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14. ABSTRACT
The research project was designed to test a novel approach of modulating Wnt signaling pathway in the bone tissue repair by using monoclonal antibodies against sclerostin (Sost) and DKK-1 (donated by Amgen Inc., Thousand Oaks, CA under MTA). Since the previous annual report, the project has progressed at a rapid pace. After overcoming the technical issue with allograft placement, we have performed all the surgical procedures, harvested approximately 85% of the test material (remaining will be completed by mid-December 2012), analyzed approx. 10% of the samples by µCT (data presented in this report), banked the remaining samples. The initial assessment of the data is encouraging as it reveals that the use of anti-Sost or anti-Dkk-1 antibodies enhances new bone formation around the allograft. The mechanical testing the regenerated region has not been performed but likely to support our hypothesis of improved integration.

15. SUBJECT TERMS
Logistic and technical difficulties.
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Introduction

The scope of this project is to evaluate if the use of a novel anabolic treatment that targets the specific signaling pathways during osteogenesis that promotes bone healing will enhance the integration of allografts to the host bone in an animal model that simulates severe bone loss due to local trauma. In general, it is known that several different growth factors aid bone regeneration. In previous studies we have reported enhanced bone regeneration when growth factors, such as bone morphogenetic protein (BMP), are applied directly at the site of injury (1-10). It is also known that mechanical stimuli at the regenerate also accelerate the healing process. We and others have demonstrated that pulses of low intensity ultrasound, delivering mechanical stimulus, accelerates fracture healing (11-14). However, the focus of the proposed application is to employ a novel approach of modulating the LRP5/Wnt cell signaling pathway which is known to be critically involved in osteogenesis in order to repair large bone defects such as those experienced by soldiers in the battlefield due to ballistics related trauma to the extremities. Monoclonal antibodies raised against sclerostin and dickkopf-1 (Dkk-1) were proposed to be the test reagents employed to modulate the Wnt signaling pathway. An agreement with Amgen Inc. (Thousand Oaks, CA) was established for them to donate the reagents.

In order to carry out this research, we had proposed an animal model of segmental bone defect in the rat femur. In the ongoing research projects in our laboratory we have employed this model to study the efficacy of combining BMP-2 and low intensity pulsed ultrasound to improve new bone regeneration in the gap. In the current study we had proposed to place an allograft in the created gap and to then treat the animals with systemic delivery of anti-sclerostin or anti-Dkk-1 antibodies for the prescribed period of time. The endpoints proposed were x-ray and µCT imaging, mechanical testing and histology.

In addition to the text above (same as last annual report), we have now overcome technical issues highlighted in that report. The overall pace in all aspects of the project now meets our expectations of completing the tasks by the proposed deadline of September 29, 2013 and will be reflected in the final report due October 29, 2013.
We hypothesized that neutralizing the LRP5/Wnt pathway inhibitors Sost or DKK1 with monoclonal antibodies will enhance allograft integration to the host bone. The proposed work in this project was designed to test this hypothesis by addressing two specific aims.

**Aim 1:** Determine the effect of modulating the LRP-5/Wnt pathway with anti-Sost monoclonal antibody on allograft incorporation in a rat segmental repair model using radiographical, morphological and mechanical endpoints.

**Aim 2:** Determine the effect of modulating the LRP-5/Wnt pathway with anti-Dkk1 monoclonal antibody on allograft incorporation in a rat segmental repair model using radiographical, morphological and mechanical endpoints.

Within each these aims we proposed to use fresh frozen and freeze-dried allografts to emulate clinical scenarios where banked tissue available for use in patients is processed by these procedures.

As explained in the previous annual report, an accurate and consistent surgical placement of the allograft posed a technical challenge. After a number of attempts we succeeded in achieving a reliable and reproducible protocol that was only minimally different from the proposed model. The modification to the surgical procedure involved placing a polyethylene rod in the intramedullary canal such that it spanned across the allograft and the host bone (Figure 1). Steps involved in the surgical procedure are shown in Figure 2. The final result was to maintain alignment of allograft with the host bone. Polyethylene is known not to integrate with the bone and would not present any significant variable during mechanical testing.
**Trabecular Thickness** – this outcome indicates the physical characteristics of new trabeculae. Thicker trabeculae are mechanically more stronger than thinner ones.

**Trabecular Spacing** – This is an indicator of the void space between the trabeculae and is inversely related to trabecular thickness.

Pictures below (Figures 3, 4 and 5) show radiograph and µCT images for representative samples from each group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure 3: In vivo radiographs, ex vivo radiographs and µCT scans for 4 week time point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Saline treatment</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left to right:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 – in vivo radiographs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – ex vivo radiograph (AP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - µCT showing new bone only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – ex vivo radiograph (Lateral)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1, W2 etc. refer to the week at which the in vivo radiograph was taken.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same layout for all panels in Figures 3, 4 and 5.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anti-Sost antibody Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Layout as described above.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anti-Dkk1 antibody Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Layout as described above.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 4:** In vivo radiographs, ex vivo radiographs and µCT scans for 8 week time point
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<td>Please see Figure 3 for layout description.</td>
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</tr>
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</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>w1</th>
<th>w2</th>
<th>w4</th>
<th>w6</th>
<th>w8</th>
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</thead>
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<td><strong>Anti-Sost antibody Treatment</strong></td>
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Figure 5: In vivo radiographs, ex vivo radiographs and µCT scans for 12 week time point

Saline treatment

Please see Figure 3 for layout description.

Anti-Sost antibody Treatment

Anti-Dkk1 antibody Treatment
µCT evaluation data was analyzed for four most relevant outcomes. Figures 6, 7 and 8 depict graphs of this quantitative data for all treatments and time points. No statistical analysis was performed because of small sample sizes. In the final analyses we will have N=15 per treatment, per time point, and we will subject all data to extensive statistical analyses to provide statistical support for our findings.

In general, the data reveals that both anti-Sost and anti-Dkk1 antibody treatments enhanced bone formation when compared with saline treatment. These findings were observed in all outcomes including trabecular thickness where the new bone formation is occurring that may be making the existing trabecular structure mechanically more competent. The planned mechanical testing will provide the necessary support for this observation. If proven true, this would represent a practical means of enhancing repair of large bone defects in orthopedic trauma and can be translated into clinical practice in the near future.

When the data was plotted to study the changes occurring over treatment time (Figures 9, 10 and 11), a time effect was observed for bone formation and trabecular thickness. The Y-axis scales for all treatments have been kept constant to allow visual comparison. Again, it is clear that anti-Sost and anti-Dkk1 treatment show higher values than saline treatment. A decline seen for bone formation at 12 week for anti-Sost treatment has been seen before by us (unpublished observation) and may represent bone remodeling that occurs later in the regenerative process.

Figure 6: µCT data for 4 week time point. The bars are color coded for easier interpretation. Yellow = Saline, Red = anti-Sost, Green = anti-Dkk1.

Note – the layout and color coding is the same for Figures 7 – 11.
Figure 7: µCT data for 8 week time point. See Figure 6 legend for color coding.

Figure 8: µCT data for 12 week time point. See Figure 6 legend for color coding.
Figure 9: µCT data for saline treatment group at different time points.

Figure 10: µCT data for anti-Sost antibody treatment group at different time points.
Figure 11: μCT data for anti-Dkk1 antibody treatment group at different time points.
Key Research Accomplishments

- All surgical procedures have been completed.
- Nearly 85% of samples have been harvested and banked.
- Over 90% of the planned radiographs have been obtained.
- 10% of the samples have been analyzed for µCT evaluation.

Reportable Outcomes

- None at this stage.

Conclusion

- The observations made based on a limited data available at this stage indicate that modulating the LRP/Wnt signaling pathway with anti-Sost and anti-Dkk1 monoclonal antibodies enhances new bone formation around allografts in a rat segmental defect model.
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