Many athletes seeking a competitive edge rely on nutritional ergogenic aids to improve performance. Carbohydrate (CHO) and caffeine (CAF) supplementation appear efficacious at enhancing endurance exercise performance when studied under ideal circumstances, but the unique challenges imposed by environmental stressors such as heat may minimize or negate these effects. Similar to findings in temperate or cool environments, CHO intake during endurance exercise in hot environments produces a consistent performance benefit. But in contrast to the benefits observed in moderate environments, CAF affords no apparent performance advantage in the heat. These findings raise interesting questions about nutritional ergogenic mechanisms of action and offer direction for future research.
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ABSTRACT: Many athletes seeking a competitive edge rely on nutritional ergogenic aids to improve performance. Carbohydrate (CHO) and caffeine (CAF) supplementation appear efficacious at enhancing endurance exercise performance when studied under ideal circumstances, but the unique challenges imposed by environmental stressors such as heat may minimize or negate these effects. Similar to findings in temperate or cool environments, CHO intake during endurance exercise in hot environments produces a consistent performance benefit. But in contrast to the benefits observed in moderate environments, CAF affords no apparent performance advantage in the heat. These findings raise interesting questions about nutritional ergogenic mechanisms of action and offer direction for future research.
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INTRODUCTION

The aerobic energy system used in endurance exercise requires nutritional support for optimal athletic performance. It has been well documented that athletes require more food energy, especially from carbohydrates (CHO), to fuel high training volumes (American College of Sports Medicine, 2009). Beyond a calorically adequate diet rich in CHO, endurance athletes may also rely upon nutritional supplements in an effort to optimize nutrition and potentially gain a competitive edge. While the market abounds with myriad ergogenic nutritional sports aids, few have consistently demonstrated performance benefits for endurance exercise. CHO and caffeine (CAF) have both been widely studied and appear to reliably enhance endurance performance under ordinary sporting circumstances (Hawley et al., 1997; Coyle, 2004; Doherty and Smith, 2004; Hargreaves et al., 2004).

Most nutritional ergogenic aids are studied under near-ideal conditions, but exposure to environmental stressors such as heat can result in profound decrements in aerobic performance (Galloway and Maughan, 1997; Ely et al., 2007; Ely et al., 2010). Relatively few studies have explicitly addressed whether CHO or CAF can abate or surmount the performance challenges imposed by the heat, but athletic competitions regularly occur in warm weather venues. The purpose of this paper is to succinctly review the limitations to endurance exercise imposed by hot environments, explore potential mechanisms by which CHO and CAF might offset performance decrements, and examine the performance evidence.

PERFORMANCE LIMITING FACTORS IN HOT ENVIRONMENTS

A large maximal oxygen uptake (VO\textsubscript{2max}) is a prerequisite for success in sports where aerobic endurance is contested. Although high fitness and positive heat acclimation status will dampen the negative effects of heat stress (Pandolf, 1979), acute exposure to heat stress reduces VO\textsubscript{2max} as a consequence of displaced peripheral blood flow and a reduction in maximal cardiac output (Rowell et al., 1969; Nybo et al., 2001; Gonzalez-Alonso and Calbet, 2003). Sustainable, submaximal exercise intensities are therefore reduced since the same workload requires a larger fractional utilization of oxygen (Argrimmelsson et al., 2003). The substantial body heat storage that frequently occurs with exercise in the heat can also impair motor-neural function (Nybo and Nielsen, 2001a) and cerebral blood flow (Nybo and Nielsen, 2001b), and accelerate CHO substrate depletion (Feibraio, 2000; Jentjens et al., 2002) at a time when oxidation rates of ingested CHO are simultaneously reduced (Jentjens et al., 2002). Sweat losses can be very high during exercise-heat stress as evaporative sweating is the principal means of heat loss when air temperatures are high (> 35°C). Because sweat losses frequently outmatch fluid intake, dehydration ensues and can accentuate performance-limiting factors (Gonzalez-Alonso et al., 1997; Gonzalez-Alonso et al., 2000; Nybo et al., 2001; Cheuvront et al., 2003). The same work task in the heat is
also often perceived as more difficult than in temperate conditions (Nybo and Nielsen, 2001).

Although performance-limiting factors occur in concert, rather than in isolation, one or more factors may predominate. For example, the duration of exercise is inversely proportional to its intensity, so the potential for heat to accelerate substrate depletion will become more important as exercise duration increases. The rate of heat storage, sweating rate/efficiency, and overall balance between heat gain and heat loss will also depend on the exercise intensity x environment (air temperature x humidity) interaction. It is clear, however, that even when controlling for substrate depletion, excessive heat storage, and hydration, performance is reduced by 15-20% for exercise of only 15-min duration when air temperature is increased from 20-40°C (Ely et al., 2010). The magnitude of the heat stress effect can be calculated against a similar performance decrement (for the same exercise duration) observed when ascending from sea level to 3,000m altitude (Mazzone and Fulco, 2006). It therefore appears that environmental heat stress impairs aerobic exercise performance reliably, but variably, depending on circumstance.

**HOW MIGHT CHO OR CAF IMPROVE PERFORMANCE?**

CHO is the most efficient fuel source (i.e., more ATP is produced per unit of oxygen consumed) during exercise and ample CHO stores are necessary for sustaining high levels of performance during endurance exercise. The human body has a limited glycogen storage capacity, and CHO intake during endurance events (i.e., 42km marathon, Ironman triathlon) is considered critical for maintaining performance. The ergogenicity of CHO supplementation for endurance exercise has been widely studied. Recommendations for athletes looking to optimize performance include a high-CHO pre-exercise snack and 30-60g/hr of CHO for events lasting longer than one hour (American College of Sports Medicine, 2009). Pre-exercise CHO loading delays fatigue (Hawley et al., 1997) and consumption of CHO-electrolyte sports beverages during activity provides fuel to muscles and delays hypoglycemia, thereby maintaining central nervous system (CNS) function (Nybo, 2003). CHO may also reduce the perception of effort (Nybo, 2003) or possibly improve motivation and central drive via putative CHO receptors in the mouth (Carter et al., 2004).

The efficacy of CAF has been demonstrated consistently across a range of intakes from 3 - 13 mg/kg, but with no apparent dose-response relationship (Doherty and Smith, 2004). Several potential ergogenic benefits of CAF have been investigated. The original mechanism believed responsible for CAF’s ergogenic effects with endurance exercise centered about increased adrenergic mobilization of free fatty acids and the consequent conservation of glycogen stores. However, the fragility of this explanation is now clear and it is no longer a tenable primary hypothesis (Graham, 2001). *In vitro*, CAF induces skeletal muscle contraction without membrane depolarization by interacting with calcium channels of the sarcoplasmic reticulum.

However, the dose of caffeine required to elicit this response is beyond the range associated with toxicity in humans (Davis et al., 2003; Kalmar and Cafarelli, 2004). The present leading mechanistic explanation for CAF’s performance-enhancing potential is adenosine receptor antagonism. CAF acts as a CNS stimulant by blocking adenosine binding sites (Davis et al., 2003; Kalmar and Cafarelli, 2004). During endurance exercise, this may delay fatigue by increasing dopamine binding. As ‘central fatigue’ could be related to hyperthermia (Nybo and Nielsen, 2001a) through a neurotransmitter phenomenon, this might afford a potential performance benefit during conditions of environmental heat stress (Watson et al., 2005). The well-established analgesic effect of CAF to reduce the perception of pain (Motl et al., 2003) and effort (Doherty and Smith, 2005) would also be advantageous.

**EFFECTIVENESS OF CHO IN THE HEAT**

CHO supplementation in the heat produces a consistent performance benefit during long-duration activities (Table 1). The effect appears most pronounced (9-24% improvement) in protocols using a fixed-intensity time to exhaustion [TTT] as a measure of performance (Carter et al., 2003; Carter et al., 2005; Nassif et al., 2008), where the provision of CHO affords an extended exercise duration. However, effects are also seen in time-trial performance measures [TT] when preceded by a fixed-intensity pre-load (Davis et al., 1988a; Davis et al., 1988b; Millard-Stafford et al., 1992; Below et al., 1995; Millard-Stafford et al., 1997). When benefits of CHO are observed in the heat, the magnitude of the effect appears similar to what has been reported for CHO supplementation in temperate environments. However, the number of direct experimental comparisons between hot and cooler environments is limited (Febbraio et al., 1996).

TT’s simulate the strategy and bioenergetics of genuine competitive events, thus the smaller (3-10%) improvements seen in TT’s may be more applicable to standard athletic competitions (e.g., 15km or 42km running events). Millard-Stafford et al. (1992) showed a substantial improvement in the final 5km of a 40km run in warm conditions with a 7% CHO-electrolyte beverage when compared to an artificially-sweetened placebo. Nassif et al. (2008) found a similar benefit with a 60%VO2max TTE test when volunteers were aware that they were consuming CHO in beverage form, but found no benefit in a double-blind trial when CHO was consumed in capsule form with water. This interesting difference may be attributed to a psychological benefit of CHO consumption, or to the activation of oral and pharyngeal CHO receptors that may additionally influence fatigue (Carter et al., 2004). Other studies investigating differences in CHO beverage concentration (Davis et al., 1988a; Davis et al., 1988b; Below et al., 1995; Febbraio et al., 1996; Galloway and Maughan, 2000) or the sweetness of CHO (Carter et al., 2005) have found minimal differences, although the standard 6-8% CHO beverages (~30-60gCHO/hr) are used most often for optimal absorption. Importantly, consumption of CHO in beverage form allows diminishing fluid and electrolytes levels to
be replenished simultaneously during exercise in the heat, which additionally improves performance as compared to a concentrated CHO bolus with minimal fluid replacement (Below et al., 1995) and offers a vast improvement over exercise in the heat with no fluid or carbohydrate replacement alone (Galloway and Maughan, 2000).

**TABLE 1. Summary of exercise performance with CHO in the heat.** * denotes significant improvement; TTE = time to exhaustion; TT = time trial performance; CE = cycle ergometry. All TT protocols involve a submaximal preload of varying duration except Millard-Stafford et al., 1990.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERFORMANCE TASK</th>
<th>ENVIRONMENT</th>
<th>CHO DOSE</th>
<th>% BENEFIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Davis 1988a</td>
<td>CE at 65% max, 3x3-min time trial (TT)</td>
<td>26-28°C, 67-68% rh</td>
<td>During exercise 3-6% faster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis 1988b</td>
<td>CE at 75% max, -30 min time trial (TT)</td>
<td>26-28°C, 67-68% rh</td>
<td>During exercise 9% faster*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milliard-Stafford 1990</td>
<td>Swim 1.5km, CE 40km, run 10km (TT)</td>
<td>30°C, 67% rh</td>
<td>During exercise 1% faster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millard-Stafford 1992</td>
<td>Run 40km; final 5km hard (TT)</td>
<td>25-32°C, 62-82% rh</td>
<td>Before + during 10% faster*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 1995</td>
<td>CE, 50-min submax, 10-min time trial (TT)</td>
<td>31.2°C, 54% rh</td>
<td>During exercise 6% faster*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febbraio 1996</td>
<td>CE at 70% max to fatigue (TTE)</td>
<td>33°C, 20-30% rh</td>
<td>Before + during &lt;5% longer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millard-Stafford 1997</td>
<td>Run 15km; final 1.6km hard (TT)</td>
<td>27-28°C, 62-76% rh</td>
<td>Before + during 5% faster*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carter 2005</td>
<td>CE at 60-73% max to fatigue (TTE)</td>
<td>35°C, 30% rh</td>
<td>Before + during 18-19% longer*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byrne 2005</td>
<td>3x60-min loaded walks (TTE)</td>
<td>35°C, 55% rh</td>
<td>Before + during 12-16% longer*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bailey 2008</td>
<td>CE, submax to fatigue (TTE)</td>
<td>35°C, 70% rh</td>
<td>During exercise 9% longer*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassif 2008</td>
<td>CE 60% max to fatigue (TTE)</td>
<td>28°C, 79% rh</td>
<td>During exercise 11-24% longer*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EFFICACY OF CAF IN THE HEAT**

The ability for CAF to improve endurance performance in a temperate environment has been well established (Doherty and Smith, 2004). However, when supplemented in hot environments, null findings prevail. Cohen et al. (1996) compared a range of CAF intakes during a half-marathon (21km, ~90 minutes) running competition at WBGT 24-28°C and found no effects. The authors speculated that the ~4% dehydration incurred during completion of the competitive 21km run may have obscured or overwhelmed the potential benefits of CAF. However, similar null results were also seen in a 45km cycling TT (~90 minutes) when fluid ingestion was permitted (Ferreira et al., 2005). When controlling the potential for excessive hyperthermia, substrate contractions interspersed throughout prolonged, submaximal exercise in the heat (Del Coso et al., 2008), this has yet to translate into a demonstrable exercise performance advantage.

Table 2 summarizes the small effects of CAF (1-4%) on performance in the heat, which are substantially less than the effects reported for temperate environments (~12%) (Doherty and Smith, 2004). Heat stress per se reduces performance (Ely et al., 2010) while increasing performance variability (Tyler and Sunderland, 2008; Cheuvront et al., 2009); thus small effects might be obscured by added measurement noise. But large inconsistencies in individual responses to CAF, apparent within the Table 2 studies, suggest a genuine non-phenomenon.

**TABLE 2. Summary of exercise performance with CAF in the heat.** * denotes significant improvement vs. placebo; TT = time trial performance; CE = cycle ergometry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERFORMANCE TASK</th>
<th>ENVIRONMENT</th>
<th>CAF DOSE</th>
<th>% BENEFIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohen 1996</td>
<td>21-km running race (TT)</td>
<td>WBGT 24-28°C</td>
<td>5 mg·kg·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferreira 2005</td>
<td>45-km cycling race (TT)</td>
<td>28-32°C; 71-78% rh (WBGT 24.5-27°C)</td>
<td>5 mg·kg·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del Coso 2008</td>
<td>CE at 63% max for 120-min with 4x4-sec power measurements (TT)</td>
<td>36°C 29% rh</td>
<td>6 mg·kg·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheuvront 2009</td>
<td>CE, 30 min at 50% max, 15-min time trial (TT)</td>
<td>40°C, 25% rh</td>
<td>9 mg·kg·1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The combination of CHO and CAF may also have a synergistic metabolic effect (Yeo et al., 2005) and might improve endurance performance in the heat as a consequence (Cureton et al., 2007; Del Coso et al., 2008). Many nutrition products (energy drinks, gels or chews, even soft drinks) targeted toward endurance athletes contain both CAF (25-100mg per serving) and CHO (~25 g per serving), which may work in concert to delay fatigue (Del Coso et al., 2008), reduce sensation of effort and possibly improve performance in a warm or hot environment (Cureton et al., 2007). Further study of CAF and CHO combinations in a hot environment may be a fertile area for future research.

CONCLUSIONS
Environmental heat stress poses a unique challenge to endurance performance and may reduce or negate the efficacy of established nutritional ergogenic aids. CHO and CAF are among the few nutritional ergogenic aids with recognized legitimacy in ordinary environments. When examined against the larger body of literature, comparably fewer studies have examined the potential efficacy of CHO or CAF in hot environments (Tables 1, 2). Although a variety of performance tests, exercise intensities, and exercise durations have been used over a range of warm to hot environments, study outcomes show a consistent significant benefit of CHO (8/12 studies) in the heat but no benefit of CAF (0/4 studies). These observations raise important questions concerning the underlying mechanism(s) of CHO and CAF ergogenicity and also support further study of the potential ergogenic interaction of CHO and CAF for enhancing endurance exercise performance in hot environments.
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