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Metamaterials and transformation optics

report on activities February 2010 – January 2011
JB Pendry

During the second year of activities there were 4 separate visits to the USA:

- Visit to Princeton University for discussions and lectures on metamaterials: Monday 5 to Saturday 10 April 2010.
- Discussion with David Smith at Duke University; Triservice Metamaterial Basic Research Program Review, Virginia Beach: Friday 14 to Friday 28 May 2010.
- Visit to Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore for discussion and lecture on metamaterials: Wednesday 22 to Friday 24 September 2011.
- Visit to ‘Intellectual Ventures’ Seattle to consult and to UCSD to lecture on metamaterials: Monday 1 to Saturday 6 November 2010.

This makes up the one month agreed time in the USA.

My several US collaborations were reinforce during this period with several jointly authored papers appearing, mainly concerning the applications of metamaterials to cloaking.

In a paper joint with the Duke team we examined

Transformation optics (TO) is a recently appreciated tool for the design of complex media with unique wave propagation properties. Introduced in the present context as a computational technique to extend the utility of finite difference and finite-element codes, TO has become widely appreciated for its generality and ability to design structures that manipulate waves with unprecedented control. The main tool associated with TO is that of coordinate transformations, in which isotropic space is conceptually warped or otherwise distorted as a means of guiding the trajectories of waves. The coordinate transformation that results in some desired functionality can then be used to determine the properties of a physical medium in which waves will behave as if they were propagating in the warped space. The TO method can be applied to any linear waves for which the underlying equations exhibit form invariance under coordinate transformations. Maxwell’s equations, for example, are generally form-invariant, so that coordinate transformations can equivalently be implemented as spatially varying, anisotropic constitutive parameters (i.e. the electric permittivity and the magnetic permeability).

TO is an exact tool developed by the Duke team and myself for the design of many devices and in particular has been applied to design the first working cloak. However less exact competitive schemes have been proposed (but not implemented) and in [6] we examined several cloaking schemes.

In the figure below taken from our New J. Phys. paper we illustrate the practical problems of a cylindrical cloak whose function is to shrink the hidden object to a very thin wire. Unfortunately even thin wires can scatter quite strongly and are only invisible when infinitely thin – something that requires infinite precision of the cloak. To some degree this problem can be avoided by choosing the correct inner lining for the cloak (top). The graphs show the total scattering cross section normalised to the physical cross section as a function of wavelength.
The figure in the lower half of the pane shows scattering from a 3D cloak which is intrinsically more efficient than a cylindrical cloak as evidenced by the lack of sensitivity to the inner boundary conditions.

A competing design process of cloaks proposed by Leonhardt uses an approximation to Maxwell’s equations in the form of the Helmholtz equation. This neglects the near field components of the waves and produces by means of a conformal transformation cloak that composed of an isotropic refractive index, but that is infinite in extent. We of course any practical cloak has to be finite so we explored the effect on truncating the cloak at various radii. Our computations are displayed below. Unfortunately the truncation has serious consequences for the effectiveness of the cloak as the scattering cross section relative to the unclad object, increases as we attempt to converge to the infinite cloak by taking successively larger approximations. As a result we conclude that this is not a practical design.
In a second paper, joint with the Duke team [9], we reviewed the transformation optics technology in the manner of a tutorial with the aim of spreading the technology more widely. We were invited to publish in an influential proceedings. The paper begins with a simple explanation of the technique:

To give a flavour of how the scheme operates imagine the simplest possible distortion of space: a section of the $x$-axis is compressed as shown in the figure below. We probe the compressed region with two rays in order to find the values of $\varepsilon(r)$ and $\mu(r)$ that would give rise to the ray trajectory shown. We recognize that:

- $\varepsilon(r), \mu(r)$ are tensors because we have singled out the $x$-axis for compression,
- in the uncompressed regions there is no change so $\varepsilon(r) = \mu(r) = 1$ in these regions,
- $\varepsilon(r)$ and $\mu(r)$ appear on the same footing because of the symmetry between electric and magnetic fields.

It follows from the last assertion that $\varepsilon(r) = \mu(r)$.
Next consider a ray propagating parallel to the $x-$axis: in order to arrive at the far side of the compressed region with the same phase as in the uncompressed system we require $k'\cdot m \cdot d = k_0\cdot d$ where $k_0$ is the free space wave vector, $k'$ is the wave vector in the compressed region, $m$ is the compression factor, and $d$ is the original thickness of the layer. Since $k' = k_0 \sqrt{\varepsilon_y \mu_y}$, where $\varepsilon_y$ and $\mu_y$ are the components of the respective tensors perpendicular to the $x-$axis, then we deduce that,

$$\varepsilon_y = \mu_y = m^{-1}$$

On the other hand rays propagating perpendicular to the $x-$axis travel through uncompressed space, and therefore their wave vector, $k''$, must take the free space value if the correct phase evolution is to be followed. In this case,

$$k'' = k_0 \sqrt{\varepsilon_y \mu_y} = k_0 \sqrt{\varepsilon_x \mu_y} = k_0$$

and therefore using (8) we have,

$$\varepsilon_x = \mu_x = m$$

Also: because $\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}) = \mu(\mathbf{r})$, the compressed layer is impedance matched and does not reflect.

The above gives an intuitive version of our scheme. A more formal derivation was presented by Ward and Pendry and an updated version by Schurig et al using modern notation. We follow the latter version here. If the distorted system is described by a coordinate transform $x' = x'\left(x\right)$ we define,
\[ \Lambda_{j'} = \frac{\partial x^{j'}}{\partial x^j} \]  

Then in the new coordinate system we must use modified values of the permittivity and permeability to ensure that Maxwell’s equations are satisfied,

\[ \varepsilon^{i'j'} = \left[ \det(\Lambda) \right]^{-1} \Lambda_{j'}^{i'} \varepsilon^{ij} \]
\[ \mu^{i'j'} = \left[ \det(\Lambda) \right]^{-1} \Lambda_{j'}^{i'} \mu^{ij} \]

We go on to describe the rich variety of devices that can be produced by application of TO. I reproduce just one figure below which shows variously: a design for a beam splitter, and a cylindrical to planar wave transformer.

In the pipeline are further collaborations. For example we have hired as faculty here are Imperial College on of Xiang Zhang’s post docs, Rupert Oulton (also a graduate of Imperial) a move that will be a strong stimulus to collaborative work on plasmonics. My team at Imperial College, using TO has developed detailed understanding at the analytic level of a whole family of plasmonics devices designed to harvest light and concentrate it into a very small sub wavelength volume as documented in papers [5,7,8,10].  

We illustrate the concept below where a well understood system of a dipole source radiating energy into a waveguide formed by two slabs of silver is transformed into a totally different geometry: that of two kissing cylinders. Whereas the slabs harvest light from a local dipole radiator and distribute the energy to infinity, in contrast the
cylinders harvest light from an incident plane wave and transport the energy to the point of contact, greatly concentrating the energy density in the process.

Conformal transformations

A broadband light harvesting device: inversion about the origin, $z' = 1/z$, converts two slabs into two cylinders.

Left: two semi-infinite metallic slabs separated by a thin dielectric film support surface plasmons that couple to a dipole source, transporting its energy to infinity. The spectrum is continuous and broadband therefore the process is effective over a wide range of frequencies.

Right: the transformed material now comprises two finite kissing cylinders. The dipole source is transformed into a uniform electric field.

This concentration of energy, a consequence of the plasmon waves moving slower and slower as they move towards the touching point, is illustrated in the figure below which shows field enhancements of the order of $10^3$ implying energy densities enhanced by a factor approaching $10^6$. Experiments on these systems are currently in progress in Berkeley, at Duke and will shortly be implemented at Imperial College.

Field enhancement versus angle

Blue curve: $E_x$ at the surface plotted as a function of $\theta$, for $\omega = 0.75\omega_p$ and $\varepsilon = -7.058 + 0.213i$ taken from Johnson and Christy.

Red curve: $\varepsilon = -7.058 + 2 \times 0.213i$ i.e. more loss. Both curves are normalised to the incoming field amplitude $E_0$. 
It is evident from the above that more there has been considerable exchange of ideas between the US groups and my group in London. This continues, and further exchange of personnel is planned for 2011.

---

JB Pendry  
Imperial College London  
10 February 2011
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