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The Pacific Pediatric Advanced Care Initiative established an advanced care Center with extracorporeal life support (ECLS) support in Hawaii to support the Pacific Rim. The Center has a goal to advance the science of Pediatric Advanced Care through new basic science and simulation research, while providing advanced care to patients, and improving the education and training of Department of Defense (DOD) Health Care providers. The Center has been established and evaluated through existing guidelines for clinical care and education and training. The initial research foci for the Center was the following: 1. basic science research in extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO), 2. development of mankin-based, simulation technologies as applied to the ECLS curriculum, 3. develop ECMOjo, a computer simulation model for patient physiologic variables and ECMO pump biomechanical data.
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The Pacific Pediatric Advanced Care Initiative has established an advanced care center with extracorporeal life support (ECLS) support in Hawaii to support the Pacific Rim. The Center’s goal is to advance the science of Pediatric Advanced Care through new basic science and simulation research, while providing advanced care to patients, and improving the education and training of Department of Defense (DOD) Health Care providers. The Center has been evaluated through existing guidelines for clinical care and education and training. The major research foci for the Center included: 1. basic science research in extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO), and 2. development and evaluation of simulation technologies as applied to pre-ECLS and ECLS curricula. This initiative was a joint venture between Tripler Army Medical Center (TAMC), Kapi’olani Medical Center for Women and Children (KMCWC), Kaiser Permanente Hawaii, University of Hawaii (UH), and the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center (UPMC). The objectives during this contracting period were as follows:

1. Establish a new Center for extracorporeal life support in Hawaii. Ongoing clinical review of results was conducted to ensure that patient care meets national ECLS benchmarks. ECLS is established and proven standard-of-care technology, which provides advanced levels of care to Pediatric patients with life-threatening, potentially reversible cardiorespiratory failure. In preplanning, it was determined by the consortium that this program would be housed at Kapi’olani Medical Center for Women and Children and jointly staffed by physicians from Tripler, Kaiser, and Kapi’olani.

2. Name a national advisory board. The advisory board functioned as an oversight panel, and was instrumental in providing the clinical and educational experts that helped to review the administrative, clinical and educational programs to insure the Center is meeting national guidelines and benchmarks.

3. Develop a basic science research program for the Center. The first study evaluated the utility of ECMO for management of severe septic shock in a porcine model, and whether the utilization of blood substitutes would impact results. Hormonal and physiologic parameters were measured, combined with qualitative histologic analyses of end organs. The program aimed to help advance the science of ECLS, while providing the groundwork for future Center studies.

4. Develop a manikin-based simulator training curriculum to supplement to traditional training. This training curriculum aimed to serve multiple levels of health care providers to include physicians, nurses, perfusionists, and respiratory therapists. Following didactic education, skill acquisition rates of defined tasks and infant simulator survival were compared both with and without manikin training.

5. Develop ECMOjo, a computer simulation model for patient physiologic variables and ECMO pump biomechanical data. Patient physiologic variables are affected by pharmacologic and ECMO pump settings. Connecting these interactions through a computer simulation model will provide a valuable training resource for ECMO centers with small case numbers. ECMOjo was refined using a heuristic evaluation model. Following prototype finalization, scenario-based curriculum was evaluated on its ability for providers to acquire ECMO skills.
Task 1. To establish a new Hawaii-Pacific Rim extracorporeal life support (ECLS) Center, which provides advanced levels of care to Pediatric patients with life-threatening cardiorespiratory failure; to evaluate the Center’s effectiveness in attaining clinical results that meet national ECLS benchmarks.

a. Establish ECLS Hanuola Center at Kapi’olani Medical Center for Women and Children using well-established clinical and referral guidelines, and training curricula.

a.1. ECMO Cases

Currently, case load for the civilian sector has averaged five to six cases annually, with the Center expected to grow to 12 cases per year into the future. Since the opening of the Hanuola ECMO Center, there have been 68 ECMO consults (25 consults in 2008, 22 consults in 2009, 21 consults in 2010), with 21 patients being treated with ECMO (five patients in 2008, five patients in 2009, 11 patients in 2010). The caseload at the Hanuola Center falls within the range of average cases for ECMO centers across the US. According to the ELSO database, of the 96 centers that reported patients in 1997, the average number of patients per center was nine (Roy, 2000). See Appendix A.1 for ELSO results for the Hanuola Center, and Appendix A.2 for the ECLS Registry Report for the Center.

a.2. Policies and Procedures

The Hanuola Center has worked closely to integrate with several departments and programs at KMCWC. These include the blood bank, blood utilization committee, clinical laboratories, operating room, central supply, pharmacy, respiratory care, Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) nursing, Pediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU) nursing, Risk Management and the Pediatric Executive Committee. The development of policies and procedures with other supporting clinical departments has been completed. The Hanuola Center will continue with monthly ECMO meeting beyond this contracting period (funded by KMCWC) to facilitate ongoing discussion of patient debriefs, system review and educational updates.

a.3. ECMO Transport

During the first year of the Hanuola Center, the need for air and ground ECMO transport systems became a priority due to the unique clinical circumstances of the Hawaii medical community. In order to develop an ECMO transport system extensive planning and coordination of experienced personnel was necessary. The nature of transporting pediatric ECMO patients is often associated with severe instability and possible cardiac arrest. Thus, collaboration with AirMed International and Elliott Aviation was initiated to design and build the ECMO Transport Sled (ETS).

Elliott Aviation began construction of the ECMO Transport Sled in mid December 2009 to facilitate the FAA approval process. Construction is at Elliott’s expense. The sled was completed in early 2010, whereby Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) approval was sought. The FAA has determined that the ETS did not need a Supplemental Type Certificate to clear it for flight; only the medical base in the aircraft needed a modification of its Certificate in order to
transport the ETS. The ETS received structural substantiation for the Hawker Beechjet 400A aircraft from the FAA in March 2010. The paperwork (form 8110-3) is on file with Elliott Aviation and Hanuola. Transport Protocols have been developed based on existing KMCWC Transport Protocols. Equipment and Supply checklists have been completed as well as power and weight charts. In December 2010, the ETS was purchased from Elliott Aviation and made available to the Hanuola Center for ECMO air and ground transport.

This is a significant milestone since ECMO transport over the open ocean presents many interesting challenges for both the medical team and the transport modality. Prolonged isolation from medical facilities, power limitations, and aircraft space limitations are the most formidable. In addition, long flight times carry an increased risk for multiple patient interventions, equipment malfunction, and medical supply depletion. A high degree of coordination between the various entities involved is essential to avoid critical consequences. Thus successfully designing and constructing an ECMO Transport Sled will better facilitate the ability to transfer critically ill patients via ground and air.

a.4. Credentialing

As part of a functioning ECLS Center, proper credentialing was necessary for management of the ECMO program. A number of physicians have been granted privileges to provide routine and emergency clinical care for infant patients on ECLS. This included 12 Neonatal physicians and 6 Pediatric Critical Care physicians. Eight physicians have been granted privileges to independently select appropriate patients for ECLS; to oversee cannulation and decannulation procedures; and participate in daily and emergency management. During this contracting period, all pediatric intensive care unit physicians and staff have been certified, and certification of all pediatric surgeons for Level 2 credentials has been completed.
a.5. Website

In addition to the clinical services provided by the Hanuola Center, a website has been developed to provide information to physicians, patients, families and the general public about ECMO and the Center. This website serves as a portal to the Hanuola ECMO Training courses, training manual and lectures. During the final year of this contract, the website has been updated with staff list and contact information and Hanuola Training Course lectures. Going beyond this contacting period, the website will be housed, maintained and funded by KMCWC in support of the Hanuola Center.

b. Conduct ongoing training, including didactic, wet labs, and animal labs based on well-established models.

In order to maintain the competency of ECLS providers, a training system has been established to augment clinical experience. Training guidelines are well defined by ELSO (ELSO Guidelines; ECMO Specialist Manual, 1999): didactics, wet-labs, animal labs, bedside teaching, and transport training (land, and air if appropriate). ECMO pump experience is obtained through animal laboratories and wet labs. This “hands-on” experience is particularly important for Centers with small clinical volumes, where clinical case experience used for education and training is limited and must be supplemented by such training. This training curriculum at the Hanuola Center has served multiple levels of health care providers including physicians, nurses, perfusionists, respiratory therapists and other ancillary staff members.

The Hanuola Center has established a schedule of refresher courses, 4 hours each, to maintain ECMO competency for nurses, respiratory therapists and other ancillary staff members who have already completed the ECMO training course. Dates of the courses that have been held throughout the contracting period include the following: January, March, June, and October 2008; May, September, October and November 2009; and May 2010. In July 2010, a perfusion competency was completed using high fidelity manikin simulation.

The animal training lab that has been developed serves as the primary training platform for ECLS provider training using previously established models. Using a validated model established at the only other DOD ECLS center, Wilford Hall Air Force Medical Center, an animal training model has been developed to provide a method of competency acquisition and skills maintenance for ECLS providers. Evaluation of bedside and emergency skills competency in the Animal Lab has been adapted from the Children’s Healthcare of Atlanta training course. Tripler Army Medical Center’s large animal research laboratory is the only such facility in the State of Hawaii that is federally approved for this type of training. It is under veterinary management employing strict animal use guidelines. TAMC lab technicians are now able to operate ECMO system independently without perfusion support.

The first animal lab was coordinated and successfully conducted on 30 August 2007 at TAMC. Porcine blood was collected the day before and stored overnight without any problems. Subsequent animal labs have been conducted in January, March, May, June and October of 2008; October 2009; and May 2010. Training labs emphasize routine and emergent clinical skills with emphasis on communication. Participants include physicians, nurses, respiratory specialists and perfusionists. Outline objectives and specific curriculum have been completed for future ECMO Training Courses and clinical lab training beyond this contracting period.
c. **Conduct ongoing evaluation of clinical results against national benchmarks using established methodology.**

The Extracorporeal Life Support Organization (ELSO) was established in 1989. The organization oversees and maintains the registry, promotes education and training materials in support of ECLS, and stimulates ongoing research (ELSO, 2005). One of the major functions of the ELSO is to maintain a Registry comprised of all known cases in which ECLS was performed (ELSO 2008). Aggregate data serves as national benchmarks and are evaluated to enhance extracorporeal support technology and the technique of ECLS.

The Hanuola ECMO Center has continued to submit detailed data to the ELSO registry through the data forms (See Appendix A.2). As seen in the Appendix as previously reported, Hanuola ECMO Center compared favorably to ELSO standards.

d. **Name and convene a National Advisory Board for Center review as part of an annual review meeting.**

As part of the Hanuola Center, a National Advisory Board was selected. The purpose of the National Advisory Board was to function as an oversight panel, which provides the clinical and educational experts to help review the administrative, clinical and educational programs. This has insured the Center met national guidelines and benchmarks. The Board met annually as part of the Center’s annual review meeting. The membership of the advisory board throughout the contracting period included:

- Devn Cornish, MD – Vice Chairman of Faculty Development in Pediatrics, Emory University Medical School
- Denise Suttner, MD – Director, San Diego Regional ECMO Program.
- John Lin, MD – Pediatric Intensivist, Brooke Army Medical Center
- Michael Heard, RN –Egleston Children’s Hospital at Emory University
- William Harris, CCP – Ochsner Clinic, New Orleans
- Melissa McNeil, MD – Education Advisor, University of Pittsburg Medical Center
- Donald McCurnin, MD (new) – ECMO Program Director, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center

Going into the future, as is standard practice in the ELSO community, the Hanuola Center will seek expert consultation from established ECMO centers and ELSO community experts via personal contact and the ECLS network, as needed on a case by case basis.

**Task 2. To conduct basic science research to advance scientific knowledge in ECLS.**

a. **Renovate animal operating suite, to be scheduled around training and research**

A Dedicated animal operating suite at Tripler Army Medical Center Department of Clinical Investigation for ECMO research studies was established in 2007 including equipment and renovations to accommodate the laboratory. The ECMO System was made available to University of Hawaii Clinical Training Wet Labs or Pediatric ECMO Center at Kapi’olani
Medical Center for Women and Children on an as needed basis for just in time training, and for the regular training that was discussed in Task 1.b above.

b. **Conduct Center’s first basic science research protocol after appropriate IRB approvals**

Initial research protocol was approved in March 2007 and research execution started in fall 2007. This initial protocol has spawned 2 additional ECMO protocols and 2 additional grant awards from other source, totaling $1.1M. Thus, in addition to advancing the science of ECMO delivery, this initial project has provided the groundwork for future Hanuola Pacific Pediatric Advanced Care Center studies from other funding sources. This first study has also contributed to the training mission of Tripler Army Medical Center’s Graduate Medical Education program by creating a line of research for surgery residents and neonatology fellows that has resulted in national recognition awards for their respective research programs.

Results of experiments proposed in Specific Aim 2 of the grant proposal are presented below, aligned with the initial Hypothesis Statements.

**Specific Aim 2. Conduct basic science research to advance scientific knowledge in ECLS.**

In this study we tested the hypothesis that ECMO is an effective therapy for tissue preservation and maintenance of organ function in a porcine model of endotoxin-induced septic shock. Endotoxic shock was induced, and hormonal and physiologic parameters prior to ECMO and during ECMO therapy were compared. The original objectives of this study have been addressed and this project has successfully yielded clinically relevant and important data that supports clinical guidelines for the use of Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation in the treatment of septic shock.

The experimental protocol for comparing ECMO affects in control and endotoxic shock conditions can be seen in Figure 1. Pigs were sedated and anesthetized with pentobarbital throughout the experiment. After catheters were placed, a 90-min baseline period was allowed. In control group animals, ECMO was then started and measurements were taken at an early phase of ECMO (within 30 of ECMO initiation) and a later phase after ECMO steady state was established for 2 hours. ECMO flow rates (0.7-1.0 L/min) were adjusted to maintain mean arterial pressure (MAP) and cardiac output (CO) at baseline levels. In septic shock group animals, after baseline measurements were obtained, endotoxic shock was induced with an i.v. injection of 3-30 mg/kg of *E. coli* endotoxin to achieve a stable hypotension. Blood samples for hormone measurements and regional blood flow (RBF) assessments were obtained with different colored microspheres injected into the left ventricle prior to endotoxic shock (at baseline), during pre-treatment shock, and during established ECMO treatment. Hemodynamic measurements were recorded continuously throughout the experiment.
The percent of total perfusion delivered by the native heart while on ECMO (Figure 2) was determined by measuring cardiac output (CO) via a Swan Ganz catheter thermistor placed in the abdominal aorta and cold thermodilution vehicle injected into the ECMO circuit immediately at the flow delivery site into the aortic arch. The difference between total flow and ECMO pump flow was attributed to native heart cardiac output.
Research accomplishments associated with the Specific Hypotheses of the original proposal are as follows:

**Hypothesis A:** To characterize the cardiovascular and endocrine responses to ECMO after establishment of endotoxin-induced septic shock

*Cardiovascular responses to ECMO.* In control animals, MAP and total systemic CO were maintained by ECMO in all three periods (Figure 3). Endotoxin caused a 40% decrease in MAP, CO, and oxygen delivery compared to baseline (p<0.05). During endotoxin, ECMO was able to prevent further deterioration of MAP but did not fully return CO to baseline levels.

![Figure 3. ECMO effects on hemodynamics during endotoxic shock.](image)

The optimal perfusion settings and blood pressure target levels for ECMO flow were not yet defined so we examined whether setting ECMO flows to maintain pre-ECMO baseline blood pressure adequately perfused vital organs such as the brain. Results demonstrated that all areas of brain were equally perfused (Figure 4). However, ECMO delivers proportionately more blood flow to the brain when adjusting ECMO pump flow to achieve stable MAP. Whether the increased flow to brain has long term detrimental effects is unknown. A concern is that excessive brain perfusion while on ECMO may cause intracranial hemorrhage. Results indicate that perhaps ECMO perfusion settings should be adjusted according to brain blood flow and oxygen delivery versus systemic pressure criteria.
Figure 4. Brain microcirculatory flow in healthy control pigs at baseline, 30 minutes after ECMO, and after 2 hours on ECMO. There was a significant increase in perfusion immediately after initiation of ECMO despite keeping MAP constant with ECMO delivery. The increase in perfusion was seen in all brain regions (* = different from baseline, p<0.05)

Figure 5. Brain microcirculatory flow in endotoxin-exposed pigs at baseline, after endotoxin-induced shock, and ECMO during shock. Blood flow increased to all regions of the brain during endotoxic shock. Treatment with ECMO returned blood flow to baseline levels. (*=different from baseline, p<0.05; †=different from untreated endotoxin period pre-ECMO, p<0.05)
Endocrine responses to ECMO. We examined endocrine profiles before and during ECMO because redirection of regional blood flow to vital organs is regulated differently by the different cardiovascular hormones (Uyehara, 2005). In both control and endotoxic animals, ECMO caused a decrease in vasopressin (VP) (Figure 6), cortisol, adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) and dopamine which could be attributed to a dilutional effect caused by endogenous hormone production not adjusting to the incorporation of a large circuit volume during ECMO. However, not all hormones decreased. The endotoxin-induced drop in MAP increased renin, which was reversed with ECMO treatment. Interestingly, aldosterone levels did not decrease, and sodium levels tended to increase with ECMO in either control or endotoxic animals.

ECMO causes similar endogenous hormonal profile shifts in both control and endotoxic conditions. Although a dilutional effect on hormone levels is evident during ECMO, the renin aldosterone system is still able to respond to shock, and results in increased plasma sodium levels.

Figure 6. Effects of ECMO on circulating vasopressin levels in control and endotoxic shock conditions. In both control and endotoxic animals, VP levels decreased dramatically with ECMO. Although the ECMO circuit is primed with donor whole blood averaging 200 pg/ml of vasopressin, circulating levels still drop. (* = different from baseline, p<0.05). This dilutional effect is seen with cortisol, ACTH, and dopamine as well (data not shown).

Results indicate that while ECMO may provide cardio-respiratory support during endotoxic shock, disruption of normal relationships in renin aldosterone regulation of sodium balance and blood pressure may occur. Plasma renin activity (PRA) increased appropriately in response to endotoxin-induced shock (Figure 7), however increased PRA was not maintained during ECMO despite persistent hypotension. Overall changes in aldosterone (ALDO) did not occur with ECMO either in control or endotoxic shock (Figure 7).
Figure 7. The dilutional effect of the ECMO circuit was not seen with all other electrolytes or hormones. ECMO did not significantly change PRA in control animals. PRA increased appropriately during endotoxic shock but was not maintained during ECMO. ALDO remained constant during ECMO in control and endotoxic shock. While plasma sodium concentrations remained unchanged in control piglets, they increased among endotoxic shock piglets while on ECMO. (* = different from baseline, p<0.05; † = different from control, p<0.05)

Hypothesis B: To compare ECMO delivery effectiveness of blood substitutes versus donor whole blood, on redistribution of perfusion to vital organs and tissue preservation in the face of endotoxin-induced septic shock

One of the concerns about using ECMO is the need to use a relatively large volume of donor blood to prime the ECMO circuit in addition to issues related to exposure to donor blood and risks of viral infections, transfusion reactions, and possible clot embolism (Khoshbin et al, 2005; Spahn and Kocian, 2005). An alternative to the use of donor blood is the use of a blood substitute such as hemoglobin based oxygen-carrying solution (HBOC). A report (York et al, 2002) of a direct comparison of HBOC versus donor blood in healthy animals had shown that the blood substitute may be an effective alternative to using blood for ECMO circuit priming with regards to managing blood gases and pH. However, it is unclear whether this will also suffice when used in a state such as vasodilatory septic shock.

In the initial proposal, we had wanted to examine some of the side effects of the use of blood substitutes such as hemodilution and methemoglobinemia, and whether such conditions during septic shock, when the body is already compromised and struggling to maintain vital organ perfusion, can be tolerated. However, we were unable to obtain a source of artificial
oxygen carrier (AOC) as vendors of such products had gone into bankruptcy and so AOCs were no longer on the market. Thus we had to drop this part of the project. This part of the project was instead replaced by a more in depth look at the effect of ECMO on cardiovascular regulating hormones and the outcomes of shifts in microcirculatory flows as described above.

Beyond the simple dilutional effect of the volume of the ECMO circuit, ECMO seemed to affect the regulation of hormone release to physiological signals (fig 8). The relationship between right atrial pressure and plasma vasopressin levels, for example, was not present during ECMO. Vasopressin plays a vital role in regulating mean arterial pressure (MAP) and regional blood flow in hypotensive disorders, but whether endogenous VP levels can be sustained during ECMO is unclear. In 11 anesthetized, cardiac catheterized piglets were put on veno-arterial ECMO either during control or endotoxin-induced septic shock states. ECMO blood flow was delivered to maintain MAP at baseline levels. Plasma VP (pVP) was compared before and after stabilization on ECMO for 2 hours. ECMO caused pVP to drop by 30% (p<0.01) in both control and septic shock conditions. This drop may be due to the ECMO circuit adding to total circulating volume without additional release of VP. To test whether right atrial pressure (RAP) influence on VP release may be disrupted during ECMO due to partial cardiac bypass of blood flow, the relationship between pVP and hemodynamics during control was examined with stepwise regression. Pre-ECMO, pVP negatively correlated with RAP (Figure 8) (r= -0.69, p<0.01), but not MAP. In contrast, during ECMO, this pVP relationship with RAP was lost (Figure 8), but a strong positive correlation (r=0.72, p<0.05) between pVP and MAP became evident (Figure 9).

![Figure 8. ECMO alters the relationship between VP and right atrial pressure.](image)

Multiple regression stepwise analyses revealed that of all hemodynamic measurements taken, circulating vasopressin levels were most strongly predicted by right atrial pressure. At pre-ECMO baseline, VP negatively correlated with right atrial pressure. However, once put on the ECMO circuit the relationship between vasopressin levels and right atrial pressure was no longer evident, indicating a disruption of low pressure baroreceptor stimulation of vasopressin release.
Figure 9. Relationship between circulating vasopressin and mean arterial pressure is unmasked with ECMO. Under normal physiological conditions, a direct correlation between plasma vasopressin levels and blood pressure is difficult to detect. However once on ECMO, in both control and endotoxic animals, a direct relationship between plasma vasopressin and MAP was revealed, indicating that vasopressin still functions as a pressor agent during ECMO.

Results indicate that the partial cardiac bypass and reduction of flow through low pressure systems in the heart may cause alterations in signals by baroreceptor mediation of endogenous vasopressin release. A drop in systemic vasopressin levels upon initiation of ECMO may reflect that endogenous vasopressin release does not keep up with the increased total circulating volume and/or breakdown of the hormone caused by the addition of the ECMO circuit. Hence, despite maintenance of hemodynamic stability, changes in endocrine regulation of cardiovascular and fluid balance appears to occur with ECMO and should be monitored to reduce morbidity outcomes.

Because vasopressin plays a beneficial role in the response to endotoxic shock by redistributing blood flow to vital organs, maintaining blood oxygenation, and preventing renal shutdown, altered vasopressin regulation needs to be carefully monitored. If vasopressin regulation of regional blood flow distribution and fluid balance is disrupted by ECMO, it is not surprising that edema and other fluid imbalances associated with the use of ECMO will occur. Thus, to reduce morbidity outcomes related to the use of ECMO, the drop in endogenous vasopressin and other fluid and electrolyte hormones during ECMO should perhaps be prevented with exogenous hormone replacement therapy.

We also examined the renin-aldosterone-sodium relationship during ECMO to determine whether ECMO disrupted normal relationships in endocrine regulation of plasma sodium. Plasma sodium concentrations increased after the initial plasma PRA (Figure 7) but a relationship between sodium levels and PRA was not evident (Figure 10) in either control or endotoxic shock state regardless of whether on ECMO or not. This would indicate that blood
pressure was a dominant driver of PRA changes and not sodium levels. The relationship between PRA and ALDO remained intact with ECMO although this relationship is blunted by endotoxic shock exposure (Figure 11).

Figure 10. Relationship between PRA and plasma sodium. A correlation between PRA and sodium was not apparent.

Figure 11. ALDO PRA relationship remains intact in ECMO. ALDO was positively correlated with PRA in both control and endotoxic shock piglets but that relationship was less sensitive in endotoxic shock.
Significant changes in ALDO did not occur with ECMO either in control or endotoxic shock (Figure 7), but control animals exhibited a negative relationship between plasma sodium and ALDO that was not seen with endotoxin exposure (Figure 12).

**Figure 12. ALDO relationship with plasma sodium.** ECMO did not interfere with the negative correlation between ALDO plasma sodium in control pigs but this correlation disappeared in the endotoxic shock state.

**Figure 13. Relationship between PRA and MAP.** Prior to ECMO (blue line), PRA increased with decreasing MAP. However that relationship is attenuated with ECMO.
The attenuation of a PRA response to changes in MAP can be seen in Figure 13 where before ECMO there is a negative correlation (blue line) between PRA and MAP in both control and endotoxic animals but during ECMO this relationship is blunted (red line). This may coincide with a change in renal blood flow with ECMO or decreased converting enzyme activity with bypass of blood away from the lungs.

Thus, sodium regulation by ALDO remains intact during ECMO and PRA is attenuated during ECMO in endotoxic shock. Results suggest that ECMO-induced changes in PRA and ALDO regulation should be considered in reducing morbidity outcomes due to altered sodium regulation with the use of ECMO to treat endotoxic shock.

Hypothesis C: To evaluate whether ECMO prevents multi-system organ failure in septic shock, by examining organ function of the lungs and the kidneys, the organs most likely to fail in sepsis.

We evaluated pulmonary function and the resolution of endotoxin-induced persistent pulmonary hypertension as well as renal function, because it is the shutdown of the kidneys and dysfunction of the lungs in achieving proper gas exchange, that lead to the downward spiral of multi-system organ failure and tissue morbidity beyond the immediate reversal of vasodilatory hypotension.

![Pulmonary Artery Pressure](image1)

**Pulmonary Artery Pressure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Endotoxin</th>
<th>ECMO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endotoxin</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = different from baseline, p<0.05

![Pulmonary Vascular Resistance](image2)

**Pulmonary Vascular Resistance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Endotoxin</th>
<th>ECMO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endotoxin</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = different from baseline, p<0.05

**Figure 14. ECMO effects on pulmonary arterial pressure and pulmonary vascular resistance.** The pulmonary hypertension induced by endotoxin was reversed with ECMO (* = different from baseline, p<0.05)
Indices of lung function and tissue oxygenation:
Severe pulmonary hypertension caused by endotoxin was reversed with ECMO. Results show that ECMO is able to maintain blood flow to vital organs and reverse pulmonary hypertension and hypoxemia during endotoxic shock.

![Figure 15. Brain tissue metabolism during baseline, endotoxic shock, and ECMO. During endotoxic shock, oxygen delivery dramatically decreased in association with the hypotension. ECMO treatment did not fully compensate for the decrease in oxygen delivery. However, oxygen consumption was restored with ECMO. (* = different from baseline, p<0.05)](image)

Renal function evaluation:
Based on the dilutional effect of ECMO on circulating hormone levels, we hypothesized that ECMO will not restore urine output in endotoxic shock despite cardiac stabilization and maintenance of blood flow to the kidneys, as ECMO simultaneously reduces endogenous vasopressin levels which are needed to modulate local perfusion pressure and renal filtration. Results indicate that ECMO interferes with the autoregulation ability of the kidneys to provide adequate pressure to restore filtration and urine flow in endotoxic shock.
**Figure 16. ECMO effects on urine output and free water and osmotic clearances.**
ECMO does not restore decreased urine flow associated with endotoxin-induced hypotension. (* = different from baseline, **p<0.05; † = different from control, **p<0.05)**

**Figure 17. ECMO effects on renal hemodynamics.** ECMO did not alter renal hemodynamics in control animals. ECMO was not able to return renal blood flow or glomerular filtration to pre-endotoxin levels. (* = different from baseline, **p<0.05; † = different from control, **p<0.05)**
ECMO did not alter renal autoregulation in control animals where renal blood flow remained constant despite differences in MAP. Endotoxic shock caused MAP to drop and fall below the autoregulatory range for renal blood flow. ECMO was not able to restore autoregulation in endotoxic animals and renal blood flow strongly correlated with blood pressure during ECMO in endotoxic shock.

Results indicate that ECMO cannot restore renal function and provide adequate blood flow to the kidneys to restore the drop in renal filtration induced by endotoxic shock. We have previously shown that high vasopressin levels are needed to reverse the low urine output. These current results demonstrate that the simple maintenance of renal blood flow with ECMO at a pressure compatible with auto regulation is not sufficient to restore glomerular filtration. This supports the hypothesis that vasopressin restores urine flow in endotoxic shock by selective vasoconstriction of the renal efferent arterioles to increase filtration pressure.
Task 3. To develop manikin-based simulation training for the ECLS training curriculum, as a supplement to traditional ECLS training.

a. Develop simulation software and curriculum in conjunction with the infant patient simulator to serve as training adjuncts to animal and wet-lab training.

a.1. Hanuola ECMO Training Course

The Hanuola Center has completed the Hanuola ECMO Training Course; a comprehensive, traditional classroom-based ECMO training course for physicians, nurses, respiratory therapists, perfusionists or other health care professionals interested in understanding the concepts of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. To provide an easily accessible web-based platform to improve pediatric care, the course has been converted to an online format which is available on the Center’s training website. Competency learning modules have been developed to maintain ECMO competency for nurses, respiratory therapists and physicians who have already completed the ECMO training course. On 11 and 12 May 2010, an ECMO training course was held using the web-based curriculum and high fidelity manikin simulation. And again on 26 and 27 July 2010, a perfusion competency course using the same format was completed.

a.2. Critical Care Curriculum for Austere Environments

The Center has completed the Pediatric Critical Care Curriculum for Austere Environments that integrates manikin-based simulation. This curriculum provides as an online resource for multiple levels of health care providers without specific specialty training in the care of critically ill pediatric patients. This can accessed through http://www.hanuola.com.

b. Evaluate the simulation curriculum.

b.1. Assessment and Intervention for Pediatric Patients in Emergency Situations

To evaluate the simulation curriculum, the Center developed the Assessment and Intervention for Pediatric Patients in Emergency Situations simulation-based (manikin) training curriculum. Topics covered include pediatric airway anatomy and physiology, recognition of the pediatric patient in respiratory distress and respiratory failure, and shock. Neurologic emergencies and treatment options in pediatric patients are also discussed.

A total of 25 medical professionals were recruited to evaluation the manikin simulation curriculum, all of which have completed the online web-based didactic training session. 20 of which have completed the manikin portion of the study. Data from 2 participants have been excluded because of missing data points. The other 18 datasets have been analyzed in SPSS; the learner satisfaction survey and curriculum evaluation was completed by 17 participants.

Results from the manikin simulation study demonstrated that Manikin-Based Simulation Training can be used effectively to improve advanced pediatric care skills acquisition, with statistical improvement between sessions (p < .05). There was no discernible improvement between Session 2 and Session 3. This is similar to the results reported by DeVita et al., with
the greatest improvements between the first and second training session. This implies that only two training sessions may be necessary. However, with respect to manikin survival, Session 3 (89% with passing score) was necessary for the group to have an overall passing score ≥ 80%, as compared to lower passing rates in Session 1 (56%) and Session 2 (78%).

The number of repetitive training scenarios per a single training session is an important issue. Training time is limited by both competing curricula and regulations for student training time (e.g., 80 hour work week for resident physicians). This limits the amount of time for any type of training. If only one or two practice sessions are necessary, eliminating a third or fourth session will save time for trainees and be more cost effective for the institution. However, it is unknown how many sessions should be accomplished once a plateau in training outcomes is reached for a given training session. How repetition impacts skills retention over short and long-term time periods and satisfaction of the learners are important issues that remain largely unanswered. This could be area to evaluate with future research.

See Attachment B.1. for a more detailed manuscript of the study.

b.2. ECMOjo scenario-based curriculum evaluation

Validation of ECMOjo has been completed at various ECMO Training Centers across the United States. Centers include: Rady Children’s Hospital, San Diego, Children’s National Medical Center, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Wilford Hall Medical Center, Arkansas Children’s Hospital, Children’s Healthcare of Atlanta, University of Iowa, Mayo Clinic, Lutheran General, and Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia. A total of 51 medical professionals were enrolled to participate in an ECMO skills acquisition study. Subjects were randomized into two groups, one group doing conventional classroom learning and the other training on ECMOjo over the same period. Both groups were assessed using three wet-lab scenarios after their training, with wet-lab results compared between groups.

Results for the ECMO skills acquisition study indicate that ECMOjo is equivalent in training when compared to standard didactic classroom learning. No differences have been observed between groups trained with ECMOjo versus participants trained in the classroom. Also, ECMOjo has been shown to train both novices and experts equally.

We believe ECMOjo is a valuable addition to current state-of-the-art ECMO training practices. ECMOjo is a computer-based simulation model that can provide important cognitive skills training and practice that is not possible in a classroom setting. With equality between ECMOjo and didactic training, it can be utilized instead of didactic training prior to the wet-lab experience. One of the postulated benefits of ECMOjo that can be explored with future research is to practice and train more difficult clinical and pump scenarios for ECMO providers. The simulator makes this possible. This would be more difficult to teach in a classroom setting. Future research could help to define the benefit of ECMOjo for more advanced training scenarios.

See Attachment C.1. for a more detailed manuscript of the study.
Key Research Accomplishments

Task 1. To establish a new Hawaii-Pacific Rim extracorporeal life support (ECLS) Center, which provides advanced levels of care to Pediatric patients with life-threatening cardiorespiratory failure; to evaluate the Center’s effectiveness in attaining clinical results that meet national ECLS benchmarks.

- Training manual developed for Hanuola ECMO Center. Hardcopy and electronic versions are available.
- Website has been developed at [http://www.hanuola.com](http://www.hanuola.com). Information includes
  - Hanuola Center information
  - Reference center for parents
  - Grant information
  - Access to ECMO and PCC curriculum
  - Pediatric Critical Care Curriculum for Austere Environments
- Parent Teaching Materials have been developed. Hardcopy and electronic versions are available.
- ECMO Training Course–course curriculum has been developed and is ongoing to provide review and maintenance of skills.
- Hanuola ECMO Transport system has been acquired with FAA certification

Task 2. To conduct basic science research to advance scientific knowledge in ECLS.

- Animal model for ECMO treatment of bacterial endotoxin-induced catecholamine-resistant vasodilatory septic shock has been developed.
- Using a piglet model of vasodilatory endotoxin-induced septic shock, we have characterized the cardiovascular and endocrine responses to ECMO
- Clinical guidelines recommended for use of ECMO based on our results:
  - ECMO can be used to help provide cardiorespiratory support during septic shock as it is able to maintain blood flow to vital organs and reverse pulmonary hypertension and hypoxemia during endotoxic shock.
  - Results demonstrate the effectiveness of ECMO in preserving brain perfusion. In non-endotoxic shock conditions, care must be taken to avoid over-perfusion of the brain with ECMO. The ECMO flow delivery needs to be adjusted according to oxygen saturation rather than trying to maintain a “normal” mean arterial pressure, especially during endotoxic shock where excessive vasodilatation occurs. Blood flow is maintained by ECMO despite comparatively low blood pressures.
  - ECMO causes a dilutional effect on endogenous vasopressin and other hormone levels in both control and endotoxic shock conditions, and hormonal replacement therapy should be considered.
  - In contrast to the ECMO induced decrease seen with other hormones, PRA and aldosterone were still able to respond to endotoxic shock and elevate sodium levels. Resultant fluid retention should be carefully monitored.
• ECMO-induced changes in vasopressin regulation and low pressure system signaling for vasopressin release should be considered in reducing morbidity outcomes with the use of ECMO to treat endotoxic shock.

• ECMO is able to maintain hemodynamic stability, however changes in endocrine regulation of cardiovascular and fluid balance appears to occur and should be monitored with prolonged ECMO treatment to reduce morbidity outcomes. Such changes in endocrine function should also be considered in weaning a patient off of ECMO.

**Task 3. To develop manikin-based simulation training for the ECLS training curriculum, as a supplement to traditional ECLS training.**

- Web platform has been created and course content has been loaded
- The following website contains the online learning portion and related quizzes: [http://www.tri.jabsom.hawaii.edu/manikinstudy/login.html](http://www.tri.jabsom.hawaii.edu/manikinstudy/login.html)
- Evaluation of the simulation curriculum, *Assessment and Intervention for Pediatric Patients in Emergency Situations*, has been completed and manuscript drafted.

**Task 4. To develop ECMOjo, a computer simulation model for patient physiologic variables and ECMO pump biomechanical data.**

- The development of ECMOjo, a simulator and trainer for extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, has been completed.
- Validation study has been completed and manuscript drafted.
- Project software has been updated at SourceForge.net and is available as Open Source for ECMO practitioners worldwide. [http://ecmojo.sourceforge.net](http://ecmojo.sourceforge.net)
Reportable Outcomes

- Abstracts, Presentations, Publications:
  - Dr. Mark Ogino presented the program at the following:
    - *The 17th Annual ELSO Conference*, September 15-17, 2006, Atlanta, Georgia
    - *Pediatric Academic Societies & Asian Society for Pediatric Research Joint Meeting*, May 2-6, 2008, Honolulu, HI
    - *Center for Integration of Medicine and Innovation Technology (CIMIT): Innovation Congress Conference*, November 13 – 14, 2007, Boston, Massachusetts
    - *Overview of the UPMC IMITs ECMO Initiative with The University of Hawaii*: Included in IMITs presentation given by Dr. Juan Carlos Payana, IMITs Chief Medical Officer, at the Center for Integration of Medicine and Innovation Technology (CIMIT): Innovation Congress Conference, November 13 – 14, 2007, Boston, Massachusetts
      - Tanaka LY, Aschwanden C, Burgess L, Ogino MT.  Computer-Based Simulation for Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation (ECMO) Skills Training. International Medical Simulation in Healthcare, Phoenix, AZ.  Jan 2010. 5


The animal study task has been successfully used to provide research opportunities for military Graduate Medical Education trainees, and to develop research interests of residents and staff of Tripler Army Medical Center’s Department of Surgery. Results were presented by military GME trainees at the following:

- Podium presentation at COMPRA, Bastrop, Texas November 2008
- Poster presentation at PAS, Baltimore, Maryland April 2009
- Podium presentation at Presentation: Military Health Research Forum 2009
- Podium presentation at 2009 Annual Gary P. Wratten Surgical Symposium, Tacoma,
- Podium presentation at AAP national convention Perinatal Section Washington, D.C. Platform session October 2009
- Podium presentation at COMPRA, Bastrop, Texas November 2009
- Podium presentation at 11th Annual James W. Bass Research Symposium, 20 May 2010, Tripler AMC, HI.
- Podium presentation at 12th Annual James W. Bass Research Symposium, 19 May 2010, Tripler AMC, HI.
- Podium presentation at 29th Annual Conference of Military Perinatal Research, 6 Nov 2010, Austin, TX.
- Podium presentation at 77th Perinatal & Developmental Medicine Symposium, 13 Nov 2010, Marco Island, FL.
- Podium presentation at 29th Annual Conference on Military Perinatal Research (COMPRA) Austin, TX Nov 2010
- Podium presentation at 45th Annual Uniformed Services Pediatric Seminar, Mar 2011, Washington, DC
- Poster presentation at 2011 Pediatric Academic Societies, May 2011, Denver, CO
- Poster presentation at Uniformed Services Pediatric Seminar (USPS) March 2011
- ECMO Physician Credentialing
  - All PICU physician staff have been certified.
  - Certification all Pediatric surgeons for Level 2 credentials has been completed.
- Data submitted to ELSO registry
- The animal model for endotoxin-induced septic shock using a pediatric piglet model has been completed and results are currently being analyzed.
- Design, engineering and purchase of the ECMO Transport Sled is complete.
- Awards
  - Various aspects of this work was presented at Research Competitions by LTCOL Sherreen Batts, MAJ Thornton Mu, and Capt Eldon Palmer. They have won the following:
    - Second place award at the 2009 James W. Bass Research Symposium Resident Competition (LTCOL Batts)
    - Third place award at the 2010 James W. Bass Research Symposium Resident Competition (MAJ Mu)
    - Finalist for Uniformed Services Pediatrics award at the 45th Annual Uniformed Services Pediatric Seminar (MAJ Mu)
    - Semifinalist for the Ogden-Bruton award (top 6 of 40) at the Uniformed Services Pediatric Seminar
- New Grant Awards
  - "Maintaining Tissue Viability After Acute Hemodynamic Stabilization in Models of Shock, Polytrauma and Wound Infection" (#D10_1_AR_J6_925) Catherine Uyehara Principal Investigator $993,438.80 U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Core Research Program, FY08 War Supplemental Intramural Research Program
  - "Removal of pro-inflammatory cytokines to reduce lung inflammation in a porcine model of controlled hemorrhage" MAJ Thornton Mu Principal Investigator $196,000 Department of Defense FY10 Defense Medical Research and Development Program Applied Research and Advanced Technology Development Award
Conclusions

The Hanuola (ECMO) Center has been successfully established at Kapi‘olani Medical Center for Women and Children. The following are a summary of accomplishments:

- Policies and procedures with other supporting clinical departments have been integrated into the program.
- ECMO patients are currently being treated and consulted, a total of 21 patents have been on ECMO during the contracting period.
- An ECMO transport system has been certified and is available for use for the Center into the future.
- Both an ECLS competency training curriculum and animal training platform have been developed and available for use into the future.
- Animal model for ECMO treatment of bacterial endotoxin-induced catecholamine-resistant vasodilatory septic shock has been developed.
- Web platform has been created for the Hanuola ECMO Training Course; a comprehensive, traditional classroom-based ECMO training course for physicians, nurses, respiratory therapists, perfusionists or other health care professionals interested in understanding the concepts of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. Also, the Critical Care Curriculum for Austere Environments course is also available on the website.
- ECMOjo, a computer simulation model for patient physiologic variables and ECMO pump biomechanical data has been developed and made available online for ECMO practitioners worldwide.

The establishment of the Hanuola Center has significantly improved the level of care provided to DOD dependents in the Pacific region and to all children in the State of Hawaii. The Hanuola Center is now providing state-of-the-art critical care support for patients and state-of-the-art educational opportunities to pediatric providers, which is bringing the standard of care for pediatric patients in Hawaii to an equal footing with patients on the U.S. mainland.

Unfortunately, morbidity and mortality associated with septic shock remain high, and thousands of patients die each year from multi-function organ failure as a consequence of sepsis. Immediate treatment to support ventilation, and maintain sufficient organ perfusion is important in improving clinical outcomes. With the hazardous conditions our deployed soldiers must face, including wound infections in addition to potential exposure to biological and chemical warfare agents, the chances for development of sepsis which may lead to pulmonary and cardiovascular collapse is a reality.

Results presented here indicate that ECMO may help reduce morbidity and mortality from endotoxin-induced shock by providing cardio-respiratory support. This study has led to a better understanding of the effects of ECMO on tissue perfusion and cardiovascular hormone regulation in control and endotoxic shock conditions. Since this project was initiated, ECMO is increasingly being used to treat cardiorespiratory failure for a wider range of indications in the pediatric and adult populations.
Recommendations for critical care guidelines for the use of ECMO are:

- ECMO pump flows should be adjusted according to oxygen saturation values rather than trying to maintain a control level blood pressure as trying to achieve higher blood pressures may cause over-perfusion of delicate brain tissues.
- Cardiovascular hormone replacement therapy should be considered to counteract the dilutional effects of ECMO circuit on critical cardiovascular hormones to maintain normal fluid and electrolyte regulation if a patient will be kept on ECMO treatment for prolonged periods.

Septic shock is a high-risk disease of infection seen in all military hospitals. Susceptibility of battlefield wounds to infections and possibilities of soldier exposure to biological warfare agents makes the treatment of septic shock a significant “military relevant disease management” concern. Guidelines for perfusion parameters and hormone replacement therapy provided by this study help clarify the role for ECMO in the treatment of septic shock in military clinical practice.
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### Pulmonary

#### Neonatal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unique ID</th>
<th>PatID</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Birthdate</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Date On</th>
<th>Date Off</th>
<th>D/C Alive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1762010001</td>
<td>43027</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/19/2010 20:00</td>
<td>2 Days</td>
<td>1/21/2010 14:15</td>
<td>1/23/2010 12:39</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1762010003</td>
<td>45051</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9/5/2010 0:00</td>
<td>7 Days</td>
<td>9/12/2010 12:46</td>
<td>9/15/2010 19:07</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Pediatric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unique ID</th>
<th>PatID</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Birthdate</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Date On</th>
<th>Date Off</th>
<th>D/C Alive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1762009004</td>
<td>41321</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6/26/1995 0:00</td>
<td>14 Years</td>
<td>7/25/2009 3:35</td>
<td>8/7/2009 14:46</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1762009009</td>
<td>42417</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2/29/2004 0:00</td>
<td>5 Years</td>
<td>10/29/2009 17:52</td>
<td>11/17/2009 3:04</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Adult

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unique ID</th>
<th>PatID</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Birthdate</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Date On</th>
<th>Date Off</th>
<th>D/C Alive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1762010005</td>
<td>45630</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/22/1954 0:00</td>
<td>56 Years</td>
<td>11/13/2010 13:41</td>
<td>11/19/2010 20:54</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Cardiac

#### Neonatal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unique ID</th>
<th>PatID</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Birthdate</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Date On</th>
<th>Date Off</th>
<th>D/C Alive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1762008003</td>
<td>39181</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/9/2008 16:20</td>
<td>10 Days</td>
<td>7/19/2008 11:59</td>
<td>7/23/2008 10:00</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Pediatric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unique ID</th>
<th>PatID</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Birthdate</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Date On</th>
<th>Date Off</th>
<th>D/C Alive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1762008002</td>
<td>37808</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10/12/2000 0:00</td>
<td>7 Years</td>
<td>3/14/2008 2:47</td>
<td>3/18/2008 14:54</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1762009002</td>
<td>40715</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/11/2008 0:00</td>
<td>15 Months</td>
<td>4/22/2009 7:31</td>
<td>4/26/2009 16:20</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1762009007</td>
<td>42415</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/27/2009 0:00</td>
<td>3 Months</td>
<td>10/12/2009 9:16</td>
<td>10/28/2009 8:30</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### ECPR

#### Pediatric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unique ID</th>
<th>PatID</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Birthdate</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Date On</th>
<th>Date Off</th>
<th>D/C Alive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1762009008</td>
<td>42416</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/24/2001 0:00</td>
<td>7 Years</td>
<td>10/27/2009 17:08</td>
<td>10/29/2009 14:59</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Overall Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Patients</th>
<th>Survived ECLS</th>
<th>Survived to DC or Transfer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9 82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECPR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17 81%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Neonatal Respiratory (0-30 days)

### Neonatal Respiratory Runs by Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Annual Runs</th>
<th>Cumulative Runs</th>
<th>Average Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>No. Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run time in hours. Survived = survival to discharge or transfer based on number of runs.

### Neonatal Respiratory Runs by Diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Avg Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPHN/PFC</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run time in hours. Survived = survival to discharge or transfer based on number of runs.

### Neonatal Respiratory Support Mode Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Avg Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VVDL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VVDL+V</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VV-VA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA+V</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run time in hours. Survived = survival to discharge or transfer based on number of runs.

### Neonatal Respiratory Complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>No. Reported</th>
<th>% Reported</th>
<th>No. Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhagic: Cannulation site bleeding</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhagic: Surgical site bleeding</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhagic: Disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologic: Seizures: clinically determined</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologic: CNS hemorrhage by US/CT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal: Creatinine &gt; 3.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal: Hemofiltration required</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal: CAVHD required</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular: Inotropes on ECLS</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular: Hypertension requiring vasodilators</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic: Glucose &lt; 40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic: Glucose &gt; 240</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic: Hyperbilirubinemia (&gt; 2 direct or &gt; 15 total)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Pediatric Respiratory Runs by Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Annual Runs</th>
<th>Cumulative Runs</th>
<th>Average Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>No. Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run time in hours. Survived = survival to discharge or transfer based on number of runs.

## Pediatric Respiratory Runs by Diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Avg Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial pneumonia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run time in hours. Survived = survival to discharge or transfer based on number of runs.

## Pediatric Respiratory Support Mode Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support Mode</th>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Avg Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VVDL+V</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VV-VA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VVDL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run time in hours. Survived = survival to discharge or transfer based on number of runs.

## Pediatric Respiratory Complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>No. Reported</th>
<th>% Reported</th>
<th>No. Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical: Oxygenator failure</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical: Clots: other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical: Air in circuit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhagic: Cannulation site bleeding</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhagic: Surgical site bleeding</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhagic: Disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologic: Seizures: clinically determined</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologic: CNS hemorrhage by US/CT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal: Hemofiltration required</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal: CAVHD required</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular: Inotropes on ECLS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular: Hypertension requiring vasodilators</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Adult Respiratory (18 years and over)

#### Adult Respiratory Runs by Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Annual Runs</th>
<th>Cumulative Runs</th>
<th>Average Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>No. Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run time in hours. Survived = survival to discharge or transfer based on number of runs.

#### Adult Respiratory Runs by Diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Avg Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute resp failure, non-ARDS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run time in hours. Survived = survival to discharge or transfer based on number of runs.

#### Adult Respiratory Support Mode Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support Mode</th>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Avg Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VVDL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run time in hours. Survived = survival to discharge or transfer based on number of runs.

#### Adult Respiratory Complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>No. Reported</th>
<th>% Reported</th>
<th>No. Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Renal: CAVHD required</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular: Inotropes on ECLS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary: Pneumothorax requiring treatment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary: Pulmonary hemorrhage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic: Glucose &gt; 240</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Cardiac Runs by Year

#### Age Group: 0 - 30 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual Runs</th>
<th>Cumulative Runs</th>
<th>Average Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>No. Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Age Group: 31 days and < 1 year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual Runs</th>
<th>Cumulative Runs</th>
<th>Average Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>No. Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Age Group: 1 year and < 16 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual Runs</th>
<th>Cumulative Runs</th>
<th>Average Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>No. Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run time in hours. Survived = survival to discharge or transfer based on number of runs.
## Cardiac Runs by Diagnosis

### Age Group: 0 - 30 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>avg Run Time (hours)</th>
<th>Longest Run Time (hours)</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Congenital Defect</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Age Group: 31 days and < 1 year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Avg Run Time (hours)</th>
<th>Longest Run Time (hours)</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Congenital Defect</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Age Group: 1 year and < 16 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Avg Run Time (hours)</th>
<th>Longest Run Time (hours)</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Congenital Defect</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiogenic Shock</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run time in hours. Survived = survival to discharge or transfer based on number of runs.
# Cardiac Runs by Surgical type

## Age Group: 0 - 30 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Avg Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>%Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other postop, not bridged</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Age Group: 31 days and < 1 year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Avg Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>%Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other postop, not bridged</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Age Group: 1 year and < 16 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Avg Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>%Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other postop, not bridged</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run time in hours. Survived = survival to discharge or transfer based on number of runs.
### Cardiac Congenital Diagnoses

#### Age Group: 0 - 30 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Average Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Cardiac Congenital Diagnoses

#### Age Group: 31 days and < 1 year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Average Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyanotic incr. pulm. congestion (TAPVR / PAPVR)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Cardiac Congenital Diagnoses

#### Age Group: 1 year and < 16 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Average Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypoplastic left heart</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run time in hours. Survived = survival to discharge or transfer based on number of runs.
### Cardiac Runs by Procedure

**Age Group:** 0 - 30 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Avg Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blalock-Taussig, modified</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Age Group:** 31 days and < 1 year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Avg Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anomalous venous return repair</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run time in hours. Survived = survival to discharge or transfer based on number of runs.
### Cardiac Support Mode Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group: 0 - 30 days</th>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Avg Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group: 31 days and &lt; 1 year</th>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Avg Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group: 1 year and &lt; 16 years</th>
<th>Total Runs</th>
<th>Avg Run Time</th>
<th>Longest Run Time</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VA+V</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run time in hours. Survived = survival to discharge or transfer based on number of runs.
### Cardiac Complications (0-30 days)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>No. Reported</th>
<th>% Reported</th>
<th>No. Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhagic: Cannulation site bleeding</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular: Hypertension requiring vasodilators</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Cardiac Complications (31 days and < 1 year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>No. Reported</th>
<th>% Reported</th>
<th>No. Survived</th>
<th>% Survived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical: Cannula problems</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhagic: Disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal: Hemofiltration required</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular: Inotropes on ECLS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular: Hypertension requiring vasodilators</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary: Pulmonary hemorrhage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infectious: Culture proven infection (see Infections)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac Complications (1 year and &lt; 16 years)</td>
<td>No. Reported</td>
<td>% Reported</td>
<td>No. Survived</td>
<td>% Survived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhagic: Cannulation site bleeding</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal: CAVHD required</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular: Inotropes on ECLS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular: Myocardial stun by echo</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic: Hyperbilirubinemia (&gt; 2 direct or &gt; 15 total)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Abstract

Objective: Study the effect of manikin training on existing ECLS curriculum topics. It is hypothesized that manikin-based simulation training results in better advanced pediatric care skills acquisition and manikin survival than didactic training alone.

Summary Background Data: Manikin based simulations have been mainstays in medical education for many years, with less advanced manikins utilized in certification courses like Basic Life Support (BLS). Simulators are recognized by the Accreditation Council of Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) as a valid method in assessing clinical competency (ACGME Toolbox, 2000). To date, no manikin training has been integrated into the ECLS curriculum.

Methods: A total of 25 medical professionals have been enrolled to participate in a manikin-based simulation training curriculum. The subjects went through an online web-based didactic training as well as a hands-on manikin training.

Results: With p < .05 results indicate that the Manikin-Based Simulation Training for the ECLS Training Curriculum can be used effectively to improve advanced pediatric care skills acquisition. A post-training learner survey has shown strong learner satisfaction.

Overview

With the recent introduction of a computerized infant manikin, SimBaby (SimBaby ™, Laerdal), the opportunity now exists to improve on the current educational curriculum and apply manikin-based simulation technology to ECLS training. With proper development and evaluation, simulation modules can supplement current training, and potentially replace other parts of the curriculum in the future.

Manikin based simulations have been mainstays in medical education for many years, with less advanced manikins utilized in certification courses like Basic Life Support (BLS). Simulators are recognized by the Accreditation Council of Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) as a valid method in assessing clinical competency (ACGME Toolbox, 2000). The application of advanced computer technology with anatomic, physiologic, and pharmacologic realism has increased the applicability for manikins to provide advanced simulation training in many areas of acute care medicine. For many years, physicians have depended on bedside teaching to impart knowledge to trainees. This concept has become less popular because of concern over patient safety, as well as it being difficult to have a representative patient available for each diagnosis.
The ethical imperative to use simulation in training whenever possible is an important concept, as patients are protected instead of being commodities in training (Ziv et al, 2003). As such, simulation training provides an important and well-recognized bridge between the textbook and the bedside.

Simulation is also important because it is has repeatability and can be standardized. In this way, multiple trainees can receive the same educational experience again and again until concepts are learned and performance is optimal, and is less dependent on having highly qualified physician specialists for training learners, as there is no risk to the manikin as there would be to a patient. Finally, simulation is readily available for longitudinal training. It has been shown that learned skills such as cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) can deteriorate as early as 2 weeks after training, both in lay persons and in medical personnel (Wright et al, 1989; Kay et al, 1986), with skills equaling pre-assessment baseline at 6-months for physicians and nurses (Gass and Curry, 1983). Frequent retraining is essential for infrequently performed procedures like CPR, and simulation training provides an opportunity to train and retrain so skills can be maintained.

Manikin simulations will be a significant new enhancement to ECLS training, with a literature search revealing no articles on the use of manikin simulators for ECLS. The animal labs primarily train for pump and circuit management, but there is no good current model to demonstrate and manage patient physiology for ECLS. The physiologic changes in the pre-ECLS state and physiologic changes while under ECLS can be modeled in the manikin, and thus applied to the existing ECLS training curriculum. This follows the ATLS model, where manikins can be used as a valuable learning tool to improve established training curricula. The manikin will assist trainees to recognize, diagnose, and manage these physiologic changes in a simulated, life-like environment, bridging the educational gap between didactics in the textbook/classroom to the bedside.

**Materials**

The University of Pittsburgh Medical Center and its Winter Institute for Simulation Education and Research (WISER) have extensive experience in curriculum development and integration of simulation technologies into curricula. WISER developed a combined on-line didactic curriculum followed by a manikin-based, simulation training program for ECLS providers to use to augment animal lab training and clinical experience. The training program will allow providers to become more familiar with patient physiology prior to and during an ECLS run and allow them to identify and manage certain physiologic states more readily. Such a training system has not been established in the past and could eventually serve not only the Hawaii ECLS community, but the ECLS community in its entirety.

WISER has designed a curriculum development model that will be used for this part of this project and for other pieces of curriculum development within this project. This curriculum development piece involves a comprehensive 181-step plan from project initiation to final deliverable product. The training curriculum (ECMO Specialist Manual) will be augmented with the manikin as discussed above in the following areas:
1. Cardiorespiratory status in the pre-ECMO state
2. ECMO physiology
3. Cardiac changes during ECMO
4. Management of the neonate on ECMO
5. Management of the pediatric ECMO patient
6. ECLS for the cardiac patient
7. Bedside nursing care
8. ECMO specialist responsibilities
9. Preparing patient for transport

See Figures 1 and 2 below for the manikin setup.

![Figure 1 – Manikin Setup](image1)
![Figure 2 – Manikin Interaction](image2)

To assess the impact of simulation training on learning, the model for assessment and training developed and validated at WISER is utilized (DeVita et al, 2005). In this model, all learners have initial web-based didactic training, followed by live didactics. This includes video and live familiarization with the manikin. After didactics, the manikin training and assessment will be performed.
**Subjects and Methodology**

The model for assessment and training developed and validated at WISER will be utilized (DeVita et al, 2005). All learners will have initial web-based didactic training (within thirty days prior to live training), followed by summary live didactics on the day of training. This includes video and live familiarization with the manikin. Disease conditions and a set of 25 specific skills to be acquired and demonstrated on the manikin will be described during didactics, as well as portrayed through video demonstrations. These skills will be defined specifically as the curriculum is developed, but will include the following as an example: circulatory assessment, respiratory assessment, intubation, manual ventilatory assistance, chest compressions. These will be replicated in each of 5 different cased-based scenarios (respiratory failure secondary to meconium aspiration, cardiorespiratory failure secondary to congenital heart defect (moderate and severe), congenital diaphragmatic hernia, and cardiorespiratory failure secondary to septic shock). Trainees will be randomized to receive 3 of the 5 scenarios, so there will be no repeats of scenarios for learners, and all will be distributed equally between first, second, and third episodes. After didactics, the initial assessment will be made on the manikin, and the number of skills performed correctly recorded, as well as whether the manikin survived. The second and third assessment will then follow, and the differences in outcomes between the three different episodes will be compared.

**Subjects:** All physicians, nurses, and perfusionists can participate in the curriculum. Clearance will be obtained from their institution/supervisors as needed so that there is no coercion to participate in the study. Hawaii, Pittsburgh, and San Antonio providers will be asked to participate.

**Procedures:** Once learners have enrolled in the course, they are given the URL for the Curriculum website and general instructions for registering. Once a participant has registered, they will be asked if they are participating in the evaluation and have not taken the pre-test. Once they give a “yes” response, they will be automatically directed to a pre-test to assess their knowledge prior to experiencing the modules. The test will be multiple-choice items covering information contained in the curriculum modules. Questions from each module’s post-test will be randomly selected for inclusion in the pre-test. Following completion of the pre-test, each learner will complete the modules.

Once a learner has reviewed the modules and feels comfortable with the information, he/she will be instructed to complete the two questionnaires associated with that module. A link will be provided on the contents page and on the conclusions page that will direct the learner to the questionnaires. The first is a “reaction” questionnaire to measure a learner’s level of satisfaction with the modules (See Sample Survey, Supplemental Documents). Following completion of the reaction questionnaire, each learner will answer multiple choice questions on the content of each module they just reviewed (See Sample Post-Test, Supplemental Documents). A score of 85% will be considered a sufficient passing score. It is estimated that it will require 1 hour to review each module and complete the associated questionnaires. This same process will be repeated with each module. The on-line modules consist of general cognitive knowledge, as well as the specific skills that will need to be acquired on the manikin, and provides pre-training for these specific skills.
On the day of training, summary didactics and manikin familiarity training will be provided. The manikin assessments will then be conducted as described above. The number of endpoints that are obtained in each assessment session will be recorded, in addition to survival of the manikin. The comparison of results between assessment episodes will be studied, to examine the effect of manikin training in acquiring skills and in preventing infant (manikin) mortality.

Twenty subjects are required for statistical significance, but up to 25 can complete both the online and/or manikin training. This permits some attrition in subjects between the web-based and manikin based curricula, should some subjects not complete the full program.

**Online Web-based Didactic Training** (Figure 3)

Website includes the following pages:
1. Start page – Register, CME Statement, IRB Informational Flyer
2. Pre-test
3. Modules with embedded test questions
4. Reaction survey

![Figure 3 – Web-based Didactic Training](image)

**Manikin Training** (Figure 4)

Website includes the following pages:
1. CME Statement, IRB Informational Flyer
2. Learner Satisfaction Survey
3. Curriculum Evaluation

![Figure 4 - Manikin Training](image)

The manikin training is described in the *Facilitator Handbook*. Please have a look at Appendix A for details.

**Measures**

The data collected during the study allows answering the following research questions.

1. **Does task completion improve due to manikin training?** The design is a repeated
measures analysis of task completion (yes or no) between episode #1 and episode #2, with an effect size difference of 30% being investigated. This difference is based on WISER’s previous research (DeVita et al, 2005), which demonstrated that task completion due to the effect of training was improved most between training sessions #1 and #2.

2. **Manikin Survival?** Does manikin survival improve due to manikin training?

3. **Learner Satisfaction?** Learner satisfaction surveys will be conducted post-training to determine learner satisfaction.

4. **Curriculum Evaluation.** A survey will also be completed for the combined educational curriculum as a whole.

**Results**

A total of 25 medical professionals have been recruited for the manikin study all of which have completed the online web-based didactic training session. 20 of which have completed the manikin portion of the study. Data from 2 participants have been excluded because of missing data points. The other 18 datasets have been analyzed in SPSS; the learner satisfaction survey and curriculum evaluation was completed by 17 participants. The results are listed below.

**Research Question Q1)**

A repeated measures analysis has been performed for scenarios 1-3. Please consider Table 1 for detail. With p < .05 we reject the Null Hypothesis. Results indicate that task completion has improved for repeated training sessions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type III Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sphericity Assumed</td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>.230</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.115</td>
<td>4.620</td>
<td>.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenhouse-Geisser</td>
<td>.230</td>
<td>1.654</td>
<td>.139</td>
<td>4.620</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huynh-Feldt</td>
<td>.230</td>
<td>1.809</td>
<td>.127</td>
<td>4.620</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower-bound</td>
<td>.230</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.230</td>
<td>4.620</td>
<td>.046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error(Training)</td>
<td>Sphericity Assumed</td>
<td>.845</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>.025</td>
<td>.4620</td>
<td>.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenhouse-Geisser</td>
<td>.845</td>
<td>28.112</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>4.620</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huynh-Feldt</td>
<td>.845</td>
<td>30.757</td>
<td>.027</td>
<td>4.620</td>
<td>.027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower-bound</td>
<td>.845</td>
<td>17.000</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>4.620</td>
<td>.027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1 – Results Table for Manikin Training (Repeated Measure, Training)*

Figure 5 shows the improvement in scores for task completion over scenarios 1-3. Note that 1.00 is the maximum score possible (100% correctness). On average, a passing grade of 80% was reached for scenarios 2 and 3.
Research Question Q2)

A passing score of 80% is used to determine the survival of a manikin. This permits the student to pass if no more than 1 critical event is missed, with 6 or 7 critical events identified per scenario. The student fails if the manikin does not survive by missing 2 or more critical events.

With $p < .05$ we reject the Null Hypothesis (see Table 2). Results indicate that manikin survival significantly improved for repeated training sessions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>MEASURE 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sphericity Assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greenhouse-Geisser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Huynh-Feldt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower-bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type III Sum of Squares</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>1.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>4.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.963</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2– Results Table for Manikin Training (Repeated Measure, Survival)

Figure 6 shows manikin survival over scenarios 1-3. On average, a passing grade of 80% was reached for scenario 3.
Research Question Q3
The results of the Learner Survey are listed below. The survey has received favorable scores validating the relevance of the manikin training materials covered.

1. The material covered was relevant to my duties.
   n=17

2. The course objectives were adequately explained.
   n=17
3. The module was well organized.

n=17

4. The material was presented in an interesting way.

n=17

5. The module communicated the material effectively.

n=17
6. The audiovisual effects were effective.

- 6% selected 1
- 18% selected 2
- 24% selected 3
- 52% selected 4

n=17

7. I can apply much of the material to my clinical practice.

- 6% selected 1
- 24% selected 2
- 24% selected 3
- 65% selected 4

n=17

8. As the module progressed, my questions were answered.

- 6% selected 1
- 28% selected 2
- 28% selected 3
- 59% selected 4

n=17
Research Question Q4)

The results for the curriculum evaluation are listed below. The curriculum evaluation questions were previously validated by 360 doctors for 17 CME presentations and have shown to be reliable with as few as 8 evaluations per CME course (Wood et al, 2005).

All questions except 4, 5, and 7 have an average score of 4.0 or higher on the 5-point Likert scale. The lower score for those three questions can be explained by the focus of the manikin study. The manikin training is geared towards practical application in a clinical environment rather than literature review, data analysis or ethics.
1. To what extent were you satisfied with the quality of the presentations in this series?

- 1 = Very Low
- 2 = Moderate
- 3 = Very High

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Blank</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. To what extent were the topics in this series relevant to your professional activities (clinical...)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Blank</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. To what degree did this series enhance your knowledge of issues related to clinical care research...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Blank</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. To what degree did this series enhance your ability to use the biomedical literature and best...n = 12

5. To what degree did this series enhance your ability to provide ethical and culturally sensitive...n = 12

6. To what degree did this series enhance your ability to communicate with your patients and/...n = 12
7. To what degree did this series enhance your ability to use health care data and other evidence to...

n=12

8. To what degree did this series improve your ability to work within the clinical or academic environment...

n=12

9. To what degree was this content of this series scientifically rigorous, unbiased and balanced?

n=12
Discussion

The manikin study demonstrated that Manikin-Based Simulation Training can be used effectively to improve advanced pediatric care skills acquisition, with statistical improvement between sessions (p < .05). There was no discernible improvement between Session 2 and Session 3 (Figure 5). This is similar to the results reported by DeVita et al., with the greatest improvements between the first and second training session. This implies that only two training sessions may be necessary. However, with respect to manikin survival, Session 3 (89% with passing score) was necessary for the group to have an overall passing score ≥ 80% (Figure 6), as compared to lower passing rates in Session 1 (56%) and Session 2 (78%).

The number of repetitive training scenarios per a single training session is an important issue. Training time is limited by both competing curricula and regulations for student training time (e.g., 80 hour work week for resident physicians). This limits the amount of time for any type of training. If only one or two practice sessions are necessary, eliminating a third or fourth session will save time for trainees and be more cost effective for the institution. However, it is unknown how many sessions should be accomplished once a plateau in training outcomes is reached for a given training session. How repetition impacts skills retention over short and long-term time
periods and satisfaction of the learners are important issues that remain largely unanswered. This could be an area to evaluate with future research.

Manikin simulation has several advantages compared to standard didactic learning. Current simulation technology allows for the simultaneous integration of cognitive and procedural skills. Full-scale human simulators have realistic functions such as breathing, heart and lung sounds, and palpable pulses. Procedures can be performed on these manikins, such as intubation, chest tube placement, and intravenous or central catheter placements. They have realistic physiologic monitoring parameters including heart rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure, temperature, and pulse oximetry that are displayed on a monitor as they would for a similarly monitored patient. Pharmacologic interventions are also accomplished, with exact doses and the related physiologic reactions experienced by the manikin and viewed on the monitor.

These advanced functions allow manikins to be programmed to simulate multiple clinical scenarios and respond to therapeutic interventions. For example, the infant simulator can be programmed to represent a neonate with severe shock, including decreased pulses, high heart rate, and low blood pressure. The trainee will be able to assimilate their physical exam findings with vital signs and recognize a neonate in severe shock. The trainee can then make a therapeutic decision and execute treatment on the manikin. The ability of trainees to recognize, evaluate, and execute treatment with simulation makes this educational tool particularly suited for ECLS education, both in the pre-ECLS and post-ECLS arena.

In pre-ECLS situations, the key is recognition of the critically ill patient with shock and/or sepsis who requires ECLS as well as optimizing the clinical status of this patient. Early recognition and treatment of the critically ill neonate is vital to survival. Han et al looked at mortality of pediatric/neonatal patients in shock being treated by community physicians. The study demonstrated that if shock was reversed, patients had a 96% survival rate; but for each hour of persistent shock, there was a > 2-fold increase in mortality. For Hawaii and Pacific Rim physicians, simulation will give them the opportunity to recognize that the patient is in shock, and then provide the tool to train on management techniques to stabilize the patient towards recovery, or to be prepared for ECLS referral if the patient’s condition does not improve. Pre-ECLS patient scenarios can be practiced multiple times in a simulated environment, where trainees can learn and errors do not cause patient morbidity or mortality.
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Appendix A – Facilitator Handbook

Facilitator Handbook
Please do not remove

ASSESSMENT AND INTERVENTION FOR PEDIATRIC PATIENTS IN EMERGENCY SITUATIONS (AI PED EM)

By clicking this hyperlink, you will be re-directed to the Hanuola ECMO Program of Hawaii website.

1. Please click Register if you are first time user to the Hanuola website.
2. If you have already created an account in the Hanuola website, please enter the username and password.
3. If you have any questions, please email help@simtiki.org or call administrator Cami Mikami @ 808-692-1085, or Simulation Specialist, Kris Hara @ 692-1096.

http://www.tri.jabsom.hawaii.edu/manikinstudy/

1. complete Hanuola website
2. manikin scenarios
3. simtiki survey/evals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SURVEYS/EVALUATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VIEW SURVEY/EVALUATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-class Survey: Learner Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-class CME Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-class Nursing CEU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-instructions from Facilitator to Student
Assessment and Interventions for the Critically Ill Patient Evaluation

Manikin Introduction
Manikin Introduction (sim specialist will assist)
Manikin sounds
breath & heart tones
pulses
airway – don’t sweep the tongue
pupils
B/P cuff, oximeter, electrodes attached. Cycle B/P by request
Equipment available

Facilitator Script
1. Welcome to the Assessment and Interventions for the Critically Ill Pediatric Patient Evaluation study. Thank you for helping us evaluate the educational effectiveness of the modules and the simulation experience. This is NOT an evaluation of your abilities. Your performance is confidential and will not be shared.
2. You will be acting in the role of a responder in a triage treatment area. Someone has just placed cardio-respiratory monitors on the patient.
3. Each scenario is 6 minutes.
4. I will be your assistant. There are some tasks that you'll initiate (like bagging the patient) then I will take over. If there are tasks you feel need to be done, but are not familiar with (for example intubation), ask for help, but I may ask you to choose the equipment and put it at the bedside for me.
5. You can ask me questions at anytime. I may or may not have the answers. I might ask you a question to clarify your thought process.
6. Ask me if you need an updated blood pressure reading. The blood pressure will not automatically cycle.
7. Please “think out loud” during the simulation session. Verbalize what you’re doing, the physical findings you are seeing. Say what you think is going on with the patient.
8. Once the scenario is completed, I will debrief you and we’ll learn together. Please do not discuss the specifics of the scenarios outside of this room. This will keep the experience fresh for other participants.
9. Remember, you are not in the hospital. You are one of the first responders to the scene or triage tent. There is no lab available. The equipment you see is all we have.
10. RELAX! This is a learning experience for all of us and we want you to have FUN with the challenge!

Notes:
1. If there are additional checklist items to be done, the sim specialist will continue the scenario to the 6-minute time limit.
2. Allow the participant to begin BVM so the manikin can capture the rate. The sim specialist will let you know when to take over BVM.
3. Allow the participant to choose the intubation supplies: ETT, stylet, blade.
4. If you are utilizing a walkie-talkie to communicate with the sim specialist, please
remember to press the button on your headset a second before you speak.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Pre course material</th>
<th>POST course material</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Witnessed accidentally dropped by mother down a flight of stairs. The patient has been put on a cardiorespiratory monitor and pulse oximetry.

Verbal information given to trainee if asked:
- Infant weight is 5 kg
- No significant past medical history
- Infant is flaccid
- Infant is unresponsive to deep pain
- Infant is non-verbal
- Infant pupils are non-reactive
- Infant capillary refill is 5 seconds
- Infant is cool with mottled extremities
- Infant hematocrit is 18
- Infant CBG give you pH of 7.10 and base deficit of -12

Facilitator information to give to trainee:
When asked, infant has hematoma, abrasions to right posterior occiput.
2 – Blast IED Injury.sce

**Verbal information given to trainee if asked:**
- Teen weight is 50 kg
- No significant past medical history
- Teen is unresponsive to deep pain
- Teen is non-verbal
- Teen has responsive pupils
- Teen capillary refill is 4 seconds
- Teen is cool with mottled extremities
- Teen hematocrit is 16

Infant ABG give you pH of 7.12 and base deficit of -10

**Facilitator information to give to trainee:**
When asked there is profuse blood loss from amputated left lower limb.
3 – Abdominal Trauma SimTiki

**Verbal information given to trainee if asked:**
- Child weight is 10 kg
- No significant past medical history
- Child is unresponsive to deep pain
- Child is non-verbal
- Child left pupil is non-reactive
- Child capillary refill is 4 seconds
- Child is cool with mottled extremities
- Child hematocrit is 26
- Child CBF reads a pH of 7.12 and base deficit of -10 and all else is unreadable

**Facilitator information to give to trainee:**
- Trainee should choose a 4.0 or 4.5 ETT but must use a 3.5 ETT to intubate manikin because of manikin airway size
- When asked the abdomen is getting more tense and there is discoloration over the left lower flank

- seat belt bruise
- Rear seat passenger in MVC restrained by lap belt and not in car safety seat. The patient has been put on a cardiorespiratory monitor and pulse oximetry.
Verbal information given to trainee if asked:
- Child weight is 12 kg
- No significant past medical history
- Child is unresponsive to deep pain
- Child is non-verbal
- Child left pupil is non-reactive
- Child capillary refill is 4 seconds
- Child is cool with mottled extremities
- Child hematocrit is 26
- Child CBG reads a pH of 7.12 and base deficit of -10 and all else is unreadable

Facilitator information to give to trainee:
- Trainee should choose a 4.0 or 4.5 ETT but must use a 3.5 ETT to intubate manikin because of manikin airway size
- When asked the left femur deformity is getting larger and more tense
5 – Burn Simtiki.scb

Verbal information given to trainee if asked:
Child weight is 8 kg
No significant past medical history
Child is unresponsive to deep pain
Child is crying hoarsely
Child pupils are reactive
Child capillary refill is 4 seconds
Child is cool with mottled extremities

Facilitator information to give to trainee:
Trainee should choose a 4.0 or 4.5 ETT but must use a 3.5 ETT to intubate manikin because of manikin airway size
Clothing
Camo uniform fabric under manikins to help our clinicians disengage from hospital based mentality.
Street clothes
1 – Brain Injury SimTiki w/ abrasion to right posterior occiput
2 – Blast IED Injury w/ amputated leg and ‘bloody’ pants, towel under leg.
3 – Abdominal Trauma SimTiki w/ seatbelt sign – either makeup or red cello
4 – Motor Vehicle Simtiki – red blood (red ace bandage piece) Right ear, Left leg twisted
5 – Burn Simtiki – red cello on back, black smudge around nasal, oral area

SimBaby Equipment List:
BVM (self inflating)
CO2 detector
Laryngoscope handle
Blades: Miller 0,1, 2, Mac 3,4
ETT: 3, 3.5, 4, 4.5, 5
Baby & adult stylet
Non-rebreather mask, cannula
500ml Nacl IV bag
1 liter NaCl IV bag
IO
IV tubing
IV insertion kit
Cervical collar or similar blanket

SimMan Equipment List:
BVM appropriate for manikin size
CO2 detector
Laryngoscope handle
Blades: Miller 0,1, 2, Mac 3,4
ETT: 5, 6, 7
Baby & adult stylet
OPA
NPA
Non-rebreather mask, cannula
500ml Nacl IV bag
1 liter NaCl IV bag
IO
IV tubing
IV insertion kit
Cervical collar
Tourniquet (either military or bandage)
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Abstract

Objective: Validation of ECMOjo, a computer simulator and trainer for extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO). Execute a study to compare ECMOjo training with conventional didactic classroom training.

Summary Background Data: The ECMOjo computer simulator has been built by a development team of ECMO experts, medical practitioners and software engineers. No previous research data is available as to ECMOjo's suitability for simulation and training. ECMO practitioners currently undergo standard didactic training coupled with laboratory training to learn the mechanics of ECMO.

Methods: A total of 51 medical professionals were enrolled to participate in an ECMO skills acquisition study. Subjects were randomized into two groups. One group doing conventional classroom learning and the other training on ECMOjo over the same period. Both groups were assessed using three wet-lab scenarios after their training, with wet-lab results compared between groups.

Results: No significant difference has been observed between training on ECMOjo and a conventional classroom session. Results indicate ECMOjo and classroom learning are both equivalent for training of ECMO.

Materials

ECMOjo is a computer simulator that visualizes ECMO circuit components including associated medical variables, and allows manipulation of the circuit. The advantages of the virtual ECMO circuit over high fidelity simulators include: availability of real-time circuit data (e.g. flows, SvO2, Pre- and Post-membrane gas) and laboratory data, the ability to manipulate circuit components in reaction to clinical circumstances, the integration of ECMO circuit and patient variables, and the potential for wide-spread availability as a computer-based educational tool.

The test model has been built by a development team from the Telehealth Research Institute (TRI), part of the John A. Burns School of Medicine (JABSOM) in collaboration with medical practitioners and ECMO experts from Kapi‘olani Medical Center’s Hanuola ECMO Center in Hawaii. The source and build files are open-source and available via SourceForge.net under the project “ECMOjo”.

Figures 1 and 2 show screenshots of the current version of ECMOjo.

![Figure 1 - Interactive GUI screen](image1.png)

![Figure 2 – Scenario Selection Screen](image2.png)

**Subjects and Methodology**

Figure 3 summarizes the study’s research design and methods. ECMOjo study participants initially fill out a demographic survey and go through the pre-assessment and conduct a circuit check in the wet lab. Following the pre-assessment, subjects are randomized into one of two groups. One group (comparison) continuing with conventional classroom learning, and the other (experimental) training on ECMOjo over the same time period. The training scenarios used in ECMOjo are also used for the classroom learning of the comparison group, and contain the skills that are assessed during the wet lab. By keeping the content the same, ECMOjo could be isolated as the learning variable. Please have a look at Appendix A for ECMOjo scenarios utilized during the study.

Following these interventions, skills are assessed in the wet-lab. The wet lab consisted of 3 scenarios, with 10 skills (appropriate interventions) evaluated in each scenario. Additionally, both groups complete a reaction questionnaire and learning environment questionnaire that includes self-efficacy, before and after the wet-lab assessment.
Figure 3 - Study Design
Please have a look at Appendix B for the Pre-Assessment Circuit Check as well as the Post-Assessment Wet-Lab scenarios.

**Measures**

The data collected during the study allows evaluation of the following research questions.

5. The most significant research question being investigated in this study is whether ECMOjo training improves the acquisition of ECMO skills over conventional classroom learning, as assessed in performance during wet-lab training. In the comparison between the ECMOjo group and didactic training group, a nonparametric test for correlation on paired data, Pearson’s Chi-square analysis, will be used. A chi-square probability of .05 or less is will be justification for rejecting the null hypothesis that there is no difference between the two groups as assessed in the wet-lab evaluation.

6. To analyze whether iterative training exposures will result in a measurable change in self-efficacy, as compared between the ECMOjo group and classroom learning group, the overall score for each LEQ scale will be presented as the total score of the items in the scale. Higher scores will indicate more positive answers.

7. To analyze whether experts will perform better than novices on ECMOjo, Pearson’s Chi-square analysis, will be used. A chi-square probability of .05 or less is will be justification for rejecting the null hypothesis that there is no difference between the novices and experts as assessed in the number of correct skill completed in the ECMOjo scenarios.

8. To analyze whether ECMOjo performance will correlate with wet-lab performance, Pearson’s r will be used. This statistic will measure the linear relationship between ECMOjo performance and wet-lab performance (i.e. does performance on ECMOjo predict performance in the wet-lab). The statistical significance of r, a p-value of less than .05, will be used to determine if there is a statistically significant relationship between ECMOjo and wet-lab performances.

**Results**

A total of 51 medical professionals have been recruited for the ECMOjo skills acquisition study from hospitals that host ECMO centers. From the 51 datasets collected, 7 have been excluded because of (a) non-medical personnel, (b) missing data, and (c) problems during data recording such as interruption (e.g., beeper) or lack of attention. The following were excluded: 5 non-specialists in the ECMOjo group and 2 non-specialists in the lecture group. Forty-four datasets
have been analyzed in SPSS. The results are listed below. Twenty-four out of the 44 participants were ECMO specialists. The participants were randomly assigned to either the ECMOjo or lecture group, with an equal number of experts and novices assigned per group. For the 44 analyzed datasets, there were 13 ECMO specialists and 7 non-specialists that underwent ECMOjo training, and 12 ECMO specialists and 12 non-specialists that were assigned to the lecture group.

Table 1 displays the overall demographic of the people that enrolled in the research study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nurses</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory Therapists</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfusionists</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physicians</td>
<td>10 (Fellows: 6, Faculty: 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 – Demographics

**Research Question Q1**

The analysis of the wet-lab post-assessment between the two training modalities shows a chi-square probability higher than .05. We therefore accept the null hypothesis: there is no difference in training between ECMOjo and didactic classroom training (Figure 4).

Figure 4 depicts the average scores for the final wet-lab assessment after training with either didactic lecture (blue) or ECMOjo (orange). The maximum possible score per scenario is 1.0 (=100%). Each participant went through three scenarios, so the maximum possible **Total** score is 3.0. **Error** represents the error score/discouraged intervention per scenario (0 = no error, 1 = one or more critical errors).

Please note, although the ECMOjo group made less errors in the wet-lab assessment, the error rate was not found to be statistically significant.
Research Question Q2)

A repeated measures analysis of Learning Environment Questionnaire (LEQ) scores yields differences $p < .05$ for all LEQ questions except question 1 and 8. Scores have been recorded as equal or higher in all cases. Please consider Figure 6 for details.

![Figure 5 – Wet-Lab Assessment Scores (Lecture vs. ECMOjo)](image)

**Figure 5 – Wet-Lab Assessment Scores (Lecture vs. ECMOjo)**

**Figure 6 – Repeated Measure LEQ (Training)**

Figures 7 and 8 depict the pre-and post training LEQ scores for classroom training and training on ECMOjo.
Research Question Q3)

No difference in performance between novices and experts has been observed for training on ECMOjo. A participant has been determined an expert based on (i) primary specialty and/or (ii) years being an ECMO practitioner.

For the 44 analyzed datasets, there were 13 ECMO specialists, and 7 non-specialists that underwent ECMOjo training, and 12 ECMO specialists and 12 non-specialists that were assigned to the lecture group (See Figures 9 - 12 for details). No statistically significant differences were observed, although ECMOjo specialists had higher scores for the wet lab assessment. Results indicate that there is no difference in training for both novices and experts.
Figure 9 – ECMO Specialists vs. Non-ECMO Specialists (Statistics)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>.398</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.398</td>
<td>2.606</td>
<td>.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>6.407</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>.153</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6.805</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.606</td>
<td>.114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10 – Performance for Years ECMO (Statistics)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>2.450</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.175</td>
<td>1.165</td>
<td>.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>4.355</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>.150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6.805</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.165</td>
<td>.350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11 – ECMO Specialists vs. Non-ECMO Specialists (Graph)
Research Question Q4)
No statistically significant relationship between ECMOjo and wet-lab performances has been found. Please review Figure 13 for details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Chi-Square</td>
<td>20.333</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood Ratio</td>
<td>40.542</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear-by-Linear Association</td>
<td>1.339</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of Valid Cases</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion
Results for the ECMO skills acquisition study indicate that ECMOjo is equivalent in training when compared to standard didactic classroom learning. No differences have been observed between groups trained with ECMOjo versus participants trained in the classroom. Also, ECMOjo has been shown to train both novices and experts equally.

We believe ECMOjo is a valuable addition to current state-of-the-art ECMO training practices. ECMOjo is a computer-based simulation model that can provide important cognitive skills.
training and practice that is not possible in a classroom setting. With equality between ECMOjo and didactic training, it can be utilized instead of didactic training prior to the wet-lab experience. One of the postulated benefits of ECMOjo that can be explored with future research is to practice and train more difficult clinical and pump scenarios for ECMO providers. The simulator makes this possible. This would be more difficult to teach in a classroom setting. Future research could help to define the benefit of ECMOjo for more advanced training scenarios.

ECMOjo is postulated to reduce the need for animal laboratories for trained ECMO providers, who only require refresher training. The simulation can be run on any standard computing platform, including a PC or Macintosh computer, increasing access to training and likely improving patient care outcomes overall. ECMOjo should not replace but complement classroom-based, wet-lab, and animal-lab training. This study demonstrated that it can be used in place of didactic lecture, with no difference in learning outcomes for trained and untrained providers.

**Conclusion**

ECMOjo is an advanced simulator with anatomic, physiologic, and pharmacologic realism. The application has increased the applicability for medical simulations to provide advanced training in many areas of acute care medicine. For many years, physicians have depended on bedside patient interaction to impart knowledge to trainees. This concept has become less popular for initial training, with increased concerns over patient safety, and the difficulty of having a representative patient available for each diagnosis. The applicability of the simulator for ECMO training for low-volume centers is evident, especially where there is limited bedside experience. Likewise, the ethical imperative to use simulation in training whenever possible is an important concept, as patients are protected instead of being commodities in training.

ECMOjo has been developed as an open source project and is available on SourceForge.net for download without charge. Both source code as well as binaries for end-users are available on the web site.
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Appendix A – ECMOjo Scenarios

ECMOjo Scenario – The circuit check

Description: The circuit check is to ensure the integrity of the circuit and to catch problems early or real time. This simulation represents a generic circuit and may not include all of the components used at your center.

Non default settings: none

4. The purpose of the circuit check is to ensure the integrity of the circuit and to catch problems early!
5. The check involves a close scrutiny of the circuit components from arterial to venous cannulas.
6. Find the arterial cannula at the patient’s neck
7. Follow the arterial limb of the circuit tubing. This is where you check the connectors for clots, air, leaks, fibrin strands.
8. Find the oxygenator. This is where you check for air, clots/fibrin, secure gas line connection, open gas vent port, secure water heater connections, secure pressure/sample port connections, integrity.
9. Click on the Gas Blender
10. This is where you would check the gas source for function and connections to wall air and oxygen. Also check carbon dioxide or carbogen source if applicable.
11. Another part of the circuit check, is inspecting the connectors, stopcocks, and pigtailts for cracks, leaks, clots/fibrin, air, security.
12. Click on the Pressure Monitor
13. Here you will verify pre and post membrane pressures and the transmembrane pressure. Inspect pressure transducers and cables.
14. Continue to inspect tubing between the oxygenator and the pump for kinks, clots/fibrin.
15. Continue on to the pump head or raceway, to check for air, function, sound, clots/fibrin, integrity.
16. Click on the Pump Control
17. This is where you would check the pump console for proper function, flow rate, RPMs, alarms, power source, battery supply.
18. Click on the Heater Controls
19. Check heater for proper function, alarms, water bath temperature, temperature set point, patient blood temp, patient temperature, power source, reservoir level, lines to oxygenator.
20. Continue to inspect venous line tubing, connectors, stopcock, pigtailts, bladder for cracks, leaks, clots/fibrin, air, security.
21. Continue up to the venous cannula and check for position, bleeding, clots/fibrin, kinking, air, security to mattress.
22. Verify medications and volume infusions into the circuit.
23. And always make sure you have your tubing clamps and emergency back-up supplies.
ECMOjo Scenario – Coming off ECMO NOW!

Description: Steps to emergently come off of ECLS support.

Non default settings: none

10. ECMOism: “ECMO is 95% boredom, and 5% sheer terror”
11. You are now one elite 5% that will experience the terror of ECMO. If you can’t immediately see what’s causing all the alarms, what should you do?
12. A circuit check
13. Call for HELP!
14. You find the a catastrophic problem, and you must come off ECMO
15. Clamp the circuit?
   a. Roller pump = VBA (very bad accident)
      i. Clamp Venous
      ii. Unclamp Bridge
      iii. Clamp Arterial
   b. Centrifugal pump = ABV
      i. Clamp Arterial
      ii. Unclamp Bridge
      iii. Clamp Venous
16. Increase the ventilator settings for additional support in respiratory cases. Click “emergency vent” button. In the simulation world, handbagging or institution of the emergency settings is accomplished in a single step.
17. Resuscitate the patient as needed
18. Do you need a surgeon?
19. If it involves the cannulas, the answer is yes.

ECMOjo Scenario – 5% Terror Time Tutorials – Situation 1: Accidental Arterial Decannulation

Description: There are four situations that you should recognize for coming off of ECMO emergently.

Non default settings: Arterial Cannula Leak. Hypovolemia. VA.

4. The bedside nurse is performing her patient assessment and you notice that her ID badge is under the arterial cannula. She raises her head after using the stethoscope and her badge catches and pulls on the arterial cannula.
5. Notice what alarms are ringing and the changes in physiologic parameters.
   a. Blood dripping from neck
b. Hypovolemia variable: CVP low, P1 pressure negative, BP low, tachycardic, flow low, desaturation
6. You can’t handle this one by yourself. Call for help. ECMOism: “ECMO is a team effort”
7. At the bedside you would apply pressure to the cannulation site
8. Clamp the circuit ?
   c. Roller pump = VBA (very bad accident)
      i. Clamp Venous
      ii. Unclamp Bridge
      iii. Clamp Arterial
   d. Centrifugal pump = ABV
      i. Clamp Arterial
      ii. Unclamp Bridge
      iii. Clamp Venous
4. Increase the ventilator settings for additional support in respiratory cases. Click “emergency vent” button. In the simulation world, handbagging or institution of the emergency settings is accomplished in a single step.
9. Resuscitate the patient as needed
10. Don’t forget to call the surgeon for fix to cannula.

ECMOjo Scenario – 5% Terror Time Tutorials – Situation 2: Large blood loss from circuit.

Description: There are four situations that you should recognize for coming off of ECMO emergently.

Non default settings: Cracked Pigtail. Hypovolemia.

11. In the ICU, large blood loss can be caused by a broken stopcock or cracked pigtail. The component will need to be exchanged urgently.
12. Notice what alarms are ringing and the changes in physiologic parameters.
   a. Blood dripping from intervention site D.
   b. Hypovolemia: CVP low, P1 pressure negative, BP low, high HR, then low HR, flow low, desaturation
13. You can’t handle this one by yourself. Call for help.
11. Clamp the circuit ?
   c. Roller pump = VBA (very bad accident)
      i. Clamp Venous
      ii. Unclamp Bridge
      iii. Clamp Arterial
   d. Centrifugal pump = ABV
      i. Clamp Arterial
      ii. Unclamp Bridge
      iii. Clamp Venous
5. Increase the ventilator settings for additional support in respiratory cases. Click “emergency vent” button. In the simulation world, handbagging or institution of the
emergency settings is accomplished in a single step.
14. Resuscitate the patient as needed
15. Most times the stopcocks and pigtails will crack or break and create a small blood leak or minor venous air entrainment. The component will need to be exchanged under non-emergent controlled conditions.

ECMOjo Scenario – 5% Terror Time Tutorials – Situation 3: Pump Failure

Description: There are four situations that you should recognize for coming off of ECMO emergently.

Non default settings: Pump off.

5. Notice what alarms are ringing and the changes in physiologic parameters.
   a. Pump not turning, and pump control screen blank
   b. Low patient saturation, BP low, high HR, then low HR
6. You can’t handle this one by yourself. Call for help.
7. Clamp the circuit ?
   a. Roller pump = VBA (very bad accident)
      i. Clamp Venous
      ii. Unclamp Bridge
      iii. Clamp Arterial
   b. Centrifugal pump = ABV
      i. Clamp Arterial
      ii. Unclamp Bridge
      iii. Clamp Venous
8. Increase the ventilator settings for additional support in respiratory cases. Click “emergency vent” button. In the simulation world, handbagging or institution of the emergency settings is accomplished in a single step.
9. Resuscitate the patient as needed
10. At the bedside, consider using the handcrank until the problem is solved.

ECMOjo Scenario – 5% Terror Time Tutorials – Situation 4: Air in arterial limb of circuit

Description: There are four situations that you should recognize for coming off of ECMO emergently.


1. Notice what alarms are ringing and the changes in physiologic parameters.
   a. Bubble detector alarming
   b. Pump stopped
   c. Low patient saturation, BP low, high HR, then low HR
2. You can’t handle this one by yourself. Call for help.
3. Clamp the circuit 
   a. Roller pump = VBA (very bad accident)
      i. Clamp Venous
      ii. Unclamp Bridge
      iii. Clamp Arterial
   b. Centrifugal pump = ABV
      i. Clamp Arterial
      ii. Unclamp Bridge
      iii. Clamp Venous

4. Increase the ventilator settings for additional support in respiratory cases. Click “emergency vent” button. In the simulation world, handbagging or institution of the emergency settings is accomplished in a single step.

5. Resuscitate the patient as needed
6. Open the bridge to recirculate the air to the venous side
7. Reset the bubble detector
8. Restart the pump at the pump controls
9. What other areas of the circuit can lead to air in the arterial limb?
   a. 1) Venous limb of circuit: catastrophic introduction of venous air.
   b. 2) Oxygenator: fiber rupture of membrane.
   c. 3) Connectors: incomplete air removal during prime

**ECMOjo Scenario – Sweep Gases 1**

Description: Adjusting the FiO₂ delivered to the oxygenator.

Non default settings: None

1. Click on the gas blender
2. This device controls the gases delivered to the oxygenator.
3. Increase the level of oxygen to the membrane. (turn FiO₂ knob).
4. Notice the changes on the CDI monitor’s post membrane values.
5. The CDI PaO₂ increased
6. The CDI PO₂ increased, but the CDI sO₂ remains at 100%. The oxygen content CaO₂ of the blood exiting the membrane remains the same. Why?
7. The CaO₂ is a function of Hgb concentration and oxygen saturation. If the Hgb concentration stays the same, and oxygen saturation remains 100%, then the oxygen content should stay the same.
8. What happens to the patient’s saturations?
9. What is the best way to increase oxygen delivery? a) Increasing sweep gas flow to the oxygenator; b) increasing vent settings; or c) changing pump flow?
10. Oxygen delivery is a function of cardiac output and CaO₂. With VA ECMO, turn up the flow. Same with VV ECMO, except watch out for recirculation (more later)

**ECMOjo Scenario – Sweep Gases 2**
Adjusting the total sweep gas delivered to the oxygenator.

Non default settings: None

1. Click on the gas blender.
2. This device controls the gases delivered to the oxygenator.
3. Increase the total sweep gas to the membrane.
4. Notice the changes on the CDI monitor’s post membrane values
5. The CDI PaCO₂ decreased rapidly and pH increased.
6. The CDI PaO₂ and sO₂ remained unchanged.
7. What happens to the patient’s ABG?
8. Patient ABG PaCO₂ decreases, pH increases, and PaO₂ stays the same.
9. What is the best way to remove CO₂?
   a. increasing sweep gas flow to the oxygenator?
   b. increasing vent settings?
   c. changing pump flow?
10. CO₂ removal is a function of total sweep gas flow through the oxygenator. With VA and VV ECMO, turn up sweep gas flow to remove CO₂.

ECMOjo Scenario – Temperature Control

Description: Functions of the Heater

Non default settings: Heater broken (temp at 35 degrees)

1. Click on the heater control.
2. The heater unit is a very efficient way to maintain normothermia.
3. Due to the large tubing surface area, significant heat loss may result if the heater unit malfunctions.
4. With significant hypothermia, note the impact on some of the coagulation values.
5. A DIC picture emerges. The PT, PTT and ACT increases. Fibrinogen decreases.
6. If you suspect a heater failure, check the water tube connections, power cord attachment and temperature set point. If everything checks out okay, the unit may have failed. Replace the unit.
ECMOjo Scenario – VA ECMO Pump Flow

Description: Physiologic and ECMO variables impacted by VA pump flow

Non default settings: VA

Click on the pump control
Notice the pump is rotating. To simplify the simulation, the pump control panel only shows the flow rate without RPM.
Turn the knob to increase the pump flow.
What changes do you see when the pump flow is increased?
Patient: Blood pressure and SaO₂ increases. Mixed venous saturation increases.
Pressure Monitor: Venous pressure becomes more negative and pre and post membrane pressure increase

ECMOjo Scenario – VV ECMO Pump Flow

Description: Physiologic and ECMO variables impacted by VV pump flow

Non default settings: VV

1. Click on the pump control
2. Notice the pump is rotating. To simplify the simulation, the pump control panel only shows the flow rate without RPM.
3. Turn the knob to decrease the pump flow by 50 ml to approx 0.55. (rotate)
4. What changes do you see when the pump flow is decreased by 50 ml?
5. Some of the changes:
   a. Blood pressure minimal change
   b. P1 minimal change
   c. P2/P3 no change
   d. SaO₂ decreases,
   e. SvO₂ decreases
6. On VV, oxygen delivery is affect by flow. Less flow, less oxygen delivery. More flow, more oxygen delivery, but only up to a certain point (more later)
7. Turn the knob to increase the pump flow by 200 ml to approx 0.75. (rotate)
8. What changes do you see when the pump flow is increased significantly?
9. Some of the changes:
   a. Blood pressure minimal change
   b. P1 becomes more negative
   c. P2/P3 small increases
   d. SaO₂ decreases
   e. SvO₂ increases
10. What does this suggest?
11. Recirculation
ECMOjo Scenario – Circuit Failure

Description: Events associated with a circuit failure.

Non default settings: none

1. One of the purposes of a circuit check is to look for nasty clots growing silently in the circuit.
2. Where are the common areas for these clots to form?
3. Oxygenator, Heat exchanger (if applicable), Connectors, Bridge
4. Review the HEME and ACT lab values?
5. What lab values are concerning for circuit failure?
6. Decreases in platelets, fibrinogen. Increases in ACT, PTT, PT
7. You have given blood products but your lab values do not correct.
8. Looks like the circuit is consuming blood products. What would you recommend at this time?
9. Consider changing the circuit. Select the patient, and click on “Change circuit”
10. If your assessment was correct, the lab values will normalize.

ECMOjo Scenario – Air in the Venous Limb

Description: Actions to take when air is seen in the venous limb of the circuit.

Non default settings: Venous Bubbles. Quadrox D Oxygenator.

1. While performing your hourly circuit check you notice some air bubbles streaming down the venous side of the circuit.
2. What decision do you need to make immediately?
3. Is this a “Terror Time” moment? Or is there time to triage the situation and get coffee?
4. Let’s pretend that there isn’t much venous air. What sites are the most likely sources for venous air?
5. Most likely sources for venous air are:
   a. Entrainment air from venous catheter
   b. Open or cracked stopcock on the venous side
   c. Air introduced from medications infusing into the patient via a central venous line
6. After you have made sure the patient is safe, remove air from system.
7. Identify and fix cause, if possible

ECMOjo Scenario – Oxygenator Failure

Description: Identifying an oxygenator failure
Non default settings:  Sweep gas higher.  Sweep FiO₂ higher.  Lab-component-heme-* Higher Pre membrane pressure.  Higher Post membrane pressure.

1. The oxygenator can be a “black hole” for clotting factors.
2. This oxygenator is beginning to concern you.  Can you find the warning signs?
3. Pressure monitor changes:  A higher P2, leading to a higher transmembrane gradient
4. Heme Lab value changes:  Decreases in platelets, fibrinogen.  Increases in ACT, PTT, PT.
5. Post Membrane blood gases and sweep gas settings:
   a. Slight increases in post membrane PaO₂ and PaCO₂
   b. Increased sweep gas settings
      i.  Sweep to 2.3 liters
      ii.  FiO₂ to 85%
6. You have given blood products but your lab values do not correct.
7. Looks like there may be a clot in the oxygenator that is consuming your blood products.  What would you recommend at this time?
8. You strongly encourage an oxygenator change as soon as possible.  ECMOism: “There is no time like the present”
9. Click on patient, then the “change circuit” button.

**ECMOjo Scenario – Oxygenator Rupture**

Description:  Description of events that occur with a oxygenator rupture.  Non default settings: Oxygenator Component Broken.

1. TERROR TIME due to a ruptured membrane
2. Next time change the oxygenator before it is too late.

**ECMOjo Scenario – High Pre-Membrane Pressure**

Description:  Identifying the cause of a high pre-membrane pressure

Non default settings:  High Pre-membrane pressure

1. You are performing your hourly circuit check and your keen senses tell you that the pre-membrane pressure is rising.
2. What would you check?
3. Check downstream (forward toward patient) from monitoring site
4. Click pressure monitor
5. Notice there is no change in the Post membrane pressure (P3)
6. Click patient, then “check cannula site” button
7. No problems with the arterial cannula noted.
8. Isolated high pre-membrane pressure suggests a problem inside your oxygenator
ECMOjo Scenario – Negative Venous Pressure

Description: Identifying the cause of a very negative venous/bladder pressure

Non default settings: Hypovolemia, Lab-component-Heme-Hemoglobin, lab-image-x-ray

9. You are performing your hourly circuit check and your sharp memory tells you that the venous pressure is becoming increasingly negative.
10. What would you check?
11. Check upstream (back toward patient) from monitoring site
12. Click patient, then “check cannula site” button
13. Check venous cannula for kink
14. Check IMG, then x-ray
15. Check venous cannula for position
16. Click patient, then “check sedation” button
17. If the patient is active, the catheter may have changed position. Consider sedation.
18. Do the physiologic variables suggest hypovolemia?
   1. Physiologic parameters (HR high, CVP low)
19. If the patient is hypovolemic and has a low Hgb, you can give PRBC.
   1. Hgb low
20. Click on Intervention A, then blood cells button
21. Isolated high pre-membrane pressure suggests a problem upstream (back toward patient) from monitoring site

ECMOjo Scenario – High Post-Membrane Pressure

Description: Identifying the cause of a high post-membrane pressure

Non default settings: Scenario one (arterial kink).

1. You are performing your hourly circuit check and with your keen sight you notice that the post-membrane pressure has risen
   a. Show higher P3 pressure
   b. Show higher P2 pressure
2. What would you check?
3. Check downstream (forward toward patient) from monitoring site
4. Click patient, then “check cannula site” button
5. Check arterial cannula for kink,
6. Check IMG, then x-ray
7. Check arterial cannula’s position
8. If the patient is active, the catheter may have changed position. Consider sedation.
9. Click patient, then “check sedation” button
10. In VA ECMO, a high afterload from hypertension can increase post membrane pressures. Consider treatment with an antihypertensive.
11. Isolated high post-membrane pressure suggests a problem downstream (forward toward patient) from monitoring site

**ECMOjo Scenario – ECMOisms**

Description: The unofficial laws of ECMO, by J Devn Cornish, MD

Non default settings: none

5. There’s no ECMO, like no ECMO.
6. ECMO is a team effort
7. Everybody loves everybody, but nobody trusts anybody
8. ECMO is 95% boredom, and 5% sheer terror.
9. Any fool can do ECMO when everything is going well, but only a real fool would do ECMO when things aren’t going well.
10. There is no time like the present.
11. The enemy of good is better
12. It’s not over till it’s over
13. The best ECMO is boring ECMO
Appendix B – Circuit Check & Wet Lab Scenarios

ECMOjo Circuit Check

Patient Monitor: Baseline (Baseline.tnd)
- Flashlight
- Venous Cannula
  - Bleeding
  - Position/landmarks
  - Clots/fibrin
- Bridge
  - Stopcocks off to circuit or line clamped
  - Flush per policy
- Connectors
  - Cracks
  - Tubing security
  - Clots/fibrin
- Stopcocks
  - Off to circuit and capped
  - Tight connection to luer lock
- Bladder
  - Volume
  - Air
  - Clots
- **Roller Pump**
  - Tubing integrity
  - Air
  - Blood flow setting – (occlusion setting)
- **Centrifugal Pump**
  - Air
  - Blood flow/RPM setting
  - Gel
- Oxygenator
  - Clots
  - Leaks
  - Vent port open
  - Sigh
- **Heater**
  - Water bath temperature
  - Patient temperature
  - Water level
- **Gas Flowmeter**
  - Tubing connections to flowmeter and oxygenator
  - Gas source connections to wall outlets
  - Sweep and FiO2 settings
- **Blood Flowmeter**
  - Correct direction of blood flow
- **Arterial Cannula**
  - Bleeding
  - Position/landmarks
  - Clots/fibrin
- **Clamps available at bedside**
- **Hospital generator electrical outlets/UPS utilized**

**Emergencies**
- Clamp patient off circuit
  - VBA or AVB
- Call for help
  - RN
  - RT
  - MD
  - Perfusionist
  - Surgeon
- Emergency ventilator settings
- Code drugs
- Blood bank
Scenario 1
Wet lab Scenario – Gas Failure

Initial Set-Up for Student (t=0)
VS Trend: Baseline: 30 seconds. Scenario: 31+ seconds
Action: Before start - Gas line is disconnected at the blender or oxygenator
or connect gas line to exhaust port
Trigger: Alarms

History: ECMO has just been initiated for this infant with meconium aspiration
and everyone is starting to clean up after the cannulation. You are just
starting to catch up with your charting.
The second set of gases have returned.

ECMO Mode: VA or VV

Patient: (GF1 SvO2.tnd)
Temp 37
HR 140 100 66
BP 60/40 (47) 36/6 (23)
CVP 4
Saturation 93% 69%
SvO2 79% 51%
(if SvO2 function is used on Sim monitor)

CDI 7.27 / 75 / 39 / 18 / BD 4
H/H 39% / 13
SvO2 51%

Blood gas - Baby Boy Rap
Patient: 7.28 / 71 / 47 / 16 / BD 5
Pre Memb: 7.23 / 79 / 32 / 14 / BD 7
Post Memb: 7.29 / 67 / 41 / 18 / BD 4
Pressures: Venous 0 Pre-memb 149 Post-memb 145

Available data
- Color blood in circuit tubing – same color
- CXR: Ordered, but tech is busy in the ER with a code
- Chem: Previous labs normal. Sample sent to lab. Results pending.
- Heme: Previous labs normal. Sample sent to lab. Results pending.
- ACT: 180 sec

Participant # ____
Scoring

Time to accomplish: 120 seconds

*Stop assessment when instructor gives verbal cues to the participant*

Appropriate interventions

- Recognize increased CO2
- Increases sweep gas
- Evaluates CDI (if applicable)
- Call for help
- Initiates emergency ventilator settings
- Circuit check
- Checks oxygenator for clots
- Checks gas line for disconnection at source
- Checks gas line for kinks
- Reconnect gas tubing

Discouraged interventions

- Come off ECMO

**COMMENTS**
Scenario 2
Wet lab Scenario – Heater Failure

Initial Set-Up for Student (t=0)
- VS Trend: Baseline - 30 seconds. Scenario – 31+ seconds
- Action: Before start - Turn off heater. Unplug.
- Trigger: Monitor

History: 2 month old former premature infant with RSV pneumonia.
You are getting back from a break and no events occurred while you were gone.

ECMO Mode: VA or VV

Patient: (HF1 SvO2.tnd)
- Temp: 35.9 34
- HR: 120 81
- BP: 71/42 (52) 75/46 (56)
- CVP: 5
- Saturation: 94%
  - SvO2: 75% 69%
  - (if SvO2 function is used on Sim monitor)

CDI: 7.43 / 39 / 309 / 23 / BE 2
H/H: 39% / 13
SvO2: 69%

Blood gas – ordered and results pending.

Pressures
- Venous: -9
- Pre-memb: 130
- Post-memb: 120

Available data
- Color blood in circuit tubing – color differentiation seen
- CXR: Ordered, but tech is busy in the ER with a code
- Chem: Morning lab sample sent to lab. Results pending.
- Heme: Morning lab results. CBC normal.
- PT slightly elevated to 22. PTT increased from 82 to 101
- ACT: Increased from 179 to 193 sec
Scenario 2
Wet lab Scenario – Heater Failure

Scoring

Time to accomplish:  180 seconds

Stop assessment when instructor gives verbal cues to the participant

Appropriate interventions

- Recognize hypothermia
- Recognize hypothermia induced bradycardia
- Maintain normothermia
  - Blankets or warmer blanket or thermo-gel blankets
  - Over bed warmer
- Circuit check
- Call for help
- Go to heater
- Check heater switch
- Check heater plug
- Check heater temperature set point
- Check heater hose valves

Discouraged interventions

- Come off ECMO

COMMENTS
Scenario 3
Wet lab Scenario – Pump Failure

Initial Set-Up for Student (t=0)
VS Trend: Baseline: 30 seconds. Scenario: 31+ seconds
Trigger: At 0:25 distract student, have mole turn off pump

History: 1 month old with H1N1 pneumonia and myocarditis. There are heavy rain and winds due to a storm. Multiple power surges have been disrupting your shift. A brief black out has just occurred.

ECMO Mode: VA

Patient: (NoFlow SvO2.tnd)
Temp 37
HR 130 60 50
BP 68/38 (48) 36/6 (16)
CVP 6 0
Saturation 96% 44%
SvO2 79% 69%
(if SvO2 function is used on Sim monitor)

CDI 7.45 / 37 / 318 / 24 / BE 2
H/H 39% / 13
SvO2 69%

Blood gases – Baby Girl Hula
Patient: 7.26 / 78 / 41 / 14 / BD 6
Pre Memb: 7.19 / 81 / 30 / 11 / BD 8
Post Memb: 7.45 / 38 / 314 / 22 / BE 1

Pressures
Venous -9 Pre-memb 130 Post-memb 120

Available data
- Color blood in circuit tubing – equal
- CXR: ask for reason. Results: normal
- Chem: Previous labs normal. Sample sent to lab. Results pending.
- Heme: Previous labs normal. Sample sent to lab. Results pending.
- ACT: 180 sec
Scenario 3
Wet lab Scenario – Pump Failure

Scoring

Time to accomplish: 90 seconds
Dies at 90 sec

Stop assessment when instructor gives verbal cues to the participant

Appropriate interventions

☐ Orders CXR is to look for pneumothorax or pneumomediastium
☐ Circuit check
☐ Recognizes pump failure
☐ Call for help
☐ Clamp off circuit
☐ Emergency vent settings
☐ Check pump switch
☐ Check plug
☐ Get hand crank
☐ Verbalizes with hand crank that the SvO2 is used to monitor = adequate flow?

Discouraged interventions

☐ Does not come off circuit
☐ Attempts to hand crank without coming off circuit

COMMENTS
Scenario 4
Wet lab Scenario – Circuit Failure / DIC

Initial Set-Up for Student (t=0)
 VS Trend: Continuous
 Action: Draw clots
 Set sweep at 4 liters and FiO2 at 0.70
 Blood at cannula site
 Trigger: Give Children’s Hospital of ECMOjo ABG & HEME results for Baby Boy Hip to student

History: 2 month old with a viral myocarditis. Day 5 of the run. You have just started your shift and some of the morning lab results are now available.

ECMO Mode: VA

Patient: (no trend)
 Temp 37
 HR 130
 BP 68/38 (48)
 CVP 5
 Saturation 97%
 SvO2 65% (if SvO2 function is used on Sim monitor)

CDI 7.45 / 37 / 318 / 24 / BE 2
 H/H 39% / 13
 SvO2 71%

Available data
 Physical Exam:

Blood gas – Baby Boy Hip

Pressures Venous -12
 Pre-memb 194
 Post-memb 189

Color blood in circuit tubing – color differentiation seen
CXR: Ordered. Still waiting for the tech.
Chem: Sent. Results pending.
Heme: Results reported.

ACT: 171 to 188 sec

Scenario 4
Wet lab Scenario – Circuit Failure / DIC

Scoring
Time to accomplish: 300 seconds
Stop assessment when instructor gives verbal cues to the participant

Appropriate interventions
- Recognize abnormal labs
- Recognize trend
- Give platelets
- Give FFP or cryo
- Order additional labs after blood products
- Gives additional blood products
- Circuit check
- Check for clots
- Check oxygenator
- Change circuit.

Discouraged interventions
- Come off ECMO

COMMENTS
**Scenario 5**  
**Wet lab Scenario – Oxygenator Failure**

Initial Set-Up for Student (t=0)

- **VS Trend:** Continuous  
- **Action:** Draw clots  
- **Adjust sweep gas to 2.5 lpm and FiO2 to 0.70**  
- **Adjust pre membrane pressure zero calibration to have a large delta P across membrane**

**Trigger:** Give Children’s Hospital of ECMOjo ABG & HEME results for Baby Girl Disco to student

**History:** 2 month old with a viral myocarditis. Day 5 of the run. You have just started your shift. No mechanical problems have been encountered with the circuit, but the off going ECMO specialist was very tired and mentioned that there were some new clots in the oxygenator. Some of the morning lab results are now available.

ECMO Mode: VA

Patient: (OF1 SvO2.tnd)

**Temp** 37  
**HR** 130  
**BP** 72/38 (49)  
**CVP** 5  
**Saturation** 97%  
**SvO2** 66% (if SvO2 function is used on Sim monitor)

**CDI** 7.33 / 52 / 121 / 21 / BE 2  
**H/H** 36% / 12  
**SvO2** 66%

Blood gas – Baby Girl Disco

**Pressures**  
- Venous -9  
- Pre-memb 281  
- Post-memb 149

**Available data**

- Color blood in circuit tubing – color differentiation seen
- Oxygenator – clots seen
- Sweep gas – 2.5 lpm, FiO2 = 0.7
- CXR: ordered, but tech is busy in the CVICU with a code
- Chem: Sent. Results pending.
- Heme: Report results from Baby Girl Disco
- ACT: Report results from Baby Girl Disco
**Scenario 5**  
**Wet lab Scenario – Oxygenator Failure**

**Scoring**
- Time to accomplish: 300 seconds
- *Stop assessment when instructor gives verbal cues to the participant*

**Appropriate interventions**
- Recognize abnormal labs
- Recognize trend in post membrane ABG PCO₂ and PO₂
- Adjust sweep gases
- Calls for help
- Circuit check
- Check oxygenator for clots
- Recognize abnormal trans-membrane gradient
- Adjusts heparin rate (lower)
- Gives blood products (platelets, FFP, cryo)
- Identify oxygenator failure

**Discouraged interventions**
- Come off ECMO
- Increase heparin rate

**COMMENTS**
Scenario 6
Wet lab Scenario – Venous Air

Initial Set-Up for Student (t=0)
VS Trend: Continuous
Trigger: (at any time) Inject air into reservoir or Place punctured cap on stopcock or pigtail. Withdraw volume to make venous pressures more negative

History: Day 3 for a newborn infant with Group B sepsis. Everything has been going well and its been 94% boredom. The patient is awake and responsive to environment stimulation. It is time for your hourly circuit check.

ECMO Mode: VA or VV

Patient: (no trend)
Temp 37.1
HR 140
BP 72/38 (48)
CVP 4
Saturation 96%
SvO2 71% (if SvO2 function is used on Sim monitor)

CDI 7.46 / 38 / 312 / 24 / BE 4
H/H 36% / 12
SvO2 71%

Blood gas – Sent and results are pending

Pressures: Venous -25 Pre-memb 130 Post-memb 120

Available data
- Color blood in circuit tubing – color differentiation seen
- Oxygenator – no clots seen
- CXR: Ordered, but tech is busy in the CVICU with a code
- Chem: Sent. Results pending.
- Heme: Sent. Results pending.
- ACT: 176 sec (stable)
Scenario 6
Wet lab Scenario – Venous Air

Scoring
Time to accomplish: 300 seconds
*Stop assessment when instructor gives verbal cues to the participant*

Appropriate interventions

- Circuit check
- Calls for help
- Recognizes air in venous circuit
- Checks arterial side of circuit
- Determines if emergency or not an emergency
- Check catheters
- Check stopcocks and other connectors
- Check medications infusing into central catheters to circuit
- Check medications infusing into central catheters to patient
- Verbalizes: Need to remove air

Discouraged interventions

- Come off ECMO

COMMENTS
Scenario 7
Wet lab Scenario – Bubble Detector Alarm

Initial Set-Up for Student \((t=0)\)

Baseline: 30 seconds. Coupled PVC start at 0:45
Action: Inject air into system post oxygenator at 0:28 minutes

History: 1 month old with aspiration pneumonia and ARDS. Today is day 7 of the ECMO run. The circuit was change 1 hour ago due to circuit failure.

ECMO Mode: VV

Patient: (NoFlow SvO2.tnd)
(NoFlow SvO2 NoBub.tnd - no bubble detector – 30 sec delay in physiologic parameter changes)
Temp 37
HR 150 80 (with coupled PVC)
BP 68/38 (48) 47/17 (27)
CVP 4 5
Saturation 93% 53%
SvO2 75% 45%
(if SvO2 function is used on Sim monitor)

CDI 7.45 / 37 / 318 / 24 / BE 2
H/H 39% / 13
SvO2 72%

Blood gases Baby Girl Tango

Pressures: Venous 5 Pre-memb 54 Post-memb 51

Available data
- Color blood in circuit tubing – color differentiation seen
- CXR: ordered, but tech is busy and not answering their page
- Chem: Previous labs normal. Sample sent to lab. Results pending.
- Heme: Previous labs normal. Sample sent to lab. Results pending.
- ACT: 180 sec
Scenario 7
Wet lab Scenario – Bubble Detector Alarm

Scoring
Time to accomplish: 60 seconds
Stop assessment when instructor gives verbal cues to the participant

Appropriate interventions

- Recognizes pump is off
- Recognized arterial bubble detector alarm
- Clamps off circuit
- Emergency vent settings
- Calls for help
- Circuit check
- Checks oxygenator
- Checks all connections on arterial side
- Checks for air at arterial side clamp
- Checks venous side
- CPR (Late addition - do not include in grading, but teaching point)

Discouraged interventions

- Attempts to hand crank

COMMENTS
Scenario 8
Wet lab Scenario – Accidental Arterial Decannulation (Hypovolemia)

Initial Set-Up for Student (t=0)

VS Trend: Baseline - 30 seconds; Trend 30+ seconds
Action: Gauze with blood at cannulation site. Push blood.
Take fluid out of bladder to create a more negative venous pressure

History: Day 1 for a 2 month old who had a witnessed arrest on the floor. Visitor with many dangling pieces of jewelry just left the bedside after giving the patient a kiss

ECMO Mode: VA

Patient: (Hypovolemia2 SvO2,tnd)

Temperature 37
HR 140 50
BP 68/44 (52) 44/20 (28)
CVP 4 0
Saturation 96% 59%
SvO2 79% 43%
(if SvO2 function is used on Sim monitor)

CDI 7.45 / 37 / 318 / 24 / BE 2
H/H 39% / 13
SvO2 52%

Blood gases: Blood gas machine in calibration mode. Results pending.

Pressures: Venous -15 Pre-memb 160 to 100 Post-memb 154 to 95

Flow Decreases (depends on amount of volume removed & servo-reg)

Available data

- Color blood in circuit tubing – color differentiation seen
- CXR: ordered, but tech is busy and not answering their page
- Chem: Previous labs normal. Sample sent to lab. Results pending.
- Heme: Previous labs normal. Sample sent to lab. Results pending.
- ACT: 180 sec
Scenario 8
Wet lab Scenario – Accidental Arterial Decannulation (Hypovolemia)

Scoring

Time to accomplish: 60 seconds
Stop assessment when instructor gives verbal cues to the participant

Appropriate interventions

☐ Give volume
☐ Circuit check
☐ Recognizes bleeding at cannulation site
☐ Verbalizes arterial versus venous decannulation
☐ Apply pressure to site
☐ Call for help
☐ Clamp off circuit
☐ Emergency vent settings
☐ Resuscitation of patient
☐ Call surgeon

Discouraged interventions

☐ Attempts to hand crank

COMMENTS
Scenario 9
Wet lab Scenario – High Post membrane pressure

Initial Set-Up for Student (t=0)
VS Trend: Baseline 30 seconds. Trend 30+ seconds.
Prep: Hoffman clamp on arterial tubing and
Adjust pressure zero calibration point higher on post membrane,
just below alarm limit
Action: Add volume at 0:28 seconds raise membrane pressures, and to
make alarm sound

History: Day 3 for a 2 month old who presented with V-tach unresponsive to
medical intervention. Found to have TAPVC. He is awake, but sedated, calm
and quiet. The nurse has just completed his assessment with a diaper change.

ECMO Mode: VA

Patient: (ArtCanKink1 SvO2.tnd)
Temp 37.2
HR 130  160
BP 70/42 (51) 48/20 (29)
CVP 5
Saturation 95%  83%
SvO2  75%  60%
(if SvO2 function is used on Sim monitor)
CDI 7.45 / 37 / 318 / 24 / BE 2
H/H  35% / 12
SvO2 52%

Blood gases: Sample sent. Results still pending

Pressures: Venous -2 Pre-memb 130 to 338 Post-memb 120 to 359

Flow Decreases (depends on amount of volume added & servo-reg)

Available data
- Physical Exam: Sedated. Quiet. Mottled. Dusky. BS equal
  but course. Heart sounds normal. Abdomen soft. Peripheral
  refill 4 to 5 sec. Extremities cool.
- Color blood in circuit tubing – color differentiation seen
- CXR: Taken. Radiologist calls back and says that shorter
  catheter appears bent.
- Chem: Previous labs normal. Sample sent to lab. Results
  pending.
- Heme: Previous labs normal. Sample sent to lab. Results
  pending.
- ACT: 176 sec
Scenario 9
Wet lab Scenario – High Post membrane pressure

Scoring
Time to accomplish: 60 seconds
Stop assessment when instructor gives verbal cues to the participant

Appropriate interventions

☐ Gives volume
☐ Orders chest X-ray
☐ Recognizes problem is downstream to the oxygenator
☐ Circuit check
☐ Calls for help
☐ Checks oxygenator
☐ Checks catheters
☐ Adjusts arterial cannula
☐ Assess activity level
☐ Calls surgeon

Discouraged interventions

☐ Comes off ECMO
☐ Attempts to hand crank

COMMENTS
## Acronyms

- **ACTH** - Adrenocorticotropic hormone
- **ALDO** - Aldosterone
- **AOC** - Artificial oxygen carrier
- **CO** - Cardiac output
- **DOD** - Department of Defense
- **ECLS** - Extracorporeal life support
- **ECMO** - Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation
- **ECMOjo** - Computer simulation model for patient physiologic variables and ECMO pump biomechanical data
- **ETS** - ECMO Transport Sled
- **HBOC** - Hemoglobin based oxygen-carrying solution
- **FAA** - Federal Aviation Administration
- **KMCWC** - Kapi‘olani Medical Center for Women and Children
- **MAP** - Mean arterial pressure
- **NICU** - Neonatal Intensive Care Unit
- **PICU** - Pediatric Intensive Care Unit
- **PRA** - Plasma rennin activity
- **pVP** - Plasma vasopressin
- **RAP** - Right arterial pressure
- **RBF** - Regional blood flow
- **TAMC** - Tripler Army Medical Center
- **UH** - University of Hawaii
- **UPMC** - University of Pittsburgh Medical Center
- **VP** - Vasopressin