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## 30-40 Capstone Documents: 1970-2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Date Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project SIXTY &amp; Missions of the U.S. Navy</td>
<td>Sep 1970, Mar-Apr 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea Plan 2000</td>
<td>Mar 1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNO Strategic Concepts &amp; Future of U.S. Sea Power</td>
<td>Jan, May 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Way Ahead</td>
<td>Apr 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Navy Policy Book</td>
<td>May 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . From the Sea</td>
<td>Nov 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDP 1: Naval Warfare</td>
<td>Mar 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward...From the Sea</td>
<td>Oct 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy Operational Concept (NOC)</td>
<td>May 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anytime, Anywhere</td>
<td>Nov 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy Strategic Planning Guidance (NSPG) (2)</td>
<td>Aug 1999 &amp; Apr 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea Power 21 &amp; Global CONOPS</td>
<td>Jun 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Operating Concept for Joint Operations (NOCJO)</td>
<td>Apr 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleet Response Plan (FRP)</td>
<td>May 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy Strategic Plan (NSP) ISO POM 08</td>
<td>Apr 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Operations Concept (NOC)</td>
<td>Sep 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy Strategic Plan (NSP) ISO POM 10</td>
<td>Sep 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy Strategic Plan (NSP) ISO POM 10 (Change 1)</td>
<td>Nov 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy Strategic Guidance ISO PR 11</td>
<td>May 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy Strategic Plan (NSP) ISO POM 12</td>
<td>Oct 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Operations Concept (NOC)</td>
<td>May 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDP 1: Naval Warfare</td>
<td>Aug 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy Strategic Plan ISO POM 13</td>
<td>Oct 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## US Navy capstone documents of the 1970s

- **1970**  
  *Project SIXTY*

- **1974**  
  *Missions of the U.S. Navy*

- **1975**  
  *Strategic Concept for the U.S. Navy*

- **1977**  
  *NWP 1: Strategic Concepts of the U.S. Navy*

- **1978**  
  *NWP 1: Strategic Concepts of the U.S. Navy (Rev. A)*

- **1978**  
  *Sea Plan 2000*

- **1979**  
  *CNO Strategic Concepts & The Future of U.S. Sea Power*

- Sep 1970  
  *Project SIXTY*  
  - An assessment; direction to move

- Mar-Apr 1974  
  “Missions of the Navy”  
  - Missions

---

**Project SIXTY (Sep 1970)**
**Project SIXTY (1970)**

- Signed by CNO ADM Zumwalt (Sept 1970)
- “Assessment” & “Direction to Move”
- Medium-length (30 pp) SECRET brief & memo
- CNO flag officer special assistants drafted
- Principal targets: SECDEF, OSD, DON, OPNAV
- Comprehensive plan, program guidance for Zumwalt term
  - Precedent for subsequent annual CNO program guidance
- **To re-optimize USN to counter Soviet threat**
- 4 USN capability categories; hi-low mix
- Sea control priority over power projection programs
- Listed 22 specific actions taken or proposed
- Presented 3 force structure alternatives
- Concepts proved more influential than programs

---

**Missions of the U.S. Navy (1974)**
Missions of the U.S. Navy (1974)

- Drafted & signed by NAVWARCOL President VADM Turner (Mar-Apr 1974)
- Drafting assistance by NAVWARCOL faculty
- Short (16 pp max) UNCLAS articles
- Principal target: US Navy officer corps
- Described 4 Navy missions (from Project SIXTY)
- Showed relationship of missions to tactics
- Deliberate creation of a new vocabulary
- USN officers should think deeply about their service
- Missions construct lends itself to analyzing naval issues
- Soviet Union the chief threat for USN to counter
- Lasting influence

ADM James L. Holloway III (CNO Jun 1974-Jul 1978)

- Dec 1975 Strategic Concept for the U.S. Navy (S)
- 1976-78 CNO Reports (Posture Statements)
- Jan 1977 Strategic Concepts of the U.S. Navy (NWP 1)
- May 1978 Strategic Concepts of the U.S. Navy NWP 1 (Rev. A)
- (Mar 1978) (Sea Plan 2000) (S)
  - A force planning study
Strategic Concepts of the U.S. Navy (1975-8)

- Drafted and signed by CNO ADM Holloway
- “Strategic Concepts”
- Principal targets: USN officer corps & Capitol Hill
- Concepts evolved through various editions:
  - CLAS memo, then UNCLAS article, NWP, posture statement brochures, revised medium-length (37 pp) NWP
- Comprehensive analysis of naval requirement development
  - Rigorous flow from national policy to systems
- Focus on naval warfare tasks, across platforms
  - Highlighted range of aircraft carrier capabilities
- No specific USN adversaries mentioned by name
- Still modestly influential
Sea Plan 2000 (1978)

- Signed by SECNAV Claytor (Mar 1978)
  - Article signed by Under SECNAV Woolsey
- Principal target: SECDEF, OSD, Joint Staff, OPNAV
- SECRET 2-volume force planning study
  - Separate UNCLAS medium-length (23 pp) abridgement of study executive summary
  - USN Woolsey *International Security* article
- Drafted by a working group; NAVWARCOL professor lead
- Maintain stability - Contain crises - Deter war spectrum
- Focus on countering Soviet threat
- Naval forces can be decisive in crises & in war with Soviets
- 7 USN missions
- New technology as USN enabler
- 3 USN force level options
- Great short-term influence. Overshadowed later by Hayward views & *The Maritime Strategy*
ADM Thomas B. Hayward (CNO Jul 1978-Jun 1982)

- Jan 1979  CNO Strategic Concepts (Top Secret)
- Jan 1979  CNO Posture Statement (UNCLAS)
- May 1979  The Future of U.S. Sea Power (UNCLAS)
  - Fundamental principles

CNO Strategic Concepts (1979)
Signed by CNO ADM Hayward (May 1979)

- Primary targets: OSD, Congress, USN officer corps
- CLAS briefings & letter; then UNCLAS Posture Statement & very short (6 pp) article
- Principal drafter: CNO Executive Assistant
- "Fundamental principles of naval strategy"
- Call for USN maritime superiority, indeed, supremacy
- Global forward, offensive USN ops vs. Soviet Union, Warsaw Pact
- More allied naval contributions encouraged
- 12 CVBGs the centerpiece
- Need to keep USN technological edge
- Heavy influence on The Maritime Strategy
The 1980s

- 1982  *The Maritime Strategy* (SECRET brief)
- 1984  *The Maritime Strategy* (SECRET pub)
- 1985  *The Maritime Strategy* (SECRET pub revision)
- 1986  *The Maritime Strategy* (UNCLAS booklet)
- 1987  “Looking Beyond the Maritime Strategy” (UNCLAS article)
- 1989  *The Maritime Strategy* (SECRET pub revision)
- 1990  “Maritime Strategy for the 1990s” (UNCLAS article)

The Maritime Strategy (1982-90)

- Signed by:
  - CNO ADM James D. Watkins
  - CNO Carlisle A.H. Trost
- *Amphibious Warfare Strategy* signed by:
  - CNO ADM James D. Watkins
  - CMC Gen Paul X. Kelley
- “600-ship Navy” companion piece signed by:
  - SECNAV John F. Lehman, Jr.
ADM James D. Watkins (CNO Jun 1982-Jun 1986)

- May 1984  *The Maritime Strategy* (SECRET pub)
- Jun 1985  *The Amphibious Warfare Strategy* (SECRET pub)
- Nov 1985  *The Maritime Strategy* (SECRET pub revision)
- Jan 1986  *The Maritime Strategy* (UNCLAS USNI *Proceedings* insert)


- Jan 1987  “Looking Beyond the Maritime Strategy” (UNCLAS USNI *Proceedings* article)
- Feb 1989  *The Maritime Strategy* (SECRET pub revised)
- May 1990  “Maritime Strategy for the 1990s” (UNCLAS USNI *Proceedings* article)
The Maritime Strategy (1982-90)

- Signed by CNOs ADMs Watkins & Trost
- Coordinated with SECNAVs & CMCs
- Primary targets: Numerous, esp. USN officers, Soviets
- Billed as a “strategy”
- Drafted in OPNAV Strategic Concepts Branch (OP-603)
- **Key idea: The U.S. Navy makes a strategic difference**
  - Across the Peace-Crisis-War spectrum
  - In 3-phase global forward offensive campaign vs. Soviet Union, allies & clients, as part of joint, allied global war
- Laid out uncertainties
- Highly influential

The 1990s

- 1991  *The Way Ahead*
- 1992  *The Navy Policy Book*
- 1992  *... From the Sea*
- 1994  *Naval Doctrine Pub 1: Naval Warfare*
- 1994  *Forward ... From the Sea*
- 1997  *Navy Operational Concept (NOC)*
- 1997  *Anytime, Anywhere*
- 1999 & 2000  *Navy Strategic Planning Guidance (NSPG)*
1990s: End of the Cold War


ADM Frank B. Kelso III (CNO Jun 1990-Apr 1994)

- Apr 1991 The Way Ahead
  - Vision
- May 1992 The Navy Policy Book
  - Policy
- Nov 1992 ...From the Sea
  - Vision
- Mar 1994 Naval Warfare (NDP 1)
  - Doctrine
The Way Ahead (1991)

- Signed by SECNAV Garrett, CNO ADM Kelso, CMC Gen Gray (Apr 1991)
- Billed as a “Way Ahead”
- Primary targets: USN, USMC officer corps
- Short (12 pp) UNCLAS US Naval Institute Proceedings & Marine Corps Gazette articles; & stand-alone pub
- Drafted in OPNAV Naval Warfare directorate (OP-07)
- Threats seen as regional
  - Re-emergent global threat unlikely but possible
- Called for new deployment patterns, forward presence, surge, emphasis on presence & MOOTW
- Remarkably prescient
- Little influence at the time
The Navy Policy Book (1992)

- Signed by SECNAV Garrett & CNO ADM Kelso (May 1992)
- Billed as “policy” & “guiding principles”
- Primary target: USN officers & enlisted
- UNCLAS stand-alone internal USN pub
- Medium length (40 pages)
- Drafted by OPNAV CNO Executive Panel staff officers (OP-00K)
- Internal USN focus: Tied to USN Total Quality Leadership (TQL) program
- Laid out “strategic principles,” nature of USN as an organization
- Stressed importance of people, accountability, responsibility & good stewardship to US Navy culture
- Very joint. Called for integrated joint campaigns
- Little influence beyond ADM Kelso’s term
...From The Sea (1992)

Signed by SECNAV O’Keefe, CNO ADM Kelso, CMC Gen Mundy (Nov 1992)
Billed as a “white paper” & “combined vision”
Primary target: Many, but esp. USN, USMC officers
Short (16 pp) UNCLAS. US Naval Institute Proceedings & Marine Corps Gazette articles; stand-alone pub; & on web
Drafted in a succession of working groups. Many fathers.
Threats seen as regional, but none specified by name
Regional, joint, forward, littoral, enabling, expeditionary ops
Focus on early strike & power projection ops vs. the shore, in support of joint operations
Sea control operations beyond littorals de-emphasized
Integrated USN-USMC doctrine, operations, force packages
Wide influence. Still cited in 2010
NDP 1: Naval Warfare (1994)

Signed by CNO ADM Kelso & CMC Gen Mundy (Mar 1994)
Billed as “doctrine”
Primary target: All USN & USMC, active & reserve
Long (76 pp) UNCLAS glossy Naval Doctrine Pub (NDP), & on the web
Drafted & published by new Naval Doctrine Command
Principles of war; maneuver warfare
War, deterrence, presence, OOTW
Close Navy-Marine Corps integration
Very joint & combined
“Adversaries” and “enemies” not specified, save “growing regional threats”
Continued use as reference through 2010 (largely outside USN)
Oct 1994  *Forward…From the Sea*

“A strategic concept”
Forward…From The Sea (1994)

- Signed by SECNAV Dalton, CNO ADM Boorda, CMC Gen Mundy (Oct 1994)
- Billed as a “strategic concept”
- Principal target: Many, esp. USN officer corps
- Short (12 pp) UNCLAS. US Naval Institute Proceedings & Marine Corps Gazette articles, stand-alone pub, & on web
- Navy drafters: OPNAV Strategy Branch (N513)
- Threats seen as regional, but none specified by name
- Emphasis on combat credible forward presence in & between 3 hubs
- Reaffirmed traditional sea control ops
- Still cited in 2006 Naval Operations Concept

ADM Jay L. Johnson (CNO May 1996-Jul 2000)

- May 1997  Navy Operational Concept
- Nov 1997  Anytime, Anywhere
  - A vision
- Aug 1999  Navy Strategic Planning Guidance (POM-02) (SECRET)
- Apr 2000  Navy Strategic Planning Guidance (POM-03) (UNCLAS)
Navy Operational Concept (1997)

- Signed by CNO ADM Johnson (Jan 1997)
- Billed as an “operational concept”
- Current & future concepts
- Principal target: USN officer corps
- Very short (8 pp.) UNCLAS. CNO e-mail to flags; then on web; then *Sea Power* article
- Drafted by working group, then OPNAV Strategy Branch (N513)
- Tied to CJCS strategy & vision
- *Operational maneuver & speed of command* concepts
- Modest influence
Anytime, Anywhere (1997)  

Signed by CNO ADM Johnson (Nov 1997)  
Billed as a “vision”  
Principal target: Many, esp. USN officer corps  
Very short (3 pp.) UNCLAS US Naval Institute Proceedings article  
Drafted by CNO Executive Panel staff (N00K)  
Emphasis on warfighting, sea control, power projection  
USN “operational primacy”  
Direct, decisive USN influence ashore  
Document had little influence

- Signed by CNO ADM Johnson (Aug 1999; Apr 2000)
- Billed as “strategic planning guidance” to influence POM decisions
- Principal target: DON planners, programmers & budgeters
- Very long, detailed, stand-alone pubs (55 & 90 pages)
- 1st was SECRET; 2nd was UNCLAS
- Drafted in OPNAV Strategic Concepts Branch (N513)
- **Forward presence & knowledge superiority**
- **5-Dimensional battlespace**
- **Potential adversary capabilities specifically identified**
- Modest, short-term influence
The first decade of the twenty-first century

- 2002  Sea Power 21 & Global CONOPs
- 2002  Naval Power 21…A Naval Vision
- 2003  Naval Operating Concept for Joint Operations (NOCJO)
- 2003  Fleet Response Plan (FRP)
- 2006  Navy Strategic Plan ISO POM 08 (SECRET & UNCLAS)
- 2006  Naval Operations Concept (NOC)
- 2007  Navy Strategic Plan (NSP) ISO POM 10 (SECRET)
- 2007  Navy Strategic Plan (NSP) ISO POM 10 (Change 1) (SECRET)
- 2009  Navy Strategic Guidance (NSG) ISO PR 11 (SECRET)
- 2009  Navy Strategic Plan (NSP) ISO POM 12 (SECRET)
- 2010  Naval Operations Concept (NOC)
- 2010  Naval Doctrine Publication (NDP) 1: Naval Warfare
- 2010  Navy Strategic Plan (NSP) ISO POM13 (SECRET)

ADM Vern Clark (CNO Jul 2000-Jul 2005)

- Jun 2002  Sea Power 21
  - A “vision”; Included “Global CONOPs”
- Oct 2002  Naval Power 21…A Naval Vision
- Apr 2003  Naval Operating Concept for Joint Operations
- May 2003  Fleet Response Plan
  - From “concept” to “plan”
Sea Power 21 w/ Global CONOPs (2002)

- Signed by CNO ADM Clark & other admirals (Jun 2002 & subsequently)
- NAVWARCOL speech & Transformation Roadmap
- UNCLAS US Naval Institute Proceedings 9-article series; stand-alone 5-article medium-length pamphlet; on web
- Billed as a “vision”
- Principal target: Many, esp. USN officer corps
- Drafted principally in OPNAV N00Z (& N81: CONOPS)
- Threat to USN: Evolving regional challenges and transnational threats
- 8-concept framework: Sea Strike, Sea Shield, Sea Basing, FORCEnet, Sea Warrior, Sea Trial, Sea Enterprise, Global Concept of Operations
- Very influential within OPNAV, NWDC, ONR, etc.
Naval Power 21...A Naval Vision (2002)

- Signed by SECNAV England, CNO ADM Clark, CMC Gen Jones (Oct 2002)
- Billed as a “vision”
- Principal target audience: USN & USMC leadership
- Very short (6 pp). UNCLAS. Published on the web
- Drafted in new OPNAV “Deep Blue” office
- To counter threats from our nation’s enemies
- Central theme: Navy-Marine Corps team
  - USN-USMC TACAIR integration
- Brought together Sea Power 21, Global CONOPS, (& Marine Corps 21) concepts & initiatives
  - Sea Strike, Sea Shield, Sea Basing, FORCEnet, Sea Warrior, Sea Trial, Sea Enterprise; 25% increase in size of the fleet goal
- Little lasting influence

- Signed by CNO ADM Clark & CMC Gen Hagee (Apr 2003)
- Billed as an “Operating Concept”
- Principal target: USN, USMC officer corps
- Medium-length (23 pages). UNCLAS. Published on web
- Navy drafters: OPNAV N513 (Strategy Branch) & Navy Warfare Development Command (NWDC)
- Amplified Sea Power 21 & Global CONOPS concepts
- Elevated ready-force surge alongside forward presence
- Near-term, mid-term & long-term (to 2020) visions
- Capabilities-based approach: To counter conventional & unconventional threats challenging US military superiority
- Explicit relationships to joint & sister service concepts
- Tentative USN-USMC Sea Basing consensus
- Little influence in Navy. More in Marine Corps

- Put out by CNO ADM Clark, CFFC ADM Natter (Mar 2003)
- First a “concept”; then a “plan; then a “program”
- To increase & maintain USN surge capability, to meet short-notice demands for significant naval combat forces forward
- To change Navy culture from “deployment-centric” to “readiness-centric;” to enable “presence with a purpose”
- Advertised as tested in Summer Pulse 04
- Principal targets: DOD civilian leadership, USN officers. Later, USN enlisted & families
- Series of short internal USN directives (1 made into glossy); numerous external flag interviews/speeches/articles; web
- Initially conceived in OPNAV, esp. “Deep Blue” office
- Big internal USN influence, esp. waterfront; OSD impressed.
- Institutionalized: 2007; Specific goals evolved; Policy in 2010
ADM Michael Mullen (CNO Jul 2005-Sep 2007)

- Apr 2006  *Navy Strategic Plan ISO POM 08 (S) & (U)*
- Sep 2006  *Naval Operations Concept (U)*
- Sep 2007  *Navy Strategic Plan ISO POM 10 (S)*

---

Navy Strategic Plan ISO POM 08 (2006)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Navy Strategic Plan ISO POM 08 (2006)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>♦ Signed by CNO ADM Mullen (April, May 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♦ A “strategic plan.” SECRET and UNCLAS versions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♦ Principal target: USN planners &amp; programmers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♦ Mid-length (23/42 pp); distributed on UNCLAS, CLAS webs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♦ Drafted by OPNAV Strategy, Plans &amp; Policy Director (N5SP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♦ <strong>Effects-based &amp; capabilities-based planning</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♦ Used modified <em>Sea Power 21</em> “pillars”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♦ Non-traditional missions not solely sub-sets of MCOs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♦ Emphasis throughout on programming for GWOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♦ Risk is inherent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♦ “1000-ship navy” initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♦ Influence: Too late to affect POM 08 directly, but set stage for <em>A Cooperative Strategy &amp; NSP ISO POM 10</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Naval Operations Concept (2006)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Naval Operations Concept" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
Naval Operations Concept (2006)

- Signed by CNO ADM Mullen & CMC Gen Hagee (Sep 2006)
- A “naval operations concept” & “unified vision for the future”
- Principal targets: USN & USMC officers & enlisted
- Medium-length (36 pages) UNCLAS booklet
- Principal USN drafters & overall coordinator: OPNAV Deep Blue, then OPNAV Strategy, Plans & Policy Division (N5SP)
- To counter threats from diverse nations & non-state actors, across the spectrum of operations
- 13 Mission areas, 9 guiding principles
- 9 Methods, 5 strategic objectives
- More influence at HQs than in the fleet
  - Precedent seen as useful

Navy Strategic Plan ISO POM 10 (2007)
Navy Strategic Plan ISO POM 10 (2007)

- Signed by outgoing CNO ADM Mullen (Sep 2007)
- A “Navy strategic plan;” not tri-service or bi-service
- Long (75 pp) SECRET stand-alone pub. No UNCLAS version
- Drafted in OPNAV Strategic Concepts Branch (N5SC)
- Developed in tandem with A Cooperative Strategy for 21st Century Seapower
- Designed to translate strategy into programmatic guidance
- **Extensive strategic context analysis & risk guidance**
- Revised by incoming CNO ADM Roughead within 2 months
- Principal target: DON programmers & budgeters

ADM Gary Roughead (CNO Sep 2007-Sep 2011)

- Nov 2007  Navy Strategic Plan ISO POM 10 (Ch 1)
- May 2009  Navy Strategic Guidance ISO PR 11
- Oct 2009  Navy Strategic Plan ISO POM 12
- May 2010  Naval Operations Concept
- Aug 2010  Naval Doctrine Pub 1: Naval Warfare
- Oct 2010  Navy Strategic Plan ISO POM 13

- Signed by CNO ADM Roughead, CMC Gen Conway, COMDT COGARD ADM Allen (Oct 2007)
- Principal stated target: American people & US Congress
- Short UNCLAS document (16 pages)
- OPNAV N3/N5SAG: USN drafters & overall coordinators
- Complex, lengthy, open development process
- Promulgated at US Naval War College International Seapower Symposium in Newport (Oct 2007)
- To counter potential threats of major power war, regional conflict, terrorism, lawlessness and natural disasters
- Key ideas: US sea services essential to maintain global world political & economic system; need for cooperation & trust; war prevention as important as war winning; 6 strategic imperatives, 6 core capabilities, 3 implementation priorities
- Influential, esp. overseas; Major USN publicity campaigns
Signed by CNO ADM Roughead (Nov 2007)

- A “Navy strategic plan”
- Navy-only.  Not bi-service or tri-service
- Principal target: DON programmers & budgeters
- Long (76 pp) SECRET stand-alone pub

- Modest CNO Roughead personal changes to CNO ADM Mullen NSP ISO POM 10, signed just weeks before

- Cog office: OPNAV N5SC (Strategy & Concepts Branch)
- Emphasis on NSP as the strategic source document for USN POM development
Full title: *Navy Strategic Guidance in support of Program Review 2011*

Signed by CNO ADM Roughead (May 2009)

“Navy strategic guidance”

- Vice “Navy strategic plan,” since in support of a Program Review (PR), not a Program Objective Memorandum (POM)

Principal targets: DON officers & civilians charged with developing PR 11

- Medium-length (33 pages); SECRET

- Navy-only

Drafted in OPNAV Strategy Branch (N5SC)

“**Forward presence is the linchpin for maritime strategy implementation**”

Navy Strategic Plan ISO POM-12 (2009)

Signed by CNO ADM Roughead (Oct 2009)

“Navy strategic plan”

Principal targets: DON officers & civilians charged with developing POM-12

Lengthy (76 pages) SECRET document

Navy-only

Drafted in OPNAV N513 (Strategy branch)

Strategy-based

Released in time to impact POM development

Developed via defendable, repeatable & quantifiable strategic planning process
Naval Operations Concept (2010)

- Signed by CNO ADM Roughead, CMC Gen Conway, COMDT COGARD ADM Allen (May 2010)
- Billed as a “naval operations concept”
- Principal target: Sailors, Marines & Coastguardsmen
- Very long (102 PP) UNCLAS book; very long drafting process
- USN-USMC-USCG staff drafting participation
- USN lead drafters & overall coordinators: OPNAV N513
- To counter range of nuclear, conventional, irregular challenges
- Key ideas: Sea as maneuver space; details of 6 core capabilities identified in A Cooperative Strategy for 21st Century Seapower (CS 21) (2007); described “ways” Naval Service will achieve CS 21 “ends”; current operations concept (not an aspirational vision for the future); to inform development of service & joint concepts, plans, experimentation, operations
Signed by CNO ADM Roughead, CMC Gen Conway, COMDT COGARD ADM Allen (Aug 2010)

Billed as “doctrine.” Principal targets:
- Sailors, Marines, Coastguardsmen, Naval Service civilians
- Also senior US government & NGO civilian & military leaders

Lengthy (54 pp.) UNCLAS book; very long drafting process

USN-USMC-USCG staff drafting participation

Navy drafting responsibility & overall coordination: Navy Warfare Development Command (NWDC)

To bridge joint policy & doctrine & detailed service TTP

Key ideas: Levels of war; Operational art; range of military operations; 6 core capabilities; campaign phases; global maritime partnerships; maritime domain awareness; tied to *A Cooperative Strategy for 21st Century Seapower*
Signed by CNO ADM Roughead (Oct 2010)

“Navy strategic plan”

Principal targets:
- DON officers & civilians charged with developing POM-13

Short (19 pages), Navy-only, SECRET document

Drafted in OPNAV Strategy Branch (N513)

To guide the continued implementation of the ideas in A Cooperative Strategy for 1st Century Seapower (2007) through development of the Navy’s POM 13 (2013-2020)

Prioritized “strategic imperatives” from A Cooperative Strategy specifically defined to be relevant in the future security environment

Provided specific “Capability Risk Guidance”
CNA studies on U.S. Navy strategies and their context


