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What is BKCASE?

• Project to create:
  – Systems Engineering Body of Knowledge
  – Graduate Reference Curriculum in Systems Engineering (GRCSE™ – pronounced “Gracie”)

• Started in September 2009 by Stevens Institute of Technology and Naval Postgraduate School with primary support from Department of Defense

• Project will run through 2012

• Intended for world-wide use
What is the SEBoK?

Describes the boundaries, terminology, content, and structure of SE that are needed to systematically and consistently *support*:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Name</th>
<th>Task Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inform Practice</td>
<td>Inform systems engineers about the boundaries, terminology, and structure of their discipline and point them to useful information needed to practice SE in any application domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform Research</td>
<td>Inform researchers about the limitations and gaps in current SE knowledge that should help guide their research agenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Define Curricula</td>
<td>Define the content that should be common in undergraduate and graduate programs in SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certify Professionals</td>
<td>Certify individuals as qualified to practice systems engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decide Competencies</td>
<td>Decide which competencies practicing systems engineers should possess in various roles ranging from apprentice to expert</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Guide to the literature, not all the content of the literature
What is in GRCSE?

- **Guidance for Constructing and Maintaining the Reference Curriculum:** the fundamental principles, assumptions, and context for the reference curriculum authors
- **Entrance Expectations:** what students should be capable of and have experienced before they enter a graduate program
- **Outcomes:** what students should achieve by graduation
- **Architecture:** the structure of a curriculum to accommodate core material, university-specific material, and elective material
- **Core Body of Knowledge:** material that all students should master in a graduate SE program

Not specific courses. Not specific packaging. Adaption and selective adoption expected and encouraged.
Vision

“Systems Engineering competency models, certification programs, textbooks, graduate programs, and related workforce development initiatives around the world align with BKCASE.”

Objectives

1. Create the SEBoK and have it be globally recognized by the SE community as the authoritative guide to the body of knowledge for the SE discipline.

2. Create GRCSE and have it be globally recognized by the SE community as the authoritative guidance for graduate programs in SE.

3. Facilitate the global alignment of related workforce development initiatives with SEBoK and GRCSE.

4. Transfer stewardship of SEBoK and GRCSE to INCOSE and the IEEE after BKCASE publishes version 1.0 of those products, including possible integration into their certification, accreditation, and other workforce development and education initiatives.
Our Partners

- Department of Defense
- INCOSE
- IEEE Computer Society
- Systems Engineering Research Center
- NDIA
- IEEE Systems Council
- ACM
- PMI
- SBC
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Rules for BKCASE Activities

1. Products generated by the authors

2. The sponsors and partners do not have any authority over the content of the products other than through their authors

3. Volunteer authors do the bulk of the writing

4. Core Team from Stevens and Naval Postgraduate School provides stable labor and direction

5. Core Team responsible for final integration, technical editing, and clean up of products
In Spring 2007, 3 phase effort was proposed:

1. A reference curriculum for graduate software engineering with the “right” amount of systems engineering

2. A reference curriculum for graduate systems engineering with the “right” amount of software engineering

3. A fully interdisciplinary reference curriculum for systems and software engineering
Phase 1 Primary Products

- Graduate Software Engineering 2009 (GSwE2009): Curriculum Guidelines for Graduate Degree Programs in Software Engineering

- GSwE2009 Companion Document: Comparisons of GSwE2009 to Current Master’s Programs in Software Engineering


Endorsed by INCOSE, NDIA SE Division, Brazilian Computer Society
Originally sponsored by DoD. Now sponsored by the IEEE Computer Society and ACM

www.GSwE2009.org
SEBoK Value Proposition

1. There is no authoritative source that defines and organizes the knowledge of the SE discipline. Knowledge gap creates unnecessary inconsistency and confusion in understanding the role of SE and in defining SE products and processes.

2. Creating the SEBoK will help build community consensus on the boundaries of SE, including its entanglements with project management and software engineering.

3. A common way to refer to SE knowledge will facilitate communication among systems engineers and provide a baseline for competency models, certification programs, educational programs, and other workforce development initiatives around the world.

4. Common ways to identify metadata about SE knowledge will facilitate search and other automated actions on SE knowledge.
What Has Software Engineering Done to Address Similar Challenges?
• SWEBOK is a way of organizing all the knowledge that is within the software engineering (SwE) discipline

• It is a hierarchical structure for the knowledge and references to key documents stating the knowledge as of 2004

• It was developed by a community of authors and reviewers from around the world

• It is static – it has not changed since it was published

• A refresh project is underway to produce a new version in 2010

www.SWEBOK.org
11 Knowledge Areas, First 5

Guide to the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge
2004 Version

- Software Requirements
  - Software Requirements Fundamentals
  - Requirements Process
  - Requirements Elicitation
  - Requirements Analysis
  - Requirements Specification
  - Requirements Validation
  - Practical Considerations

- Software Design
  - Software Design Fundamentals
  - Key Issues in Software Design
  - Software Structure and Architecture
  - Software Design Quality Analysis and Evaluation
  - Software Design Notations
  - Software Design Strategies and Methods

- Software Construction
  - Software Construction Fundamentals
  - Managing Construction
  - Practical Considerations

- Software Testing
  - Software Testing Fundamentals
  - Test Levels
  - Test Techniques
  - Test Related Measures
  - Test Process

- Software Maintenance
  - Software Maintenance Fundamentals
  - Key Issues in Software Maintenance
  - Maintenance Process
  - Techniques for Maintenance
BREAKDOWN OF TOPICS FOR SOFTWARE

- Software Requirements Fundamentals
  - Definition of a Software Requirement
  - Product and Process Requirements
  - Functional and Non-functional Requirements
  - Emergent Properties
  - Quantifiable Requirements

- Requirements Process
  - Process Models
  - Process Actors
  - Process Support and Management
  - Process Quality and Improvement

- Requirements Elicitation
  - Requirements Sources
  - Elicitation Techniques

- Requirements Analysis
  - Requirements Classification
  - Conceptual Modelling
  - Architectural Design and Requirements Allocation
  - Requirements Negotiation

- Requirements Specification
  - System Definition Document
  - Systems Requirements Specification
  - Software Requirements Specification

- Requirements Validation
  - Requirements Reviews
  - Prototyping
  - Model Validation
  - Acceptance Tests

- Practical Considerations
  - Iterative Nature of Requirements Process
  - Change Management
  - Requirements Attributes
  - Requirements Tracing
  - Measuring Requirements
1. Software Requirements Fundamentals

1.1. Definition of a Software Requirement

At its most basic, a software requirement is a property which must be exhibited in order to solve some problem in the real world. The Guide refers to requirements on "software" because it is concerned with problems to be addressed by software. Hence, a software requirement is a property which must be exhibited by software developed or adapted to solve a particular problem. The problem may be to automate part of a task of someone who will use the software, to support the business processes of the organization that has commissioned the software, to correct shortcomings of existing software, to control a device, and many more. The functioning of users, business processes, and devices is typically complex. By extension, therefore, the requirements on particular software are typically a complex combination of requirements from different people at different levels of an organization and from the environment in which the software will operate.

An essential property of all software requirements is that they be verifiable. It may be difficult or costly to verify certain software requirements. For example, verification of the throughput requirement on the call center may necessitate the development of simulation software. Both the software requirements and software quality personnel must ensure that the requirements can be verified within the available resource constraints.

Requirements have other attributes in addition to the behavioral properties that they express. Common examples include a priority rating to enable trade-offs in the face of finite resources and a status value to enable project progress to be monitored. Typically, software requirements are uniquely identified so that they can be over the entire software life cycle. [Kot00; Pf01; Soc05; Tha97]

1.2. Product and Process Requirements ▲

A distinction can be drawn between product parameters and process parameters. Product parameters are requirements on software to be developed (for example, "The software shall verify that a student meets all prerequisites before he or she registers for a course.").

A process parameter is essentially a constraint on the development of the software (for example, "The software shall be written in Ada."). These are sometimes known as process requirements.

Some software requirements generate implicit process requirements. The choice of verification technique is one example. Another might be the use of particularly rigorous analysis techniques (such as formal specification methods) to reduce faults which can lead to inadequate reliability. Process requirements may also be imposed directly by the development organization, their customer, or a third party such as a safety regulator [Kot00; Soc97].
SEBoK Content

1. The definition of fundamental terms and concepts and primary relationships between those concepts

2. A statement of the principles of SE

3. A description of generally accepted activities, practices, technologies, processes, methods, and artifacts of SE and how they relate to one another

4. How the knowledge of SE varies within individual application domains such as medicine, transportation, and telecommunications

5. References to books, articles, websites, and other sources that elaborate on the information in the SEBoK

Version 0.25 released for limited review on September 15, 2010
# SEBoK 0.25 Table of Contents

1. Introduction  
2. System Concepts and Systems Thinking  
3. SE Overview  
4. SE Life Cycle Models  
5. Service SE  
6. Enterprise SE  
7. Enabling SE in Organizations  
8. SE Management  
9. SE Definition  
10. SE Realization  
11. SE Deployment and Use  
12. SE Life Management  
13. SE Agreement  
14. Cross-Cutting Knowledge  
15. SE Competencies  
16. SE Applications and Case Studies  
17. References  
18. Glossary
GRCSE Value Proposition

1. There is no authoritative source to guide universities in establishing the outcomes graduating students should achieve with a master’s degree in SE, nor guidance on reasonable entrance expectations, curriculum architecture, or curriculum content.

2. This gap in guidance creates unnecessary inconsistency in student proficiency at graduation, makes it harder for students to select where to attend, and makes it harder for employers to evaluate prospective new graduates.

GRCSE is being created analogously to GSwE2009 – in fact, using GSwE2009 as the starting text

Version 0.25 expected in December 2010
# Table of Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title - Chapters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Guidance for the construction of GRCSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Expected objectives when a graduate has 3-5 years’ experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Expected outcomes when a student graduates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Expected student background when entering master’s program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Curriculum architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Core body of knowledge (CorBOK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Anticipated GRCSE evolution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title - Appendices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>App A. Summary of Graduate SE-centric SE programs in 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>App B. Bloom’s taxonomy of educational objectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>App C. Systems engineering competency frameworks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>App D. Untitled – probably Assessment and curriculum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>App E. GRCSE outcomes CorBOK mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glossary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If We Are Successful…

SEBoK will strongly influence the INCOSE SE Handbook Version 4, the INCOSE SE Professional Certification Program, DoD SE competency efforts, will highlight places where research is needed, become a standard reference for practitioners, and improve the quality and richness of communication among systems engineers worldwide.

GRCSE will clearly distinguish between graduate and undergraduate education in SE and influence the content of both undergraduate and graduate SE programs worldwide.
Questions?

www.BKCASE.org
bkcase@stevens.edu