Patients with PSIs present very complex, multidisciplinary management challenges for military surgeons. Not only can the patient have immediate and delayed life-threatening damage to organs along the path of the projectile, the spinal column and possibly the neurological structures contained within can also, by definition, suffer injury, the severity of which depends on multiple factors. Spinal cord injury from spinal GSWs is more often a complete lesion with a decreased potential for neurological recovery than with closed trauma.43 There are a number of potential surgical indications, the most controversial of which is whether decompressive laminectomy has any effect on neurological recovery. Medical civilian and military literature is replete with opinions for and against the use of surgery.

Although missile characteristics such as size, composition and design are important, the major determinant of the destructive ability of a projectile is velocity at impact and thus the kinetic energy imparted to the surrounding tissue.10 Energy is calculated based on mass and velocity as follows: energy = 1/2mv^2. Therefore, velocity has a significantly greater effect on energy than projectile mass.

Abbreviations used in this paper: GSW = gunshot wound; IED = improvised explosive device; PSI = penetrating spinal injury; SCI = spinal cord injury; VB = vertebral body.
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Civilian spinal GSWs are generally caused by low muzzle velocity (< 1000 ft/second) handguns, whereas military injuries may be due to high-velocity assault weapons or fragments from IEDs. For example, the muzzle velocities of the M-16 and AK-47 are approximately 3300 and 2810 ft/second, respectively. A bullet damages tissues and structures by the following 3 known mechanisms: 1) direct impact injury of the bullet along its path; 2) pressure or shock waves created by the bullet impacting on tissue; and 3) temporary cavitation. Spinal cord injury may therefore occur even without any obvious injury to the spinal canal, termed spinal cord concussion (Fig. 1). Given the differences in ballistics and other factors discussed below, one may reasonably infer that penetrating injuries received on the battlefield are more destructive and therefore carry a worse prognosis than their civilian counterparts.

The US is currently engaged in 2 major military conflicts, Operation Enduring Freedom in Afghanistan and Operation Iraqi Freedom. These conflicts have seen the use of explosive weapons, most notably the IED as the weapon of choice that is used by adversaries. Conventional assault weapons, however, are still used. The stimulus for this paper was the frequent admission of patients with PSIs seen by the primary author during his recent deployment to Afghanistan and the challenge posed in determining the optimal treatment. Current neurosurgery clinical practice guidelines available to neurosurgeons in deployed locations do not address the management of PSIs. The most important question that the neurosurgeon faces is whether a decompressive laminectomy can improve the chance of regaining lost neurological function. The goal of this paper is to review in detail the civilian and the military medical literature over the last 30 years to develop an evidence-based answer to this age-old question and to put forth succinct treatment recommendations for the management of war-related PSIs. This triservice (US Air Force, Army, and Navy) effort will hopefully enable the deployed military neurosurgeon to make the most informed decision in a timely fashion and ultimately provide the best care for troops.

Methods

Applying specific search terms, we used the US National Library of Medicine PubMed database to identify all articles dealing with PSIs in military conflicts, particularly in the post-Vietnam War period. To identify additional articles not identified by PubMed, the reference lists of appropriate articles were hand searched. We selected the post-Vietnam War period to capture management of spinal injuries in a relatively modern era of military medicine that reflected state-of-the-art battlefield evacuation and stabilization, and resuscitative, surgical, and postoperative care. This was done to achieve a balance between minimizing the chance that potentially antiquated medical care (> 25–30 years) would confound the results of surgical intervention, and collecting an adequate amount of medical literature on an infrequently published topic. Information identified in each article, when available, included the number of patients, average age, sex, military conflict, mechanism of injury, level of spinal involvement (cervical, thoracic, or lumbosacral), incomplete versus complete neurological injury, number of patients who underwent decompressive laminectomy, surgical outcomes, and incidence of injury to other organs or organ systems.

Since there were relatively few military articles identified during the post-Vietnam War period, a similar search was conducted to identify all papers dealing with civilian PSIs during a similar time span. The same information from each article was recorded.

Results

Military Literature

Eleven retrospective case series were identified in the 20 years that spanned 1984–2004 (Tables 1 and 2). Countries where the conflicts took place included Croatia (3 reports21,36,40), Turkey (3 reports2,12,22), Iran-Iraq (1 report1), Lebanon (2 reports13,30), India (1 report6), and Panama (1 report31). There were 782 patients collectively, the majority being male and soldiers, although some papers also identified civilians as part of their patient population. The
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**TABLE 1: Demographics of patients with PSIs in military conflicts* **

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>Conflict</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>Mean Age (yrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Janković et al., 1998</td>
<td>Croatian War</td>
<td>96 (86 soldiers, 10 civilians [4 F, 6 M])</td>
<td>28.3 (soldiers), 38.8 (civilians)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaca et al., 2002</td>
<td>terrorism in Turkey</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splavski et al., 1996</td>
<td>Croatian War</td>
<td>21 (10 soldiers, 11 civilians [7 M, 4 F])</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aarabi et al., 1996</td>
<td>Iran-Iraq War</td>
<td>205 (145 w/ follow-up)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kahraman et al., 2004</td>
<td>terrorism in Turkey</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohry &amp; Rozin, 1984</td>
<td>Lebanon War</td>
<td>12 (7 soldiers, 5 civilians)</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duz et al., 2008</td>
<td>terrorism in Turkey</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rukovansjki, 1996</td>
<td>Croatian War</td>
<td>20 (16 M, 4 F)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhatoe &amp; Singh, 2003</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammoud et al., 1995</td>
<td>Lebanon War</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsons et al., 1993</td>
<td>Operation Just Cause (Panama)</td>
<td>2 (M)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td></td>
<td>782</td>
<td>19.7 (soldiers), 38.8 (civilians)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* NA = not available.

The mean age ranged from 20 to 39 years, the latter being the average from a group of injured citizens included in one report.21 Gunshot wounds were clearly identified as the mechanism in 333 patients and fragmentation from an explosive device in 378. One paper did not make the distinction between the two mechanisms.13 Two papers specified the kinetics of the weapon used (low velocity vs high velocity).6,12 The thoracic spine was most commonly involved (317 [41%] of 782 patients), followed by lumbosacral (285 [36%] of 782 patients) and cervical (180 [23%] 782 patients). Of the 606 patients in whom their admission neurological function was known, 306 (50%) had a complete SCI. Decompressive laminectomy was performed in 382 patients, 31 of whom underwent stabilization as well. Associated organ injuries occurred in 45% of patients (350 of 775).

Only 4 papers had sufficient data to allow any conclusion to be drawn regarding the efficacy of decompressive surgery compared with conservative management (Table 2). The other papers either did not present adequate pre- and postoperative neurological data or were biased in their treatment (that is, they treated all patients either surgically or nonoperatively), thus rendering any comparison impossible. Despite presenting inadequate supportive data, the authors of 4 other papers rendered a position statement on the role of surgery. Overall, 6 papers concluded that decompressive surgery was of no benefit, 2 indicated that surgery was a benefit in all patients with a neurological deficit (1 report) or only in those with an incomplete or cauda equina injury (1 report), and 3 papers made no comment.

**Civilian Literature**

Eight retrospective case series met our search criteria in the 9 years that spanned 1987–1996 (Tables 3 and 4). Although 2 papers were published in the post–Vietnam War era, their data collection occurred during the Vietnam War era and thus were excluded.37,41 Metropolitan trauma centers included Philadelphia (2 reports6,25), Houston (2 reports35,38), Los Angeles (1 report45), Shreveport (1 report4), Chicago (1 report3), and The Bronx (1 report23). There were 694 patients collectively, the vast majority being male (639 patients). The mean age ranged from 24.7 to 32 years. Gunshot wounds from low-velocity handguns were the most common mechanism. Although some series also included patients with stab wounds, the neurological data in Table 4 reflect only those patients with GSWs, but some of the demographic data in Table 3 includes the stab wound victims. The thoracic spine was again the most commonly involved region (360 [52%] of 694), followed by lumbosacral (236 [34%] of 694), and cervical (98 [14%] of 694). Of the 633 patients in whom the admission neurological function was known, 377 (60%) had a complete SCI. Decompressive laminectomy was performed in 231 patients, and only 9 underwent concurrent stabilization. Associated organ injuries occurred in a high percentage of patients (356 [77%] of 461).

Only 2 papers had sufficient data to draw any conclusion on the efficacy of decompressive surgery compared with conservative management (Table 4). This was due to treatment bias. Cybulski et al.9 operated on all patients; Khihtir et al.23 did not operate on any patient. Despite lack of comparative data in these 2 papers, the authors of all papers rendered an opinion on the role of surgery based on their experience. Overall, 2 papers concluded that decompressive surgery had a beneficial role (in cauda equina or incomplete lesions [1 report each]), 1 paper indicated that removal of the bullet was of benefit between T-12 and L-4 in either incomplete or complete SCI, and 5 papers indicated that there was no evidence to support the use of decompressive laminectomy.

**Discussion**

**History**

An early and one of the most well-known fatalities due to a PSI was that of the great British naval Vice Ad-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Expl/Blast</th>
<th>Level of Injury</th>
<th>Neurological Injury</th>
<th>Laminectomy</th>
<th>Stabilization</th>
<th>Other Organ Injury (%)</th>
<th>Sufficient Data?†</th>
<th>Neurological Results</th>
<th>Authors' Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Janković et al., 1998</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50/96 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaca et al., 2002</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>72/105 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splavski et al., 1996</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aarabi et al., 1996</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>90/145 (62)</td>
<td>55/145 (38)</td>
<td>87/145 (60)</td>
<td>49/205 (24)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kahraman et al., 2004</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>49/106 (46)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohry &amp; Rozin, 1984</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5/12 (42)</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duz et al., 2008</td>
<td>53 (37 high vel, 16 low vel)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>54/129 (41.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rukovansjki, 1996</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13/20 (65)</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhatoe &amp; Singh, 2003</td>
<td>4 (all low vel)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10/17 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammoud et al., 1995</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>38/64 (59)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsons et al., 1993</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>CSCI pts did not improve</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* CSCI = complete SCI; Expl = explosion; ISCI = incomplete SCI; pt = patient; vel = velocity.
† The study was considered to have sufficient data if the paper had neurological outcome data for patients who underwent a decompressive laminectomy and those that were treated nonoperatively.
TABLE 2: Neurological outcome of PSIs sustained during military conflict

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>No. of Patients &amp; Sex</th>
<th>Neurological Mechanism</th>
<th>Expl/Cerebral</th>
<th>Thoroacic</th>
<th>Lumbar</th>
<th>Stabilization</th>
<th>Other Organ</th>
<th>Data?†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kihir et al., 1991</td>
<td>The Bronx</td>
<td>21 M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson et al., 1989</td>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>150 M, 10 F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waters &amp; Adkins, 1991</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>81 M, 9 F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heary et al., 1996</td>
<td>Philadelphia</td>
<td>222 M, 17 F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robertson &amp; Simpson, 1992</td>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>30 M, 3 F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kupcha et al., 1990</td>
<td>Philadelphia</td>
<td>25 M, 3 F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td></td>
<td>639 M, 55 F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* CSCI = complete SCI; Expl = explosion; ISCI = incomplete SCI; pt = patient; vel = velocity.† The study was considered of no benefit.

---
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This reluctance to render treatment to these patients based on their grim prognosis continued into the Great War (World War I, 1914–1918). For example, during the brief but bloody American involvement, 0.4% of the injured had compound spinal injuries with a mortality rate of 56%. After the war, the British Medical Research Council, in a review of World War I spinal cord injuries, concluded that the only indication for a laminectomy was an incomplete lesion with progressive neurological loss, which admittedly was quite a rare scenario. At the onset of World War II (1939–1945), surgeons were faced with another onslaught of PSIs with little treatment to offer as a result of the continuation of the fatalistic attitude born through the previous conflicts. As stated by Dr. Milton Tinsley, “This was the confusion existing when we began to care for compound spinal injuries in World War II.”

Before becoming one of the founding fathers of pediatric neurosurgery, then Major Donald Matson summarized the feelings of many surgeons: “This has always been a difficult and discouraging group of cases to treat and perhaps for this reason they have been neglected.”

During the early American land campaigns of World War II such as Africa and Sicily, surgeons initially managed PSI using the same pessimistic philosophy of World War I. However, this was becoming increasingly unacceptable to surgeons. As stated by Dr. Walter Haynes, consultant neurosurgeon for the American First Army, “No one of us was ever satisfied with the defeatist attitude prevalent as to the prognosis of cord wounds. Yet, in light of previous experience of such men as Cushing, and the results of surgery in hardly comparable closed cord injuries, it seemed foolhardy to laminectomize more of those patients.”

As World War II progressed, the pendulum of opinion swung sharply in favor of aggressive surgical debridement, decompression of the spinal canal, and often intradural exploration through a laminectomy in virtually all patients with compound spinal wounds, even those with complete neurological injuries. Previously unheard of results were being reported. In Pool’s series of 57 patients treated during the years 1943–1944, 35 underwent a laminectomy and 57% showed a marked neurological improvement, compared with 4.5% spontaneous improve-
### TABLE 4: Neurological outcomes after PSIs sustained during civilian conflict

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>No. of Patients (%)</th>
<th>Level of Injury</th>
<th>Neurological Injury</th>
<th>Op</th>
<th>Other Organ Injury</th>
<th>Mean FU</th>
<th>Sufficient Data?</th>
<th>Neurological Results</th>
<th>Authors' Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cybulski et al., 1989</td>
<td>handgun</td>
<td>0 0 88 29 59 88</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>47.5% improved w/ op done w/in 72 hrs; 48.1% improved w/ op done after 72 hrs</td>
<td>decompressive op is of benefit in cauda equina whether done early or late</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzel et al., 1987</td>
<td>33 handgun, 2 rifle</td>
<td>8 21 6 20 15 13</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>improved: CSCI+op 100%; CSCI w/o op 0%; ISCI+op 100%, ISCI w/o op 75%</td>
<td>decompressive op is of benefit in incomplete injuries w/ evidence of compression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kihtir et al., 1991</td>
<td>all GSWs</td>
<td>0 7 14 11 10 0 2</td>
<td>18/21 (86)</td>
<td>3 mos</td>
<td>no pt showed neurological improvement</td>
<td>decompressive op is of no benefit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson et al., 1989</td>
<td>142 GSWs, 18 SWs</td>
<td>43 87 30 94 48 31</td>
<td>107/160 (67)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>decompressive op is of no benefit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waters &amp; Adkins, 1991</td>
<td>all GSWs</td>
<td>19 49 22 54 36 32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>removal of bullet improved motor function only for complete &amp; incomplete T12–L4 injuries</td>
<td>removal of bullet is beneficial only in T12–L4 region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heary et al., 1996</td>
<td>219 GSWs, 20 SWs</td>
<td>0 196 43 144 52 44 7</td>
<td>169/219 (77)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>decompressive op is of no benefit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robertson &amp; Simpson, 1992</td>
<td>30 GSWs, 3 SWs</td>
<td>0 0 33 4 29 18 21/33 (64)</td>
<td>22 wks</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>decompressive op is of no benefit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kupcha et al., 1990</td>
<td>handgun</td>
<td>28 0 0 21 7 5</td>
<td>10/28 (36)</td>
<td>46 mos</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>decompressive op is of no benefit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>653 GSWs, 41 SWs</td>
<td>98 360 236 377 256 231 9</td>
<td>356/461 (77)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FU = follow-up; SW = stab wound.
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Fig. 3. This enemy combatant was injured by a large fragment from an artillery round. The fragment lodged in the spinal canal at the T11–12 level, and the patient had a complete neurological injury. The patient was treated nonoperatively. There was no neurological improvement after several months.

The purpose of this review was to evaluate the evidence for or against decompressive laminectomy use to treat PSIs since the Vietnam War. We started by reviewing the military medical literature and found that there were a number of issues with the quality of the data and the conclusions put forth by the authors as stated in Results. Although a large number of total patients (782) have been reported from these studies, only 4 papers had a reasonable amount of operative and nonoperative data within their studies to make a legitimate statement about the efficacy of surgery. Only 2 papers asserted that surgery was beneficial, and neither one of these had data to support this conclusion. Despite having a bias toward surgery (17 of 21 patients had a decompressive laminectomy and did not report the outcomes on those who did not have surgery), Splavski et al.40 made the inappropriate conclusion that all patients with PSIs and a neurological deficit be treated with immediate surgery. The same flaws (bias toward surgery and lack of nonoperative data) marred the paper by Bhatee and Singh6 in which they similarly concluded that surgery was beneficial. One paper correctly stated in their abstract the lack of benefit for surgery based on their observed data, but then contradictorily concluded that patients with incomplete or cauda equina injury have a better prognosis for functional recovery when surgery is performed.22 The highest quality papers in the military medical literature were by Aarabi et al.1 and Hammoud et al.13 In both papers, there were sufficient numbers of nonoperative and operative patients and within each, complete and incomplete neurological deficits, for data analysis. In both, surgery was not found to improve neurological functional recovery.
and incomplete neurological injury. This local national suffered a transabdominal GSW from an AK-47 that caused extensive comminution to VBs spanning the full length of the L-4 VB (A) as well as fractures spanning the full length of the L-4 VB (B). There was retropulsion of bone at L-3 (C). After undergoing immediate abdominal surgery and 1 week of intravenous antibiotics, the patient underwent an L1–S1 posterior fusion and stabilization with L-3 decompression (D).

Figure 4. Example of transabdominal/transspinal GSW with instability and incomplete neurological injury. This local national suffered a transabdominal GSW from an AK-47 that caused extensive comminution to the L-3 VB (A) as well as fractures spanning the full length of the L-4 VB (B). There was retropulsion of bone at L-3 (C). After undergoing immediate abdominal surgery and 1 week of intravenous antibiotics, the patient underwent an L1–S1 posterior fusion and stabilization with L-3 decompression (D).

Given the aforementioned methodological deficiencies in existing military medical literature, we performed a similar search in the civilian medical literature. Here, the quality of the literature was superior. Eight papers were identified, and of these 3 advocated a role for surgery. Cybulski et al. only evaluated patients with injuries of the cauda equina and concluded that surgery was of benefit whether done early (< 72 hours) or late (> 72 hours), but there were no control data (nonoperative) to support their conclusion. Benzel et al. concluded that surgery was indicated in those patients with incomplete injury and evidence of continued compression of neurological structures within the spinal canal. In a multicenter study, Waters and Adkins found that bullet removal benefited those with complete or incomplete lesions between T-12 and L-4 (Fig. 2), but there was no effect in those between T-1 and T-11. Four papers in which there was complete preoperative, postoperative, and nonoperative data, containing patients with both complete and incomplete neurological injury, strongly concluded that surgery was of no benefit.16,25,35,36

Our Recommendations for Deployed Military Neurosurgeons

A deployed military neurosurgeon works in an environment devoid of many administrative responsibilities, family support responsibilities, and other issues that comprise life. Thus, they are able to focus all of their energy on providing the best care for the casualties of war even though it may be in less than an ideal clinical setting. Repetitively facing injured soldiers and civilians with a clinical problem not often encountered in civilian practice and with limited guidance is extremely unsettling. As described by World War II surgeons in the preceding section, the motivation to act and provide maximal intervention, regardless of whether such intervention is known to provide actual benefit, is strong. Such has been the dilemma with patients with PSI, for whom recommendations have not appreciably changed in more than 100 years of military conflicts.

The literature thus far for PSI has provided some answers to other questions.7,8,16,20,24 It is quite clear that the strongest prognostic predictor of future neurological function is the initial neurological grade. There is no need to perform exploratory surgery or bullet or fragment removal as a means to reduce infection beyond local wound care unless there is gross contamination of the wound.13,43,46 A minimum of 3 days but more typically a 7–14-day course of broad-spectrum intravenous antibiotics with coverage of gram-positive, gram-negative, and anaerobic bacterial flora is effective at preventing most infections, including those in patients with transcolonic wounds.7,27,33 There is no need to operate to remove bullet fragments to prevent lead or copper toxicity as this is an extremely rare complication even in the new era of IEDs. There is also no role for the administration of trauma-dose Solu-Medrol in these patients.26 The only absolute indications for surgery are to repair a CSF-cutaneous/pleural fistula or if the patient has progressive neurological decline correlated with compression of neural elements on imaging studies.

The question that has always been of greatest importance is whether decompressive laminectomy can provide the patient a better chance at regaining neurological function compared with nonoperative supportive measures. The gravity of this question is obvious when considering that the vast majority of patients who sustain PSIs in the military are young, healthy, physically active individuals, many of whom have spouses and children eagerly awaiting their return home from deployment. Does surgery work? The literature reviewed here is inconclusive, but the data reported to date suggest it does not, especially in those with complete lesions. Most of the military and civilian literature does not support surgery. Furthermore, the findings in the civilian literature are particularly important when one considers that the differences between civilian and military injuries make the argument for nonoperative treatment even stronger. Civilian injuries are almost universally caused by low-velocity projectiles that impart only a fraction of the energy to the tissue on impact in comparison with what is found in high-velocity injuries. The associated organ injuries are less severe and the wounds are less contaminated. Civilian patients can often be transported to a trauma center and can rapidly start receiving advanced care. Despite significant advancement in battlefield resuscitation and transport, the process of getting a wounded warrior to a Level III Air Force Theater Hospital, which may have neurosurgical assets, can...
Can surgery improve neurological function in PSIs?

be lengthy. If there is merit to the argument that early decompression leads to better outcomes, patients extracted from a battlefield may be at a disadvantage.

However, we believe that decompressive laminectomy may be indicated for patients with penetrating SCI beyond those patients requiring repair of a CSF fistula or experiencing progressive neurological decline. We stress that these recommendations are the collective opinions of the authors and in no way should be interpreted as treatment standards or guidelines; each neurosurgeon or spine surgeon must make their own clinical decision based on their opinion of the literature, their abilities, resources available at their deployment location, and each individual patient scenario:

1. Laminectomy is to be considered only if the patient is stabilized from other life-threatening injuries, most notably abdominal, thoracic, or intracranial injury.

2. Laminectomy should not be performed in patients with complete neurological injury (Fig. 3), with the possible exception of patients with a cervical injury who demonstrate clear compressive pathology on imaging and arrive early after sustaining their injury. Here, the recovery of even one spinal segment is highly significant in their rehabilitive function.

3. Laminectomy should be considered in all patients with an incomplete neurological deficit (especially if the lesion is in the cauda equina) and there is evidence on imaging of significant spinal canal compromise (for example, bone or metal). Surgery should be performed as soon as possible, ideally within 24–48 hours. Segmental instrumentation and fusion should be considered at the same time if the injury is deemed unstable.

We offer these recommendations for several reasons. The available medical literature as we have detailed is far from conclusive. All the components to provide timely surgical intervention in-theater are currently in place: a well-integrated, rapid, military medical evacuation system, in-theater neurosurgeons, and medical facilities that are able to provide all aspects of perioperative care. There has been a significant change in the paradigm for delivery of neurosurgical care for the wounded military service member over the past 2 decades. Since the first Gulf War, neurosurgeons are now deployed directly in the combat theater, often quickly accessible via helicopter evacuation with times comparable to those seen at major civilian trauma centers. This previously unseen access to neurosurgical evaluation and treatment should be considered when establishing a treatment algorithm in current and future military conflicts. Additionally, many military spinal cord and cauda equina injuries are the result of IEDs, which are low velocity shrapnel wounds similar to injuries sustained in civilian GSW injuries. Direct injury from a high-velocity military firearm, such as from a sniper attack, is fortunately less frequent than low-velocity shrapnel wounds. Another point we believed was an important consideration was the transportation of these patients out of the operational theater to other medical facilities, typically in Europe and North America. These are long (commonly 8 or more hours) transcontinental and transoceanic flights aboard military aircraft, which may encounter significant turbulence with additional risk for further neurological injury. A similar rationale is used to support the use of decompressive craniectomy in patients with severe head injury.

Perioperative Complications

A number of authors have cited an increased rate of complications in patients who undergo surgery and use this finding to strengthen their argument against performing surgery. However, we believe that this finding, has not been supported by others. Complications that have been reported include CSF leak, postoperative instability, neurological decline, epidural hematoma, meningitis, and local infection. If such a difference truly exists, it would not be surprising and in fact expected, but we believe that these complications are manageable and should not dissuade the surgeon from rendering potentially beneficial treatment.

Transgastrointestinal Injuries

Projectiles that pass through the abdomen and in particular the colon before striking the spinal column are particularly ominous injuries. These patients typically require a life-saving laparotomy as the initial treatment. These patients are often too critically ill or have other issues such as an open abdominal wound that precludes them from being placed prone for several hours to perform a laminectomy. Furthermore, several authors have found that the risk of developing a postoperative spinal wound infection is significantly higher in those patients with transcolonic injuries. Therefore, whether to perform a laminectomy in patients with transgastrointestinal injuries needs a careful analysis of all issues, neurological and nonneurological. We believe that if the patient has an incomplete injury with continued canal compromise from bone or foreign objects, and it is thought the patient could tolerate a laminectomy, and the patient has been placed on appropriate broad-spectrum intravenous antibiotics, then a laminectomy should be performed as soon as possible. We believe that the potential of achieving neurological recovery outweighs the risk of developing an infection that should be readily treatable with appropriate antibiotics.

If it is thought that the patient has an unstable spine (see Instability), then the decompression and instrumentation should be delayed until the patient has completed a full course of antibiotics (Fig. 4). Alternatively, the decompression may be performed initially and the instability treated with segmental instrumentation in a delayed fashion or with prolonged immobility and bracing. In this circumstance, stabilization for coalition personnel would most likely occur at a medical facility out of theater because nonnative patients would most likely have been transferred before completion of their course of antibiotics.

Instability

As seen in this literature review, few patients in either the military or the civilian articles required stabilization. In general, low-velocity injuries typically seen in civilian casualties rarely create an unstable spine. However, high-velocity injuries, which are more common in mili-
tary patients, probably have a higher risk of instability because of the greater destructive capability of the projectile. Some of the cases of instability in the literature were associated with overly aggressive laminectomy. The largest series of military patients with PSI requiring stabilization was recently published by Duz et al.12 Of 129 patients, 24 required stabilization, 17 of them for what the authors classified as a Type III or side-to-side injury in which the bullet passed through both facet joints and/or pedicles.

In the area of operation, spinal imaging is accomplished with plain radiographs and CT scanning. Magnetic resonance imaging is not available until the patient reaches medical centers in either Europe or North America. In addition, MR imaging is often contraindicated in the wounded warrior due to retained fragments from the IEDs. Computed tomography with reconstructed images in the coronal and sagittal planes is adequate for determining the integrity of the VB, spinal canal, facets, and overall alignment of the spine in the area of the injury. Severely comminuted anterior and posterior elements with evidence of segmental deformity can be indicative of instability. Furthermore, a laminectomy in the presence of an anterior or middle column injury may produce an unstable spine.

Instrumentation should be performed if deemed necessary as part of the decompression operation if possible. Although coalition soldiers do not typically have permanent orthopedic or spinal implants placed in-theater for fear of infection, the state of the major in-theater medical centers, for example the Craig Joint Theater Hospital in Bagram, Afghanistan, is such that all the intraoperative equipment and spinal instrumentation needed to perform a fusion and stabilization are available. The decision to perform an instrumented fusion must take into account the risks of a potentially increased infection rate, which can be highly variable depending on the maturity of the deployed facility versus the risks of neurological decline during a long and complicated transport in a turbulent environment. In the more than 2 years that Air Force neurosurgeons have been deployed to Afghanistan, a number of spinal stabilization surgeries have been performed and, to date, there have been no deep or superficial wound infections. However, we stress that the final determination on whether to pursue instrumentation must be made by the deployed on-site neurosurgeon or spine surgeon as he or she will have the most accurate assessment of all factors that need to be taken into account when making such a decision.

Conclusions

The treatment of patients with PSIs continues to be a great challenge to all involved and may present considerable uncertainty for the in-theater neurosurgeon with regard to treatment. Despite the numerous conflicts of today and yesterday, there is still ambiguity as to the role of decompressive laminectomy in regaining lost neurological function. It is clear that the most important factor that determines future neurological function is the initial neurological status. Surgery may or may not have a beneficial role. However, the medical literature, both civilian and military, is inadequate at answering this question. This underscores the great need for future studies that are currently underway. Based primarily on the lack of conclusive evidence, we believe that there is a role for surgery when it is done with the hope of restoring neurological function. Despite more than 60 years of amazing advancement in medical treatment and technology, our recommendations are hauntingly similar to the opinions expressed by Dr. Matson in 1946 as a result of his experience in treating these injuries in the European Theater of Operations from 1944 to 1945:

Patients who exhibit a neurological picture consistent with an incomplete transection of the spinal cord where this picture has altered since the time of injury, especially where the neurological deficit is increasing....

Patients with a neurological picture of incomplete cord transection where x-rays reveal clear-cut encroachment on the spinal canal by a foreign body or reveal definite fractures of a lamina or pedicle with or without depressed bone fragments....

Patients who have sizable wounds directly over or near the spinal column and are leaking spinal fluid profusely....
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