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1. Introduction

There is a large demand for processes that can produce high fiber volume fraction (fvf) composites at a low cost. This is because high fvf composite structures can have greater strength-to-weight ratios than their lower fvf counterparts. Pre-impregnated (pre-preg) fibers in an autoclave process are capable of achieving high fvf, in large part, due to the tailored resin volume available to the system and because of the high-pressure capabilities of the autoclave. However, autoclaves are high-expense systems with steep operating costs. Pre-preg fiber systems also require cold storage and have significantly shorter shelf lives than two part epoxy systems (1–6). Vacuum-Assisted Resin Transfer Molding (VARTM) is a common low cost alternative to autoclave processing due to its simplicity, low cost, and portability (7). However, during traditional VARTM processing, the fiber system is infiltrated from an excess resin reservoir until complete wet-out, limiting tailorability of the resin volume transferred to the pre-form. This lack of process control typically prevents VARTM from achieving a fvf greater than 0.50. Bladder-Bag VARTM (BBVARTM) infusion endeavors to recreate the tailored resin volume available to the pre-form in pre-preg systems, while maintaining the low cost and portability of a traditional VARTM process.

In pre-preg systems, the volume of resin supplied to the pre-form through impregnation of the fibers is calculated using laminated plate theory (LPT) to yield a precise fvf upon curing (1–6). In the same fashion, the amount of resin to be included in the bladder-bag system can be calculated to supply the pre-form with the exact amount of resin required for a pre-determined fvf. By placing the desired amount of resin directly into the consolidation vacuum bag, the lack of tailorability associated with traditional VARTM infiltration is addressed.

2. Experimental

Six-inch square panels were produced from 24 oz S-2 fiberglass with SC-15 toughened epoxy as the resin system. The volume of resin in each bladder bag was calculated using LPT. Panels were fabricated with resin content available to the system to produce panels with a fvf ranging from 0.35 to 0.60 in 0.05 increments. When attempting to make high fvf panels with the modified VARTM process, it has been common to encounter higher void content due to the decreased resin content (8). Therefore, low fvf panels were fabricated to demonstrate if this novel process is capable of producing high-quality parts, i.e., low-void content.
2.1 Calculations

The amount of resin required to yield a given fvf was calculated by first determining the density of the resulting composite using equation 1:

\[ \rho_c = \rho_f V_f + \rho_m V_m \]  

(1)

Where \( \rho_c \), \( \rho_f \), and \( \rho_m \) are the densities of the composite, fiber, and matrix, respectively; and \( V_f \) and \( V_m \) are the desired fiber and matrix volume fractions, respectively. The weight fraction of the matrix was then calculated using equation 2; where \( W_m \) is the matrix weight fraction:

\[ W_m = \frac{\rho_m V_m}{\rho_c} \]  

(2)

The overall weight of the composite was then calculated by multiplying the volume of the composite by the density of the composite. Finally, the weight of the resin required was calculated by multiplying the matrix weight fraction by the weight of the composite (9).

2.2 Methods

To prepare the bladder bags: (1) SC-15 resin was mixed to the manufacturer’s standards, (2) the mixed resin was then degassed in a vacuum oven at ~28 in of mercury for 30 min, and (3) the resin was then transferred into vacuum-sealable storage bags (manufactured by FoodSaver). The bags were then sealed and all air was evacuated from the bag through the vacuum port, eliminating the introduction of voids into the pre-form via air bubbles within the resin bag. The resin was then sealed in the lowest volume of bag necessary, using a heat sealer. This was done to prevent having excess bladder-bag material within the consolidation vacuum bag; limiting the potential for trapped resin, which could prevent the cured composite from meeting the predicted fvf. This can be seen in figure 1.

![Vacuum storage bag, vacuum sealer, and heat-sealed packet of resin.](image)

Figure 1. Vacuum storage bag, vacuum sealer, and heat-sealed packet of resin.
The 6 in square panels were then bagged in the same fashion as a typical VARTM lay-up, figure 2, with the only exception that the resin inlet tube was replaced with the bladder bag. Figure 3 shows a BBVARTM lay-up immediately following the initiation of resin infusion. Following initial testing, it was determined that there was a need for a device inside of the consolidation bag to rupture the bladder bag and initiate resin flow. It was necessary that the device present no threat to the integrity of the vacuum bag or the tool/part while still creating an adequate opening to allow efficient infusion of the pre-form. To address this need, a piece of polycarbonate was laser-machined to have serrations and then bent. This device was then placed on top of the bladder bag inside of the consolidation bag with the teeth angled down (toward the tool surface). This allowed for the easy initiation of flow by pressing the serrations through the bladder bag while presenting no threat to the integrity of the vacuum bag due to the bend in the device keeping the teeth in contact with the tool surface after rupture of the bag. The infusion initiation device can be seen in figure 4.

Figure 2. Typical VARTM lay-up.

Figure 3. BBVARTM lay-up after initiation of resin infusion.
After full wet-out, the panels were kept under vacuum overnight and then post-cured the following day at 250 °F. Four samples were cut from each panel (one from each quadrant of the panel at least 1 in from the edges of the panel). The fvf of each sample was then determined following ASTM D-2584 for the ignition loss of cured reinforced composites (10).

3. Results and Discussions

Two panels were tested at each fabricated fvf. The results of the ignition loss test are tabulated in table 1 and the average actual fiber volume, resin volume, and void volume for the specimen has been plotted in figure 5.

Table 1. Results of burnout test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicted fvf (%)</th>
<th>Actual $V_t$ (%)</th>
<th>Actual $V_r$ (%)</th>
<th>Actual $V_v$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>47.8 (s = 1.0)</td>
<td>50.9 (s = 1.0)</td>
<td>1.3 (s = 0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>48.9 (s = 0.8)</td>
<td>48.3 (s = 0.9)</td>
<td>2.8 (s = 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>52.1 (s = 0.4)</td>
<td>45.9 (s = 1.0)</td>
<td>2.0 (s = 0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>52.8 (s = 1.1)</td>
<td>45.5 (s = 1.2)</td>
<td>1.7 (s = 0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>54.6 (s = 0.4)</td>
<td>43.4 (s = 0.5)</td>
<td>2.0 (s = 0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>53.2 (s = 1.7)</td>
<td>42.1 (s = 3.6)</td>
<td>4.7 (s = 2.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It can be seen from the data that \( fvf \) was not accurately controlled. However, upon further inspection, it can be noted that the data contains an upward trend in actual fiber volume versus the predicted fiber volume fraction, with the exception being the attempts at 60%. The examination of the data at the 60% samples shows a significantly increased void fraction and a drop in \( fvf \) from the 55% specimen. This likely occurs because of the lack of resin available to the pre-form preventing complete wet-out of the fiber. If the samples at 60% are excluded, the data for \( fvf \) fits a linear trendline with an R-squared value of 0.96. Therefore, while not matching the predicted \( fvf \) from LPT; \( fvf \) was controlled by varying the resin available to the pre-form. It is expected that the \( fvf \) was not accurately controlled at the lower fiber volume fractions because the pressure supplied to the pre-form by the consolidation bag will not allow lower \( fvf \) to be readily achieved.

From further trials, an equation for accurately tailoring \( fvf \) of composites through bladder-bag resin reservoir could be formulated. A typical traditional VARTM part has an \( fvf \) of 0.50 with a void content below 3% (11). Therefore, this method demonstrates the ability to produce high-quality composite parts with a nearly 10% increase in fiber volume over traditional VARTM processes. Further testing and development of this system must be carried out to determine if the mechanical strength of the laminates produced with this method meet the standards of traditional VARTM processes. Also, it must be determined if this process applicable to other fiber/resin systems, as well as if it is scalable to larger laminate structures. Further optimization and testing of this processing technique will be carried out to address these issues, as well as to gather adequate data for the development of a formula for accurately tailoring \( fvf \) of BBVARTM laminates.
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