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Most breast cancer patients who undertake antiestrogen therapy eventually suffers from antiestrogen resistance. Understanding its molecular mechanism is essential for identifying potential targets to overcome antiestrogen resistance. XBP1-S, an important regulator of the unfolded protein response (UPR), is found highly expressed in antiestrogen resistant breast cancer cells and tissues. XBP1-S is believed to function as an important antiestrogen resistance mediator as overexpression of XBP1-S is sufficient to drive resistance to antiestrogens in MCF7 cells. In this study, we aim to investigate the mechanism of XBP1-mediated antiestrogen resistance, specifically the involvement of NFkappaB signaling. We found that XBP1 regulates NFkappaB signaling in an ERalpha signaling dependent mechanism. We have demonstrated that both XBP1(U) and XBP1(S) can interact and activate ERalpha but not ERbeta. We have also used both ERalpha positive and negative breast cancer cell lines to show that ERalpha signaling is essential for XBP1 activated NFkappaB signaling. In addition, we have examined the role of XBP1(U) and XBP1(S) in tumor development in vivo. We have injected nude mice with MCF7 cells that overexpress XBP1 and lacZ control cells. As expected, we observed enhanced growth in XBP1 overexpressed cells. However, the tumor growth of XBP1(U) overexpressed cells was significantly faster than XBP1(S) cells. We are currently examining the potential mechanism for this observation.
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I. Introduction:

Antiestrogen is the most widely used effective treatment to ER+ breast cancer patients nowadays. However, antiestrogen resistance has long been the major hurdle for endocrine therapy (1). As the UPR major component, XBP1 was shown to be up-regulated in antiestrogen resistant breast cancer cells and tumors (2-4). XBP1 overexpression is sufficient to promote resistance to antiestrogen in breast cancer (2). However, the underlying mechanisms remain to be clarified. NFκB signaling is known to be up-regulated in antiestrogen resistant cells and inhibition of NFκB re-sensitizes cells to antiestrogen (5). Our hypothesis is that NFκB is downstream of XBP1 signaling and mediates the antiestrogen resistance signaling.

II. Research Accomplishment Body:

**Aim 1:** Determine whether the XBP1-mediated antiestrogen resistance is mediated through NFκB signaling.

The first year's research, we established a link between XBP1 and NFκB signaling. We found that NFκB signaling is required for XBP1 mediated antiestrogen resistance. Inhibition of XBP1 in antiestrogen resistant cell lines will inhibit NFκB signaling, and overexpression of XBP1 in sensitive MCF7 cells will also enhance NFκB signaling. We found that XBP1(U), which was the unspliced and supposingly inactive form of XBP1, can also regulate NFκB activity. Our last year’s results suggested ER-alpha as a potential mechanism for this regulation. Therefore, based on our last year’s results, we furthered our research this year to further investigate the interaction between XBP1 and NFκB signaling, especially the role of ER alpha.

To understand how XBP1(S) and XBP1(U) regulates ER-alpha, we have transfected various constructs of XBP1 (overexpress XBP1(S) and/or XBP1(U)) into MCF7 cells and examined the level of ER-alpha signaling with ERE-luciferase activity(Figure 1A). We have found that overexpression of both XBP1(S) and XBP1(U) are able to up-regulate ERE-luc activity. This result is consistent to what we have observed with NFκB-luc, suggesting a positive link between ER-alpha signaling and NFκB signaling in these breast cancer cells. ER-alpha has previously been shown to be able to directly bind to both XBP1(U) and XBP1(S), and modulates its transcriptional activity. To determine whether this interaction exists in our system, we have performed immunoprecipitation experiments with cells overexpress both ER-alpha and XBP1(U) or XBP1(S) (Figure 1B). We found that both XBP1(U) and XBP1(S) can be co-immunoprecipitated with ER-alpha, confirming the interaction between both forms of XBP1 with ER-alpha. We have also tested their binding to ER-beta and observed no interaction, suggesting the interaction is specific to ER-alpha.
Figure 1. XBP1 regulates ER alpha signaling. (A) XBP1 constructs were transfected into MCF7 cells together with pGL3-Basic or pGL3-ERE-luc luciferase constructs.

To further confirm our finding, we have used MD-MBA-231 cells, which are ER negative and lacks ER alpha signaling. Similarly, we transfected these cells with XBP1 constructs and measured NFkappaB signaling via NFkappaB-luc(Figure 2). We have found that elevated NFkappaB was only observed with XBP1(S)-overexpressed MD-MBA-231 cells, but not the XBP1(U)-overexpressed cells. Furthermore, when we performed the same experiment with ER-alpha overexpressed MD-MBA-231 cells, we observed activation of NFkappaB signaling in both XBP1(S) and XBP1(U) overexpressed cells. These data together suggest that ER-alpha signaling is required for the XBP1(U)-mediated NFkappaB activation.

Figure 2. The effects of XBP1 on NFkappaB signaling require ERalpha signaling in MD-MBA-231 cells. Different XBP1 constructs were transfected into MD-MBA-231 or MD-MBA-231-ERalpha cells, together with pGL3-Basic or pGL3-NFkappaB-luc luciferase constructs. NFkappaB signaling activity was measured by NFkappaB-luciferase activity twenty-four hours after transfection.
We have further generated MCF7 cells that stably overexpress XBP1(S) and XBP1(U) cell lines through lentiviral infection (Figure 3). Consistent with our previous finding, we have found that MCF7-XBP1(S) cells is more resistant to both Tamoxifen and ICI than lacZ overexpressing control cells. However, MCF7-XBP1(U) cells, which also had enhanced NFκB signaling, only displayed moderate resistance Tamoxifen, and similar sensitivity to ICI comparing to the control cells. These data suggest that the XBP1(S) mediated antiestrogen resistance relies partly on NFκB signaling, and other signaling pathways might also be involved for antiestrogen resistance.

**Figure 3.** MCF7 cells that stably overexpress XBP1(S) and XBP1(U) cells were generated. XBP1(S) overexpressed cells were more resistant to Tamoxifen and ICI, whereas XBP1(U) overexpressed cells showed only moderate resistance to Tamoxifen and almost no resistance to ICI.

**Aim 2:** Determine the role of XBP1 in breast cancer antiestrogen resistance *in vivo.*

In the past year, we have obtained the animal protocol approval from DOD-IACUC, and conducted a pilot study with 25 nude mice. We have obtained ovaritarized female nude mice to remove the intrinsic estrogen cycle that might interfere with our study. We then implant an estrogen pellet under skin of each animal to provide sufficient and stable estrogen. We then injected MCF7 cells that overexpress lacZ, XBP1-S and XBP1-U into the mammary fat pad of these mice. Due to the fact that we observe slight but not significant difference in cell growth in vitro, we decided to inject all three different cell lines into the same animal whenever possible. This strategy is aim to minimize the variance between individual mice.

All cell injections were successful without difference in take rate among three cell types (allcells displayed a 100% take rate). However, different celltypes displayed different growth rate drastically (Figure 4). The lacZ-overexpressed tumors grow the slowest among the three. As expected, XBP1-S overexpressed tumors grow faster than the lacZ cells. However, surprisingly, the tumor growth rate of XBP1-U overexpressed tumors was dramatically enhanced. These
results suggest that an unknown mechanism might be involved in promoting the growth of XBP1(U) overexpressed tumors. In order to confirmed the overexpression of XBP1(S) and XBP1(U), we have collected tumor tissue samples from animals. Our western blot analysis demonstrated that our strategy is successful, and XBP1 are stably overexpressed during our in vivo experiments.
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**Figure 4.** XBP1(S) and XBP1(U) overexpressed tumors grow much faster than LacZ control tumors. The right panel was samples collected from harvest tumor tissues to confirm the overexpression of XBP1 and LacZ as indicated.

Due to the fast growth of the XBP1-U tumor, we often had to sacrifice the animal before the lacZ and XBP1-S tumor reached the needed size to start drug treatment. Even though we had to terminate the pilot experiment earlier than initially planned, the discovery found in this pilot study was valuable. The results from this in vivo experiment strongly contrasted our observation in vitro, underscored the importance of in vivo animal experiments. In addition, we obtained further insights about these cells from the tissue samples we harvested from the animals in this pilot study. When we sacrifice these animals, we often observe that XBP1-S and XBP1-U tumors are better vascularized than the lacZ tumors, suggesting a role of XBP1 in regulating angiogenesis. We have stained the tissue samples with proliferation marker Ki67 and apoptosis marker TUNEL(Figure 5). Our data suggest that the XBP1-S and XBP1-U cells displayed enhanced proliferation and apoptosis, which is consistent with the enhanced tumor growth observed in these tumors. Furthermore, we have also stained the tissue samples with angiogenesis markers CD31. Similar to the enhanced vascularization that we have observed during tissue collection, we observed enhanced and clustered CD31 staining in XBP1(S) and XBP1(U) overexpressed tissues. However, due to different tumor sizes between XBP1 and LacZ tumor samples. Further investigation is needed to draw conclusions between XBP1 and angiogenesis.
Based on the findings from our pilot study, we are eager to further examine the effects of XBP1 overexpression on tumor growth. In addition, we are interested in determine the role of NFκB signaling in regulating the enhanced tumor growth. We were recently granted renewal of our animal protocol from GUACUC, and my animal protocol is currently under renewal revision by DOD-IACUC. After the approval, we plan to initiate our next animal experiments. We will adjust our strategy based on the observation from our pilot study. Instead of inject cell types into the same animal; each animal will be injected with the same cell type. Furthermore, we will start the treatment of animals with Tamoxifen and NFκB inhibitor Parthenolide based on the size of the tumor. We also aim to further determine the relationship between XBP1 and angiogenesis both in vivo and in vitro investigation.

III. Key Research Accomplishments

- XBP1(U) and XBP1(S) both bind to and regulates ERα signaling
- The up-regulation of NFκB signaling by XBP1(U) and XBP1(S) requires ERα.
- XBP1(U) cells are moderately resistant to Tamoxifen and still sensitive to ICI
- XBP1(S) overexpression promotes tumor growth in xenograft model
- XBP1(U) overexpressed MCF7 cells form tumors even faster than XBP1(S) overexpressed cells in xenograft model
- XBP1(S) and XBP1(U) overexpressed tumors are better vascularized and may contribute to angiogenesis.

IV. Reportable Outcomes

*Manuscripts in preparation:*


*Manuscripts*


V. Conclusions

From the second year of the funded research, we have made several positive findings. First, we determined the role of ER-alpha signaling in regulating NFkappaB signaling by XBP1 in breast cancer. We found that ER-alpha signaling is essential for activating NFkappaB signaling in XBP1(U) overexpressed cells, which we have demonstrated both in ER-alpha positive and negative breast cancer cell lines. Second, we found that XBP1(U) display partial resistance to Tamoxifen and no resistance to ICI, even though it also has enhanced NFkappaB and ER-alpha signaling. Third, we have observed that the growth rate of XBP1 overexpressed tumors is greatly enhanced, especially the XBP1(U) tumors. Finally, our observation suggested a link between XBP1 and angiogenesis, even though further investigation is clearly needed.

The discoveries made from the past two years lead us to additional exciting questions. We have established a link between XBP1 and NFkappaB signaling, possibly through ER-alpha in breast cancer cells. As a survival signaling pathway that has been activated in many cancer types,
NFκB signaling is an active target for therapeutics. According to the data I obtained from my study, NFκB signaling plays an essential role in XBP1-driven antiestrogen resistance in breast cancer. Co-treatments that targeting both signaling pathways for synergistic effects should be examined for antiestrogen resistant breast cancer model. The in vivo xenograft experiment that we plan to undertake will serve to test this hypothesis. In addition, we found unexpected roles of the unspliced XBP1(U). It not only binds to and activates ER-alpha signaling and thus NFκB signaling, it also strongly promotes tumor growth in vivo. However, the underlying mechanisms are still unclear, and our preliminary results suggest that angiogenesis might be involved. In future studies, we will further investigate the effects of XBP1 (both U and S) on angiogenesis in vivo and also in vitro investigation.

VI. References
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