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1. Progress statement

Following the workshop at HR Wallingford in February 2011, a system flood risk model of the St Paul Minnesota area was set up. The model has been run for a number of different scenarios. The initial results of the modelling have been discussed with USACE corps representatives through a teleconference in early June 2011. Attendees were: David Margo, Dale Munger, Corby Lewis, Neal Schwanz, David Schaaf and Andrew Sander, from USACE and Mike Panzeri, Caroline McGahey and Ben Gouldby from HR Wallingford.

As a result of the teleconference a further series of model results was initiated. Some example outputs from the modelling results are shown in Figures 1-6 below.

![Figure 1: DTM Ground model of the St Paul, Minnesota Study Area.](image)
Figure 2  Computational mesh of the Inundation model for the study area.
Figure 3 – Spatial location floodplain property.

Figure 4 – Spatial distribution of probability of inundation
Figure 5 – Spatial distribution of residual floodplain risk (Expected Annual Damages £)
Figure 6 – Attribution of residual risk to individual asset lengths showing (a) existing situation and (b) crest levels reduced by 3m
Table 1 – Summary of results for scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Scenario description</th>
<th>Total Risk (Thousand $)</th>
<th>Total Risk (Thousand £)</th>
<th>Percentage change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Existing situation</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Reduced crest level (-1 m)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>+132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Reduced crest level (-2 m)</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>+856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Reduced crest level (-3 m)</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>+2254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>UK Fragility Curves</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>UK Damage Curves</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Resolution - levees</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Resolution - gates</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Resolution - 50m grid</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>+19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This analysis was discussed in detail with members of the USACE at a workshop held in HEC offices in Davis, California in July. The agenda of the workshop is provided in Appendix 1.

As part of the workshop a series of modelling software tools were handed over as well as the model databases for St Paul. The software packages comprised:

- RELIABLE
- HR BREACH
- FRE.

All software were provided under a royalty free license.

The next stage of the project is to provide a draft report. It is understood USACE members of the project team will be in the UK in December 2011 and it is proposed to issue a draft report in time to discuss with members when they visit.

2. Financial

Contract amount $259,337

Invoiced to date $194,502

Outstanding $129,669
Appendix 1 Agenda of July workshop held at HEC

HR Wallingford and USACE In Progress Review Meeting: St. Paul Pilot Study
Flood risk, levee safety and asset management
Hosted by USACE, Tue 26th July – Fri 29th July 2011

Programme (Draft)

Meeting Objective:
The objectives of the meeting are summarised under three components:

1. Review application of risk assessment models and tools for the St. Paul Pilot Study
2. Demonstration and handoff of the HRW software tools to USACE for the St. Paul Pilot Study
3. Develop and define a work-plan (activities and programme) for completion of the pilot study including identifying opportunities for further collaboration

These activities are to support USACE in the development of their methodology for prioritisation of activities for risk reduction.

The review of risk assessment models will comprise topics that include, but are not limited to:
- Data and inputs
- Methodology and computations
- Outputs and conclusions
- Comparison of methodologies (USACE, HRW, WAT/FRM)

The demonstration and handoff of software tools will include
- Hands on use of the tools by USACE
- Handoff of the software tools and input/output files

The development of the work-plan will seek to agree specifics relating to:
- List of action items required to complete the pilot study
- Further meetings

The programme comprises a series of topics for discussion. Presentations/slides will be provided, it is however, envisaged these will be informal round the table discussion format to stimulate debate.
Tuesday, 26th July

9.15   Depart Hallmark Inn for HEC Office

9.30   Arrival at HEC Office (Shewbridge)
       Overview and Introductions

9.35   Overview of Sacramento River Flood Risk Management System (Tibbits)

10.30  Overview of RD1000 Levee System (Tibbits)

11.30  Q&A

12.00  Depart HEC Office for RD1000 Levee System
       Stop for Lunch En Route

13.30  Tour of RD1000 Levee System

17.00  Finish
       Depart for Hallmark Inn

Happy Hour at Hallmark Inn
Dinner (venue tbd)

Wednesday, 27th July

08.30  Data, Model Inputs, and Risk Assessment Framework
       (HRW)

09.00  Discussion

09.30  Preliminary Model Results
       (HRW)

10.00  Discussion

10.30  Break

10.45  Reliability Modeling
       (HRW)
       Condition Grade Methodology
       Reliable Methodology

11.30  Discussion

12.30  Lunch

13.30  Breach Modeling
       (HRW)
       HR Breach
14.00 Discussion

15.00 Break

15.15 Model Variations and Sensitivities (HRW)
  Overtopping Risks and Breach Risks
  Levee Crest Elevation
  Model Resolution
  USACE Fragility and HRW Fragility

16.00 Discussion

17.00 Finish

Happy Hour at Hallmark Inn
Dinner (venue tbd)

**Thursday, 28th July**

08.30 Software Demonstration (HRW)

09.30 USACE Trial Use of Software

12.00 Lunch

13.00 Overview of USACE Risk Assessment for St. Paul (Hauck/Schwanz)

15.00 Break

15.15 Comparison of USACE and HRW methods and tools

17.00 Finish
  Depart for Sacramento for tour

18.00 Start tour

19.30 Diner (venue tbd)

**Friday, 29th July**

08.30 HEC Mission and Current Activities (Harris)

09.30 HEC WAT/FRM Application for St. Paul (Baker)

10.30 Break
10.45 Discussion
12.00 Lunch
13.00 Develop Work Plan
15.00 Finish

List of HRW Attendees
Gouldby, Ben
McGahey, Caroline
Panzeri, Michael

List of USACE Attendees
Baker, Penni
Empson, Bill
Harris, Jeff
Hauck, Kari
Lehman, Will
Lewis, Corby
Margo, David
Needham, Jason
Patev, Bob
Roos, Alex
Sander, Andrew
Schaaf, David
Schwanz, Neil
Shewbridge, Scott
Terry, Tom