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1. Introduction

As government and commercial entities continue moving towards a condition based maintenance approach for logistics, the need for automated data acquisition becomes vital to success. For the duration of this document data acquisition is defined as the means by which raw facts are gathered for transmission, evaluation, and analysis (Pengxiang et al., 2004). Condition based maintenance is an advanced maintenance management mode, which helps avoid disrepair or excessive repair due to periodic maintenance, reduces maintenance cost, and also improves equipment reliability and availability. The analysis of critical system data minimizes the vulnerabilities of monitored systems, maximizes system availability, and concurrently produces a proactive logistics enterprise. This chapter discusses the design and implementation details of an adaptable automated data acquisition system (DAS) comprising several automated data acquisition nodes. Ideally, a versatile DAS design should have the capabilities to acquire and transmit data on key system test points in electronic or mechanical systems as well as provide the capacity for onboard data storage.

In many cases, a DAS will be embedded within a mechanical platform, electrical platform, or in an environment that is hazardous to humans; thereby disallowing direct human interaction with the DAS. In such remote applications, automation is particularly important because by definition human control of the system is either extremely limited or completely removed from the scenario. Here, automation means the mechanical or electrical control of a standalone apparatus or system using devices that take the place of human intervention. An automated DAS offers many advantages over manual and semi-automated acquisition techniques. Automated systems provide an accurate data recording mechanism that eliminates human error in the acquisition process. Automation also provides the ability to report data payloads in real time, whereas manual and semi-automated processes only allow data access after the fact.

The crucial features of a successful DAS will be data payload accessibility, automation, and an optimized means of transmission. The key issues for automation are the type of data to be collected, timing and frequency of data sampling, and the amount of onboard processing needed at the local level (Volponi et al., 2004). The type of data directly impacts not only the types of sensors needed but also the timing and frequency of the data sampling rate. Data types that require a high sampling rate or continuous sampling to identify key features of the data set will need some form of onboard processing to reduce the bit density of the
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payload to be transmitted. For applications with discrete or low sampling rates this may not be an issue. This chapter will address various situations that apply to both types of data sets. Payload accessibility and means of transmission are intertwined because often the transmission medium is what grants external access to an embedded DAS.

Benefits of embedding an automated DAS include continuous user awareness of platform operational status and a reduction of maintenance costs by facilitating condition based maintenance as opposed to a fixed time based maintenance schedule. Automating the DAS means that diagnostics sensors can run continuously and discretely with functionality remaining transparent to the user.

Within this chapter, a specific DAS design will be used as a case study to highlight how the issues associated with each of the design features manifest themselves in the design process as well as to highlight the tradeoffs that are made in addressing these issues. This case study will illustrate the effects of said tradeoffs on both the design of the hardware and development of the control software. Finally, the results of a demonstration of the wireless DAS embedded within a platform will be reviewed. Performance will be evaluated for use on electrical fuses within a remotely operated weapons platform and on mechanical bearings for use in ground vehicles. This chapter compares experimental vibration data for mechanical bearing degradation collected by the automated DAS to data collected by an off-the-shelf DAS. The comparison characterizes the accuracy of the automated DAS method as compared with other proven laboratory methods. This will be especially important in demonstrating how each of the choices used to optimize the tradeoffs associated with the DAS will affect the ability to successfully and efficiently perform the operations for which it was designed.

2. System design concept

This DAS design concept focuses on having one or more embedded wireless sensor nodes (WSNs) that take measurements on key system test points within the platform of interest. The end WSN can acquire and store sensor data to its local memory or stream data in real time through the master WSN, which acts as a router to a control station (CS). The CS may be a computer, laptop, or other display device. The overall DAS architecture is illustrated in figure 1. The CS remotely configures and queries a WSN for status updates and data payloads. The combination of multiple WSNs and a single CS make up a comprehensive DAS. The WSN supports multiple mediums of communications such as wireless, inter-integrated circuit (I2C), and universal serial bus (USB) connections, which provide reasonable flexibility to operate even in environments that are not conducive to wireless communication. The general operating concept of this design is that an operator establishes a remote connection to each WSN either wirelessly or serially through the CS. The user then issues configuration commands to each WSN, and once the operator has configured and activated the WSN network the DAS operates autonomously.

Once the general design architecture is complete, the sensors required for the WSN to operate within the application platform must be defined. The application for this case study encompasses monitoring four separate circuit cards located in separate compartments which control the azimuth rotation, elevation, video unit, and actuator of a remotely activated weapon system. In each compartment, the requirements were to monitor temperature on a Polymer Positive Temperature Coefficient (PPTC) resettable fuse, temperature on a pulse modulator integrated circuit (IC), main power supply voltage and current, and the three-
axis vibration characteristics of the four circuit cards. These requirements resulted in the final WSN design comprising the following sensors: three thermocouple sensors, one voltage sensor, one current sensor, one external three-axis accelerometer, and one onboard accelerometer to determine WSN orientation.

Fig. 1. Overall network configuration of the DAS.

2.1 Data acquisition design decisions
A round robin technique was used in the DAS for simplicity of implementation. If during acquisition, the WSN is configured to sample from the external tri-axis accelerometer and also from the voltage sensor, a block of samples from each input would be sampled and then stored to memory. This cycle would continue until a stop command is issued from the CS. In the present release of the firmware, a maximum of 512 samples could be acquired. The reason for the simplicity of this implementation becomes apparent when considering the following discussion.

What follows is meant to illustrate the complexities that would need to be addressed in the implementation of a more sophisticated data acquisition scheme. A more ambitious requirement might be to simultaneously sample all sensors while simultaneously storing the data to the secure digital (SD) memory card without a time break in the sampling. The storage rate to the memory card would have to support the sum of the maximum sampling rates of all sensors. This would require use of the microcontroller unit’s (MCU) internal direct memory access (DMA) and require multiplexing between two memory buffers for each sensor during acquisition and storage. Key design considerations would be the clock rate, maximum sampling rates, contention between input/output (I/O) ports, random access memory (RAM) of the MCU, SD card memory size, and I/O bit rates. Since the MCU controls all of these functions, a clear understanding of the acquisition requirements is necessary to avoid overtaxing the capabilities of the MCU.

In extending this complexity to the sensor of the WSN for this case study, the following assumptions can be made with respect to possible sensor sampling requirements. The
thermocouples require 2-byte words per sample at data rates of 1 hertz (Hz) or less. The external three-axis accelerometer requires 2-byte sample words on each axis with a maximum sample rate of about 8 kilohertz (KHz) per axis. The onboard three-axis accelerometer with max output data rate of 400 Hz for each axis requires 2 bytes per sample. The current and voltage sensors will be assumed to sample at an 8 KHz rate with 2 bytes per sample. Table 1 summarizes this discussion.

Several points can be made regarding the different sensors used in the WSN. First, the MCU would have to time share its internal analog-to-digital converter (ADC) across the external accelerometer, the current sensor, the voltage sensor, and the onboard accelerometer. The MCU would have to manage switching across these sensors while maintaining the desired sampling rates for each. As noted in table 1, all sensors do not have the same sampling rate, and other applications would conceivably require using sampling rates different from those in table 1. The MCU would have to initiate samples taken on the thermocouples, and these sensors are sampled using external ADCs which are controlled via the serial peripheral interface (SPI) bus. Writing acquired data to the SD memory card also requires the use of the SPI bus. The complexity of such an implementation soon becomes apparent, and one has to consider that such a configuration may not be possible with a single MCU.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor Type</th>
<th>Bytes Per Sample</th>
<th>Required Sample Rate (Hz)</th>
<th>Data Rate KB/s</th>
<th>Measurement Device</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M3000 axis-x</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ADCMSP430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3000 axis-y</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ADCMSP430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3000 axis-z</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ADCMSP430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSA-V1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ADCMSP430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage TP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ADCMSP430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIS302DL axis-x</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>ADCMSP430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIS302DL axis-y</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>ADCMSP430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIS302DL axis-z</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>ADCMSP430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-Thermocouple 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>ADS1240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-Thermocouple 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>ADS1240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-Thermocouple 3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>ADS1240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Required Storage Data Rate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Overview of different sensors used in the WSN where the M3000 is an external accelerometer, CSA-V1 is a current sensor, Voltage TP is a voltage sensor, LIS302DL is an onboard accelerometer, and K-Thermocouple is a temperature sensor.

2.2 WSN hardware design

This section gives a more detailed description of the design process for the WSN to be embedded on a platform. Figure 2 shows the WSN with all external sensors connected to the onboard hardware. The dimensions are 4.0 x 2.125 inches, and these were designed to match up exactly to the dimensions of the four circuit cards to be monitored. Also, because the type of application drives the number and type of sensors in the WSN design, the size limitations of the design are application specific in some respects. In all DAS designs, tradeoffs have to be made between performance, types of sensors needed, and size of the WSN. The MCU
selected for the WSN is the Texas Instruments (TI) MSP40F2619 which has 128 kilobytes (KB) of flash memory and 4 KB of RAM. The memory was adequate for this application, but the small size of RAM limited the number of continuous samples during acquisitions. In this application, the RAM space had a general allocation of approximately 1024 bytes for sensor sampling and the remaining 3072 bytes for general firmware logic. This limited the contiguous block sample size to 2 bytes per sample, resulting in 512 samples per acquisition block. The small RAM size could be a problem for applications that require larger data acquisition blocks.

Fig. 2. WSN with all external sensors connected.

The WSN is powered by a 28 volt power connector. Although the onboard hardware of the WSN board is low-power and the MCU can run off of a 3.3 volt DC power supply, the 28 volt power connector was designed to allow the WSN to harvest from the 28 volts supplied by the platform. Also, the external three-axis accelerometer requires a power source which is derived from this 28 volt platform power supply. Onboard the WSN, the 28 volt supply is regulated down to 24 and 3.3 volts respectively and distributed to the circuit components. The power regulation for the 3.3 volt supply will be discussed in further detail in section 2.3. There are three miniature coax-M connectors, a separate connector for each axis, to connect the Model 3000 (M3000) external accelerometer as depicted in figure 2.

2.3 Power distribution details

Figure 3 shows the power regulation circuitry for the WSN powered by 28 volts supplied at the P3 power connector with positive voltage on pin 2 and GND on pin 1. An L78L24 power regulator chip regulates the voltage to 24 volts, which is used to power the external accelerometer circuitry. The LM9076MBA-3.3 power regulator chip is used to generate 3.3
volts from the power source, and powers the MCU as well as other low-power IC chips in the design. The LM9076BMA-5.0 power regulator chip uses the 28 volts to generate 5 volts, which is used for debugging purposes to power a green LED to indicate the power is on. The MCU and most peripherals in the WSN design require 3.3 volts or less.

Fig. 3. Layout of circuitry to regulate the 28 volt DC power input to 3.3 volts for MCU operation.

2.4 Secure digital multimedia memory card design

Fig. 4. Layout of digital SPI bus communication interface between the MCU and SD/MMC. The schematic of the SPI protocol for digital communication between the removeable SD memory card and the MCU is shown in figure 4. On pin 6, a 2 kilo-ohm (KΩ) pull-up resistor is used to detect when the memory card is inserted into the SD memory card connector. Inserting the memory card into the connector causes the chip detecting the voltage level on the SD1_CD line to be pulled to ground. The MCU firmware is programmed to detect ground level to confirm SD card insertion. The serial data input is connected to pin 2, serial data output is connected to pin 7, and the serial clock SCLK is connected to pin 5 of the SD memory card.
### 2.5 MCU clock use and distribution design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSP430 Clock</th>
<th>Peripheral</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>Clock Source</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCLK</td>
<td>MSP430F2169</td>
<td>8 MHz or 16 MHz</td>
<td>XT2 crystal</td>
<td>A central processing unit (CPU) clock. Preferred to run at 8 MHz to maximize data processing, data transfers, storage rate, and communications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCLK or ADC12OSC</td>
<td>ADC12</td>
<td>8 MHz, 16 MHz, or 5 MHz with ADC12OSC</td>
<td>XT2 crystal</td>
<td>The actual rates affect sample and hold. Setup times are defined by the ADC12 registers. Review these carefully in the MCU documentation. This clock rate is not the same as the sample rate of ADC12. The ADC12 sample rate is dictated by sample and hold setup times and the Timer A1 interrupt rate as used in the firmware.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCLK</td>
<td>Timer A1</td>
<td>1 MHz</td>
<td>MSP430F2169 internal DCO</td>
<td>Timer A1 is used for the overall sampling rate of ADC12, taking into consideration setup/hold/conversion times as discussed above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCLK</td>
<td>UART</td>
<td>1 MHz</td>
<td>MSP430F2169 internal DCO</td>
<td>The UART requires a fixed rate clock to get a 115,200-baud rate. The MCU and user interface are presently hardwired to a 115,200 baud rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCLK</td>
<td>ADS1240</td>
<td>1 MHz</td>
<td>MSP430F2169 internal DCO</td>
<td>The ADS1240 clock rate cannot be greater than 4 MHz; however, this clock can be locked at the lower 1 MHz rate because sampling occurs at a low clock rate. Specifications indicate that the ADS1240 clock minimum is 1 MHz.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCLK</td>
<td>I2C</td>
<td>1 MHz</td>
<td>MSP430F2169 internal DCO</td>
<td>Clock source selection is done in the I2C master initialization driver. It is presently set to SMCLK, which is set to 1 MHz on the DCO.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Overview of the MCU clocks and their corresponding clock sources where MCLK is generated by an external XT2 crystal, and SMCLK is generated by the internal digital controlled oscillator (DCO).
This section describes the use of the internal MCU clocks and the clock source, defining which peripherals use which clocks and the desired clock rate settings of each. Given the difference in clock speeds for the various peripherals, it is important to keep in mind the settings of these clocks and their sources. Care must be taken in the firmware to manage these clock rates. Table 2 is presented to make the developer aware of the need to pay close attention to the clock settings and how they impact the system. The clock settings are primarily dictated by how fast data moves in the DAS, clock specifications of the peripheral devices, and system power requirements. Here ADC12 is an ADC internal to the MCU, Timer A1 is an internal MCU timer, UART is the universal asynchronous transmitter/receiver (UART), ADS1260 is an external ADC, and all clock rates are in megahertz (MHz).

3. Communication mediums

Payload accessibility is crucial to a fully functional DAS, and making reliable decisions requires large amounts of data. Due to bandwidth limitations the primary issues with data acquisition have already transitioned from storage to the buffering and distribution of the data. The three ways in which the WSN boards can communicate are wireless, I2C, and USB. A user can issue commands to the WSN via a graphical user interface (GUI) to configure the WSN, retrieve status updates, or stream sensor data via any one of these communication mediums. The manner in which these mediums are used or configured is strictly a matter of how the firmware is written. The TI CC2420 2.4 gigahertz (GHz) chip is the transceiver which provides the wireless communication capability of the WSNs. An I2C bus connection was available to link multiple WSNs together for serial communication of data between one another. The USB provides an ability to connect the WSN directly into a laptop or desktop computer. By incorporating all three communications interfaces the WSN achieves the flexibility to operate in a wider variety of environments and meet potential high bandwidth requirements that could not be achieved simply with a wireless communication medium.

Since the WSN is designed to operate in a networked configuration, a method was required to identify each board uniquely. A three-port jumper is used to set the WSN local node address. The jumpers allow addresses from 0 through 7, providing a maximum of eight possible WSNs in the network. In other applications, a maximum of 65,536 WSNs could be supported by either increasing the number of jumpers to 16 or using some other means of control in the firmware.

3.1 I2C design details

The I2C protocol is a wired, serial communications interface standard. Data is transferred on the serial data line (SDA) and synchronization is maintained by the serial clock (SCL). Each WSN can act as either an I2C end node or an I2C master on the communication bus in the current implementation.

In figure 5, the I2C bus header P8 is used to interconnect two or more WSNs on the I2C bus. The SDA, SCL, and ground pins must be interconnected using the P8 connector. On each WSN all SDAs must be connected together, all SCLs must be connected together, and all grounds must be connected together. Furthermore, the master WSN has the two pull-up resistor, R13 and R12, jumpers installed to pull the SDA and SCL lines high. On the master WSN there is a closed jumper connecting pins 1 and 2 and a closed jumper connecting pins 3 and 4 on P12. All end WSNs do not have the P12 jumpers closed. Figure 5 shows that the MCU pins P3.1 and P3.2 are used to control the SDA and SCL signals respectively.
3.2 USB design details

Figure 6 shows the interface connections of the URXD0 and UTXD0 control lines to the MCU. Figure 7 shows the schematic of the USB interface design, which uses the CP2102 USB to UART bridge chip. The present implementation does not implement any hardware handshaking which may be of interest in future designs. A USB connector at J2 in figure 7 provides the communications interface between the master WSN and the CS.
3.3 Wireless front end design

The TI CC2420 is a 2.4-GHz IEEE 802.15.4 compliant wireless transceiver designed for low-power applications meant for use in low-data rate networks. The IEEE 802.15.4 wireless communication standard is ideal for low-data rate wireless sensor networks (IEEE Standard, 2003). Sixteen communication channels are available, each of which supports a maximum data rate of 250 kilobits per second (Kbps) and has 5 MHz bandwidth.

Fig. 8. Typical CC2420 transceiver application circuit with discrete balun for single-ended operation.

The transceiver has 33 two-byte configuration registers, 15 one-byte command strobe registers, a 128-byte transmit (TX) RAM, a 128-byte receive (RX) RAM, and an 112-byte security RAM. The TX and RX RAM can be accessed by address or accessed through two 1-byte registers, in which case the memory acts as first-in-first-out (FIFO) buffers. This case study does not address writing or reading any data from the security RAM and the system does not access the TX and RX RAM as memory, only as FIFOs.

Digital communication between the MCU and transceiver occurs over a four-wire SPI bus. It is necessary to track the FIFO, FIFOP, SFD, and CCA pins of the transceiver to monitor communication status between the MCU and transceiver registers. In addition to using the SPI pins, it is also necessary to drive the VREG_EN and RF_RESET pins during transceiver operation. VREG_EN is used to wake up the transceiver from an idle state and RF_RESET will reset the configuration registers of the transceiver to default status.
The transceiver hardware includes a digital direct sequence spread spectrum baseband modem providing a spreading gain of 9 dB and built in support for packet handling, data buffering, burst transmissions, data encryption, data authentication, clear channel assessment, link quality indication, and packet timing information. The external circuitry for the CC2420 transceiver used in the WSN is shown in figure 8.

For this application, the 250 Kbps rate was not a significant problem because high data sampling rates were not needed. In future applications, a higher wireless data rate and increased channel bandwidth may become necessary. This would facilitate using a different transceiver than the one described here or may even require the development of a custom wireless front end as the application warrants.

One issue encountered with the selected transceiver chip is that it does not support a full duplex transceiver capability. This means that it does not transmit and receive data packets simultaneously. During the development of the wireless firmware for the WSN, we decided that when streaming large amounts of data it was ok to occasionally drop a random packet. Although the transceiver chip included automatic reception acknowledgements, this feature introduces additional lag in node-to-node communication, and this lag only increases in the case of dropped packets. Significant development time was needed to debug and reduce the number of dropped packets via implementation in the firmware. The firmware implementation will be further discussed in section 4.1.

3.4 Wireless networking capabilities

A star network topology was used for inter-node communications. The primary disadvantage of a star topology is the high dependence of the system on the functioning of the master WSN. While the failure of an end WSN only results in the isolation of a single node, the failure of the master WSN renders the network inoperable and immediately isolates all nodes. The performance and scalability of the network also depend on the capabilities of the master WSN. Network size is limited by the number of connections that can be made to the master WSN, and performance for the entire network is capped by its throughput. For much larger networks, a mesh network solution with ad-hoc capabilities may be advisable. An automatically reconfigurable network would be much more robust in the presence of failed routing WSNs, and allow for multiple access points to the DAS CS. This topology would eliminate the network’s dependence on the functionality of a single master WSN.

Figure 9 shows the IEEE 802.15.4 data packet structure for wireless communications used in the DAS comprised of the media access control (MAC) and physical (PHY) layers (IEEE Standard, 2003). The structure of this data packet is what determines the order in which bytes are written and read from the transceiver FIFOs as well as the decoding of data payloads within the firmware.
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The frame control field (FCF), data sequence number, and frame check sequence (FCS) are all defined by the firmware controlling the microcontroller. The FCF contains information such as whether automatic packet acknowledgements, data encryption, and what mode is in use. The FCF is generated based on the contents of various registers. The sequence number simply keeps track of the TX and RX sequence of data packets between WSN addresses, which is important when monitoring dropped packets or using automatic acknowledgements. A 2-byte FCS follows the last payload byte, which is calculated over the header, payload, and footer as indicated in figure 9. This field is automatically generated and verified by the hardware when the AUTOCRC control bit is set in the MODEMCTRL0 control register field of the transceiver. If the FCS check indicates that a data packet is corrupted, then the firmware disregards the entire packet.

The addressing information and data payload are both variable lengths. In the WSN, the addressing information consists of 6 bytes: two each for the network identifier (ID), destination node address, and source node address. The rest of the data packet is made up of the data payload. This payload may consist of inter-node messages, user requests, or simply sensor data being transmitted between network nodes. As defined for the application, the largest acceptable data payload for a wireless transmission packet is 111 bytes; however, all 111 bytes do not have to be used. The format of the data payload is the same for both wireless and I2C data payload streams.

4. Firmware system level design

This section describes the firmware design of the WSN in general terms. With the message driven paradigm, a single master WSN (client) and multiple end WSNs (servers) topology is used in the form of a star network as shown in figure 1. The master is typically connected to the CS via a USB port. The CS runs the system command and control GUI. Through the GUI, the user can issue commands to the master WSN to configure the master itself and/or all of the end WSNs in the system. The master WSN serves as the connection point or router between the CS and all end WSNs in the DAS; therefore, the master WSN acts as a communications broker in this architecture.

The master WSN can issue commands such as making status or data requests, and can send configuration commands to each end WSN. Each master and end WSN pair has a unique 3-bit address identification number that is configured by setting the appropriate jumpers on each WSN, which is then recognized by the hardware. The 3-bit address limits the number of nodes in the DAS to eight for this application, but with minimal design change the number of nodes in the system can be increased to whatever is required up to 65,536. The master WSN must always be connected to the CS and its address identification number must always be set to zero (000). The end WSN addresses must be set to settings from 1 through 7 (001–111). To avoid communication conflicts in the network, care must be taken to ensure the address identification numbers of each WSN is unique. These node address settings are used by the USB to universal synchronous/asynchronous receiver/transmitter (USART), wireless, and I2C communication mediums in the system.

The primary function of the master WSN is to transmit configuration and status commands between the CS and end WSNs as well as stream data from the end WSNs to the CS. The primary task of the end WSNs is to acquire data from the sensors based on their configuration settings and stream any requested information back to the CS. Although the
master and end WSNs conceptually have different tasks, they both run the same firmware and are populated with the same hardware. Also, at the application programming interface (API) level, whether a master or an end WSN, the same type of message processing operations are performed. This design decision was made to simplify firmware development; therefore, only one copy of firmware is required for programming all the nodes within the DAS. The WSN address identification jumpers dictate if a WSN behaves as a master or an end node within the network.

The network is designed so that only the master WSN issues master-type WSN commands to the end WSNs, but a master WSN can also issue end-type WSN commands because it looks like an end WSN to the CS GUI interface. The end WSNs only issue end-type WSN commands, and in most cases, an end WSN responds to commands sent to them from the master WSN. An end WSN can also generate error messages if it detects a system error.

4.1 Communication network design considerations and limitations
Each WSN has a USB connector used to allow a user to issue commands to the DAS through the CS GUI if necessary. This means that connecting a CS to the master WSN via the USB interface gives the user remote access to all WSNs in the DAS. However, connecting a CS into the USB of an end WSN only gives the user access to control the individual WSN to which the CS is physically connected. The DAS communication hierarchy is implemented in this manner to limit the communication firmware design complexity. A more functional network realization might allow a CS to connect to any end WSN via USB and establish that WSN as the master via firmware implementation. This functionality will be much easier to achieve if implementing a real time operating system (RTOS) in the firmware.

The interrupt handler of the MCU must process interrupts for multiple communications channels. Interrupt flag registers must then be monitored to determine the actual source of the interrupt to process the interrupts correctly. This process increases the complexity of software integration between differing communication mediums.

4.2 Message bus architecture
Figure 10 shows the general mechanism for inter-node communication within the DAS. Although this example shows communications from the GUI to one end WSN via the I2C medium, this mechanism is used to communicate with all WSNs in the system and over the wireless medium as well. Each message sent on the message bus must have a message header. The message header defines the originating source of the message, the destination of the message, and the gateway or router to be used to pass the message from source to destination. The source, destination, and gateway are all defined by two parameters: medium and address. When a WSN initiates communication on the message bus, it must fill in this header information correctly for the message to be sent to the proper destination and for a potential reply message to be initiated. In the example shown in figure 10, the GUI sends a message to WSN 001, and WSN 001 sends a message back to the GUI. This process is performed using the following 4 steps:

Step 1. The message from the GUI always moves across the UART (USB) connection. The GUI configures the source medium as UART and the source address as GUI. The GUI node also fills in the destination medium as I2C and destination address as WSN 001. In the present system, the gateway is always configured to be the master
WSN (address 000) and the communication medium in this example is configured as I2C. The GUI sends a message with this header information to the master WSN, which is always the gateway.

Step 2. Once the master WSN receives the message sent from the GUI in step 1, its job is to determine if the message is for the master WSN or if the message should be forwarded to a destination WSN. If the message is intended for the master WSN, the master WSN processes the message according to the command set. In this example, however, the master WSN is required to forward the message to destination WSN 001 across the I2C bus as indicated by the destination setting configured by the GUI. So the master WSN forwards the message out to the I2C bus to WSN 001 with the original information unmodified.

![Diagram of GUI-to-WSN communication via the I2C medium in the DAS using the master WSN as a gateway.](image)

Fig. 10. Example of GUI-to-WSN communication via the I2C medium in the DAS using the master WSN as a gateway.

Step 3. WSN 001 receives the message and processes the message according to the command set. If WSN 001 is required to reply back to the GUI then the header information determines where to send the reply. In this example, WSN 001 sets the source medium as I2C based on the medium dictated in the message header and the source medium as WSN 001. WSN 001 sets the destination medium to be the UART based on the source medium dictated by the received message header. Using the same medium guarantees that the message will get back to the GUI since it is
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communicating on the same communication channel. Since this is an end WSN, it uses the gateway and address information to send a message back to the GUI. In this example, WSN 001 sends a message using the gateway medium as I2C and the gateway address as Master 000.

Step 4. Upon receiving the message from WSN 001, the master WSN again determines if the message is for itself (and processes it if it is) or forwards the message onto the destination address. In this case, the master WSN forwards the message unchanged to the GUI using the destination medium UART and address GUI as defined in the message header.

4.3 Communication message format

What follows is pseudo code of what the actual message formats are in the firmware. All data types are little-endian, which is derived from the MCU architecture. Every message sent or received in the network is communicated in the form of one or more message packets. The number of packets must form a complete message as defined in the msgPacket data structure. The msgPacket structure consists of a message header data type followed by an optional data payload. The packet msgHeader has several fields defined below:

typedef struct
{
    msgHeader hdr; //variable containing all information in msgHeader struct
    char *data; //[MAX_MSG_DATA_LENGTH_BYTES];
} msgPacket;

typedef struct
{
    unsigned char haa; //header information
    unsigned char h55; //header information
    unsigned short ln; //length field
    unsigned short cmd; //command field
    unsigned char totalPackets; //number of packets requiring assembly
    unsigned char packetNumber; //sequence number
    ChannelType src; //source address
    ChannelType dst; //destination address
    ChannelType gtwy; //gateway address
}msgHeader;

The first 2 bytes of the header contain the hexadecimal synchronization codes 0xaa and 0x55 which are used for data packet integrity checks. These values are always checked on the reception of a packet, and if they are not there then the complete packet is ignored. This check is done as a means to detect dropped or invalid data packets. The length field is used to determine the length of the complete packet including the byte lengths of the packet header and the data payload. Although the length field is a 2-byte unsigned short integer, the maximum value of length is restricted to less than the value of MAX_PACKET_LENGTH_BYTES. The command field is a 2-byte short integer that defines the command transmitted by the message. The valid values of the command field are defined by the enumerated type PdCommandSet.
The data payload is optional because some messages do not have a data payload, only a command. Each message packet size is limited to the size of the message header plus the size of the maximum allowed data payload. The design defines the maximum data payload to be $MAX\_MSG\_DATA\_LENGTH\_BYTES$. The maximum size of the data payload is dictated by various aspects of the hardware, such as the available RAM memory of the MCU or the largest byte size a message can send through a given communications medium.

The total packet field defines the total number of packets that make up a complete message. Reception of multiple message packets requires their reassembly before processing occurs. The packet number field defines the sequence number of the packet received. The source field defines the source node address and communication medium. This information allows the receiver of a message to reply back to the originator. The destination field is the destination WSN address and communication medium. The gateway field is always the master WSN address and communication medium.

For the network to operate properly, a critical point to consider in this design is that all WSNs communicating in the DAS must adhere to the same message command structure. All nodes must be programmed with the same command tables for proper command processing. If the command table on the GUI software is updated, all WSNs in the network must be reprogrammed with the same command table as the GUI. Conversely, if the command table on the WSN is modified then the GUI command tables must be updated to the same values.

A complete message is made up of multiple packets. The maximum number of packets for a complete message is defined by the $totalPackets$ field, which is limited to a maximum number of 255 packets per message. Furthermore, the maximum number of bytes allowed per data payload is defined by $MAX\_MSG\_DATA\_LENGTH\_BYTES$, which is set to 80 bytes. This setting implies that the total data length of a complete message in the network can be no greater than $80 \times 255 = 20,400$ bytes. These values can be adjusted depending on the need of the DAS, but these restrictions are driven primarily by the limited RAM of the MCU. If messages greater than 20,400 bytes are required, there are several options available. One could design a higher level message structure that could be imposed on the interpretation of the data, use a bigger data size for $totalPackets$, or consider using a MCU with a larger RAM that would allow increasing the data payload size.

As a design rule, an end WSN should not be sent messages of sizes greater than one packet because they only need to receive commands and not large data streams. In contrast, an end WSN must be able to send messages composed of multiple packets when sending data streams whose payload spans multiple packets.

### 4.4 Digital communications via the serial peripheral interface

The digital interface between the MCU and transceiver allows the MCU to configure the transceiver registers, read and write buffered data, and read back transceiver status information. This communication is provided by a digital 4-wire SPI bus. Figure 11 illustrates the SPI interface between the transceiver and MCU. The CC_CS, SDI, SDO, and SCLK pins comprise the 4-pin SPI bus while the CC_FIFO, CC_FIFOP, CC_CCA, and CC_SFD pins allow the software to monitor the status of the TXFIFO and RXFIFO as well as the start of frame delimiter and clear channel assessment pins.
4.5 Secure digital multimedia card data storage

This section documents the general data storage format on the SD memory card. The biggest storage sizes of memory cards used in this case study is 2 gigabytes (GB); however, larger cards can be used. For easy access to the data stored on the card, a file allocation table (FAT32) file system was used on the memory card. Although this has major advantages, a key disadvantage of FAT32 is that the I/O speeds are not as fast as using raw file I/O.

Because of some limitations of the FAT32 driver used, empty directories for each sensor type were created on the SD memory card using a bat script file before inserting the removable SD memory card into the WSN memory card slot. In a complete FAT32 firmware library, creating directories directly on the WSN should be possible.

When a WSN is configured to archive data, it creates bin files for each sensor type if they do not already exist. If the data file already exists when a WSN attempts to store a data set, the data is automatically appended to the file. This is done to preserve previous acquisitions. Which sensor data is stored during acquisitions depends on how the WSN has been configured through the CS GUI.

Each data file has a well-defined data storage format. Each sample set of data for each sensor is written to the file as a block of data. The data is stored as sequential sets of data blocks that consist of the data block header, followed by the raw sensor data. The data storage structure of the file is as follows, \( M \) is the maximum number of data blocks in the file:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Block1:} & \quad \text{DataBlockHeader}; \\
& \quad \text{DataBlock}; \\
\text{Block2:} & \quad \text{DataBlockHeader}; \\
& \quad \text{DataBlock}; \\
& \quad \ldots \\
\text{BlockM:} & \quad \text{DataBlockHeader}; \\
& \quad \text{DataBlock};
\end{align*}
\]
The **DataBlock** is the actual data acquired from the configured sensor, and its context is defined by the **DataBlockHeader**. The **DataBlockHeader** is defined as follows:

**DataBlockHeader:**
- **SyncPattern_aa_55h:** 2-byte synchronization pattern for data integrity.
- **BlockLength:** 2-byte length field allowing up to 65 KB block length.
- **SampleRateHz:** 4-byte unsigned integer denoting the sample rate in Hz.
- **Sensor:** 1-byte field identifying the sensor used to acquire the data.
- **SampleUnits:** 1-byte field denoting sensor measurement units.
- **SampleScaleFactor:** 4-byte float integer that gives the data scale factor.
- **NumSamples:** 2-byte field denoting the number of samples in the data block.
- **EpochTimeStamp:** 4-byte time stamp denoting when the data was acquired.

This design approach focused primarily on the flexibility of storage, not on storage speed or efficiency. There are cases where the data block overhead is a significant portion of the data block. As an example, when measurements are taken on a thermocouple, single point measurements are typically taken over periods of seconds, minutes, or greater time periods due to the nature of slow temperature changes. This is also due to the slow sample rate of the ADCs attached to the thermocouples. For every 2-byte thermocouple measurement taken, there is an overhead of 20 bytes for the data block header that amounts to 90% of the data block. In a second example, the current sensor might take 512 2-bytes samples per block. This would lead to a header overhead of 2% of the data block. The developer needs to be aware of the overhead tradeoffs and be open to exploring some other approach to a data storage format that may offer better storage efficiency if necessary.

Another point of interest relates to the required accuracy of the time stamp applied to the data block header. The timestamp represents the time at which a data block’s acquisition began. For this case study, a one second time resolution was suitable, but different applications may require a more accurate resolution. Knowing this in advance will drive requirements on the hardware design of the WSN.

The addition of a file header providing additional information about the acquired data may be required depending on the nature of the data. For example, an American Standard Code for Information Interchange (ASCII) text block describing the nature of the measurement and identifying which WSN address acquired the data would address the possibility of switching memory cards from one WSN to another.

### 5. Performance and functionality

#### 5.1 Fault simulator and test setup

To verify the capabilities of the WSN, accelerometer data was collected using a machinery fault simulator from Spectra Quest. This simulator provided a platform to generate vibration signatures for mechanical bearings of different sizes rotating at different frequencies. Data was collected using the WSN and stored on the SD memory card. The data on the memory card was analyzed and compared to data collected using an eDAQ Lite Laboratory DAS made by Somat, Inc. Both the WSN and eDAQ Lite measured the data using a Vibra-Metrics Model 3000 miniature tri-axial accelerometer capable of sensing ±500 G’s.
5.2 WSN machinery fault simulator test results

Frequency responses of the data for both systems were analyzed using an averaged Fourier Transform of the raw data. The data was normalized using the root mean squared (RMS) value and the DC bias was subtracted out before applying the Fourier Transform. Normalizing the raw data by the RMS value suppresses the noise within the signal and minimizes any contribution such noise would have on the vibration signature.

Due to data block size limitations on the WSN, data was acquired using multiple 512 sample blocks of non-continuous data. The eDAQ Lite DAS was able to stream continuous data without the 512 block sample size limitation. To account for this discrepancy in the systems, individual Fourier Transforms were applied to 80 randomly selected data blocks of the eDAQ Lite data, each containing 512 data points. The magnitudes of the Fourier Transform of each individual data block were averaged to produce the frequency responses.

Figure 12 shows the vibration signatures computed from the data collected from the test setup. These frequency responses represent the vibration signatures for one of the three axes.

![Figure 12: Overlaid vibration signatures for the WSN and eDAQ Lite DAS computed using the averaged Fourier Transform of 10, 40, and 80 data blocks of 512 samples each.](image-url)
Table 3. Vibration signature data comparison between the WSN DAS and EDAQ Lite DAS based on the vibration signature using 80 data blocks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>Freq 1 (Hz)</th>
<th>Freq 2 (Hz)</th>
<th>Difference (Hz)</th>
<th>Mag1</th>
<th>Mag 2</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>146.8</td>
<td>146.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>440.3</td>
<td>440.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>831.7</td>
<td>831.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2343.0</td>
<td>2348.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2593.0</td>
<td>2593.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3033.0</td>
<td>3033.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.38</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3229.0</td>
<td>3229.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.30</td>
<td>10.70</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3718.0</td>
<td>3718.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4452.0</td>
<td>4452.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4795.0</td>
<td>4795.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>5528.0</td>
<td>5528.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for a healthy bearing. An important point made by this figure is how data between the two collection systems compares as more 512 sample data blocks are incorporated into the Fourier Transform calculation. Figure 12a, 12b, and 12c shows the vibration signature using 10, 40, and 80 data blocks respectively. As expected there is higher resolution in the Fourier Transform as more data points are included in the calculation, but the accuracy between the two data collection systems also improves as the resolution improves. This improvement follows a law of diminishing returns, and an important consideration is the optimal amount of data to collect to provide the necessary resolution without over sampling.

Because data was collected with the two different systems for two separate runs with the same setup parameters, minor differences in the measured results are expected. This data corresponds to data collected on the y-axis of the tri-axial accelerometer. Data collection was performed for both systems in two separate runs on the machinery fault simulator with identical setups at a data sampling rate of 50 KHz. The frequency of rotation for the bearings was 45 Hz. The data was displayed in multiples of the gravitational constant in units of m/s². Differences in the magnitude can possibly be attributed to differing noise levels in the two systems or to gain errors in the ADC data acquisition circuitry. However, differences in the magnitude of the raw data did not affect the frequency components of the signal. Table 3 shows that numerical magnitude comparisons for significant peaks of the vibration signatures produced by the two data acquisition systems exhibit a close correlation. The average magnitude differences between the WSN and eDAQ Lite data are less than 10%. This comparison is based on the data calculated using 80 data blocks of 512 samples each.

5.3 Application platform demonstration
The application platform is a gun mounted on a remotely controlled swivel with multiple cameras that allow operators to remain inside the relative safety of an armored vehicle. This section provides an overview of the demonstration of an embedded DAS based on the WSN. The primary purpose of this trial was to demonstrate real-time data collection and wireless communication capabilities of the WSNs as well as to demonstrate the capability to detect changes in the functionality of the monitored components. In this proof of principle demonstration, multiple WSNs were integrated into separate cavities of the platform, with a master WSN connected to the CS. The end WSNs were remotely configured to monitor the control circuit cards in the platform using the CS command and control GUI. The WSNs
monitored the accelerometer, voltage, temperature, and current data from each of the test
points within the platform while the platform remained fully operational.

Figure 13 shows a WSN wired into the platform elevation control cavity and mounted
directly on top of the circuit card to be monitored. The circuit card is already secured to the
side of the inner chassis of the cavity, and the WSN is mounted directly above the circuit
card to allow access to the fuse underneath, which is not visible in the figure. The WSN was
designed to connect to several external sensors so that they can be routed to various test
points and provide the ability to monitor multiple areas from a central location. In order to
ensure wireless functionality within a closed chassis, the antenna is attached to the outside
of the platform and connected to the WSN via a $50 \, \Omega$ coaxial cable. The external antenna is
shown on the outside of the closed chassis in figure 14.

The WSN was wired to monitor the temperature of a resettable fuse using thermocouple 1,
the temperature of the L-chip using thermocouple 2, the main power voltage level using a
voltage test point sensor, and the main power current using a current test point sensor.

Figure 14 shows a WSN module wired into the sealed platform sensor unit motor/actuator
cavity. The cavity was sealed to demonstrate that the WSN could be completely integrated
into the platform system. A hole was drilled into the cavity to allow the wireless antenna to
be installed on the external surface for communications back to the master WSN and CS
which was a laptop computer.

Fig. 13. WSN installed on the elevation control circuit card which can be partly seen
underneath.
Fig. 14. External WSN antenna mounted on the outside of the closed chassis.

Fig. 15. Current data collected across the fuse during operation of the platform.
Current, voltage, temperature, and shock data was collected as the platform was operated using the joystick interface employed in the field. Figure 15 shows current data and figure 16 shows accelerometer data that was collected as the joystick was used to alter the azimuth rotation and elevation of the platform. This data was wirelessly streamed from the WSN and displayed on the GUI for visual observation and analysis. During normal operation the current fluctuates at less than 1A peak-to-peak, but when the joystick operator overdrives the elevation circuit by pushing the elevation of the gun past its specified level, a sharp spike in current measured across the fuse occurs. In figure 15, this sharp spike is directly followed by a sloping off of the current level, which indicates that as the fuse cools down and its resistance increases, the current measured across the fuse decreases. This depiction of the fuse functionality, as interpreted from the changes in current data, verifies that the automated data collection and transmission system accurately reflects measurements that would be expected from previous methods of data collection.

6. Conclusion

A WSN design for an automated DAS that can be utilized for various applications in electrical and mechanical systems has been presented. Vibration signatures for healthy bearings were calculated from data acquired by the WSN and compared to those based on data acquired by the eDAQ Lite bench top DAS. The results of these experiments show that the RFID performs accurate data measurements that allow for reproduction of known results through data analysis.

The design includes multiple sensors and communication interfaces to allow it to be customized to various platforms with minimal redesign effort needed. The effectiveness of such an approach was demonstrated on a platform by monitoring key test points within the system. Collected data provided an accurate analysis of the successful operation of an embedded electrical fuse. The greatest advantage of such an automated approach is the fact that this method of embedded data collection is as accurate as laboratory methods and can be transitioned to future and presently fielded platforms.

Future development efforts should involve enhancing the WSN by adding onboard digital signal processing hardware and algorithms, and in making the architecture more scalable and robust. In addition, efforts should focus on the development of firmware to support more sophisticated networking architectures such as self healing and ad-hoc networks.
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