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Noise attenuation performance measurements were conducted on the HGU-55/P, HGU-68/P, and HGU-84/P flight helmets in combination with the Stealth Cup and the Fully-Articulating Air Bladder System (FAABS), developed by SPEAR Labs. Measurements were also collected on the helmets’ most commonly used earcups and regular shims to facilitate a direct comparison among the same sample of subjects. Passive insertion loss was measured in accordance with ANSI S12.42 while passive noise attenuation was measured in accordance with ANSI S12.6. Four ear cups, including the Stealth Cup, were tested in combination with the HGU-55/P helmet and FAABS. The Stealth Cup was also tested in combination with the HGU-68/P and HGU-84/P flight helmets. The Stealth Cup was an equal, if not better, performer in comparison to currently used earcups across all frequencies. The FAABS was also an equal performer when compared to the currently used shims.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Understanding the noise attenuation performance of a flight helmet is important not only to protect the pilot from excessive noise exposure but also to improve communication capabilities. SPEAR Labs designed a new passive earcup called the Stealth Cup as well as an air bladder system called the Fully-Articulating Air Bladder System (FAABS). This system could potentially replace the use of shims which are currently used to create a seal between the earcup and the head of the pilot and improve helmet stability.

Noise attenuation performance measurements were collected at the Air Force Research Laboratory’s (AFRL) Battlespace Acoustics Branch at Wright Patterson Air Force Base in July of 2010 on flight helmets worn in combination with the newly designed Stealth Cup and the FAABS. Passive insertion loss was measured using American National Standards Institute (ANSI) S12.42-1995(R2004) Microphone-in-Real-Ear (MIRE) and Acoustic Test Fixture Methods for the Measurement of Insertion Loss of Circumaural Hearing Protection Devices, while passive noise attenuation was measured using ANSI S12.6-1997(R2002) Methods for Measuring the Real-Ear Attenuation (REAT) of Hearing Protectors, Method A. Passive insertion loss measurements were collected first to compare the Stealth Cup to currently used earcups. Four earcups, including the Stealth Cup, were tested in combination with the HGU-55/P helmet and FAABS. The Stealth Cup was also tested in combination with two other flight helmets, the HGU-68/P and HGU-84/P. All measurements were completed using a MBU-20/P mask with visor in the down position. Passive noise attenuation was then measured on the Stealth Cup worn in combination with 3 flight helmets with and without FAABS.

The Stealth Cup provided similar, if not better, protection across all frequencies from 125 to 8000 Hz when compared to the currently worn earcups in the HGU-55/P. The Stealth Cup was also a better performer when comparing the passive insertion loss of the HGU-68/P and HGU-84/P flight helmets with the currently used earcups (measurements collected previously at AFRL in 2008 and 2009 respectively). No noise attenuation differences were found between the use of FAABS and the currently used shims to seal the earcup around the ear in flight helmets.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The noise attenuation performance of a flight helmet is important to know in order to estimate the pilot noise exposure, while increased attenuation can improve communications by blocking out excessive cockpit noise. The Stealth Cup is a wedge-shaped earcup designed to ensure optimum fit and attenuation performance. The earcup was designed to balance air volume and material used to enhance attenuation over the currently worn earcups, collectively referred to as “legacy” earcups. The Stealth Cup uses a standard issue earphone element for communication transmission. FAABS was created with the goal of improving the earcup seal and helmet stability by using an equalizing bladder that is independent of the actual ear seal. The system was intended to increase comfort and helmet fit by giving the pilot the ability to adjust the
inflation of the bladder. Active duty pilots have expressed the desire to be able to release some of the pressure on their head created by the helmet during a mission for a brief period, which has the potential to positively impact the pilot’s comfort and mental state. The objective of this study was to evaluate the noise attenuation performance of the Stealth Cup and the FAABS in conjunction with flight helmets and compare the attenuation performance of these configurations to the legacy earcups and shims currently in use.

![Figure 1. a. Stealth Cup  b. Fully-Articulating Air Bladder System (FAABS)](image)

2.0 METHODS

2.1 Subjects
Ten paid volunteer subjects (6 male, 4 female) participated in the noise attenuation performance measurements. All subjects had hearing threshold levels less than or equal to 15 dB hearing level (HL) from 125 to 8000 Hz. The ten subjects ranged in age from 19 to 26 with a mean age of 23 years.

2.2 Test Matrix
Passive insertion loss measurements, using the MIRE method, were collected on the HGU-55/P helmet and FAABS worn in combination with four earcups: the newly designed Stealth Cup, the standard HGU-55/P earcup called the H-154/AIC, the standard HGU-84/P earcup called the Oregon Aero (OA) Softseal Oval Cup, and the HGU-68/P standard earcup (Figure 2). Passive insertion loss measurements using the MIRE method were also collected with the HGU-55/P, HGU-68/P, and HGU-84/P flight helmets (Figure 2), with the Stealth Cup (Figure 3a) and regular shims (Figure 3b). Measurements for all flight helmets were completed using a MBU-20/P mask with visor in the down position. Passive noise attenuation using the REAT method was then measured on all flight helmets and the Stealth Cup with and without FAABS.
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The AFRL MIRE facility was used to measure the passive insertion loss of hearing protectors (Figure 4). Insertion loss was defined as the algebraic difference in dB between the sound pressure levels (SPL) measured at a reference point with and without the hearing protection device in place. The facility and measurements were operated in accordance with ANSI S12.42-1995(R2004).\(^1\) Miniature microphones (Knowles model BT-1759) were used to simultaneously measure the SPL at the entrance of both ear canals. 105 dB overall SPL was generated and two objective measurements were collected to complete one trial: open ear and occluded ear. Three such measurements were collected per subject according to the standard. For each subject, the mean of these three measurements was computed for both the open and occluded ear conditions. Average insertion loss for the ten subjects was then calculated for each configuration.
2.4 REAT – Passive Noise Attenuation

The AFRL REAT facility was used to measure the passive attenuation performance of hearing protectors. The facility was built for the measurement, analysis, and documentation of the sound attenuation properties of passive hearing protection devices. The chamber, its instrumentation, and measurement procedures were in accordance with ANSI S12.6-1997(R2002). The procedures described in ANSI S12.6 consist of measuring the open ear (without the hearing protector, Figure 5) and occluded ear (with the hearing protector) hearing thresholds of human subjects using a von Békésy tracking task. These psychoacoustic thresholds were measured two times for the open condition and two times for the occluded condition. The real-ear attenuation at threshold for each subject was computed at each frequency, 125 to 8000 Hz, by averaging the two trials (the difference between open and occluded ear hearing thresholds). The mean and standard deviation at each frequency was then calculated across all the subjects.
3.0 RESULTS

Passive insertion loss measurements using ANSI S12.42 methods and passive noise attenuation measurements using ANSI S12.6 methods were collected on the HGU-55/P, the HGU-68/P, and the HGU-84/P flight helmets. The attenuation of the Stealth Cup in configuration with the flight helmets was collected and compared to the legacy earmuffs: H-154/AIC, OA Softseal Oval, and the HGU-68/P Standard Cup (Figures 6-9). The attenuation of the FAABS in conjunction with the HGU-55/P was collected and compared to the use of regular shims (Figure 10).

3.1 MIRE – Passive Insertion Loss

Passive insertion loss data were collected in the MIRE facility at AFRL to compare the performance of four different earmuffs in the HGU-55/P with FAABS. Mean insertion loss data from 125-8000 Hz are shown graphically in Figure 6. The SPEAR Labs Stealth Cup provided more protection in the lower frequencies, 125-1000 Hz, and similar protection in the upper frequencies when compared to the legacy earmuffs.

![Figure 6. Mean passive insertion loss comparison of the HGU-55/P and FAABS with four different earmuffs](image-url)
Passive insertion loss data were then collected in the MIRE facility at AFRL on the HGU-55/P, HGU-68/P, and HGU-84/P with the Stealth Earcup and regular shims. Mean data from 125-8000 Hz are shown in Figure 7 to compare the HGU-55/P with the Stealth Cup and the H-154/AIC. The Stealth Cup provided better or comparable insertion loss results than the legacy earcup from 125 – 1000 Hz and 8000 Hz while the H-154/AIC provided better or comparable insertion loss results than the Stealth Cup at 2000 and 4000 Hz.

![Figure 7. Mean passive insertion loss comparison of the HGU-55/P with the Stealth Cup and the H-154/AIC](image)

Passive insertion loss data were also collected on the HGU-68/P and HGU-84/P with the Stealth Earcup and regular shims. Limited time and resources did not permit additional measurements of these flight helmets with their legacy earcups for a comparison with the same set of subjects. However, these measurements have been collected in our lab during previous studies and are plotted here to compare the insertion loss of each helmet with different earcups. Mean data from 125-8000 Hz are shown in Figures 8-9 for the HGU-68/P and the HGU-84/P respectively. The Stealth Cup performed equally, if not better, across all frequencies when compared to the legacy earcups.
Figure 8. Mean passive insertion loss comparison of the HGU-68/P with the Stealth Cup and the standard earcup

Figure 9. Mean passive insertion loss comparison of the HGU-84/P with the Stealth Cup and the standard earcup
The passive insertion loss of the HGU-55/P was collected in combination with the Stealth Cup with and without FAABS (Figure 10). There is essentially no difference when comparing the use of FAABS and the currently used shims in the HGU-55/P with the Stealth Cup from 125 – 8000 Hz.

![Graph showing attenuation comparison](image)

**Figure 10.** Mean passive insertion loss comparison of the HGU-55/P and the Stealth Cup with and without FAABS

### 3.2 REAT – Passive Noise Attenuation

Passive noise attenuation data were measured on the Stealth Cup with and without the FAABS in the HGU-55/P, HGU-68/P, and the HGU-84/P flight helmets at AFRL’s REAT facility. Mean and standard deviation results from 125-8000 Hz are shown numerically in Table 1 and the mean results shown graphically in Figures 11-13 for the HGU-55/P, HGU-68/P, and the HGU-84/P respectively. Overall, similar results were found when comparing the noise attenuation of the flight helmets and the Stealth Cup with and without the FAABS.
Table 1. Mean and standard deviation noise attenuation data on the HGU-55/P, HGU-68/P, and HGU-84/P and the Stealth Cup with and without FAABS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Helmet Configuration</th>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>125</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>4000</th>
<th>8000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HGU-55/P with Stealth Cup</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGU-55/P with Stealth Cup and FAABS</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGU-68/P with Stealth Cup</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGU-68/P with Stealth Cup and FAABS</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGU-84/P with Stealth Cup</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGU-84/P with Stealth Cup and FAABS</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11. Mean passive noise attenuation comparison of the HGU-55/P and Stealth Cup with and without the FAABS
Figure 12. Mean passive noise attenuation comparison of the HGU-68/P and Stealth Cup with and without the FAABS.

Figure 13. Mean passive noise attenuation comparison of the HGU-84/P and Stealth Cup with and without the FAABS.
4.0 DISCUSSION

Both MIRE and REAT methods were used to collect noise attenuation performance data. MIRE methods are objective measurements and were developed for engineering controls and product development/assurance. REAT methods are psychoacoustic measurements and the data can be used in conjunction with the applicable service-specific and/or Department of Defense (DoD) hearing conservation program regulations to estimate the noise level at the ear of the user and, when integrated with the exposure time, to estimate the noise dose.

Regardless of the test methodology, similar noise attenuation results were found when comparing the helmet configurations with and without FAABS (using current shims). Future studies should evaluate the attenuation performance of the system with the bladder “deflated” to determine the level of decreased attenuation performance of the system for the brief time that pilots might relieve the pressure of the helmet in flight. The potential for improving the comfort of the flight helmet using the FAABS should also be examined.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS

Passive insertion loss (MIRE) data and passive noise attenuation (REAT) data were collected on the HGU-55/P, HGU-68/P and the HGU-84/P in conjunction with the Stealth Cup and the FAABS. Overall, the Stealth Cup proved to be an equal, if not better, protector than the earcups that are currently used in the HGU-55/P, HGU-68/P, and the HGU-84/P flight helmets. When comparing the noise attenuation performance of the helmets with FAABS versus shims, similar results were found. The REAT data presented in this report are suitable for use in noise exposure calculations for the DoD and individual service hearing conservation programs.
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