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### 14. ABSTRACT

The Predictive Societal Indicators of Radicalism (PSIR) Model of Domestic Political Violence forecasts political violence levels at yearly intervals into the future. The model enables policymakers, particularly in the COCOMS, to proactively plan for instances of increased domestic political violence, with implications for resource allocation and intelligence asset assignment. Using a regression model applied to a large number of drivers of conflict variables spanning numerous open source social science datasets, the PSIR model uses a novel Negative Residuals technique. Negative Residuals result from the model predicting higher levels of violence than actually experienced, indicating nation states that are predisposed to increasing levels of violence based on the presence of environmental conditions and drivers of conflict with demonstrated correlation with political violence.
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ABSTRACT

The Predictive Societal Indicators of Radicalism (PSIR) Model of Domestic Political Violence forecasts political violence levels at yearly intervals into the future. The model enables policymakers, particularly in the COCOMS, to proactively plan for instances of increased domestic political violence, with implications for resource allocation and intelligence asset assignment. Using a regression model applied to a large number of drivers of conflict variables spanning numerous open source social science datasets, the PSIR model uses a novel Negative Residuals technique. Negative Residuals result from the model predicting higher levels of violence than actually experienced, indicating nation states that are predisposed to increasing levels of violence based on the presence of environmental conditions and drivers of conflict with demonstrated correlation with political violence.

The Negative Residuals forecast states where we expect to observe increases in violence – not necessary high levels of violence – a nuanced interpretation that we believe will be of more use to the decision maker to highlight changes in situations, as opposed to simply high levels of violence in already violent states. In this way, our key dependent variable allows for differentiation between country-years with high numbers of low-level violence and country-years with high numbers of more extreme political violence.

PRIMARY TRACK
Application of Social Cultural Methods, Models, and Tools (MMT)

SECONDARY TRACK
Analytic Methods Science and Technology (S&T)

DESCRIPTION

Created in collaboration with Professor Cingranelli at the Political Science Department, SUNY Binghamton University and Professors Sam Bell and Amanda Murdie at the Department of Political Science, Kansas State University, the Predictive Societal Indicators of Radicalism (PSIR) Model of Domestic Political Violence forecasts political violence levels at yearly intervals into the future. The model enables policymakers, particularly in the COCOMS, to proactively plan for instances of increased domestic political violence, with implications for resource allocation and intelligence asset assignment. Creating a model for predicting the level and intensity of domestic political violence in a country has significant policy implications regarding counterinsurgency and stability operations. Recent experiences in Iraq and Afghanistan have placed a renewed focus on unconventional operations. Being able to forecast levels of domestic political violence is significant for gauging population sentiments towards a
government. Indeed, insurgents often exploit popular narratives and grievances for their own purposes. Therefore, capturing the level and intensity of domestic political violence directed at the government provides an important measure for identifying situations that harbor the necessary conditions for violent and extremist movements to gain traction and incubate.

Using a regression model applied to a large number of drivers of conflict variables spanning numerous open source social science datasets, the PSIR model uses a novel Negative Residuals technique. Negative Residuals result from the model predicting higher levels of violence than actually experienced, indicating nation states that are predisposed to increasing levels of violence based on the presence of environmental conditions and drivers of conflict with demonstrated correlation with political violence.

The methodology of the model is borrowed from Gurr and Moore [1] and is straightforward. Our dependent variable captures the overall level and intensity of domestic anti-government violence within a state in a given year relying on the Integrated Data for Event Analysis (IDEA) dataset [2] that codes instances of political violence in “who” did “what” to “whom” manner from Reuters Global News Service. Additional indicators from open-source social science datasets include the CIRI Human Rights Dataset [3], Polity IV Dataset [4], World Bank [5], OECD [6], Correlates of War project [7], and Fearon and Laitin datasets [8]. To capture intensity, we rely on augmented Goldstein [9] scores that use a weighting system developed from a survey of foreign policy officials on the “conflictual” or “cooperative” nature of various political or economic events. The first step is then to estimate the model and generate the residuals from that model (actual-predicted y). We then examine the residuals to identify all cases where the residuals are negative. This helps in identifying the cases where there is more predicted violence than actual violence. Any time that the predicted violence is greater than the actual violence in a country year there is a negative residual.

Where there are cases with negative residuals, this is where our model predicts that there should be more violence than there actually is. The interpretation that Gurr and Moore [1] and Poe, Rost, and Carey [10] make is that these are cases where the conditions suggest that there should be more of whatever the dependent variable represents in future years (in our case violent protest). Since the violence is not occurring in that year, we might expect to see increases in the future. What this method should tell us is which states have the potential for future violent actions against the state by the citizenry. In other words, there is some excess demand for violence against the state. We may not see it in the present year but that very fact makes it more likely that we will see increases in violence in future years.

This method provides some insight into where and when we should see the opportunity for increased violence. Though political violence might not actually increase in every case, the conditions are ripe for such increases in anti-state violence to occur. As such, it is important to note that this method allows us to capture where increased violence should occur, regardless of the overall pre-existing level of violence. This method is useful in telling us that a state has the characteristics that make more domestic anti-government violence likely; this is different than predicting that a state will have extremely high levels of domestic anti-government violence.
The regional variation in performance of the PSIR model compares favorably against the target levels of 80% accuracy and recall and 70% precision specified by the DARPA ICEWS program. Our model complements the DARPA ICEWS models that use a binary dependent variable for conflict by using a nuanced dependent variable that captures the intensity of the conflict.

Measuring domestic political violence targeted at the government captures the pulse of a population and how it views governing authorities. Significant areas of unrest are likely breeding grounds for violent movements, and therefore the results of our model will provide decision makers with knowledge needed to proactively address security concerns effecting U.S. interests.
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