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A new plasma assisted combustion system was developed by integrating a counterflow burner with nano-second pulsed non-equilibrium discharge. The kinetic effects of plasma assisted fuel oxidization on the extinction of partially premixed methane flames was studied at 60 Torr by blending 2% CH₄ into the oxidizer stream. The non-equilibrium discharge accelerated dramatically the fuel oxidation. The O production and the products of plasma assisted fuel oxidation were measured, respectively, by using two-photon absorption laser-induced fluorescence (TALIF) method, Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectrometer, and Gas Chromatography (GC). The product concentrations were used to validate an existing plasma assisted combustion kinetic model. The comparisons showed the kinetic model prediction was poor due to missing reaction pathways, such as those involving carbon formation, H₂ excitation and dissociation, and interactions of excited species with hydrocarbon species. The path flux analysis determined that O was the critical species for kinetic modeling because it was generated by the discharge and dictated the oxidization process. The extinction strain rate measurements showed the non-equilibrium plasma discharge extended the extinction limit significantly. Strong emission from Ar* was observed at high plasma repetition rates and numerical modeling showed that Ar* contributed significantly to the enhancement of extinction limit.

Nomenclature

\( a \) = extinction strain rate
\( a_{21} \) = fluorescence quantum yields
\( f \) = pulser repetition frequency
\( FWHM \) = full-width half-maximum
\( F_0(T) \) = atomic oxygen Boltzmann factor
\( L \) = separation distance between two nozzles of counterflow burner
\( P \) = pressure
\( S_i \) = observed signal
\( T \) = temperature
\( U_O \) = velocity of oxidizer side
\( U_f \) = velocity of fuel side
\( V_P \) = peak voltage of the pulser
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Over the last few years, plasma assisted combustion has drawn more and more attention for its potential to enhance combustion performance in gas turbines and scramjet engines. Extensive efforts have been made to understand the kinetic processes in plasma-flame interaction. However, since plasma produces heat, radicals, excited species, ions/electrons, and other intermediate species simultaneously, one of the major challenges is to decouple the complex plasma-flame interaction so that the contribution of individual species produced by plasma to enhance combustion can be understood quantitatively at the elementary reaction level. As such, it is of great importance to develop well-defined plasma-combustion systems that can provide quantitative, fundamental experimental data to understand the effects of plasma generated species and validate plasma-assisted combustion kinetic mechanisms.

Recently many experimental studies have been carried to understand the role of plasma generated species on ignition, flame stabilization, and extinction. For ignition studies, the reduction of ignition temperature was confirmed and analyzed in a counterflow burner with the activation by a magnetic gliding arc. The catalytic effect of NO on ignition enhancement was quantitatively examined. The reduction of ignition delay time by a non-equilibrium plasma discharge was also measured in Refs. The results showed that the ignition delay times could be decreased by about an order of magnitude with the action of plasma. An increase of atomic oxygen concentration was observed and was used as an explanation for the decrease of ignition delay times. For plasma assisted flame speed enhancement, quantitative understanding of the enhancement mechanism of flame speed by plasma produced species has been investigated by several research groups. Ombrello and co-workers isolated singlet oxygen and ozone effects and demonstrated that both (at concentrations of several thousand ppm) enhanced flame speeds by a few percent. The experimental data further showed that the current kinetic model for significantly over-predicted the enhancement for hydrocarbon flames. Enhancement of flame stability by non-equilibrium plasma discharge and an electric field in a partially premixed mixture also has been observed for lifted flames. Different explanations have been given based on the production of H and CO as well as the formation of H and O and the ionic wind. Unfortunately, few experiments have been conducted to understand the mechanism of the extension of extinction limit by plasma discharge. Recently, our plasma assisted diffusion flame extinction experiment showed a dramatic enhancement of extinction limit due to the production of atomic oxygen by a nano-second pulsed plasma discharge. However, in this experiment, the plasma discharge was limited to the oxidizer stream. The experiments did not provide any kinetic data for plasma assisted fuel oxidation for understanding and validating the plasma flame kinetic mechanism. As such, it is not clear how plasma assisted fuel oxidation affects the extinction of partially premixed fuel and oxidizer. Moreover, it remains unknown whether the current plasma mechanism can reproduce the experimental data.

The goal of the present study was to study the effect of plasma assisted fuel oxidation on extinction of partially premixed methane flames at sub-atmospheric pressure by using a counterflow geometry. At the same time, quantification of the plasma assisted oxidation products provided data to improve the predictive capability of our plasma assisted combustion kinetic mechanism. Thus, the concentration of atomic oxygen was measured using a Xenon calibrated, two photon absorption laser induced fluorescence (TALIF) method. Furthermore, the concentrations of stable products from the plasma assisted fuel oxidation were measured by Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and Gas Chromatography (GC). These experimental data were used to validate our current plasma flame chemistry model through the comparison between experiment and prediction.

II. Experimental Methods and Kinetic Modeling

A. Partially Premixed Counterflow Flame System with Nano-Second Plasma Discharge

A schematic of the experimental system is shown in Fig. 1. The setup consisted of a counterflow burner that was located in a vacuum chamber with a respective diameter and height of 406 and 629 mm. The inner diameters of the reactant and coflow nozzles were 20 and 28 mm, respectively, while the separation distance of the opposed nozzles was 20 mm. The inert curtain provided the isolation of the flame from the environment and burner cooling as well.
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A honeycomb piece was placed inside the reactant nozzles to ensure that the flow field was uniform. The counterflow nozzles were made of quartz, and two parallel bare copper electrodes were located inside the tubes of the partially premixed upper nozzle. The ends of the electrodes were 10 mm away from the exit to avoid electric interaction with the thermocouple and allow the diffusion to smooth any non-uniformity. Because the pressure was only 60 Torr, diffusion was sufficiently fast and the effect of electrode on the flow field inside the tube was negligible. The electrodes were 15 mm x 22 mm size and were separated by 10 mm; furthermore, the electrode edges were smoothed to avoid the concentration of electric field and dielectric breakdown. To ensure that the flame was in the middle of the two burner exits, the momenta of the two reactant streams were matched. During the experiments, the partially premixed oxidizer stream composition was fixed as O₂/Ar/He/CH₄ (0.26:0.32:0.4:0.02). The fuel stream is CH₄ diluted by argon (fuel mole fraction varied from 0.2 to 0.4). A nano-second pulse generator (FPG 30-50MC4 from FID) was used to generate the non-equilibrium plasma, which was capable of producing 32 kV pulses with individual pulse duration of 12 ns, full width at half maximum (FWHM). The frequency of the pulser was adjustable from 1 to 50 kHz. A typical voltage waveform is shown in Fig. 2 and was measured by a LeCroy high voltage probe (PPE20KV). The current through the electrodes was measured with a Pearson Coil (Model 6585). The pulse energy supplied to the discharge was estimated from the time integral of the voltage and current and was approximately 1.27 mJ, corresponding to average powers of 5.1, 12.7, 25.4, 38.1 and 50.8 W at the respective frequencies of 4, 10, 20, 30 and 40 kHz. The amplitude of the voltage was fixed at 5.3 kV, as shown in Fig. 2. Typical pictures of the discharges are shown in Fig. 3 (a)-(d) at different repetition frequencies, respectively.

The extinction strain rates were measured by fixing the fuel mole fraction and increasing the flow velocity gradually until extinction occurred. At the same time, temperatures at the burner exits were measured using thermocouples. One thin wire thermocouple was fixed at the exit of the lower fuel burner, and the other thermocouple was movable and used to monitor the temperature at the exit of the upper burner. The thermocouple was coated with MgO and covered with grounded Nickel-Chrome sheath to remove the electromagnetic interference from the discharge.

B. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) and Gas Chromatography (GC) for Species Measurements

The major products (CH₄, CO, CO₂, CH₂O, and H₂O) from the plasma assisted fuel oxidation in the oxidizer stream were measured by a Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectrometer ( Nicolet Magna-IR 550). A quartz probe with an inlet diameter 1 mm was placed axially at the oxidizer side nozzle exit and was attached to a heated line (to avoid H₂O condensation) of the FTIR spectrometer. The pressure and temperature in the FTIR system was held constant at 31 Torr and 120 °C. For H₂ measurement, a micro Gas Chromatography system (INFICON 3000) was used. The measurements had relative uncertainties less than 1% for CH₄, CO, CO₂ and 5% for H₂O and H₂. The uncertainty of the CH₂O measurement is 80 ppm.

C. Two-Photon Absorption Laser Induced Fluorescence (TALIF) System

Xenon calibrated, TALIF was used to measure the absolute atomic oxygen concentration at the oxidizer side burner exit. More detailed descriptions about TALIF method can be found in Ref. [22, 23], and only a summary of this method is presented here. Ground state atomic oxygen can be excited by absorbing two photons at a wavelength of 225.7 nm. The transition between the excited 3p 1P state and the 3s 3S state will release a single photon at 844.6 nm. Xenon can be excited from 5p1/2S to 6p3/2S; with the two photons at 224.31 nm; de-excitation to 6s’ [1/2], corresponds to fluorescence at 834.91 nm. The number density of atomic oxygen NO was calculated using the following equation in terms of the known number density of Xenon, NXe.

\[
NO = \frac{S_O}{S_{Xe}} \times \frac{\sigma(O)}{\sigma(Xe)} \times \left( \frac{\nu_O}{\nu_{Xe}} \right)^2 \times \frac{1}{F_0(T)} N_{Xe}
\]

where \(S_O\) and \(S_{Xe}\) are the observed fluorescence signals for atomic oxygen and Xenon, respectively, \(a_{21}\) the fluorescence quantum yields, \(\sigma^{(2)}\) the two photon absorption cross sections of O and Xe, \(F_0(T)\) the atomic oxygen Boltzmann factor for the lower level of the two photon absorption, \(\nu\) the photon energies, and \(g_{ND}\) the neutral density filter factor. These values can be found in Refs. [8, 23].

The schematic of the TALIF system is shown in Fig. 4. An Nd:YAG laser was used to generate 532 nm to pump a tunable dye laser operating at ~573 nm. This 573 nm beam was frequency doubled and mixed with the 1064 nm beam of the Nd:YAG laser to get a ~226 nm beam of ~10 μJ/pulse required for the TALIF diagnostics. The TALIF signal was observed using an 850 nm bandpass filter of 40 nm FWHM and a Hamamatsu photomultiplier (R636-10). Atomic oxygen was measured at a location 1 cm downstream from the electrode end (at the exit of the burner). Note that the UV beam was focused 30 mm ahead of the probe region to avoid saturation, using a 300 mm UV lens.
The dye laser was scanned to generate the atomic oxygen fluorescence spectrum. A separate vacuum cell was used to obtain the Xenon atom two-photon fluorescence spectrum. Corrections for the transmission of the vacuum cell and bandpass filter were made to find the atomic oxygen density by the method described in Refs. [8, 23].

D. Kinetic modeling

The kinetic model used in this work was an integration of the air plasma model[8] together with USC Mech II[24]. In addition, additional elementary reactions involving the dilution gases (He/Ar) were added (Table 1). The plasma combustion model incorporates a set of species conservation equations for number densities of neutral, charged, and excited species produced in the plasma, O, O₂, O₃, e, O⁺, O⁻, O₂⁺, O₂(2Σ), O₂(1Δg), O₂(1Σ), Ar(1), Ar(2), Ar(3) (Ar⁺ for simplicity, with excitation energy 11.3, 11.6, and 12.9 eV), He(+) , He(23S), He(21S), He(23P), He(21P), He(3SPD), He(4SPD), He(5SPD) (He⁺ for simplicity, with excitation energy 19.8, 20.6, 21, 21.2, 22.9, 23.7, 24, and 24.5 eV), as well as the energy conservation equation for prediction of the temperature of the mixture. This set of equations are coupled with the steady, two-term expansion Boltzmann equation for the electron energy distribution function (EEDF) of the plasma electrons using experimentally measured cross sections of electron impact electronic excitation, dissociation, ionization, and dissociative attachment processes. The Boltzmann equation calculates the rate coefficients of the electron impact elementary reactions by averaging the cross sections over the EEDF. The kinetic model also incorporates chemical reactions of excited electronic species, electron-ion recombination and ion-neutralization processes, ion-molecule reactions, and electron attachment and detachment processes. Note that the present model does not solve the Poisson equation for the electric field and therefore does not take into account charge separation and sheath formation near the electrode. So in the present work, the reduced electrical field in the plasma was considered to be an adjustable parameter, varied until the CH₄ concentration matched with the experimental results at the burner exit. More details about this model could be found at Refs. [21, 25].

Table I. List of Ar/He related reactions involved in Ar/He/O₂/CH₄ mixture discharge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Rate (cm³s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ar + e = Ar⁺ + e</td>
<td>σ⁺</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar + e = Ar⁺ + 2e</td>
<td>σ</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar⁺ + O₂ = Ar + 2O</td>
<td>2×10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar⁺ + O₂ = Ar + O₂⁺</td>
<td>1×10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar⁺ + CH₄ = Ar + CH₂ + 2H</td>
<td>3.3×10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar⁺ + CH₄ = Ar + CH + H₂ + H</td>
<td>5.8×10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar⁺ + CH₄ = Ar + CH₂ + H</td>
<td>5.8×10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar⁺ + CH₄ = Ar + CH₂⁺ + H</td>
<td>6.5×10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar⁺ + CH₄ = Ar + CH₃⁺ + H</td>
<td>1.4×10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He + e = He⁺ + e</td>
<td>σ</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He + e = He⁺ + e</td>
<td>σ</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He + O(D) = He + O</td>
<td>1×10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He⁺ + O₂ = He + O₂⁺ + e</td>
<td>1.5×10⁻¹⁴²⁻⁵</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He⁺ + O = He + O⁺ + e</td>
<td>1.5×10⁻¹⁴²⁻⁵</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He⁺ + O₂ = He + O⁺ + O</td>
<td>0.6×10⁻¹⁴²⁻⁵</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He⁺ + O₂ = He + O₂ + O</td>
<td>0.6×10⁻¹⁴²⁻⁵</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He⁺ + O₂(1Δg) = He + O⁺ + O</td>
<td>0.6×10⁻¹⁴²⁻⁵</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He⁺ + O(1Σ) = He + O⁺ + O</td>
<td>2.9×10⁻¹⁴²⁻⁵</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He + 2O = He⁺ + O₂</td>
<td>1×10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He⁺ + CH₄ = He + CH + H₂ + H</td>
<td>5.6×10⁻¹³</td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The rate is calculated by the Boltzmann solver using an experimentally measured cross-section, σ

III. Results and Discussion

A. Effects of plasma repetition rate on species concentrations from plasma assisted fuel oxidization

FTIR was used to quantify the most products of plasma assisted fuel oxidation in the oxidizer stream. Typical FTIR absorption spectra are shown in Fig. 5 (a)-(f). Fig. 5 (a) shows the results without the discharge. The CH₄
absorbance spectrum is seen clearly without any effects from ambient CO$_2$ and H$_2$O. With the presence of the plasma discharge, absorbance spectra of CO, CO$_2$, H$_2$O and CH$_2$O appeared due to the plasma assisted fuel oxidation. Moreover, as shown in Fig. 5 (b)-(f), with the increase of discharge pulse repetition frequency, the concentrations of CO, CO$_2$, and H$_2$O increased significantly, and at the same time, the concentration of CH$_4$ decreased. The dependence of the measured concentrations of CO, CO$_2$, H$_2$, H$_2$O and CH$_2$O on the plasma pulse repetition rate is shown in Figs. 6–8. In order to validate the plasma flame model, the predicted species concentrations are also plotted in Figs. 7 and 8. In all the FTIR measurements, it was confirmed that the carbon closure with the measured species was 95%, demonstrating that the measurements captured the major carbon containing species in the plasma assisted fuel oxidation. It was also observed that the minor carbon loss was caused by carbon black formation in the plasma discharge. As shown in Figs. 6 and 7, with the increase of pulse repetition frequency, the CH$_4$ concentration decreased significantly, and nearly 90% of CH$_4$ was oxidized at pulse repetition frequency $f$ = 40 kHz. At the same time, the concentrations of CO, CO$_2$, and H$_2$O increased monotonically with $f$. Note that the concentration of H$_2$ increased at low repetition frequency but then decreased at high frequency. The peak concentration of H$_2$ occurred at pulse repetition frequency $f$ = 20 kHz. A similar trend was found for CH$_2$O with peak concentration at $f$ = 10 kHz, as shown in Fig. 8. It is shown in both Figs. 7 and 8 that the model captured the right trend of the relationship between the species concentrations and pulse repetition frequency, but the kinetic model over-predicted CO, CH$_2$O, and H$_2$, and under-predicted CO$_2$, indicating that the model underestimated the overall reactivity of the oxidizer stream. This may indicate that the kinetic mechanism is missing some reaction paths that accelerate the oxidation process of the fuel. Notably, during the experiments, carbon black is formed (on the electrode), but the formation of C$_2$ is not predicted by the kinetic model. C$_2$ may arise from electron and Ar* collisions that further dissociate CH$_2$, CH or CH$_3$ based on reaction (1) to (6), such as e + CH = C + H + e. C$_2$ can be oxidized to CO through reactions with OH or O$_2$ to generate radicals H or O. These reactions can accelerate the oxidation process of the fuel and improve the model prediction.

In order to understand the plasma assisted fuel oxidation mechanism better, a path flux analysis was performed for a pulse repetition frequency $f$ = 40 kHz, as shown in Fig. 9. CH$_4$ was mainly dissociated to CH$_3$ by H abstraction with OH, O and H. It also decomposed to CH$_3$, CH$_2$ and CH via collisions with an electron, Ar(+) and Ar* (reactions (1)-(6)). The formed CH$_3$, CH$_2$ and CH were further oxidized to CH$_2$O, HCO, CO and finally CO$_2$ as shown in Fig. 9 (a). Among those reaction paths, a total of 12% of CH$_4$ was decomposed by collisions with an electron, Ar(+) and Ar*. H$_2$ is an important product of plasma assisted fuel oxidation because it affects greatly the ignition and extinction process via fast chemistry. The reaction path of H$_2$ is shown in Fig. 9 (b). The dominant formation path of H$_2$ was through H abstraction reactions with species such as CH$_4$, CH$_2$O, HO$_2$, HCO, CH$_2$ and CH. Another formation path of H$_2$ was through CH$_4$ decomposition by Ar*, but only about 1% of H$_2$ was formed through this path. Therefore, H concentration is important to determine the concentration of H$_2$. The reaction paths of H and O are also shown in Fig. 10 (a) and (b). As shown in Fig. 10 (a), only 11.7% H was formed during the decomposition of CH$_4$ through reactions (1) to (5). The major contribution to H formation was from reaction CH$_3$ + O = CH$_2$O + H.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_4 + e &= \text{CH}_3 + H + e \\
\text{CH}_3 + \text{Ar}(+) &= \text{CH}_3(+) + H + \text{Ar} \\
\text{CH}_3 + \text{Ar}^* &= \text{CH}_2 + H + H + \text{Ar} \\
\text{CH}_4 + \text{Ar}(+) &= \text{CH}_3 + H + \text{Ar} \\
\text{CH}_4 + \text{Ar}^* &= \text{CH}_2 + H + H + \text{Ar} \\
\text{CH}_4 + \text{Ar}^* &= \text{CH}_2 + H + \text{Ar} \\
\end{align*}
\]

The reaction path of O is shown in Fig. 10 (b). Approximately 66% of O was formed through collisions between O$_2$ and electrons, Ar*, and He(+). Among these reaction paths, 33% of O formation was from direct electron impact to dissociate O$_2$, while 20% of O formation was from O$_2$ collisions with Ar*. Once O was generated by the discharge, it was consumed rapidly by CH$_4$ and its intermediate oxidation and dissociation products (CH$_2$, CH$_3$, CH$_2$O, HCO, HO$_2$ and H$_2$). It is seen from Fig. 10 (b) that the major consumption path of O generated OH and H, which are very important to the fuel oxidation. Only 0.6% of O recombined to O$_2$. This indicated that when discharge was applied to the premixed fuel and oxidizer, O can be consumed more efficiently by the fuel and its intermediate oxidation and dissociation products than through recombination. Based on the above analysis, it is clear that O production of plasma discharge in a partially premixed fuel and oxidizer is critical for hydrogen formation and fuel oxidation in general. Therefore, in order to predict other species, O formation through the collision-induced O$_2$ dissociation by electrons and excited species needs to be accurately predicted.
In order to determine the concentration of atomic oxygen and compare with the kinetic model prediction, the Xenon calibrated, Two Photon Absorption Laser Induced Fluorescence (TALIF) method was used. The relationship between O concentration and pulse repetition frequency (for a flow velocity of 200 cm/s) is plotted in Fig. 8. As shown, with the increase of pulse repetition frequency, the O concentration increased but was relatively low, less than 300 ppm, even at \( f = 40 \) kHz. As shown in Fig. 10 (b), this is because of the consumption by the fuel and its intermediate oxidization and dissociation products in the partially premixed oxidizer stream. To demonstrate this, the O concentration without fuel was also measured by replacing the 2% CH\(_4\) with Ar. The concentration of O increased sharply from 530 ppm to 2700 ppm when the pulse repetition frequency increased from 4 kHz to 40 kHz. The simulation results of O and CH\(_2\)O concentrations are also shown in Fig. 8 together with the experimental measurements of CH\(_2\)O concentration. The calculated concentrations of O and CH\(_2\)O intersected with the experimental results and the deviations are maximized at \( f = 40 \) kHz. The overall prediction of the kinetic model is poor, especially for O and CH\(_2\)O. A similar result was also reported in Ref. [7].

As analyzed, the model under-predicted the concentration of CO\(_2\), and over-predicted CO, H\(_2\)O and H\(_2\) which indicates overall lower reactivity of the mixture. For O and CH\(_2\)O prediction, the kinetic model under-predicted their concentrations at low pulse repetition frequency and over-predicted the concentrations at high pulse repetition frequency. The over-predicted O concentration may be caused by both production and consumption paths. At the one hand, 9.7% of O formation from O\(_2\)(\(^1\Delta_g\)) and O\(_2\)(\(^3\Sigma\)) may be over-estimated because of the lack of O\(_2\)(\(^1\Delta_g\)) and O\(_2\)(\(^3\Sigma\)) quenching reactions by hydrocarbon fuels (which are temperature dependent[30]). On the other hand, O should be consumed faster to accelerate the oxidization process. It is well known that O can be excited due to electron impact and O\(_2\) dissociation reactions. Excited O is much more reactive especially at low temperature conditions. For example, the rate constant for O(1D) + H\(_2\) = H + OH (1.1×10\(^{-10}\) cm\(^3\)/s)[31] is much larger than for O + H\(_2\) = H + OH (1.0×10\(^{-17}\) cm\(^3\)/s). Unfortunately, the reaction rates of excited O such as O(1D) with most hydrocarbon species are not well characterized and are absent in the current kinetic model. The formation of CH\(_2\)O was primarily from the reaction CH\(_3\) + O = CH\(_2\)O + H. If O was over-predicted (or under-predicted), the concentration of CH\(_2\)O likewise would be over-predicted (or under-predicted). The over-prediction of CO and the under-prediction of CO\(_2\) are likely due to missing reaction paths. As noted above, one missing reaction path was for carbon black formation and subsequent oxidization. For the over-prediction of H\(_2\) concentration, excitation and dissociation of H\(_2\) by electrons and Ar* should be considered. H\(_2^*\) and H* are more reactive and thus could mitigate the deviation between experiments and simulations.

The kinetic model also showed the formation of C\(_2\)H\(_6\) (~200 ppm), but the C\(_2\)H\(_6\) absorption spectrum was not observed in the FTIR experiments. Previous research in a lean CH\(_4\)/air discharge[32] also showed no C\(_2\)H\(_6\) formation, and thus the reaction CH\(_3\) + CH\(_3\) = C\(_2\)H\(_6\) was over-estimated or the consumption path of C\(_2\)H\(_6\) was under-estimated at low temperatures.

### B. Extinction Strain Rates Measurement and Computation

The extinction strain rates of the CH\(_4\)/O\(_2\) diffusion flames were measured without and with plasma (at \( f = 4, 10, 20, 30, \) and 40 kHz). A definition of global strain rate[33]

\[
a_o = \frac{2U_o}{L} \left( 1 + \frac{U_o/\sqrt{\rho_f}}{U_o/\sqrt{\rho_o}} \right)
\]

was adopted and compared with numerical simulations. Here \( U \) is the speed of the flow, \( \rho \) the density of the flow, and \( L \) the gap distance between the two nozzles. The subscript \( o \) and \( f \) refer to the oxidizer and fuel side, respectively.

In this experiment, the composition of partially premixed oxidizer stream was fixed at CH\(_4\)/O\(_2\)/Ar/He ratio of 0.02/0.26/0.32/0.4. The fuel stream was CH\(_4\)/Ar mixture and the CH\(_4\) concentrations varied from 0.2 to 0.4. During the measurements, the fuel mole fraction was fixed, and the flow velocity increased gradually. With the increase of flow velocity, the strain rate increased and the flame had less residence time to complete the fuel oxidation and extinguished when the strain rate was above a critical value. This critical strain rate at flame extinction was recorded as the global extinction strain rate. Figure 11 shows the relationship of extinction strain rates and fuel mole fractions without plasma and with plasma at pulse repetition frequency of \( f = 4 \) and 10 kHz (with oxidizer temperature \( T_o = 423\pm4 \) K and 613±5 K, respectively). The experimental results were further compared with numerical simulations. The simulation was computed by using OPPDIFF of the CHEMKIN package[34] with a modified arc-length continuation method[35] for plug flow. The mechanism used in the calculation was USC-Mech II[24] with addition of plasma related reactions discussed in section II. All simulations were performed by setting the boundary conditions using the measured temperatures, flow velocity, and species concentrations by FTIR, GC and TALIF. It is seen that with the increase of the pulse repetition frequency, there was a significant increase of extinction limit enhancement.
and the experiments agree well with the simulations. The present results indicate that the plasma generated fuel oxidation plays an important role for the extension of flame extinction limit.

Previous research\cite{13, 19, 36} showed that fuel reforming can enhance the flame stability. Therefore, it is very important to examine how the fuel reforming affects the extinction. Because plasma can cause both fuel oxidation and reformation, simulations of the individual effects of plasma assisted fuel oxidation and fuel reforming were done and shown in Fig. 12. In these simulations, part of the fuel (CH₄) in the oxidizer stream was assumed to be ideally oxidized to H₂O and CO₂ for Case 1, and ideally reformed to H₂ and CO in Case 2 under constant enthalpy constraint and at different extent (0% to 100%). We can see that extinction was enhanced for both cases, but the enhancement for the plasma assisted fuel oxidation case is much larger. This is because when the flame was approaching extinction, the residence time was too short to complete the reactions. That is, the fuel and its reformation products in the oxidizer stream cannot react completely to release the chemical enthalpy in Case 2, but the chemical enthalpy was already released in Case 1 before reaching the reaction zone.

The extinction strain rates measurements were also conducted at \( f = 20, 30 \) and \( 40 \) kHz (with oxidizer temperature \( T_0 = 678\pm5 \text{ K}, 775\pm5 \text{ K} \) and \( 889\pm8 \text{ K} \), respectively and with measured boundary conditions as described above) by fixing the fuel mole fraction and are shown in Fig. 13. At \( f=10 \) kHz, the experimental measurement agree with the simulation, but with the increase in \( f \), the experiments and simulations begin to deviate, which may indicate that there are additional reaction paths to enhance the flame extinction. The extinction strain rates at constant enthalpy condition with the original 2% CH₄ in the partially premixed oxidizer stream are also shown in Fig. 13 as a reference. With the further oxidation of CH₄ (increased \( f \)) in the partially premixed oxidizer stream, the extinction strain rates increased significantly.

As indicated in Fig. 3, when \( f \) was larger than 10 kHz, a visible plasma jet appeared. Emission spectroscopy (Ocean Optics, USB2000+ spectrometer) was employed to identify the possible products of the discharge excitation. Apertures and collimating lens were used to collect the emission in the afterglow region beneath the nozzle exit. The strongest emission was from Ar* at 750 nm, together with emissions from He*, O*, OH*, HCO* and CH*. The emission intensity from Ar* at 750 nm is shown in Fig. 14 versus pulse repetition frequency. With the increase of pulse repetition, the Ar* emission in the afterglow region increased, indicating the increased concentration of Ar*. It is possible that the “missing” reaction path(s) affecting flame extinction involve Ar*. A preliminary test on the effect Ar* addition on extinction was performed by simulations and is shown in Fig. 14. In order to mitigate the deviation of extinction strain rates between the experiments and simulations, different amounts of Ar* were tried at different values of \( f \). With the increase in \( f \), more Ar* was required and the trend was similar to the emission intensity curve. Up to 7000 ppm of Ar* was required for the simulation to match with the experiments with \( f=40 \) kHz. Optical emission spectra also identified other species like He*, O*, H*, OH*, HCO* and CH*. However, quantitative measurements of Ar* and other species are challenging, and thus measurement of these species will be a focus for future research.

IV. Summary and Conclusions

A new well-defined platform to study the kinetic effects of non-equilibrium plasma on partially premixed flame extinction at low pressure was developed by integrating a counterflow burner with a nano-second pulsed discharge. The compositions of the partially premixed oxidizer stream after the activation of plasma was quantified by FTIR, GC and TALIF and compared with numerical kinetic modeling. The kinetic model over-predicted the concentrations of CO, H₂O, H₂ and under-predicted the concentration of CO₂. The predicted concentrations of O and CH₂O from the kinetic model intersected with the experimental results and the deviations are maximized at \( f=40 \) kHz. Reaction(s) involving carbon formation was absent in the kinetic mechanism, though carbon build-up on the electrodes was observed. This reaction path may be induced by collisions via electrons and Ar* with CH₂, CH or CH₄ that can accelerate the oxidation process of the fuel. The excitation and dissociation of H₂ should also be considered to mitigate the over-prediction of kinetic modeling. The reaction path analysis recognized that O was the critical species for kinetic modeling, because O was generated by the discharge and then consumed to generate OH and H, which determined the oxidization process of the fuel and H₂ formation. The possible reason for the over-prediction of O by the current mechanism is the over-estimation of \( H + O_2(1/2\Delta_g)/O_2(3/2\Sigma) \) process and the missing consumption paths related to excited O.

The extinction strain rates were measured at different pulse repetition frequency and compared with simulations by setting the boundary conditions using the measured temperatures and species concentrations by FTIR, GC and TALIF. At low pulse repetition frequency (\( f \leq 10 \) kHz), the experiments agree well with simulations. The effect of fuel reforming versus plasma assisted fuel oxidation was also examined through numerical simulation. The results showed both fuel reforming and fuel oxidation can extend the extinction limit, but fuel oxidation has a larger
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effect on the extinction enhancement. This is because plasma can oxidize the fuel and extract the chemical energy regardless of the equivalence ratio and flow residence time. The effect of fuel reforming on the extinction is through the fast H$_2$ chemistry, but the H$_2$ reactions still need to compete with residence time to finish the heat release process. Finally, at high pulse repetition frequency, a visible plasma jet appeared between the two nozzles and the flame extinction measurements deviated with simulations. Strong emission from Ar$^*$ (at 750 nm) was observed at high plasma repetition rates, and numerical modeling showed that Ar$^*$ contributed significantly to the enhancement of extinction limit.
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Figure 1. Schematic of experimental setup.
Figure 2. Typical voltage waveform produced by the nano-second pulser.

Figure 3. Pictures of discharges at Ar/He/O$_2$/CH$_4$(0.32/0.4/0.26/0.02), $d=20$ mm, $P=60$ Torr (a) $f=10$ kHz (b) $f=20$ kHz (c) $f=30$ kHz (d) $f=40$ kHz.
Figure 4. Schematic of the TALIF setup.

Figure 5. FTIR spectrum with different pulse repetition frequency at Ar/He/O₂/CH₄(0.32/0.4/0.26/0.02), \( P=60 \) Torr (a) no plasma (b) \( f=4 \) kHz (c) \( f=10 \) kHz (d) \( f=20 \) kHz (e) \( f=30 \) kHz (f) \( f=40 \) kHz.
Figure 6. Relationship of CH$_4$ concentrations and oxidization ratios and pulse repetition frequency.

Figure 7. Relationship of species concentrations at the burner exit and pulse repetition frequency (experiments: solid symbol and line; simulations: empty symbol and dashed line).
Figure 8. Relationship of species concentrations at the burner exit and pulse repetition frequency (experiments: solid symbol and line; simulations: empty symbol and dashed line).

Figure 9. Reaction paths flux analysis for (a) CH₄ and (b) H₂ at f=40 kHz.
Figure 10. Reaction paths flux analysis for (a) H and (b) O at $f=40$ kHz.

Figure 11. Relationship of extinction strain rate and fuel mole fraction under different experimental conditions.
Figure 12. Comparison of extinction strain rates of fuel oxidization and fuel reforming effect at constant enthalpy condition for oxidizer stream \( \text{Ar/He/O}_2/\text{CH}_4 (0.32/0.4/0.26/0.02) \), \( P=60 \) Torr.

Figure 13. Relationship of extinction strain rate and pulse repetition frequency at \( X_f=0.2 \).
Figure 14. Relationship of required Ar* addition and emission intensity at 750 nm with pulse repetition frequency at $X_f=0.2$. 