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ABSTRACT:
In this study, the mechanical and thermal properties of nanoclay reinforced polymer resins are investigated at various temperatures. The effect of nanoclay reinforcement was elicited by varying its weight percentage from 0% to 10% in the polymer. Three different polypropylene (PP) resins were studied. The temperature was varied from -65°F (-54ºC) to 160°F (71ºC). To predict the experimental results a micromechanical model based on the Mori-Tanaka formulation was developed. The results indicate that the addition of nanoclay to PP leads to a stronger and stiffer nanocomposite. It was also found that the strength and stiffness of PP are drastically reduced at higher temperatures. However, nanoclay reinforcement somewhat mitigates this deterioration. The comparison of the theoretical and experimental results indicates that the Mori-Tanaka formulation may be a useful tool to predict these mechanical properties.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years there has been heightened interest in developing nanoclay reinforced composites due to their improved performance at high temperatures under various loading conditions, including impact [1-9]. It is believed that the reinforcement of thermoset and thermoplastic polymers with nanoclay may improve not only the strength and stiffness of the material, but also fire retardancy and smoke toxicity, thus providing better protection to personnel in civilian and military vehicles. The aim of this research project is to conduct a comprehensive study to determine the properties of nanoclay reinforced thermoplastic and thermoset polymers under various loading conditions, including impact, by varying the nanoclay reinforcement from 0% to 10% and the temperature from -65°F (-54ºC) to 160°F (71ºC). To elicit the effect of resin, three different resins are tested under tensile and impact loads. Nanoclay reinforced epoxy specimens also are studied. To predict the experimental results a micromechanics model supplemented with Finite Element calculations is being developed.

II. THE EXPERIMENTAL WORK

The tensile testing undertaken under this research has two distinct goals: a) to determine the effect of nanoclay reinforcement and temperature on the mechanical and thermal properties of nanoclay reinforced polymers and b) to use the obtained baseline data in impact analysis of the resulting composites. First, ASTM standard Type I dog-bone shaped polypropylene (PP) resin specimens reinforced with varying weight fractions of nanoclay (0%, 1%, 3%, 6% and 10%), of which some were instrumented with strain gages, were subjected to tensile loads and the stress-strain curves were obtained to determine the mechanical and thermal properties of the nanocomposite. Next the specimens were tested at various temperatures, from -65°F (-54ºC) to 160°F (71ºC), to elicit the effect of temperature. The effect of resin was also studied by using 3 different PP resins. For each data point at least 5 specimens were tested.

Even though we have extensive experimental data (to date more than 120 specimens were tested). Due to space limitation here we present some representative results to depict our findings. Figure 1 shows the effect of increased nanoclay reinforcement on the mechanical properties of the resulting nanocomposite.
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As the weight percentage of nanoclay increases from 1% to 10% the ultimate stress and the stiffness of the material increase perceptibly. It must be noted that PP based nanocomposites display significant deformation before failure (more than 100%). Figure 2 depicts the effect of temperature on the properties of PP with 1% nanoclay reinforcement.

It is first noted at the higher temperatures the strength and stiffness are significantly reduced compared with those at room temperature. Whereas at lower temperatures the material stiffens, has higher strength and fails at relatively low strains. Similar behaviour is also observed at higher percentages of nanoclay reinforcement. However, the deterioration of properties observed at higher temperatures is somewhat mitigated by the addition of higher percentages of nanoclay. For example, as seen in Figure 3, at 120°F an increase in the percentage of nanoclay reinforcement leads to higher stiffness and strength for the resulting nanocomposite.

Some of the results for nanoclay reinforced PP specimens are also summarized in Table 1. The results indicate that with increasing nanoclay reinforcement the Young’s Modulus and ultimate stress increase perceptibly.
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where, $E_1$ and $E_m$ are the Young’s moduli of the composite and the matrix respectively, $c$ is the volume fraction of nanoclay and the coefficients $A_1$, $A_2$ and $A$ are given by:

$$A_1 = D_1 (B_4 + B_5) - 2B_2$$

$$A_2 = (1 + D_1) B_5 - (B_4 + B_5)$$

and

$$A = 2B_2B_3 - B_1 (B_4 + B_5)$$

where,

$$B_1 = cD_1 + D_2 + (1-c) (D_1S_{1111} + 2S_{2211})$$

$$B_2 = c + D_1 + (1-c) (D_1S_{1122} + S_{2222} + S_{2211})$$

$$B_3 = c + D_1 + (1-c) (S_{1122} + S_{2222} + D_1S_{2211})$$

and

$$D_1 = 1 + 2(\mu_p - \mu_m)/\left(\lambda_p - \lambda_m\right)$$

$$D_2 = (\lambda_m + 2\mu_m)/\left(\lambda_p - \lambda_m\right)$$

$$D_3 = \lambda_p/\left(\lambda_p - \lambda_m\right)$$

The $D$ terms are defined by using $\mu_m$, $\lambda_m$ and $\mu_p$, $\lambda_p$, which are the Lame constants of the matrix and inclusions, respectively. In the $B$ terms, the components of Eshelby’s tensor $S_{ijkl}$ given below are used:

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2(1-\nu)} \left\{ \left[ \frac{3\nu - 1}{\alpha - 1} \right] - \left[ \frac{3\nu}{\alpha - 1} \right] \right\}$$

$$S_{mn} = \frac{3}{4(1-\nu)} \left[ \frac{2\nu}{\alpha - 1} \right]$$

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2(1-\nu)} \left[ \frac{3\nu - 1}{\alpha - 1} \right] - \left[ \frac{3\nu}{\alpha - 1} \right]$$

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2(1-\nu)} \left[ \frac{3\nu - 1}{\alpha - 1} \right]$$

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2(1-\nu)} \left[ \frac{3\nu - 1}{\alpha - 1} \right]$$

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2(1-\nu)} \left[ \frac{3\nu - 1}{\alpha - 1} \right]$$

Here $\nu_m$ is the Poisson’s ratio of the matrix. In Eshelby’s tensor, the $g$ term has two different expressions depending on the aspect ratio $\alpha$ of the inclusion:

$$g = \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\{ \alpha (\alpha - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \cos^2 2\alpha \right\}; \alpha > 1$$

$$g' = \frac{\alpha}{(1 - \alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\{ \cos^2 2\alpha - \alpha (1 - \alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}; \alpha < 1$$

In the calculations, for the matrix and the nanoclay the following material and geometric properties are used:

**Matrix:** Polypropylene

- $E_m = 1.222$ GPa (average experimental result)
- $\nu_m = 0.35$ (assumed)
- $V_m$ = unknown (volume fraction of matrix)
- $W_m = $ weight fraction of matrix
- $\gamma_m = 8829$ N/m$^3$ (specific weight of matrix)
- $\mu_m = 74$ GPa (calculated)
- $\lambda_m = 172.8$ GPa (calculated)

**Particle:** Nanoclay

- $E_p = 200$ GPa (assumed; from molecular dynamics calculations)
- $\nu_p = 0.35$ (assumed)
- $c\nu_p V_p$ = unknown (volume fraction of nanoclay)
- $W_p = $ weight fraction of nanoclay
- $\gamma_p = 18,639$ N/m$^3$ (specific weight of nanoclay)
- $\mu_p = 0.453$ Gpa (calculated)
- $\lambda_p = 1.056$ Gpa (calculated)

First, we calculate the volume fraction of nanoclay to be able to use the Mori-Tanaka formulation. The
volume fraction of nanoclay in terms of the weight fraction can be written as:

\[ c = V_p = \frac{W_p / \gamma_p}{W_p / \gamma_p + (1 - W_p) / \gamma_n} \]  

(22)

For each nanoclay reinforcement, the volume fraction of nanoclay is obtained as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight fraction of nanoclay</th>
<th>Volume fraction of nanoclay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0.0144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6%</td>
<td>0.0293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0.0500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The volume fraction of nanoclay

To determine the aspect ratio of nanoclay flakes, we use for thickness \( d_s = 0.615 \) nm and for diameter \( a = 200 \) nm. Thus, the aspect ratio of nanoclay can be calculated as: \( \alpha = d_s / a = 0.003075 \). Since the aspect ratio of nanoclay is smaller than 1, we use \( g' \) in Eshelby’s tensor. The calculations for various weight fractions (converted to volume fractions) were performed using commercial software. Comparison of the theoretical predictions and experimental results at room temperature for the \( E_s / E_n \) ratio is shown in Figure 5. The preliminary results indicate that the Mori-Tanaka formulation can be a useful tool in predicting the Young’s modulus of the nanocomposite.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this study the mechanical and thermal properties of three PP resins reinforced with nanoclay particles were studied at room, elevated and low temperatures. From the results obtained so far, the following conclusions can be deduced:

1. Nanoclay reinforcement improves the mechanical properties of PP resins. The strength and stiffness of the resulting nanocomposite increase as the percentage of nanoclay reinforcement increases.
2. The choice of the PP resin can have a significant effect on the behavior of the nanocomposite, especially the failure strain.
3. At higher temperatures the mechanical properties of PP deteriorate. However, the addition of nanoclay somewhat mitigates this deterioration.
4. At low temperatures, the material stiffens, has higher ultimate stress and fails at comparatively low strains.
5. The Mori-Tanaka model may be a useful tool in predicting the Young’s modulus of the nanocomposite.
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