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Executive Summary

Human Systems Integration (HSI) encompasses the interdisciplinary technical and management processes for integrating human considerations within and across all system elements; an essential enabler to systems engineering practice. HSI processes facilitate trade-offs among human-centric domains without replacing individual domain activities, responsibilities, or reporting channels. The human-centered domains with recognized application to HSI include: Manpower, Personnel, Training, Human Factors Engineering, Survivability, Environment, Safety, Occupational Health, and Habitability.

The goal of HSI is to maximize total system performance, understanding that the human element is an integral part of systems, while minimizing total ownership costs. To be effective, HSI must be conducted as a fundamental part of the overall systems engineering activities within the Air Force Integrated Defense Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics Life Cycle Management System. HSI activities will focus on overall system performance and also on the design and integration of many subsystems, thus making HSI a critical part of the design process.

This guide assumes a basic understanding of DoD Systems Engineering (SE), HSI principles and practices, and acquisition acronyms and terminology. It was developed to depict when HSI activities should be performed to influence system design throughout the SE process. Its purpose is to facilitate domain and systems engineering integration on HSI issues.

Relevant tasks, tools, and references for HSI and each of the HSI process domains are identified and aligned with existing SE processes and reviews for each acquisition phase. Many of the tasks identified are notional best practices and not all tasks would be performed with every acquisition program.

Three versions of this guide have been produced. This version is organized by acquisition phase. Another version organized by domain is also available as well as a separate, shorter management version which focuses solely on HSI activities. Copies of the other versions can be obtained by contacting AFHSIO.
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Glossary

Tools
Human Systems Integration
**Human Systems Integration (HSI)**—Encompasses the interdisciplinary technical and management processes for integrating human considerations within and across all system elements; an essential enabler to systems engineering practice. The HSI processes facilitate trade-offs among the human-centric domains without replacing individual domain activities, responsibilities, or reporting channels. The human-centered domains with recognized application to HSI include: Manpower, Personnel, Training, Human Factors Engineering, Survivability, Environment, Safety, Occupational Health, and Habitability.
**Materiel Solution Analysis**—The purpose of this phase is to assess potential materiel solutions. The Materiel Solution Analysis Phase begins with the Materiel Development Decision review which is the formal entry point into the acquisition process. The lead DoD Component(s) prepare an AoA study plan to assess preliminary materiel solutions, identify key technologies, and estimate life-cycle costs. The Materiel Solution Analysis Phase ends when the AoA has been completed, materiel solution options for the capability need identified in the approved ICD have been recommended by the lead DoD Component conducting the AoA, and the phase-specific entrance criteria for the initial review milestone have been satisfied. *(DODI 5000.02)*
Materiel Solution Analysis Phase

**Inputs**
1. ICD
2. AoA Plan
3. Exit Criteria
4. Alternative Maintenance & Logistics Concepts

**Outputs**
1. Draft System Requirements
2. T&E Strategy
3. SEP
4. System Safety Analysis
5. Support & Maintenance Concepts & Technologies
6. Inputs to:
   - draft CDD
   - AoA
   - TDS
   - Cost/Manpower Est.

A. Interpret User Needs, Analyze Operational Capabilities & Environmental Constraints

B. Develop Concept Performance (& Constraints) Definition & Verification Objectives

C. Decompose Concept Performance into Functional Definition & Verification Objectives

D. Decompose Concept Functional Definition into Component Concepts & Assessment Objectives

E. Develop Component Concepts, i.e., Enabling/Critical Technologies, Constraints & Cost/Risk Drivers

F. Assess/Analyze Enabling/Critical Components Versus Capabilities

G. Assess/Analyze System Concept Versus Functional Capabilities

H. Assess/Analyze Concept & Verify System Concept's Performance

I. Analyze/Assess Concepts Versus Defined User Needs & Environmental Constraints

**Validation Linkage**

**Trades**

<< Back
Materiel Solution Analysis Phase

Human Systems Integration

**Inputs**

1. ICD
2. AoA Plan
3. Exit Criteria
4. Alternative Maintenance & Logistics Concepts

**Outputs**

1. Draft System Requirements
2. T&E Strategy
3. SEP
4. System Safety Analysis
5. Support & Maintenance Concepts & Technologies
6. Inputs to:
   - draft CDD - AoA - TDS
   - Cost/Manpower Est.

**Activities for Each Input:**

1.0 Review available Concept of Operations (CONOPS) and other available data
1.1 Select and review Baseline Comparison System(s) (BCS) documentation
1.2 Assess potential HSI domain effects
1.3 Ensure human constraints are included
1.4 Ensure domain points of contact (POCs) are identified
2.0 Set HSI conditions and constraints for consideration in Analysis of Alternatives (AoA)
2.1 Collect domain inputs for each alternative
2.2 Define trade space and risk associated with each of the domains
3.0 Identify, compile, and track domain exit criteria
4.0 Set HSI conditions and constraints for consideration in concepts
4.1 Collect domain inputs for each concept
4.2 Define trade space and risk associated with each domain and provide inputs for each concept

**Activities for Each Output:**

1.0 Collect domain requirements inputs
1.1 Ensure draft system requirements include human constraints
2.0 Determine which HSI domains can be tested
2.1 Provide domain inputs as applicable
3.0 Write draft HSI Plan
4.0 Ensure each domain reviews the Environment, Safety and Occupational Health (ESOH) hazard and risk analysis for each system [e.g., the Preliminary Hazard List (PHL)]
4.1 Collect domain impacts and costs
4.2 Provide domain trade-off impacts
5.0 Summarize domain trade-off inputs
5.1 Provide consolidated domain inputs
6.0 Provide HSI and domain inputs as applicable

**Tools:**

- CATIA
- HSI Requirements Guide
- IMPRINT

**References:**

- DODI 5000.02 & DODD 5000.01
- Defense Acquisition Guidebook (DAG)
- CJCSI 3170.01
- AFDP 63-1 & AFDP 20-1
- AFI 63-101 & AFI 63-3101
- AFI 63-1201
- Domain-specific policies

The numbers in the Activities boxes correspond to the numbers in the Inputs and Outputs boxes.
Materiel Solution Analysis: Human Systems Integration

A. Assess and identify applicable HSI limitations pertaining to environmental issues such as system threats, usage environment, support environment, doctrine, and operational concepts.
   • Assess and identify applicable HSI limitations pertaining to resources such as the industrial base, notional available development, operation and support budgets, and required date for system fielding.
   • Assess and identify applicable HSI limitations on the technology base to be used for concept maturation.
   • Review applicable HSI limitations in statutory and regulatory documents such as the Federal Acquisition Regulation, the DoD 5000-series, CJCSM/I guidance, etc.
   • Ensure all HSI drivers of the concept definition are completely captured and managed as an integral human-centered system.

B. Analyze and assess trade space and HSI risks for each alternative concept.
   • Define and relate human performance to capability needs and draft CONOPS.
   • Define test requirements needed to evaluate the ability of the matured system concept(s) to meet requirements of verification planning.
   • Assess and document derived HSI requirements at the system performance level.

C. Translate concept-level HSI criteria (e.g., applicable HSI impacts, human performance limitations, domain-specific risks, tactical system support system training system etc.) into functional requirements.
   • Analyze and assess trade space and HSI risks against desired functional performance in accordance with draft CONOPS.
   • Enable verification planning for test and evaluation of matured concept functionality as defined in system function allocation.

D. Analyze allocation of concept functions into component concepts and assessment objectives OR apply identified HSI constraints to analyze and define concept component design requirements.
   • Test and evaluate HSI component-level requirements through verification planning.

E. Ensure that HSI is adequately addressed in analyses, modeling and simulation, demonstrations, etc.
   • Review historical information (e.g., successes, mishaps, lessons learned, poor human performance, etc.)

F. Assess HSI impacts when rating component concept alternatives.
   • Review results of hardware and software modeling, simulations, demonstrations, and prototypes to verify the satisfaction of component-level HSI requirements.
   • Ensure that HSI attributes are integrated to support overall capability.
   • Assess HSI functional-level impacts of rating concept alternatives.
   • Review results of hardware and software modeling, simulations, demonstrations, and prototypes to verify that functional-level HSI requirements have been satisfied.

G. Assess each system concept against identified HSI criteria and requirements.
   • Rate concept alternatives at this level to identify critical HSI risks and mitigation control measures.
   • Ensure that HSI considerations are included in the identification of advantages/disadvantages for each approach.
   • Ensure that enabling technologies address HSI considerations.

H. Review Cost Analysis Requirements Description (CARD)-like documents to confirm that HSI has been included in the system overview, risk and system operation concept.
   • Verify that HSI inputs are included throughout the program's cost estimate.
   • Verify that HSI domain requirements are included and presented in sufficient detail to support a valid program cost estimate.
   • Provide HSI inputs to reject the chosen materiel solution approach.
   • Provide HSI assumptions, risks, and cost drivers.

I. Review AoA and evaluate multiple alternatives for the system.
   • Verify that system requirements are consistent with user needs and applicable HSI domain standards.
   • Provide HSI inputs and risks for alternative materiel solutions that have been identified.
   • Participate in AoA to ensure that HSI considerations have been addressed in the assessment of advantages and disadvantages.
   • Participate in trade studies to identify potential HSI hazards and risks, to ensure that HSI criteria are included in this phase.

The letters on this page correspond with the letters on the previous page and are associated with the respective SE step boxes.
Technology Development—The purpose of this phase is to reduce technology risk, determine and mature the appropriate set of technologies to be integrated into a full system, and to demonstrate critical technology elements on prototypes. Technology Development is a continuous technology discovery and development process reflecting close collaboration between the S&T community, the user, and the system developer. It is an iterative process designed to assess the viability of technologies while simultaneously refining user requirements. (DODI 5000.02)
Technology Development Phase

**Inputs**

1. ICD & Draft CDD
2. Approved Materiel Solution
3. Exit Criteria
4. Support & Maintenance
   Concepts & Technologies
5. AoA
6. TDS
7. T&E Strategy
8. System Safety Analyses

**Outputs**

1. System Allocated Baseline
2. PDR Report
3. TEMP
4. SEP
5. PESHE
6. PPP
7. TRA
8. NEPA Compliance Schedule
9. Risk Assessment
10. Validated Sys Support & Maint Objectives & Requirements
11. Inputs to:
    - IBR - ISP - STA - CDD
    - Acq Strategy
    - Affordability Assessment
    - Cost/Manpower Est.

**A**
Interpret User Needs, Analyze Operational Capabilities & Environmental Constraints

**B**
Develop System Performance (Constraints) Specification & Enabling/Critical Technologies & Prototypes Verification Plan

**C**
Develop Functional Definitions for Enabling/Critical Technologies & Associated Verification Plan

**D**
Decompose Functional Definitions into Critical Component Definition & Technologies Verification Plan

**E**
Design/Develop System Concepts, i.e., Enabling Critical Technologies, Update Constraints, & Cost/Risk Drivers

**F**
Demo Enabling/Critical Technology Components Versus Plan

**G**
Demo System & Prototype Functionality Versus Plan

**H**
Demo/Model Integrated System Versus Performance Specification

**I**
Demo & Validate System & Technology Maturity Versus Defined User Needs & Environmental Constraints

**J**
Interpret User Needs, Refine System Performance Specs & Environmental Constraints

**K**
Develop System Functional Specs & Verification Plan to Evolve System Functional Baseline

**L**
Evolve Functional Performance Specs into System Allocated Baseline

**SRR**
Validation Linkage

**PDR**
Verification Linkage

**Trades**

**SFR**
Interpret User Needs, Refine System Performance Specs & Environmental Constraints
Develop System Functional Specs & Verification Plan to Evolve System Functional Baseline

**Interpret User Needs, Analyze Operational Capabilities & Environmental Constraints**

**Develop System Performance (Constraints) Specification & Enabling/Critical Technologies & Prototypes Verification Plan**

**Develop Functional Definitions for Enabling/Critical Technologies & Associated Verification Plan**

**Decompose Functional Definitions into Critical Component Definition & Technologies Verification Plan**

**Design/Develop System Concepts, i.e., Enabling Critical Technologies, Update Constraints, & Cost/Risk Drivers**

**Demo Enabling/Critical Technology Components Versus Plan**

**Demo System & Prototype Functionality Versus Plan**

**Demo/Model Integrated System Versus Performance Specification**

**Demo & Validate System & Technology Maturity Versus Defined User Needs & Environmental Constraints**

**Interpret User Needs, Refine System Performance Specs & Environmental Constraints**

**Develop System Functional Specs & Verification Plan to Evolve System Functional Baseline**

**Evolve Functional Performance Specs into System Allocated Baseline**

**System Allocated Baseline**

**PDR Report**

**TEMP**

**SEP**

**PESHE**

**PPP**

**TRA**

**NEPA Compliance Schedule**

**Risk Assessment**

**Validated Sys Support & Maint Objectives & Requirements**

**Inputs to:**
- IBR - ISP - STA - CDD
- Acq Strategy
- Affordability Assessment
- Cost/Manpower Est.
Technology Development Phase (Inputs)

Human Systems Integration

Activities for Each Input:

1.0 Update HSI domain effects
1.1 Review and update human constraints
1.2 Identify trade-off opportunities among domains
1.3 Evaluate requirements against concepts
1.4 Assess domain risks and impacts
1.5 Identify the key risks
3.1 Develop ESOH hazard and risk analysis (e.g., PHL)
4.0 Assess HSI domain inputs for maintenance and support strategies
5.0 Identify associated risks for each alternative
5.1 Provide domain inputs for each alternative
5.2 Identify alternatives' strengths and weaknesses based on HSI domain trade-offs
6.0 Review domain inputs for proposed capabilities
6.1 Identify candidate HSI technologies for maturation based on Total Risk Assessment (TRA)
7.0 Prioritize HSI domain requirements for the chosen materiel solution
7.1 Distinguish risk controls and mitigation technologies
7.2 Verify process for HSI domain requirements verification
8.0 Develop safety analysis for each concept
8.1 Coordinate within domains to identify hazards

References:
- DODI 5000.02 & DODD 5000.01
- DAG
- CJCSI 3170.01
- AFPD 63-1/AFPD 20-1
- AF63-101 & AF63-110
- AF63-1201
- Domain-specific policies

Tools:
- IMPRINT
- CATIA
- IPME

The numbers in the Activities boxes correspond to the numbers in the Inputs and Outputs boxes.
# Technology Development Phase (Inputs): Human Systems Integration

**A**  
- Identify critical HSI technology needs  
- Assess HSI domain-specific technology maturity to minimize impact on HSI domains

**B**  
- Ensure HSI criteria are traceable back to defined system capabilities and constraints  
- Identify HSI requirements in any system or subsystem performance specification, solicitation, contract, and evaluation criteria  
- Define HSI test requirements for identified technologies

**C**  
- Define HSI criteria for weapon system support, equipment, and training systems  
- Assess HSI impacts from technology trade-offs or refinements  
- Define HSI test requirements for identified technologies

**D**  
- Update system HSI criteria  
- Assess HSI impacts on hardware and software elements (physical interfaces, functional interfaces, standards, and existing technologies)  
- Understand HSI impacts for system-of-systems technology  
- Define HSI testing and validation requirements for critical system components

**E**  
- Address HSI risk areas within modeling and simulation demonstrations and analyses  
- Identify and evaluate HSI constraints and risks associated with the overall system  
- Revise HSI cost and risk drivers based on technology testing and validation

**F**  
- Integrate evaluations of critical technologies across all functional areas  
- Validate technology components against system component HSI requirements  
- Participate in and evaluate demonstrations for HSI impacts with new technology components

**G**  
- Evaluate critical technologies from an HSI perspective  
- Review demonstration results for HSI-related constraints, risks, and opportunities  
- Assess HSI impacts associated with trade-offs or component refinements

**H**  
- Evaluate critical technologies from an HSI perspective  
- Ensure HSI is properly reflected in modeling and simulation engineering development models  
- Review demonstration results for HSI-related constraints, risks, and opportunities  
- Assess HSI impacts associated with accepted technology risks and system capabilities

**I**  
- Ensure applicable HSI elements are embedded in the System Performance Specification and associated system development plans

---

The letters on this page correspond with the letters on the previous page and are associated with the respective SE step boxes.
Technology Development Phase (Outputs)

Human Systems Integration

References:
- DODI 5000.02 & DODD 5000.01
- DoD
- CJCSI 3170.01
- AFM 63-1 & AFM 63-1101
- AFI 63-1201
- Domain-specific policies

Activities for Each Output:
1. Incorporate domain considerations into baseline parameters
2. Identify domain performance requirements
3. Assign requirements to system components
4. Address all HSI concerns
5. Document HSI issues, concerns, risks, and action items
6. Provide HSI inputs for testing
7. Ensure HSI risk areas will be tested
8. Identify preliminary HSI test techniques
9. Include HSI planning
10. Include HSI inputs throughout
11. Include HSI integration strategy, risks, responsibilities, and hazard tracking process
12. Provide HSI inputs as needed
13. Update risk mitigation technology readiness levels
14. Review and update checklist items
15. Reassess HSI risks
16. Update HSI risks and inputs to other technology areas
17. Provide HSI inputs to support and maintenance requirements
18. Participate in compilation of the inputs with HSI
19. Provide HSI requirements and domain inputs as applicable
20. Update the Manpower Estimate Report (MER)

Tools:
- IMPRINT
- CATIA
- IPME

The numbers in the Activities boxes correspond to the numbers in the Inputs and Outputs boxes.
Technology Development Phase (Outputs): Human Systems Integration

- Develop HSI profile and system boundaries across the life cycle
- Embed HSI in requirements and acquisition documentation i.e., Initial Capabilities Document (ICD), CDD, Acquisition Program Baseline (APB), Systems Engineering Plan (SEP), Human Systems Integration Plan (HSIP), Test and Evaluation Master Plan (TEMP), Life Cycle Management Plan (LCMP), etc.
- Identify, develop, and document HSI-critical requirements and verify they are included in the requirements tracking system
- Include ESOH assessment (reference updated DAG, Chapter 4–Systems Engineering)

- Conduct HSI analysis and develop HSI risk metrics
- Research all subsystem Human-Machine Interface (HMI) and HSI requirements
- Review all trade studies for HSI impacts
- Expand HSI analysis to include functional specifications
- Verify HSI-critical functional specifications are included in requirements tracking system and in the System Verification Plan
- Verify National Environmental Policy Act Executive Order (NEPA/EO) 12114 requirements are being met at proposed testing and training locations
- Provide HSI updates for demilitarization/disposal planning
- Identify HSI requirements in system or subsystem solicitations or contracts

- Review updated ESOH hazard and risk analysis for HSI impacts e.g., Preliminary Hazard Analysis (PHA), System Hazard Analysis (SHA), Subsystem Hazard Analysis (SSHA), and Operations and Support Hazard Analysis (OGSHA)
- Review HSI-derived requirements for component, subsystem, and system to include test requirements
- Provide updated input for demilitarization/disposal planning
- Expand and update HSI limitations, risks, and attributes as detailed design specifications evolve
- Verify HSI-critical design specifications are included in requirements tracking system detailed design specifications, and in Configuration Item (CI) Verification Plan
- Address HSI in the Preliminary Design Review (PDR)

- Address HSI requirements in the system functional baseline and in conjunction with the lower-level performance requirements
- Ensure requirements, metrics, and development efforts associated with HSI are included in the program documentation and LCMP
- Ensure system requirements and the functional baseline are sufficiently detailed to enable a reasonable cost estimate

- Ensure domain-specific performance requirements are included in the preliminary design
- Review subsystem requirements to address HSI issues
- Ensure HSI design factors have been reviewed and included where needed in the overall system design
- Ensure HSI risks are identified and manageable
- Ensure 100% of all safety-critical drawings are complete
- Ensure requirements, metrics, and development efforts associated with HSI are included in the program documentation and LCMP
- Evaluate the preliminary design for possible risks, design shortfalls, and undocumented requirements

- Conduct trade studies on threshold and objective levels of HSI requirements as the design matures
- Refine HSI-related key performance parameter thresholds and objectives with approval of requirements authority
- Participate in HSI-critical trade studies
- Review results of all trade studies
- Coordinate with other HSI domains to assess trade-offs within HSI and determine technology readiness

The letters on this page correspond with the letters on the previous page and are associated with the respective SE step boxes.
Engineering and Manufacturing Development—The purpose of the EMD Phase is to develop a system or an increment of capability; complete full system integration (technology risk reduction occurs during Technology Development); develop an affordable and executable manufacturing process; ensure operational supportability with particular attention to minimizing the logistics footprint; implement human systems integration (HSI); design for producibility; ensure affordability; protect CPI by implementing appropriate techniques such as anti-tamper; and demonstrate system integration, interoperability, safety, and utility. (DODI 5000.02)
**Engineering & Manufacturing Development**

**Inputs**

1. Sys Performance Spec
2. Acquisition Strategy
3. Exit Criteria
4. APB 5. CDD 6. SEP
5. PPP 8. TEMP 9. PESHE
6. STA 11. ISP
7. NEPA Compliance Schedule
8. Risk Assessment
9. Validated Sys Support & Maint Objectives & Requirements
10. Product Support Strategy

**Outputs**

1. Initial Product Baseline
2. Test Reports
3. TEMP
4. Elements of Product Support
5. Risk Assessment
6. SEP 7. TRA 8. PESHE
7. Life Cycle Sustainment Plan
8. System Safety Analysis
9. Inputs to: -CPD -STA -ISP -Cost/Manpower Est.

**Diagram Details**

- **A**: Interpret User Needs, Refine System Performance Specs & Environmental Constraints
- **B**: Develop System Functional Specs & Verification Plan to Evolve System Functional Baseline
- **C**: Evolve Functional Performance Specs into System Allocated Baseline
- **D**: Evolve CI Functional Specs into Product (Build to) Documentation & Inspection Plan
- **E**: Fabricate, Assemble, Code to “Build-to” Documentation
- **F**: Individual CI Verification DT&E
- **G**: Integrated DT&E, LFT&E & EOAs Verify Performance Compliance to Specs
- **H**: System DT&E, LFT&E & OAs, Verify System Functionality and Constraints Compliance to Specs
- **I**: Combined DT&E, OT&E, LFT&E Demonstrate System to Specified User Needs and Environmental Constraints

**Post-CDR A**

Verification/Validation Linkage

**Post-PDR A**

Verification/Validation Linkage

Lighter blocks reflect technical efforts required during EMD if PDR does not come prior to Milestone B.
Interpret User Needs, Refine System Performance Specs & Environmental Constraints
Evolve CI Functional Specs into Product (Build to) Documentation & Inspection Plan

Lighter blocks reflect technical efforts required during EMD if PDR does not come prior to Milestone B.

Post-PDR:
1. Sys Performance Spec
2. Acquisition Strategy
3. Exit Criteria
4. APB 5, CDD 6, SEP
5. PPP 8, TEMP 9, PESHE
6. NEPA Compliance Schedule
7. Risk Assessment
8. Validated Sys Support & Maint Objectives & Requirements
9. Product Support Strategy

The numbers in the Activities boxes correspond to the numbers in the Inputs and Outputs boxes.

Activities for Each Input:
1.0 Update HSI performance criteria
1.1 Ensure domain-specific inputs are included
2.0 Provide HSI inputs as required
3.0 Update critical domain-specific risks and mitigation approaches
4.0 Verify HSI criteria are included
5.0 Update HSI inputs
6.0 Validate and finalize HSIP
6.1 Include HSI domain inputs
7.0 Provide HSI inputs as required
8.0 Assess HSI risk areas
8.1 Review modeling and simulation efforts and results
8.2 Develop and document Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E) strategy
9.0 Coordinate with ESOH Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) to verify HSI consideration
9.1 Review the Programmatic Environment, Safety, and Occupational Health Evaluation (PESHE) and ensure it includes HSI integration strategy, risks, responsibilities, and hazard tracking process
10.0 Verify HSI content if required
11.0 Verify HSI content if required
12.0 Review NEPA schedule checklist items for HSI inputs as applicable
13.0 Update HSI risks based on new/recent tests and analysis
14.0 Provide consolidated HSI inputs to the support and maintenance requirements and associated plans
15.0 Provide HSI inputs as required

References:
- DOD 5000.02 & DODD 5000.01
- DAF
- CJCSI 3170.01
- AFDD 63-JA/PDD 20-1
- AFM 63-101 & AFM 63-101A
- AFI 63-790
- Domain-specific policies

Tools:
- IMPRINT
- CATIA
- ATB Model
- IPME
Develop HSI profile and system boundaries across the life cycle
Embed HSI in requirements and acquisition documentation i.e., ICD, CDD, APB, SEP, HSIP, TEMP, LCMP
Identify and/or develop HSI-critical requirements and verify they are included in the requirements tracking system
Include ESOH assessment (reference updated DAG, Chapter 4-Systems Engineering)

Initiate development of HSI analysis and risk metrics
Review and understand all subsystem HMI and HSI requirements
Review all trade studies for HSI impacts
Expand HSI analysis to include functional specifications
Verify HSI-critical functional specifications are included in the requirements tracking system and in the System Verification Plan
Verify NEPA/EO 12114 requirements are being met at proposed testing and training locations
Provide updated input for demilitarization/disposal planning

Review updated system safety and ESOH hazard and risk analysis for HSI impacts (e.g., PHA, SHA, SSHA, and OSHA)
Review HSI-derived requirements for component, subsystem, and system to include test requirements
Provide updated input for demilitarization/disposal planning
Expand and update HSI limitations, risks, and attributes as detailed design specifications evolve
Verify HSI-design critical design specifications are included in requirements tracking system, detailed design specifications, and in the CI Verification Plan
Ensure HSI is addressed as part of the overall PDR

Review ESOH hazard and risk analysis for HSI impacts (e.g., SSHA, SHA, and OSHA)
Update HSI-derived requirements for component, subsystem, and system to include test and inspection requirements
Identify HSI-critical processes for product baseline build-to documentation and software code-to documentation
Include system HSI-critical processes and components in inspection plan
Participate in component design selections
Review Level of Repair Analysis and Maintenance Task Analysis for HSI impacts
Verify system HSI-critical design specifications are included in the requirements tracking system and detailed design specifications as necessary

Ensure HSI requirements are addressed in the system functional baseline in conjunction with the lower-level performance requirements
Incorporate HSI in system and software assessments
Ensure requirements, metrics, and development efforts associated with HSI are included in the program documentation and LCMP
Ensure system requirements and the functional baseline are sufficiently detailed to enable a reasonable cost estimate

Ensure domain performance requirements are included in the preliminary design
Review subsystem requirements to address HSI issues from all functional areas
Ensure HSI design factors have been reviewed and included where needed in the overall system design
Ensure HSI risks are identified and manageable
Ensure 100% of all safety-critical drawings are complete.
Ensure requirements, metrics, and development efforts associated with HSI are included in the program documentation and LCMP
Evaluate the preliminary design for possible risks, design shortfalls and undocumented requirements

Update HSI inputs in the risk assessment
Review CDD requirements to ensure HSI concerns are considered
Ensure HSI risks are identified and manageable
Ensure requirements, metrics, and development efforts associated with HSI are included in the program documentation and LCMP
Ensure hardware design and software product specifications have adequately addressed all HSI risks

Participate in HSI-critical trade studies and review results of all trade studies
Ensure as the design is realized, HSI considerations that affect the component level of the system are part of the decision making and trade studies that occur at this level of design
Coordinate with other HSI domains to assess trade-offs within HSI and determine technology readiness
Coordinate with systems engineers to provide inputs to trade-offs that affect system and subsystem HSI requirements

Ensure open HSI issues and risks are documented in the PDR assessment report
Review documentation for domain-specific requirements, analysis, decisions, and taskings

The letters on this page correspond with the letters on the previous page and are associated with the respective SE step boxes.
The numbers in the Activities boxes correspond to the numbers in the Inputs and Outputs boxes.
Engineering and Manufacturing Development (Outputs): Human Systems Integration

E
- Evaluate process and design changes as necessary
- Review and recommend HSI updates to the TEMP
- Ensure CI verification Developmental Test and Evaluation (DT&E) procedures include HSI requirements and verification testing
- Initiate HSI risk acceptance reviews and documentation as appropriate

F
- Update status information on HSI risks and impacts
- Verify integrated DT&E, LFT&E, and Early Operational Assessment (EOA) procedures include appropriate HSI tests and evaluations
- Recommend HSI risk mitigation control measures based on DT&E test results as appropriate
- Initiate HSI risk acceptance reviews and documentation as appropriate
- Ensure NEPA/EO 12114 compliance is completed prior to testing

G
- Ensure tests are conducted that address HSI and all test results are reviewed for hazard control effectiveness
- Update HSI impacts and risks based upon configuration changes
- Provide updated HSI input for demilitarization/disposal planning
- Verify system DT&E, LFT&E and EOA procedures include HSI-appropriate tests
- Recommend HSI risk mitigation measures based on test results
- Provide HSI risk review and acceptance for upcoming test activities, as appropriate
- Verify that HSI test results support specification requirements

H
- Ensure NEPA/EO 12114 compliance is completed prior to testing
- Ensure test results mitigated HSI-relevant challenges
- Update HSI status and analyses based upon configuration changes
- Verify the combined DT&E, LFT&E and EOA procedures include appropriate HSI tests derived from system HSI analyses and reviews
- Recommend HSI risk mitigation measures as necessary
- Provide HSI risk review and acceptance for upcoming test activities as appropriate
- Ensure HSI issues identified during testing are resolved

I
- Ensure NEPA/EO 12114 compliance is completed prior to testing
- Ensure test results mitigated HSI-relevant challenges
- Review operational supportability and interoperability certifications for HSI sufficiency
- Identify and characterize any residual HSI risks
- Update HSI status and analyses based upon configuration changes
- Recommend HSI risk mitigation measures, as necessary

The letters on this page correspond with the letters on the previous page and are associated with the respective SE step boxes.
**Production and Deployment**—The purpose of the Production and Deployment Phase is to achieve an operational capability that satisfies mission needs. Operational test and evaluation shall determine the effectiveness and suitability of the system. ([DODI 5000.02](https://www.dod.mil/Portals/0/Documents/Defense-Instruction/5000-02.pdf))
Production & Deployment Phase

**Inputs**
1. Test Results
2. Exit Criteria
3. APB
4. CPD
5. SEP
6. TEMP
7. Product Support Package
8. PESHE
9. System Safety Analysis

**Outputs**
1. Production Baseline
2. Test Reports
3. TEMP
4. PESHE
5. SEP
6. System Safety Analysis
7. Input to:
   - Cost/Manpower Est.

**A** Analyze Deficiencies to Determine Corrective Actions

**B** Modify Configuration (Hardware/Software/Specs) to Correct Deficiencies

**C** Verify & Validate Production Configuration

Verification/Validation Linkage
**Production & Deployment Phase**

**Human Systems Integration**

**Inputs**

1. Test Results
2. Exit Criteria
3. APB
4. CPD
5. SEP
6. TEMP
7. Product Support Package
8. PESHE
9. System Safety Analysis

**Activities for Each Input:**

1.0 Review integrated system results and identify HSI concerns
1.1 Document results of HSI-specific testing and identify planned corrective actions as appropriate
1.2 Leverage test results for HSI modifications
2.0 Document risk control measures of identified HSI constraints
3.0 Provide comprehensive HSI program inputs as required
4.0 Update HSI requirements and performance attributes to the system
5.0 Update strategy for incorporating HSI risk management into SE
5.1 Update HSIP with HSI-related concerns from operational test results
6.0 Monitor test planning to ensure HSI risk areas are being addressed
6.1 Revise to reject modifications in HSI testing approach
7.0 Provide HSI updates to product support plans
8.0 Ensure inclusion of HSI risks and strategy for integration into SEP
9.0 Continue to monitor and track ongoing analysis results for HSI opportunities
9.1 Update with HSI inputs as required

**Outputs**

1. Production Baseline
2. Test Reports
3. TEMP
4. PESHE
5. SEP
6. System Safety Analysis
7. Input to: - Cost/Manpower Est.

**Activities for Each Output:**

1.0 Provide HSI updates based on Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) and test results as required
2.0 Review test results for any HSI concerns and ensure appropriate corrective actions will be taken to address shortfalls.
2.1 Ensure trade-off decisions address HSI
3.0 Incorporate HSI-relevant data and further testing requirements
4.0 Coordinate with ESOH SME’s for any required updates
4.1 Verify compliance with NEPA provisions
5.0 Update HSI risks and strategy for integration
5.1 Review to reject changes in HSI data or strategies
6.0 Review and include HSI inputs as required
7.0 Review MER to reject domain-specific changes and impacts

**Tools:**

- IMPRINT

**References:**

- DODI 5000.02 & DODD 5000.01
- DAG
- CJSI 3170.01
- AFPP 63-1AFPP 20-1
- AF 63-101 & AF 63-1101
- AFI 63-1201
- Domain-specific policies

The numbers in the Activities boxes correspond to the numbers in the Inputs and Outputs boxes.
Production and Deployment: Human Systems Integration

A
• Review deficiency reports (DR) for HSI implications
• Participate in development of HSI mitigation measures
• Participate in Configuration Control Board (CCB) to include reviewing Engineering Change Proposals (ECPs) for HSI implications
• Analyze effectiveness of recommended NEPA/EO 12114 mitigation measures, and potential impacts on the natural environment
• Participate in planning of build, modification, verification, and test activities for the proposed design solution
• Assess the proposed design solution for correction of HSI deficiencies

B
• Verify HSI system requirements and constraints at testing and training locations
• Identify HSI-critical design and verification requirements
• Provide HSI risk review and acceptance for upcoming test activities as appropriate
• Balance HSI recommendations with system cost, schedule, and performance risks

C
• Verify and validate HSI-critical design configuration
• Monitor testing and test results to validate HSI-relevant modifications are effective
• Incorporate approved HSI changes that resolve HSI issues in the final production configuration baseline

PCA
• Ensure human concerns are accounted for with testing, measuring, and controlling within the system
• Ensure HSI concerns are adequately planned, tracked, and controlled when confirming the manufacturing processes, quality control system, measurement, test equipment, and training
• Ensure the procured data package matches the as-built configuration
• Identify hazardous materials and processes in the technical data package

The letters on this page correspond with the letters on the previous page and are associated with the respective SE step boxes.
Operations and Support—The purpose of the Operations and Support Phase is to execute a support program that meets materiel readiness and operational support performance requirements, and sustains the system in the most cost-effective manner over its total life cycle. Operations and Support has two major efforts, Life-Cycle Sustainment and Disposal. (DODI 5000.02)
Operations & Support Phase

**Inputs**
1. Service Use Data
2. User Feedback
3. Failure Reports
4. Discrepancy Reports
5. SEP
6. PESHE
7. System Safety Analysis

**Processes**
- A: Monitor and Collect All Service Use Data
- B: Analyze Data to Determine Root Cause
- C: Determine System Risk/Hazard Severity
- D: Develop Corrective Action
- F: Assess Risk of Improved System
- E: Integrate and Test Corrective Action
- G: Implement and Field

**Outputs**
1. Data for In-Service Review
2. Input to CDD for next increment
3. Modifications/upgrades to fielded systems
4. SEP
5. System Safety Analysis
Human Systems Integration

**Operations & Support Phase**

**Activities for Each Input:**
1. Review HSI-related incident and mishap data reports
2. Identify HSI-related maintenance issues
3. Provide HSI inputs and constraints to system modifications
4. Solicit user inputs to identify HSI issues
5. Participate in system HSI working groups to highlight HSI opportunities
6. Review domain SMEs review relevant reports
7. Ensure domain SMEs review relevant reports
8. Provide HSI inputs to trade-off analysis
9. Update strategy for merging HSI risk management into SE
10. Update HSI risk assessment
11. Ensure inclusion of HSI risks and strategy for incorporation into PESHE
12. Review HSI-related incident and mishap data reports

**Activities for Each Output:**
1. Update HSI risk assessment
2. Review HSI hazards and DRs from operations and maintenance
3. Document achievable HSI requirements for each incremental stage
4. Include HSI analyses, impacts, and discrepancy data
5. Review and update
6. Add any modifications and technology developments that are HSI-related
7. Revise to reflect domain-specific changes as required

**Tools:**
- IMPRINT

**References:**
- DODI 5000.02 & DODD 5000.01
- DAG
- CJCSI 3170.01
- AFDD 63-JUPDD 20-1
- AFJ 63-1D1 & AFJ 63-1D2
- AFI 63-1201
- Domain-specific policies

---

The numbers in the Activities boxes correspond to the numbers in the Inputs and Outputs boxes.

Monitor and Collect All Service Use Data

Analyze Data to Determine Root Cause

Determine System Risk/Hazard Severity

Develop Corrective Action

Integrate and Test Corrective Action

Assess Risk of Improved System

Implement and Field
Operations and Support: Human Systems Integration

A. Provide system HSI criteria to engineering and logistics staff
   - Review data for HSI-influenced hazards (e.g., trend analysis)
   - Identify opportunities for technology insertion to reduce HSI risks
   - Analyze rates for Class A, B, and C mishaps for the system and subsystems
   - Review technical data change requests that may impact HSI

B. Apply appropriate System Safety Analysis techniques to determine if HSI root causal factors exist
   - Evaluate data for HSI implications
   - Revise system's hazard analysis and risk tracking systems. Modify system status reports to reflect HSI impacts

C. Prioritize HSI-related hazards for risk mitigation
   - Revise system's hazard analysis and risk tracking systems. Modify system status reports to reflect HSI impacts

D. Apply system safety order of precedence to HSI corrective actions
   - Revise system's hazard analysis and risk tracking systems. Modify system status reports to reflect HSI impacts
   - Identify requirements for verification of HSI mitigation control measures

E. Evaluate test results for risk mitigation effectiveness
   - Ensure control measures do not introduce latent problems into other domains, systems, human performance, or processes
   - Revise system's hazard analysis and risk tracking systems. Modify system status reports to reflect HSI impacts

F. Conduct in-depth system analyses to ensure corrective measures and design modifications do not spawn additional deficiencies or degrade human performance
   - Recommend deficiency closure to appropriate risk acceptance authorities (updated residual risk)
   - Revise system's hazard analysis and risk tracking systems. Modify system status reports to reflect HSI impacts

G. Continue to monitor and track system health, human performance indicators, mishaps, deficiencies, closure actions, mitigation measure effectiveness, and residual risk to validate enhancement efforts
   - Ensure that HSI considerations are included during the risk, operational readiness, technical status, and trends assessments in a measurable form
   - Substantiate assessments with in-service support budget priorities
   - Include System Safety Working Group to support the System Hazard Risk Assessment
   - Review and update problem-reporting metrics

- As corrective actions are incorporated into the system, HSI considerations that affect the system should be part of the decision making and trade studies that occur
- Utilize HSI analysis to influence maintenance and modification trade-off decisions
- Participate in HSI-critical trade studies and review results of all trade studies

The letters on this page correspond with the letters on the previous page and are associated with the respective SE step boxes.
Acronyms
Acronyms

AFHSIO  Air Force Human Systems Integration Office
AFI     Air Force Instruction
AFPD    Air Force Policy Document
AoA     Analysis of Alternatives
APB     Acquisition Program Baseline
ASR     Acquisition Strategy Review
ATB     Articulated Total Body

BCS     Baseline Comparison System
CARD    Cost Analysis Requirements Description
CATIA   Computer Aided Three-Dimensional Interactive Application
CCB     Configuration Control Board
CDD     Capability Development Document
CDR     Critical Design Review
CDR-A   Critical Design Review Assessment
CI      Configuration Item
CJCISI   Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction
CJCISM  Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Manual
CONOPS  Concept of Operations

DAG     Defense Acquisition Guidebook
DoD     Department of Defense
DODD    Department of Defense Directive
DODI    Department of Defense Instruction
DR      Deficiency Report
DT&E    Developmental Test and Evaluation

ECP     Engineering Change Proposal
EMD     Engineering and Manufacturing Development
EO      Executive Order
EOA     Early Operational Assessment
ESOH    Environment, Safety, and Occupational Health
FCA     Functional Configuration Audit
FOC     Full Operational Capability
FRP     Full Rate Production

HMD     Head-Mounted Display
HMI     Human-Machine Interface
HSI     Human Systems Integration
HSIP    Human Systems Integration Plan

IBR     Integrated Baseline Review
ICD     Initial Capabilities Document
IMPRINT Improved Performance Research Integration Tool
IPME    Integrated Performance Modeling Environment
INCOSE  International Council on Systems Engineering
IOC     Initial Operational Capability
ISR     In-Service Review
ITR     Initial Technical Review

LCMP    Life Cycle Management Plan
LFT&E   Live Fire Test and Evaluation
LRIP    Low Rate Initial Production
## Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MER</strong></td>
<td>Manpower Estimate Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MSA</strong></td>
<td>Materiel Solution Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEPA</strong></td>
<td>National Environmental Policy Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEPA/EO</strong></td>
<td>National Environmental Policy Act/Executive Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>O&amp;S</strong></td>
<td>Operations and Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>O&amp;SHA</strong></td>
<td>Operations and Support Hazard Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OEM</strong></td>
<td>Original Equipment Manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTRR</strong></td>
<td>Operational Test Readiness Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P&amp;D</strong></td>
<td>Production and Deployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PCA</strong></td>
<td>Physical Configuration Audit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PDR</strong></td>
<td>Preliminary Design Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PDR-A</strong></td>
<td>Preliminary Design Review Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PESHE</strong></td>
<td>Programmatic Environment, Safety, and Occupational Health Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PHA</strong></td>
<td>Preliminary Hazard Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PHL</strong></td>
<td>Preliminary Hazard List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POC</strong></td>
<td>Point of Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRR</strong></td>
<td>Production Readiness Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SE</strong></td>
<td>Systems Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEP</strong></td>
<td>Systems Engineering Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFR</strong></td>
<td>System Functional Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SHA</strong></td>
<td>System Hazard Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SME</strong></td>
<td>Subject Matter Expert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SRR</strong></td>
<td>System Requirements Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SSHA</strong></td>
<td>Subsystem Hazard Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SURVIAC</strong></td>
<td>Survivability/Vulnerability Information Analysis Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SVR</strong></td>
<td>System Verification Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TD</strong></td>
<td>Technology Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEMP</strong></td>
<td>Test and Evaluation Master Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRA</strong></td>
<td>Total Risk Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRR</strong></td>
<td>Test Readiness Review</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Glossary
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition Program Baseline</td>
<td>Prescribes the key cost, schedule, and performance constraints in the phase succeeding the milestone for which they were developed. (<a href="#">CJCSI 3170.01G</a>).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of Alternatives</td>
<td>The evaluation of the performance, operational effectiveness, operational suitability, and estimated costs of alternative systems to meet a mission capability. The analysis assesses the advantages and disadvantages of alternatives being considered to satisfy capabilities, including the sensitivity of each alternative to possible changes in key assumptions or variables. (<a href="#">CJCSI 3170.01G</a>).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline Comparison System</td>
<td>A current operational system, or a composite of current operational subsystems, which most closely represents the design, operational, and support characteristics of the new system under development. (<a href="#">DAG</a>).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capability Development Document</td>
<td>A document that captures the information necessary to develop a proposed program(s). The CDD outlines an affordable increment of militarily useful, logistically supportable, and technically mature capability, supporting a Milestone B decision review. (<a href="#">CJCSI 3170.01G</a>).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration Item</td>
<td>An aggregation of hardware, firmware, computer software, or any of their discrete portions, which satisfies an end use function and is designated by the government for separate configuration management. (<a href="#">DAG</a>).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering Change Proposal</td>
<td>A proposal to the responsible authority recommending that a change to an original item of equipment be considered, and the design or engineering change be incorporated into the article to modify, add or delete, or supersede original parts. (<a href="#">DAG</a>).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit Criteria</td>
<td>Program-specific accomplishments that must be satisfactorily demonstrated before a program can progress further in the current acquisition phase or transition to the next acquisition phase. (<a href="#">DAG</a>).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Article Testing</td>
<td>Production testing that is planned, conducted, and monitored by the materiel developer. It includes preproduction and initial production testing conducted to ensure that the contractor can furnish a product that meets the established technical criteria. (<a href="#">DAG</a>).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Cycle Management Plan</td>
<td>Concise document that identifies relevant issues and recommends overall acquisition, program management, and life cycle support strategies. (<a href="#">DAG</a>).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ATB Model</strong></td>
<td>The ATB model is a simulation program developed for the prediction of human body dynamics during aircraft ejection, aircraft crashes, automobile accidents, and other hazardous events. It is used in the Air Force to determine the safety of restraint systems, seats, escape systems, controls and displays, and other equipment in the aircraft cockpit during development. <a href="http://www.dtic.mil/dtic/dasd/ddsm/tools.html">http://www.dtic.mil/dtic/dasd/ddsm/tools.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CATIA</strong></td>
<td>CATIA (V6) is a collective, integrated multi-disciplinary model for product development. CATIA’s RFLP approach includes aggregating Requirements, Functional, Logical, and Physical product definitions. Meta-CAD modeling delivers a collaborative, liberated design environment. In addition to 3D system design, CATIA also integrates a 3D human modeling component to simulate human-system interaction in a virtual environment. <a href="http://www.3ds.com/products/catia/catia-discovery">http://www.3ds.com/products/catia/catia-discovery</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HSI Requirements Guide</strong></td>
<td>The HSI Requirements Guide provides templated HSI requirements. This guide’s purpose is three-fold: First, to assist requirements writers in documenting solid, unambiguous human requirements in AF and DoD level acquisition documents. Second, to assist HSI domain requirements writers in understanding where they fit into Integrated Defense Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics Life Cycle Management System. Finally, to serve as a learning tool/quick reference source for HSI domain representatives who are called upon to assist with writing requirements documents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IMPRINT</strong></td>
<td>An HSI tool developed by the U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Human Research &amp; Engineering Directorate. It is a dynamic, stochastic discrete event network modeling tool designed to assess the interaction of soldier and system performance throughout the system life cycle—from concept and design through field testing and system upgrades. <a href="http://www.arl.army.mil/ARL-Directorates/HRED/mb/iimprint/imprint.html">http://www.arl.army.mil/ARL-Directorates/HRED/mb/iimprint/imprint.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Tools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Applicability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>IPME</strong> (Integrated Performance Modeling Environment)</td>
<td><strong>IPME</strong> is an integrated environment of models intended to help the human factors practitioner analyze human-system performance. <strong>IPME</strong> provides: a more realistic representation of humans in complex environments, interoperability with other model components and external simulations, enhanced usability through a user-friendly graphical user interface. <strong>IPME</strong> uses a process-oriented modeling approach and builds upon a SME’s accounting of how operator activities are organized or may be organized to meet operational objectives.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.maad.com/index.pl/IPME">http://www.maad.com/index.pl/IPME</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Human Factors Engineering</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>