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The U.S. Army and many other government and private organizations need to evaluate ratio means to help them make informed life cycle management decisions. A ratio mean is the ratio of the mean of two random variables, X and Y, whose corresponding terms are paired. An example of a ratio mean that the Army evaluates is the maintenance ratio (MR). The Army collects MR data from a sample of vehicles within a selected system and applies CIM4RM to the sample data to construct approximate confidence intervals (CIs) for the MR.

CIM4RM is the combined effort of bootstrapping and creativity in constructing CIs around ratio means. CIM4RM was tested in many ratio mean applications and shown to be consistently valid. Prior to the development of CIM4RM, no documented tool existed that could produce consistently valid ratio mean CIs for various scenarios.

The Army is currently using this methodology to evaluate ratio means for its fielded ground and aviation systems. The Office of Inspector General for Health and Human Services is utilizing this methodology for reporting ratio mean CIs to the U.S. Congress. Other existing applications include: performance evaluations for Army test systems, evaluations of an Improvised Explosive Device detection demonstration, and hypothesis test development for many applications that compare two ratio means.

Although current applications are government centric, there are countless other areas in private industry (e.g., banking, automotive) where CIM4RM can be used for improving decision analysis.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Army and many other government and private organizations need to evaluate ratio means to help them make informed life cycle management decisions. A ratio mean is the ratio of the mean of two random variables, X and Y, whose corresponding terms are paired. The following chart depicts this:

Table 1. Definition of Ratio Mean.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$X_1$</th>
<th>$Y_1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_n$</td>
<td>$Y_n$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is the sample ratio mean.

The pairs $(x_j, y_j)$ are assumed to be independent. The correlation between X and Y may be positive, negative or zero. X & Y are random variables are considered independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.), with some distribution but unknown.

For example, the Army tracks and evaluates the performance of many weapon systems using ratio mean metrics, such as the maintenance ratio (MR). A MR estimate

$$ (MR = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \text{man-hours}_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \text{miles}_j} ) $$

is based on a random sample (without replacement) of n vehicles from a finite population, where the pair (man-hours and miles) are associated with each vehicle. This example assumes there is no variation from visit to visit within each vehicle. A visit is defined to be any timeline event that requires repair.

The Army cannot always afford to track every vehicle in its inventory. Therefore, ratio mean performance metrics are tracked using a sample of vehicles over a given time period. The Army has multiple goals or objectives. One of them is to decide whether maintenance augmentation is necessary for a fleet of vehicles before a mission. Another goal might be to determine if a fleet of vehicles has achieved acquisition thresholds and targets. These goals and objectives are based on inferences from the sample using approximate confidence intervals (CI) for ratio means. This paper discusses and develops the methodology that produces these
confidence intervals (Confidence Interval Methodology for Ratio Means – CIM4RM). The MR will be used to develop the methodology.

CIM4RM is the combined effort of an existing tool (bootstrap-t approach [1] with no parametric assumptions on the distributions) and creativity to compute approximate confidence intervals for a ratio mean metric. The bootstrap-t approach is very applicable to a location statistic such as the ratio mean [2].

It is known that the bootstrap-t requires the estimation of three parameters based on a random sample from a population. They are: mean, standard error (SE), and bootstrap standardized Z distribution.

It is known that the SE estimate for a ratio mean is [3]:

$$SE \approx \frac{\sqrt{(1-f) \left[ s_{miles}^2 + MR \cdot s_{man-hours}^2 - 2 \cdot MR \cdot \text{covariance (man-hours, miles)} \right]}}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Where n is the sample size and f is the sampling fraction (n/N).

This SE estimate in equation (1) is only dependable for sample sizes greater than 30 where the coefficient of variation for both variables are less than 10% [3]. Therefore, another approach is needed to estimate these three parameters for smaller samples and larger samples with high variation. The approach that accomplishes this is CIM4RM.
2. DEVELOPMENT OF METHODOLOGY (CIM4RM)

Mean and SE estimates for ratio mean

Let's take a random sample (without replacement) of \( n \) vehicles (man-hours and miles for each vehicle) from the population of \( N \) vehicles. Let's redefine the sample ratio mean to be a sample arithmetic mean. The following chart depicts this redefinition.

**Table 2. Redefined Maintenance Ratio.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle #</th>
<th>Man-hours(_k)</th>
<th>Miles(_k)</th>
<th>Adj MR(_k)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Man-hour(_1)</td>
<td>Miles(_1)</td>
<td>Adj MR(_1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\text{...}</td>
<td>\text{...}</td>
<td>\text{...}</td>
<td>\text{...}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k )</td>
<td>Man-hour(_k)</td>
<td>Miles(_k)</td>
<td>Adj MR(_k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\text{...}</td>
<td>\text{...}</td>
<td>\text{...}</td>
<td>\text{...}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n )</td>
<td>Man-hour(_n)</td>
<td>Miles(_n)</td>
<td>Adj MR(_n)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample Ratio Mean = \[
\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \text{Man-hours}_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \text{Miles}_j} = \text{Sample Arithmetic Mean}
\]

It can be shown that the sample ratio mean and the sample arithmetic mean are the same quantity. The redefined variable AdjMR only accounts for variation in man-hours and does not account for variation in miles. Correlation is also not accounted for because the pairing for man-hours and miles for each vehicle has been eliminated.

The following is an estimate of the arithmetic mean of the population (\( \hat{\text{AdjMR}} \)) based on the sample of \( n \) AdjMR's [1]:

\[
\hat{\text{MR}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \text{Man-hours}_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \text{Miles}_j}
\]

The estimate of the SE of \( \hat{\text{MR}} \) using this same sample of \( n \) AdjMR's is the following [1]:

\[
\hat{\text{MR}} = \text{Sample Arithmetic Mean}
\]
MR is also the estimate for $\bar{MR}$ [3], where $\bar{MR}$ is the mean of man-hours divided by the mean of miles based on the population. Let $\hat{SE}$ serve as the estimate for the SE for $\bar{MR}$. We know that this is not the best SE estimate for $\bar{MR}$ because it doesn’t fully account for variation in miles. Nevertheless, using these estimates in the procedures described in the next section leads to excellent coverage, non-coverage and efficiency (distributions and sizes of CI lengths) results for many ratio mean problems.

**Standardized Z estimate for ratio mean & the CI**

It follows from the bootstrap-t that bootstrapping (based on a random sample) can be used to obtain an estimate for the standardized Z distribution[1]. In statistics, bootstrapping is a modern, computer-intensive general purpose approach to statistical inference, falling within a broader class of re-sampling methods. A bootstrap sample (BSS) of n vehicles is a random sample one vehicle at a time with replacement from the original sample of n vehicles. The probability of selecting a given vehicle for each of the n random selections is 1/n.

Bootstrapping has been in existence for over 25 years and has facilitated solutions of various kinds of problems (confidence intervals, variance reduction, hypothesis testing, etc.). This research uses bootstrapping to generate an approximate CI around a ratio mean. This is done by generating a large number of BSS’s through a Monte Carlo [4] simulation procedure.

Now, let’s estimate a bootstrap standardized $\hat{Z}$ distribution from the sample of n vehicles. Generate B BSS’s of size n from the sample of n vehicles. Each of the n elements of BSS i (i=1 to B) will contain all vehicle information (i.e. man-hours, miles). For each BSS compute the mean and SE estimate the same way that was done for the original raw sample. Compute a standardized $\hat{Z}$ value for each BSS [1].

$$\hat{Z}_{(i)} = \frac{\hat{MR}_{(i)} - \hat{MR}}{\hat{SE}_{(i)}}$$

where $\hat{MR}_{(i)}$ is an estimate of the mean for the $i^{th}$ BSS;

$$\hat{SE} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\hat{AdjMR}_{j} - \hat{MR})^2}{n^2}}$$

is an estimate of the SE for the $i^{th}$ BSS

$\hat{MR}$ is the original sample mean
Now we use \((\hat{SE}, \hat{MR}, \text{and } \hat{Z})\) estimates to compute an approximate 2-sided 100\((1-2\alpha)\)% confidence interval \((\alpha \text{ is the desired area in each tail})\) for the ratio mean metric. First compute the \(\alpha^{th}\) percentile and \((1-\alpha)^{th}\) percentile of the \(\hat{Z}\) distribution and call them \(\hat{t}_\alpha\) and \(\hat{t}_{1-\alpha}\) respectively. It follows that the 100\((1-2\alpha)\)% CI is [1]:

\[
\text{Lower CI bound} = \hat{MR} - \hat{SE} \times \hat{t}_{1-\alpha} \quad \text{and}
\]
\[
\text{Upper CI bound} = \hat{MR} - \hat{SE} \times \hat{t}_\alpha
\]

The \(\hat{Z}\) distribution accounts for the correlation of man-hours & miles and the variation in man-hours and miles. The following mathematical argument creates the framework for proceeding to the next level of validation.

Recall, \(\hat{SE}\) was used to serve as the estimate for the SE for \(\hat{MR}\). Also recall that we know that this is not the best SE estimate for \(\hat{MR}\) because it doesn’t fully account for variation in miles. The argument and hypothesis are that the product, \(\hat{SE} \times \hat{t}_{(a \text{ or } 1-\alpha)}\) is not compromised because the lack of variation of miles in \(\hat{SE}\) is accounted for in the \(\hat{Z}\) distribution and ultimately \(\hat{t}_{(a \text{ or } 1-\alpha)}\). This hypothesis is tested and validated by simulating the confidence interval properties (coverage, non-coverage and efficiency) for many ratio mean problems. It was shown that the validation results for various ratio mean problems were excellent for many kinds of scenarios.
3. **CONCLUSIONS**

Many situations arise that require the need to develop reliable approximate confidence intervals for ratio means. CIM4RM is a tool that was developed to satisfy this need. Prior to CIM4RM, no documented tool existed that could produce stable validation results.

Coverage and its related properties for CIM4RM were tested with many ratio mean problems and were shown to perform very well for various measures of sample size, correlation, location and distribution mix. Therefore, the CIM4RM methodology is a reliable and stable tool for building CI’s around ratio means.

The only scenarios where coverage starts to deviate away from the required level is for extremely high correlation with highly skewed data, existence of outliers in the data that cause the location parameter to shift or cases where the sample sizes are extremely small. When the two variables for the ratio mean are highly correlated, the confidence interval tends to be extremely short.

The Army is currently using this methodology to quantitatively analyze maintenance ratios and other ratio mean performance metrics for fielded Army ground and aviation systems. The Office of Inspector General for the Department of Health and Human Services is utilizing this methodology for reporting ratio mean confidence intervals to the U.S. Congress. Some other existing applications include: performance evaluations for Army test systems, evaluations of an Improvised Explosive Device Detection demonstration, hypothesis testing development for many applications that compare two ratio means, Aging Effects hypothesis testing, and paired reliability hypothesis testing.

Although current applications are government centric, there are countless other areas in private industry (e.g. banking, automotive) where CIM4RM can be used for improving decision analysis.
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