1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)  15-02-2011
2. REPORT TYPE  Final Report
3. DATES COVERED (From - To)  1-Jun-2004 - 31-Aug-2009

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
Final Report for "Adiabatic Quantum Computing and Quantum Walks: Algorithms and Architectures"

5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
W911NF-04-1-0216

5b. GRANT NUMBER

5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
611102

5d. PROJECT NUMBER

5e. TASK NUMBER

5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER

6. AUTHORS
Edward Farhi

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAMES AND ADDRESSES
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Office of Sponsored Programs
Bldg. E19-750
Cambridge, MA 02139 -4307

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
U.S. Army Research Office
P.O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211

10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
ARO

11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
46262-PH-QC.1

12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES
The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not contrued as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.

14. ABSTRACT
During the period of this grant, there were a many significant results on quantum adiabatic algorithms and quantum walks. On the adiabatic front, there were papers showing how to design error correcting codes specifically for these Hamiltonian based algorithms. There was also a paper showing in detail how poor choices in the design of the Hamiltonian controlling the adiabatic evolution could lead to algorithmic failure, but that these choices could be avoided. In general there was excellent progress in our understanding of the capabilities of the adiabatic algorithm.

15. SUBJECT TERMS
quantum computing, quantum algorithms, quantum adiabatic algorithms, quantum walk

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a. REPORT</th>
<th>b. ABSTRACT</th>
<th>c. THIS PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UU</td>
<td>UU</td>
<td>UU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
UU

18. NUMBER OF PAGES

15a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
Edward Farhi

18b. TELEPHONE NUMBER
617-253-4871
ABSTRACT
During the period of this grant, there were many significant results on quantum adiabatic algorithms and quantum walks. On the adiabatic front, there were papers showing how to design error correcting codes specifically for these Hamiltonian based algorithms. There was also a paper showing in detail how poor choices in the design of the Hamiltonian controlling the adiabatic evolution could lead to algorithmic failure, but that these choices could be avoided. In general there was excellent progress in our understanding of the capabilities of the adiabatic algorithm. On the quantum walk front there was a breakthrough result demonstrating a quantum algorithm which can evaluate a NAND tree with fewer queries than the best possible classical algorithm. This result sparked a series of papers by other authors on this subject. In addition, during the course of the grant period, experimental evidence made it clear that quantum walks play an important role in biological systems, notably in photosynthesis.
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### Scientific Progress
The period of this grant was marked by many advances in both the areas of quantum adiabatic algorithms and in quantum walks. The objective was to understand the power of these methods and also to devise architectures for the implementation of these algorithms on a working quantum computer.

On the algorithm side, there was the paper "How to make the quantum adiabatic algorithm fail" which was written to show that an algorithm designer can make choices for the implementation of the quantum adiabatic algorithm which will doom the algorithm to fail even on simple problems. The point was to demonstrate that these choices can be avoided and that many of the examples in the literature of supposed algorithmic failure could indeed be avoided with better choices.

In the paper "Quantum Adiabatic Algorithms with Different Paths" it was shown that the adiabatic algorithm could be run repeatedly on the same instance of a combinatorial search problem. With each repetition, a different path in Hamiltonian space is chosen. This strategy can lead to algorithmic success in certain cases where the use of a single path leads to failure. This paper did away with a class of supposed counterexamples where it was argued that the adiabatic is doomed to fail.

In the paper "A Quantum Algorithm for the Hamiltonian NAND Tree" it was shown how to use quantum walk to get a speedup on the problem of evaluating a NAND tree at the root where the input 0's and 1's are on the leaves. The best possible classical algorithm for this task takes $N^{0.753}$ queries where $N$ is the number of inputs. The quantum algorithm uses only $\sqrt{N}$ queries and this is therefore provable quantum speedup. The techniques involve quantum scattering theory.

On the architecture side, it is important to develop for the adiabatic algorithm an error correction model. This is necessarily different than the error correction models used in conventional quantum computation. To this end Farhi, along with student Stephen Jordan and Professor Peter Shor developed a way of encoding qubits with more qubits in a way that produces a gap between the ground state where the computation is taking place and states which are bits flips away. This puts us on the road to devising an theory of fault tolerance for quantum adiabatic algorithms.

One of the purposes of this grant was to investigate the effects of noise on adiabatic quantum computation and quantum walks. S. Lloyd, with graduate student William Kaminsky, developed models for calculating minimum energy gaps in adiabatic quantum computation due to domain formation. For quantum walks, they developed models for the effect of noise and decoherence on the propagation speed of the walk. They applied these methods to quantum walks performed by excitons in photosynthetic complexes.

They were able to develop a widely applicable theory of the effect of decoherence on quantum walks. In naturally occurring systems, decoherence can actually enhance the speed of transport in a quantum walk. The reason is that fully coherent quantum walks often suffer from Anderson localization, in which different paths in the walk interfere destructively, preventing long-range transport. Decoherence can in turn destroy the destructive interference, resulting in enhanced transport rates. They call this effect, Environment Assisted Quantum Transport (ENAQT). The use of environmental effects to enhance transport rates appears to be ubiquitous in energy transport in biological systems. Too much decoherence, by contrast, suppresses transport.

Lloyd and others also developed quantum-walk inspired designs and algorithms for quantum databases, including algorithms for quantum private queries, which allow one to access data in complete privacy. Lloyd and others developed a quantum algorithm for solving linear sets of equations in $N$ variables. The algorithm runs in time $O(\log N)$, representing an exponential speedup over the best classical algorithm.
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