to easily penetrate hair and dead skin, ensuring a strong, reliable connection.

One approach to dry sensor designs is to use a soft, pliable pad which conforms to the contours of the skin, providing a large surface area over which to collect the electrical potential and ensuring a reliable connection. Partners at NCTU have shown that using a conductive fabric wrapped around a pliable foam polymer (see Figure 3 for an example) allows a consistent contact, even under motion. This design also alleviates concerns about long-term comfort, due to the soft nature of the material, and poses minimal injury risk (see below; [40]). As a validation of this approach, an example comparison between a traditional gelled wet electrode and a dry electrode pad is shown in Figure 4. The two different kinds of electrodes were placed in contact with a subject’s forehead, and the contact impedance was measured and monitored over an extended period of time. Aside from having highly correlated signals, as shown both in time and frequency plots (Figure 4, top panels), the results showed that, although impedance for the dry electrode was substantially greater than for the wet electrode, it was still in a reasonable range for EEG measurements. However, in contrast to the wet electrode, which tended to dry out such that the impedance became higher over time, the dry electrode remained stable after several hours of recording. Note that in this comparison, we did not perform any skin preparation for either style of electrodes. Due to the large surface contact area, the dry pads are able to achieve relatively low contact impedance similar.
to that of traditional gel approaches. Importantly, this electrode style requires minimal preparation, is a cost-effective solution, and in conjunction with a high-impedance amplifier, provides signal quality approximately equivalent to that of the classic “wet” electrode after preparation, and shows even better performance than the wet style over moderate and long time periods (Figure 4).

This technique provides comparable performance to laboratory-grade wet electrodes on glabrous skin, with decreases in performance when attached to hairy, non-glabrous skin [57]. To penetrate hair, several variations of spring-loaded multi-pin style electrodes have been developed with signal characteristics similar to that of the foam pad electrode and equivalent functionality as gelled methods. In this case, an array of tiny pins penetrates the hair, distributing the connection across several individual contact points [58].

In the near-term, this approach may be more feasible for systems designed for scientific study than for fielded applications due to cost, the potential for injury risk in some settings, and the potential for participant discomfort over long time periods. Additionally, because dry approaches rely solely on mechanical connection with the scalp, there are open questions about whether this will be a reliable, consistent medium over time, especially in high-movement scenarios. Given this and the potential for discomfort from the mechanical connection, NCTU, with ARL partners, are currently performing use-case evaluations and exploring novel materials (see below) and sensor designs.

Form factor design will continue to be a critical development area, because, in order for any system to be truly fieldable, user comfort and safety must be a primary consideration. For example, the system must be comfortable for long-term wear; designs will need to avoid the possibility of “hot spots” of discomfort that can form as a result of constant pressure on the same locations. This likely means avoiding designs that involve semi-rigid or stiff spring-based mechanisms for holding electrodes in place, such as the Emotiv EPOC or Quasar DSI models. These approaches require pressure behind each electrode and could be particularly problematic for larger head sizes, especially if used in combination with multi-pin style dry electrodes that could place pressure on very small contact points [59]. Similarly, systems must be as lightweight as possible to minimize muscle fatigue, while remaining as unobtrusive to the user as possible so as not to inhibit their inclination to wear the unit on a regular basis. This includes social issues, as well as usability, in order to ensure consistent compliance across a potentially diverse user base.

Considering that these systems are worn on the head, safety becomes critical to putting neurotechnology applications into task environments where risks from impact (e.g. an automobile or bicycle crash) are present. Protective helmets utilize deformable materials, which are designed to absorb energy by deformation, thus protecting the head from trauma. This deformation requires both time and space, and poses at least two problems for any design involving hard components, such as communications gear or EEG sensor mounted directly above the scalp, which compromises the space between it and the helmet shell. First, these components become potential sources of direct ballistic injury to the user by transferring the energy directly into the scalp. Secondly, rigid structures will inhibit the intended deformation of the material, thus decreasing the helmet’s effectiveness in absorbing energy and allowing more to be transferred on to the wearer. For electronic components to be seamlessly integrated with embedded protection devices there must be significant advances in the area of deformable electronics in order to minimize risk to the user.

To this end, ARL has been investigating the fabrication of pliable, stretchable conductive polymer substrates as a basis for electronics platforms that may serve as the foundation for biological sensing [60]. While recent advances in material sciences have allowed the development of deformable conductive polymers, one primary challenge with the current commercially available solutions is that the conductance changes dramatically as the material deforms. This poses a severe challenge for EEG, which targets extremely small fluctuations in voltage against a high-noise background. However, collaborators in ARL’s Weapons & Materials Research Directorate have developed a unique process that yields soft meso-scale polymer composites capable of maintaining low levels of signal attenuation (< 3 dB) while subjected to biaxial hyperelastic stretching (see Figure 5). Particularly, by adjusting the manufacturing process to adjust the orientation behavior of carbon-nanotube particulates within elastomer substrates, structures can be formed that maintain conductivity even while being stretched by large amounts. Meanwhile, sophisticated and unique new electromechanical characterization techniques were recently developed by collaborators in ARL’s Vehicle Technology Directorate that allow us, for the first time, to make accurate measurements of the influence that very large biaxial strains, frequency, temperature, and material type have on stretchable electronic signal attenuation and filtering characteristics [61].

Ongoing efforts at ARL, funded by the ARL Director’s Research Initiative [62], combine the expertise across three of ARL’s directorates in materials science and neurotechnology to exploit this technology for EEG by exploring the use of such conductive, deformable materials as a replacement for the fixed-conductor metallic wire leads connecting electrode tips with the primary electronics of the systems. For example, an early prototype sample (shown in Figure 5) replicates a typical 9-electrode 10/20 style layout, but is capable of stretching to match different head sizes while causing minimal signal attenuation. Further iterations focus on refining the fabrication techniques to tune the material conductance profile, as well as identifying the appropriate methods for characterizing and validating the efficacy of this technology when used for the EEG domain. While one goal is integration with the reconfigurable suspension pads of a helmet, thus alleviating the concerns mentioned above during hazardous situations, stretchable or compressive polymers could additionally be an ideal replacement for rigid conductors when using a multi-pin or bristle style electrode, alleviating
the concerns about long-term comfort and safety discussed above.

At the systems level, some of the concerns found at the sensor level are also relevant (e.g., weight, comfort, ruggedization), while several additional technology advances will be needed, such as reducing power consumption and increasing onboard processing power and data transmission bandwidth. All of these pervasive challenges are amplified by the implementation of multi-aspect measurement approaches that capture not only EEG data to measure brain activity, but also other sensors to capture additional data that characterize non-brain related physiological, behavioral, and contextual variables.

The necessity for the use of multiple measures stems from concerns that are both conceptual and pragmatic. Conceptually, the highly multi-dimensional nature of human behavior, in general, and human brain behavior, specifically, strongly suggests that no single available measure alone can provide the information needed to fully characterize human cognitive performance (cf. [20]). Moreover, given the highly integrated nature of many of the objective signals typically employed, such as measures of central or peripheral physiological function, specific, behaviorally-relevant states are not uniquely mapped to specific values of these measures. This indicates that information from other simultaneously varying measures of state or context is needed to disambiguate the meaning of the recorded signals. Practically, in either real-world experimental paradigms or neurotechnology application environments, performance of a given task at any given time is not typically known, making it difficult, if not impossible, to assign often task-dependent meanings to patterns of observed measurements. External variables are needed for the segmentation of continuous data streams into task-relevant epochs, which are typically well-defined in the laboratory. Choosing the right combination of sensor technologies to adequately characterize the relevant aspects of real-world behavior will be a critical challenge, which is complicated for real-world neuroimaging systems by the need to integrate and adequately synchronize these data streams under the constraints of weight, power, data transmission, and cost.

**FIGURE 5.** Stretchable, pliable conductors for biosensing. Example prototype 9-sensor strip of stretchable, pliable materials usable for EEG data collection can stretch beyond 33%. This technology allows it to easily conform for differing head sizes (left), while also providing comfort and safety of the user when built into head protection devices.

**B. ALGORITHMS**

Once neuroimaging data, real-world or otherwise, are acquired, extensive and often computationally-intensive processing is necessary before the data are in a form that is easily usable and suitable for the analysis, modeling, and interpretation of brain behavior or for the transformation into metrics of user state or performance in brain-based human-systems interactions. Classically, the processing and analysis of EEG data in research settings requires extensive effort by trained experts who must first visually inspect data and identify non-brain-related signal artifacts or other, often irrelevant, sources of signal variance. This process is time consuming even in highly-controlled laboratory experiments where task conditions are held constant for task durations that are relatively short, the size of datasets is relatively small, and task performance is highly constrained and well annotated in the data streams (e.g., time-stamped event markers indicating task, stimulus, or response onsets and offsets). However, in a approach to real-world neuroimaging research, many of these controls that serve to constrain the data processing and analysis problem in laboratory-based research are inapplicable. From a scientific perspective, one possible solution to this issue entails recording over much longer time periods than the typical laboratory study, with concomitant increases in the size of experimental datasets and the costs of data processing.

From an application development perspective, the emphasis for real-time or near real-time system interactions that are central to many neurotechnology concepts obviates the possibility to depend upon the extensive, expert-driven, post-hoc data processing typical of the research laboratory. However, a common need in real-world neuroimaging research and neurotechnology development is the ability to detect and identify salient events whose occurrence during realistic behavior is not known a priori in either case.

Consider the ubiquitous example of events that have long posed significant challenges in EEG analysis: the presence of motion- and muscle-related signal artifacts (for a review, see [63]). In laboratory experimentation, the presence of artifact components is often reduced by asking participants to minimize their body movements, though the persistent necessity for time-consuming visual inspection and data rejection would suggest that the effectiveness of such instructions is far from perfect. Moreover, during real-world neuroimaging experiments, such constraints would be counter-productive to the observation and study of naturalistic behavior. For user applications, it would be impractical to constrain user movements in order to achieve reliable functionality, leading to a potential lack of user acceptance. In either case, an increase in the likelihood and frequency of motion- and muscle-related artifacts in these data are expected. Our team has achieved several advancements in algorithm development to enhance real-world neuroimaging for both scientific and applied pursuits.

UTSA and ARL partners have used autoregressive (AR) modeling techniques to create type-specific artifact models. This enables both automatic artifact detection and classifica-
tion [64] and offers a possible avenue for cleaning the data ahead of subsequent analysis or system integration.

To derive type-specific artifact models it is necessary to obtain labeled training instances for each artifact type. The results of this research effort indicate that this can be done by having the research subject undergo a quick battery (< 10 min) of calibration tasks designed to elicit standard artifacts (e.g., eye blinking, jaw clenching, and head turning) prior to system use. Once the type-specific AR models have been created, the parameters of the model are then used as features to train a multi-class support vector machine (SVM) [64]. This approach has yielded remarkable average classification results >95% across the following artifact categories: eye blink, jaw clench, jaw movement, head rotation, eyebrow movement, left eye movements, upward eye movements, and none (Figure 6). This work represents an important step in objective artifact detection and extends previous research in artifact classification, thus enabling artifact to be considered as markers of salient events and a critical component of developing the context of the neural data. Further, this is a relatively fast computational approach that is suitable to real-time processing and applications.

The AR approach above has shown promise when exemplar artifacts are known. However, it is unrealistic to assume that all artifacts can be captured using that approach. An alternative method is to utilize measures that account for differences in the dynamic nature of brain versus non-brain signal sources and are therefore less affected by the presence of artifacts in the EEG signal.

Recently, ARL has collaborated with UM and DCS on work assessing phase synchronization that has shown it is possible to detect statistically significant changes in neural dynamics during activities that would have traditionally been “off-limits” due to artifact severity [65], [44], [66]. For example, Lau, et al. [44] demonstrated that a P300-like cognitive response to a visual oddball discrimination task could be obtained during walking using the weighted phase lag index (WPLI), which is a measure describing the phase synchronization between disparate neural sources recorded at the scalp [67]. Phase synchronization is used to refer to the tendency of two (or more) oscillators to exhibit consistent phase locking or entrainment, in response to specific conditions, such as the establishment of a causal pathway or the presence of a common, third-party influence [68]. By limiting analysis to only the imaginary portion of the cross-spectrum between two electrodes, phase analysis methods such as the WPLI are able to strongly discount volume conduction effects, which result in the mixture of the signals produced by different neural and non-neural sources before they reach the sensors located on the scalp, giving the researcher a clearer picture of true neural dynamics. Gordon, et al. [66] extended this approach by using the AR methods described earlier to first model the data prior to computing phase synchronization statistics. Using this technique, the authors were able to demonstrate further resistance to EMG artifacts by analyzing a combination of simulated and real neural data.

Importantly, the approach described here does not rely on a priori knowledge of what the artifacts may be and are also applicable to the low-density electrode montages expected in many BCIT applications.

These are approaches that operate in “scalp space.” Over the past several years, methods for blind source separation (BSS) have become relatively standard for the separation of brain and non-brain related signal components in EEG data. Blind source separation methods, specifically, ICA approaches developed by UCSD collaborators, work by projecting the scalp recordings, which are assumed to be a linear mixture of a set of latent source variables, into a new source space by maximizing statistical independence between the source variables [69]. This approach has been shown to be useful for both scientific and applied pursuits; however, there are a number of practical issues when performing ICA on EEG data. For starters, ICA requires that the number of sources be equal to the number of electrodes. This assumption is unlikely to be true in practice and thus the source separation problem is typically not well-posed and is often under-determined. The sources must also be stationary and at
most one source can be Gaussian. The assumption of source stationarity is equally unlikely, at least over extended periods of time and/or when multiple complex tasks are being performed. Finally, to capture the source statistics, the researcher must know a priori neural event boundaries and must possess enough data to adequately represent the underlying processes of interest.

Despite these issues ICA has proven to be an effective tool for data cleaning and pre-processing [70] and has received attention with respect to isolating various neural processes of interest [71]. UCSD collaborators are working on a number of extensions proposed to improve the performance of standard ICA techniques, similar to what has been advocated by other groups [72]. These include adding the capacity for multiple mixture [73] and adaptive mixture models [74] that use methods, such as maximum likelihood estimation, to produce the most likely decomposition at different time points. In addition, clustering methods and machine learning approaches have been used to identify and group relatively stable components across task conditions and recording sessions [75], [76]. In this way, rather than assuming all components from a specific decomposition are unique and equally informative, researchers are able to better identify relationships between changes in context and changes occurring in seemingly independent neural processes.

To further combat some of the many issues related to processing EEG, our multi-aspect approach looks beyond just EEG. By simultaneously recording multi-aspect physiological data, researchers can better understand the subtleties of the brain-body-behavior relationship [16]. This also facilitates the development of more robust tools by providing secondary sources of information that can be leveraged when and as needed to develop the context for interpreting measured neural activity. Currently, collaboration between DCS and ARL is underway to simultaneously record high-density EEG, EMG of the face, neck and shoulders, eye tracking, facial expression tracking, and upper limb motion tracking. Similar efforts are underway at partner locations in UCSD, UM, and NCTU. The goal of these efforts is to derive relationships that can be used to fuel the systems-level development of neurotechnologies that then can be reliably situated in real-world contexts.

We are also looking towards the development of more real-time processing techniques. Low-pass and high-pass filters can be implemented in hardware or through specific software applications. AR methods such as those previously described can be applied quickly and efficiently and many phase synchronization approaches have online extensions that do not require epoching of the data, but rather use the Hilbert transform to derive a more continuous notion of phase [77]. Our partners have also worked on hardware-based ICA applications [78].

Significant progress has been made by BCI developers, who have viewed standard EEG processing as forms of feature extraction that then could be used to feed state-classification tools. These tools range from relatively stock approaches, such as Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA), Common Spatial Patterns (CSP), or Support-Vector Machines (SVM), that operate on minimally processed data, to more in-depth designs, such as those from our collaborators [79], [80], in which complex, multi-tiered pre-processing techniques and/or event-related analyses are leveraged in conjunction with standard machine learning approaches. These latter approaches represent a significant step towards the types of capabilities needed for current BCIT development. Rather than taking an agnostic view of the information contained in the EEG signals and attempting to perform state classification on the raw or minimally processed data, these neurotechnology developers are taking a systems-level view in which multiple components each enable the extraction of different information from the imaged neural data. To support this development, engineers and scientists working at UCSD have been developing a tool, known as BCILAB, that enables rapid deployment of a number of EEG processing pipelines coupled with state-of-the-art classification systems [81].

C. INTERFACES

One significant, if perhaps insufficiently appreciated, challenge to the real-world neuroimaging approach will be the research into and the design and development of interfaces that can enable minimally-intrusive sampling of participant behaviors while they are observed in natural conditions, and the effective interaction of researchers and developers with the large and complex datasets that will result from these research efforts. Thus, the requirements for user interfaces will be significantly altered for a real world neuroimaging system compared to conventional neuroimaging systems. One of the main changes will be the shift in responsibility for monitoring the hardware and data collection from an expert who is not wearing the system to a wearer with limited or potentially unknown level of expertise.

In SFN System V1.0, ARL and DCS have designed a range of applications for the Android platform on cell phones and tablet computers. The main feature of the system is a set of widgets on the home screen that allow the user to self-report the start and end of pre-defined activities (eating, drinking, meeting, conversations, etc.), as well as unexpected events (startling sounds, forgot to log the start or end of an event, adjusted the fit or positioning of measurement equipment, etc.). There is also a master scheduler application, which is nearly invisible to the user. This application can trigger the start of self-report surveys and experimental tasks based on a preset schedule. We have converted a number of published self-report surveys, such as the Karolinska Sleepiness Survey [82], the task induced fatigue scale [83], the NASA TLX [84], [85], and visual analog scales of stress and fatigue [86], [87], into touch-screen versions that can be completed quickly with minimal impact on the user’s work or everyday tasks and behavior. In the near future, we plan to enable automatic processing of the incoming data in order to trigger and aid in troubleshooting the physiological systems when they start to fail. These applications, which are undergoing use-case
evaluations, all assist in transitioning data collection and monitoring capabilities from an experimenter to the user. Data collected with SFN System V1.0 will aid our development of future versions of the system in which we aim to implement automatic processing of the physiological data streams to further remove the need to intrusively sample subjective data.

A second critical challenge is enabling an effective interaction between scientists, engineers, and technology innovators to analyze, interpret, and ultimately create novel technologies from the complex multi-aspect datasets created with these technologies. UCSD continues to evolve their EEGLAB graphical-user interface to incorporate many of the aforementioned advances in algorithms and to enable EEG specialists to more readily access advanced signal processing techniques. Similarly, UTSA and ARL have partnered to implement the DETECT toolbox for MATLAB that offers the ability for EEG specialists to access the AR models described above [37], [38]. Finally, as described above, UCSD’s BCILAB was specifically developed for non-EEG specialists (Christian Kothe, personal communications). While important progress has been made, the area of interface development for the broader community remains a significant challenge.

D. EXPERIMENTATION, TESTING, AND VALIDATION

Above we highlighted three different initial approaches that have been taken to extending neuroimaging into real-world settings: examining human behavior in relatively well-controlled real-world task environments; examining real-world tasks under controlled laboratory conditions; and examining laboratory tasks in more realistic task conditions. All of these approaches enable significant advances, both scientifically and technically, towards the real-world neuroimaging vision laid out here. However, the maturation of enabling capabilities discussed here, as well as the growing ubiquity of sensor-based user technologies in our everyday lives, is opening up new possibilities for the study of human neural behavior in its natural state. In particular, these technologies are envisioned to enable more ecological approaches utilized in other disciplines in the human and behavioral sciences-approaches that, by contrast, have not been prevalent in the cognitive neurosciences-to both observe and study the human brain.

From both the scientific and applied perspectives, our current efforts focus on understanding and developing technologies that are tailored to individual users. This approach is feasible in large part due to the recent re-emergence of a focus on individual differences in the field of cognitive neuroscience (e.g., see [88], [89]) coupled with the remarkable advances observed in the application of machine learning and classification approaches to individualizing BCIT applications over the past decade. These advances are making subtle but critical shifts in the paradigms researchers are employing to understand brain function. To this end, we are employing paradigms of large data collection efforts that capture both sufficient real-world behaviors and large participant populations. Like many within the cognitive neuroscience community, our focus is not only on understanding similarities between people, but also on uncovering the differences that make people unique. We aim to further this paradigm and focus on understanding the differences in neural processing between events within individuals. Our primary focus is on developing capabilities for neuroimaging in actual real-world settings; however, one of the bridging technologies that may further our team’s scientific objectives and enable the development of future systems is virtual reality (VR). Our general approach outlined here, when merged with VR, will introduce new and potentially insightful cognitive neuroscience experimental paradigms. Still, further tool development in VR is needed to instantiate this vision. To meet these needs, ARL has been developing a novel software framework for the intelligent control of dynamic, complex, high-resolution VR environments. The Graph-based Interactive Narrative (GIN) system [46] utilizes a three-layered hierarchical graph framework that models the physical topography of the environment as a set of nodes (i.e., path intersections) and edges (i.e., paths the user can traverse between nodes), and allows the monitoring of the participant’s movement through the graph and the manipulating of stimulus presentations relative to the participant’s chosen path. The GIN system aims to: 1) provide experimental participants with an increased ability to freely control their navigation throughout the virtual world (i.e., increasing perceptions of agency [90]); 2) maintaining experimental control by adapting the presentation of stimulus events to the participants chosen path while adhering to the requirements of the experimental design; and 3) improving the tractability of the development of VR scenarios for experimentation by providing capabilities for automatically controlling the placement and occurrence of stimulus events. The primary rationale for addressing this last point stems from the time- and labor-intensity inherent to designing, developing, and implementing experimental VR paradigms that capture the relevant contextual effects on human tasks, actions, and environmental actions (cf. [45]).

As a complimentary approach, ARL partners at UCSD have developed a new software framework, the Simulation and Neuroscience Application Platform (SNAP) (https://github.com/sccn/SNAP), that aims to provide new rapid prototyping, testing, and real-time control of complex tasks and paradigms for implementation in real-world neuroimaging experimental designs. The SNAP framework is integrated with a commercial-grade C++ game engine, Panda3D, to enable the efficient transition from controlling simple 2-D psychological stimuli to running complex experimental tasks involving full, immersive 3-D visual displays. Similar capabilities, for example implemented on portable interface platforms (see “Interfaces,” above), could support the implementation of experimental paradigms in real-world environments.

In addition to novel paradigms, as fieldable neuroimaging expands and new hardware approaches are developed, it will be necessary to continually evaluate and compare the efficacy
of new technologies. For example, recent ARL tests of the timing accuracy and variance in trigger integration associated with several different commercial EEG systems has revealed strong timing errors in certain products [88], [91]. Similarly, comparisons of system design features that directly affect overall usability, such as average application time, adaptability for different head sizes, user comfort, or electrode stability over time, have led to a stronger understanding of which elements are most critical for application to systems targeted for real-world use and are aiding future technology development [59]. One of the critical issues with real-world neurotechnology testing and evaluation (T&E) is the lack of current formal standards or procedures for EEG sensors and systems (i.e., no T&E standards have been adopted by IEEE or ASTM International). The most common approaches to T&E rely on humans to provide the native signals (e.g., see Figure 4), which is sub-optimal due to the inherent variability and inconsistency of human EEG signals. Further, while this approach may be acceptable for evaluating certain aspects of sensor design, it is more problematic for evaluating entire systems.

Our team has addressed this particular problem by attempting to develop and validate an EEG “phantom” device, which could be used to replace the human head as an objective fixture to generate reference signals for these kinds of tests (Figure 7). Specifically, the phantom serves as a standard, known-quantity signal that can be used with a putative system, allowing an experimenter to quantify any differences in the outputs of the recording system. The physical properties of the phantom are designed to emulate the conductive properties of brain matter, skull, and skin. And while there currently are a number of computational models that simulate these properties of the head, no physical models are commercially available that also have analogous conductive properties. Likewise, the few physical, conductive models or “phantoms” discussed in the academic literature (e.g. [95]–[98]) have not been created in an appropriate form factor for use with complete conventional EEG systems. Being structured as such would enable a host of applications, including: use as a benchmark for typical off-the-shelf cap-based EEG systems, assessing the impact of particular environments on signal recording quality, and validating the efficacy of putative signal detection or discrimination algorithms.

In order to quickly advance the development of this capability, ARL proposed and awarded an SBIR project topic (“Neurological Simulator for Applied Data Collection,” Army SBIR A10-066) for a phantom model that has physical and electrical properties analogous to the human head with multiple sources for creating EEG-like signals from distinct, interior points. Initial prototypes developed under this program have ranged from use of simple conductive paints over a plastic shell (Physical Optics Corp (Torrence, CA, USA); CFD Research Corp. (Huntsville, AL, USA)), to varying mixtures of carbon nanotube polymers doped to match the mean conductance of the human head (Physical Optics Corp [99]; Creare, Inc (Hanover, NH, USA)), and even a 3-layer realistic model based on an MRI of an actual head with embedded dipole source points (Creare, Inc, [100]). Even though the initial attempts fall short of the necessary conductive realism to serve as a universal standard, models developed thus far have already been found useful for evaluating system latency [89]. Ongoing efforts focus on improving fabrication techniques to ensure reproduducibility of the device while achieving conductive realism across the entire “head” structure.

**VI. MOVING TOWARD APPLICATIONS**

One of the main goals of developing real-world neuroimaging techniques is to enable the development of BCITs that can be implemented in realistic scenarios. An example of such efforts is an SAIC-University of California, Santa Barbara (UCSB)-ARL collaboration that aims to demonstrate the effective extension of a rapid serial visual presentation (RSVP) based-BCIT to an operationally-relevant context [47]. Recent advances in the application of signal processing and classification algorithms and techniques to EEG signals have enabled the development of an RSVP BCIT, which lets operators rapidly review or triage visual imagery without requiring a manual response to each image [101]. Various groups have shown that this approach can be used to make the process of identifying targets in large ensembles of images an order of magnitude faster than a manual search (e.g., see efforts by ARL partners, [47]). However, initial applications typically used obvious target objects and the only task required of the operator was to focus on the image presentation.

In order to quickly advance the development of this capability, ARL successfully proposed a SBIR topic (“Neurological Simulator for Applied Data Collection,” Army SBIR A10-066), with three Phase I projects awarded, and one subsequent Phase II project awarded. This project is aimed at developing a phantom model that has physical and electrical proper-
ties analogous to the human head, with multiple sources for creating EEG-like signals from distinct, interior points. The RSVP BCIT was incorporated into the simulation by having an RSVP window pop-up at various times throughout the mission. Operators had to switch from what they were doing and engage the BCIT. During the RSVP presentation, each image was sorted based on an interest score derived from the evoked brain response. The operator was then presented with the images that generated the highest interest score and they manually verified which of the top scoring image(s) contained targets.

The initial development of this simulation environment was focused on identifying the optimal parameters and approach for classification of the neural response in an RSVP paradigm. This included presentation properties such as size, eccentricity, and rate [104], as well as the effects of changes in attentional state on classification accuracy [105]. In addition, early studies examined the effect of operator multitasking on system performance [106]. After the optimal design parameters were identified, a simulation environment was developed to quantify the benefit of incorporating neural processing techniques into a relevant operational context. Preliminary results indicate that the RSVP neural classification scheme can dramatically reduce the target search time while maintaining the accuracy level achieved by traditional manual search methods. Currently, the simulation environment (called RSVP-based Adaptive Virtual Environment with Neural-processing or RAVEN) is undergoing final implementation and testing that focuses on the effect of multitasking and task difficulty on overall system performance.

![RAVEN System Operator Screen](image)

FIGURE 8. RSVP-based Adaptive Virtual Environment with Neural-processing (RAVEN) system. RAVEN system main operator screen and RSVP window. The primary task is identification of dismounts while the vehicle is navigating a simulated environment. Secondary tasks include identification of potential IED locations, monitoring and responding to communications (audio and text). The BCI component is engaged during RSVP search (Mode 3) and top scoring images are presented on the main operator screen.

ARL, and its partners at Teledyne Scientific & Imaging, SAIC, DCS, NCTU, UCSD, and the U.S. Army Tank Automotive Research, Development, and Engineering Center are attempting a similar effort to extend NCTU- and UCSD-developed driving performance prediction and mitigation technologies into realistic driving scenarios. Early fatigue-based monitoring neurotechnologies demonstrated that high correlations could be obtained between EEG activity and lane deviation performance on a simplified driving task under static and motion conditions [107]–[109]. Our team further extended this effort to demonstrate that auditory mitigations could be used to aid performance in this scenario [110], [111]. Currently, we are systematically extending these efforts to more complex and realistic scenarios including adding: road, traffic, and environmental complexity; multitasking; and vehicle convoy operations.

Efforts such as the applications illustrated above aid in understanding the practical aspects of real-world neuroimaging implementation and give insights into many of the conceptual issues discussed herein. They also just hint at the possible brain-based technologies that will allow computers, for the first time, to generate and leverage sophisticated analyses of the emotional and cognitive states and processes of the people using them. These analyses have the potential to radically change our current insights into basic human brain function and to revolutionize the basic interactions people have, not only with the systems they use, but also with each other.
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