Dual lidar observations of mesoscale fluctuations of ozone and horizontal winds
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Abstract. A case study is presented of 6.5 h of simultaneous colocated stratospheric Differential Absorption Lidar (DIAL) measurements of ozone concentration and Rayleigh-Mie Doppler (RD) lidar measurements of horizontal wind velocity from the Observatoire de Haute Provence (OHP), France (44° N, 6° E). The RD lidar observations reveal a distinct gravity wave motion at ~12-18 km, while the DIAL data show laminated ozone structures in the same height range. We combine these data to show that advection due to the gravity wave cannot produce the large ozone lamina at ~14 km.

Introduction

Stratospheric ozone abundances exhibit appreciable mesoscale variability. Large perturbations with vertical scales ~1-5 km are observed routinely in ozonesonde data [Ehhalt et al, 1983; Hofmann et al, 1989; Reid and Vaughan, 1991; Teitelbaum et al, 1994; Mitchell et al, 1996], while measurements from stratospheric aircraft show variability over a broad range of horizontal scales [Nastrom et al, 1986; Danielsen et al, 1991; Bacmeister et al, 1996]. Since ozone in the lower stratosphere (below ~25 km) is photochemically inactive on timescales up to 5 days (in the absence of heterogeneous processing), such variability must in general have a dynamical origin.

Gravity-wave-induced vertical advection was first suggested as a possible cause of ozone perturbations by Chia and Ching [1978]. Aircraft observations during the Stratosphere-Troposphere Exchange Project (STEP) revealed inertia-gravity waves (IGWs) and seemingly related perturbations of ozone and other constituents [Chen et al, 1991; Wilson et al, 1991; Alexander and Pfister, 1995]. Danielsen et al [1991] argued that the vertical and horizontal displacements of these IGWs produced the observed ozone perturbations, and that irreversible constituent transport resulted as these waves dissipated. Teitelbaum et al [1994], Alexander and Pfister [1995] and Teitelbaum et al [1996] argued that gravity-wave-induced vertical advection accounted for the ozone fluctuations in their data.

Conversely, Ehhalt et al [1983] found that long-term variations of ozone inferred from ozonesonde releases at Hohenpeissenberg (47° N, 11° E) were significantly larger than those expected on the basis of wave-induced potential temperature fluctuations alone, indicating that gravity wave vertical advection cannot explain all of the structure. Later analysis of variability in a large base of ozonesonde data from middle to high northern latitudes by Reid et al [1993] identified the largest perturbations (so-called "laminae") with differential transport across the polar vortex edge. High-resolution parcel advection models of this transport have succeeded in simulating laminated vertical ozone profiles which are similar to those observed [e.g., Orsolinii et al, 1995]. Indeed, Newman and Schoeberl [1995] recently used such a model to argue that quasi-horizontal flow in and out of the polar vortex could explain those laminar ozone structures observed in STEP data which Danielsen et al [1991] had previously attributed to IGW motions. Recent analysis of a large amount of airborne stratospheric ozone data by Bacmeister et al [1996] concluded that neither IGWs nor large-scale advection alone could explain the mean mesoscale variability evident in these data, and that both effects may combine and interact to produce the observed variability.

Recent studies by Reid et al [1994] and Langford et al [1996] combined velocity and ozone data to investigate IGW activity in ozone. However the poor temporal resolution of sondes data was a limiting factor in the study of Reid et al [1994] and the spatial separation of 75 km of the radar and DIAL instrument in the experiments of Langford et al [1996] meant that waves observed in one data set may not always relate to those in the other. Here, we report on a pilot experiment which was devised to avoid many of these difficulties. Two colocated lidar instruments were used to acquire simultaneous stratospheric velocity and ozone data with high vertical and temporal resolution. We use these velocity data to identify and characterize an IGW in the stratosphere and to predict its effect on ozone profiles, then compare these predictions with the contemporaneous ozone data to infer the processes responsible for the structure in the ozone data.

Observations

The DIAL ozone and RD lidars, located approximately 10 m apart within the same building at OHP, were run simultaneously during the observation period (2230 LT on 23 October to 0500 LT on 24 October, 1995). Table 1 sum-
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summarizes the operational specifics of both lidar systems (see also Garnier and Chedin [1992] and Lacoste et al. [1992]). Measurements of lower stratospheric (12-30 km) ozone concentration were provided by the DIAL system and zonal and meridional velocities were provided by the RD lidar.

Figure 1 presents the sequence of DIAL ozone concentration profiles in two consecutive plots. The estimated error in measurement is ~10% in the height range 12-20 km. The RD lidar zonal and meridional velocity profiles in Figure 2 are displayed at a vertical grid spacing of 150 m, obtained from regridding using spline interpolation from the initial 115 m resolution, for compatibility with the DIAL profiles. The RD lidar data are acquired typically with a time resolution of 5 min so that the 30 min profiles presented here have an estimated error of less than 5% between 12-20 km.

Figure 3 displays the average ozone concentration profile calculated over the 6.5 h observation period. The error associated with this average profile is ~2% in the height range 12-20 km. The small-scale features evident in this average profile must result from dynamical activity on timescales greater than 6.5 hours.

### Ozone Laminae

The two local maxima in ozone concentration in Figure 3 at ~14 km and ~18 km are examples of ozone laminae. They were identified as such using the definition of Reid and Vaughan [1991] which requires large positive or negative ozone concentrations which are limited to a layer less than 2.5 km in vertical extent. Reid and Vaughan [1991] found that the majority of laminae in mid-latitude, northern hemispheric ozone sonde data were within the height range 12-18 km.

The 6.5 h mean lidar ozone concentration profile (Figure 3) was compared with an ozone concentration profile from an ozone sonde launched from OHP at 1200 LT on 24 October 1995, 7 h after the final lidar profile (not shown here). The ozone sonde profile confirmed the lidar observation of the lamina at 14 km so that a minimum lifetime of 14 h was estimated for the lamina at 14 km based on its occurrence in both the lidar and ozone sonde profiles. The lamina at 18 km was reduced by the time of the ozone sonde flight. Thus, from the lidar observations alone, the estimated minimum lifetime for the 18 km lamina was 6.5 h.

### An Inertia Gravity Wave

Successive vertical profiles of zonal and meridional velocities from the RD lidar (Figure 2) indicate a wave-like feature below 20 km with downward phase (upward energy) propagation which persists throughout the 6.5 h observation period. A hodograph analysis (Figure 4) shows mostly clockwise rotation of the velocity fluctuations with height and a well-defined velocity ellipse, which suggests that this feature is a long-period upward-propagating IGW. The wave parameters were derived from these data using a Stokes parameter analysis [e.g., Eckermann, 1996] and are presented in Table 2. The values of observed and intrinsic wave frequency were used to infer that the horizontal propagation direction of the IGW was towards the south-west.

### Vertical IGW Displacements

The IGW parameters in Table 2 were used to estimate the influence of vertical advection due to this IGW on the ozone concentration profile. For adiabatic motion and a constant background ozone gradient, the relative ozone concentration perturbation, \(\frac{O_J}{O_3}\), is given by [Chiu and Ching, 1978]

\[
\frac{O_J(z,t)}{O_3(z)} \approx \left( -C'(z,t) \right) \left( \frac{dO_3(z)}{dz} + \frac{\dot{O}_3(z)}{\gamma H} \right),
\]

Figure 1. DIAL ozone concentration profiles from 2230 LT on 23 October to 0500 LT on 24 October, 1995. Each profile is labeled by the start time of its 30 min integration. Successive profiles are offset by 5×10^13 cm^-3.

Figure 2. RD lidar profiles from 2230 LT on 23 October to 0500 LT on 24 October, 1995. The zero point of each profile is labeled with the starting time of its 30 min observational integration. Successive profiles are offset by 10 m s^-1.

Table 1. DIAL and RD lidar capabilities at OHP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ozone DIAL</th>
<th>RD lidar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beam direction</td>
<td>vertical</td>
<td>vertical + off-zenith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelengths</td>
<td>absorbed 308 nm</td>
<td>532 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRF</td>
<td>50 Hz</td>
<td>30 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time resol.</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>30 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height resol.</td>
<td>500 m</td>
<td>115 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height range</td>
<td>12 - 30 km</td>
<td>12 - 45 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major error</td>
<td>water vapour</td>
<td>aerosols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sources</td>
<td>aerosols</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Parameters of the observed IGW.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C(z,t)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dO_3/dz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\dot{O}_3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Horizontal IGW Displacements

Horizontal IGW displacements were neglected in (1) because the lidar data do not provide information on mean horizontal gradients in $\partial_3$. However, when zonal and meridional $\partial_3$ gradients are nonzero, additional contributions to (1) arise due to the zonal ($\chi'$) and meridional ($\psi'$) displacements of the wave [e.g., Danielsen et al., 1991]. Since the IGW propagates south-westward, with $\phi=2.2\, \text{rad}^\circ$ and $U'$ peaking at $\sim 4 \, \text{m s}^{-1}$, then $\chi'$ and $\psi'$ both oscillate air parcels $\pm 12.7 \, \text{km}$ zonally and meridionally from their equilibrium positions.

For horizontal IGW displacements to produce the lamina at 14 km, large increases in $\partial_3$ must occur no more than $\sim 20 \, \text{km}$ away from the lidar site so that the IGW can advect these larger ozone abundances into (and then out of) the lidar field-of-view. This also means that such an IGW-produced lamina could exist over a given location for no longer than one ground-based period of the wave, which from Table 2 is $\sim 10.8 \, \text{h}$. Since the lamina at 14 km was observed to be stable over at least 14 h, it is clear that horizontal advection due to the IGW cannot explain this feature.

Since advection by the IGW cannot produce the observed lamina at 14 km, this strongly suggests that it resulted from synoptic-scale advection patterns. Initial indications from isentropic parcel advection calculations suggest that a filamentary structure was indeed present at approximately 14 km over OHP during this experiment [R.J. Atkinson, private communication]. The lamina at 18 km appeared to vary in shape and intensity from profile to profile (see Figure 1). Consequently, IGW-induced horizontal advection could play a more significant role in driving this feature.

Conclusions

The dual lidar measurement technique described here permits a more thorough study of mesoscale dynamics and tracer variability than has been possible previously. The RD lidar data revealed an upward-propagating IGW below 20 km, with a vertical wavelength of 2.3 km, an observed vertical phase speed $\sim 0.06 \, \text{m s}^{-1}$, and a peak horizontal velocity amplitude of $\sim 4 \, \text{m s}^{-1}$. We were able to show conclusively that the strength and persistence of an ozone lamina at 14 km in DIAL data was not explained by wave advection. Conversely, the observed variability of a lamina at 18 km was somewhat more consistent with IGW influences.

The time-height coverage and resolution of both data sets were vital in allowing us to form these conclusions. Further observations using this technique should be especially valuable in investigating gravity waves, filamentary ozone structures, and their interactions, which Bacmeister et al. [1996]
Figure 5. Measured relative ozone concentration perturbation determined by subtracting a cubic polynomial mean profile (dotted-dashed curve in panel (a)) and calculated response of the IGW using (1) and (2) (solid curve in panels (a) and (b), respectively).

have argued may be very important in explaining observed power spectra of ozone variability in the lower stratosphere.
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