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The advent – 60 years after the concept was first proposed – of practical self-decontaminating materials coincides with international efforts to prepare for global viral epidemics to highlight a need for a method to rapidly and reproducibly contaminate surfaces with pathogenic bioaerosol particles and representative surrogates. Slow rotation of a single collection stage in a cascade impactor downstream of a Collison nebulizer is demonstrated to deposit by impaction a rotationally symmetric distribution of particles containing a single spore of *Bacillus globigii* or vegetative *Staphylococcus aureus* bacterium. The coefficient of variation observed for deposition on glass plates was ~14%, significantly less than the acceptance criterion of 20%, and deposition time is ~20 min. Particle size distribution can be manipulated -- by changing the nozzle in the Collison, by changing the concentration of inert organics added to the atomization mixture, or both -- suggesting a possibility of selectively depositing larger particles containing multiple organisms.
Table of Contents

Introduction .................................................................................................................. 1
Dry Aerosol Deposition Device (DADD) – Description .............................................. 3
Materials and Methods ............................................................................................... 4
Results .......................................................................................................................... 5
Discussion ..................................................................................................................... 8
Summary ....................................................................................................................... 11
References ................................................................................................................... 12

List of Figures

1  Dry Aerosol Deposition Device (DADD) .................................................................. 3
2  The DADD collector is composed of a single stage composed compactor that was modified to contain a spinning disk that rotates the samples during loading .... 3
3  Bacillus atrophaeus spores loaded onto glass coupons using the Dry Aerosol Deposition Device ........................................................................................................... 5
4  Bacillus atrophaeus spores loaded onto glass coupons using the Dry Aerosol Deposition Device ........................................................................................................... 5
5  aureus loaded onto glass coupons using the Dry Aerosol Deposition Device ........... 6
6  aureus loaded onto glass coupons using the Dry Aerosol Deposition Device ........... 6
7  Distribution of Bacillus atrophaeus spores loaded onto Glass Coupons using the Dry Aerosol Deposition Device ........................................................................... 7
Introduction

The methods used to measure antimicrobial efficacy of decontamination technologies are, in principle, very simple: samples are contaminated with microorganisms, a subset is exposed to a decontaminant and a control group is not exposed to the decontaminant. Microbes are extracted and then quantified using viable plating; the antimicrobial efficacy is the ratio of the two values. Many standard tests (1, 2, 3) use some variation of this strategy to provide the efficacy of the decontamination under a given set of conditions. However, the conditions used to measure antimicrobial efficacy may influence the susceptibility of the microorganisms to the disinfection technologies (15, 11, 5). For example, organic components are known to compete with oxidative agents (5) and reduce the effectiveness of ultraviolet light (11). Such properties as temperature, relative humidity, presence of organic material, presence of a carrier, water content, etc., must be considered to ensure the laboratory test simulates field-based exposures. Only then can laboratory data be extrapolated to field-based efficacy.

A major concern over the last decade is the deployment of biological warfare agents. Although the primary purpose of biological agents is to cause infections, a secondary consequence is contamination of infrastructure. This was clearly demonstrated during the 2001 anthrax attacks, in which multiple buildings were closed for months to allow for decontamination (7). Biological warfare agents are expected to be delivered in aerosol form; therefore, a biological aerosol is an appropriate challenge to evaluate decontamination technologies. Biological aerosols are complex entities and changes in their particle size or the presence of inert materials may influence their susceptibility to the decontaminant. Another important factor affecting biological aerosols is their low water content. Water quickly evaporates from aerosol droplets, leaving droplet nuclei. The droplet nuclei are responsible for contaminating surfaces; thus droplet nuclei must be used to evaluate decontamination technologies.

No standard test methods exist for applying biological aerosols to surfaces. The likely reason is that the application of biological aerosols to surfaces is very challenging. In liquid systems, it is quite simple to apply a standard inoculum of microorganisms to surfaces. However, quantifiably adding biological aerosols to surfaces requires special instrumentation and expertise in aerosols. The commonest device for applying aerosols to surfaces is a settling chamber (4, 6, 8, 12, 13). A settling chamber provides a realistic challenge and, if controlled properly, can produce reproducible results. However, a drawback to using a settling chamber is the time required to load samples. Most protocols call for loading times ranging from 10–24 hours and, depending on the requirements for the challenge, this time may be unacceptable. Also, larger particles settle faster than smaller particles, which creates a problem of varying contact times when evaluating self-decontaminating surfaces. Static charge on the particles or the surfaces must also be considered when loading microbes in a settling chamber—charge can affect loading and distribution of the microorganisms (10). To simplify loading of samples with microorganisms, we developed a system that utilizes impaction rather than settling: The Dry Aerosol Deposition Device (DADD), is much smaller than
a conventional settling chamber and allows for rapid and highly reproducible loading of samples. This report describes the design, operation, and validation of the DADD.
Dry Aerosol Deposition Device (DADD) - Description

The DADD (Figure 1) was designed to fit in a 4-foot biological safety cabinet (BSC) to contain fugitive aerosols. The aerosol is created using a single-jet Collison nebulizer (BGI Inc, Waltham, Mass.), which produces an airflow of ~ 2 liters per minute (LPM). The aerosol passes through a diffusion dryer (TSI Inc., Minneapolis, Minn.) that uses silica gel to dry the aerosol. The silica gel surrounds the circumference of the dryer, resulting in very little particle disruption. A relative humidity of 20% is achieved in the air exiting the drier. The aerosol then flows into a two-way valve (GC Valves, Charlotte, N.C.) that is controlled by an electronic switch with a sensitivity of 0.1 second. The valve defaults to the overflow position, which directs the aerosol to a high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filter.

The collector is a single-stage ($d_{50} 0.65 \mu m$) cascade impactor (Tisch Environmental, Cleves, Ohio) that was modified to include a motor that rotates the samples at 20 rpm during loading (Figure 2). The impactor is connected to a vacuum pump that draws air at 1 ft$^3$ per minute (CFM). The collector is not directly attached to the two-way valve, which allows make-up air to enter the sampler at the junction. The gap is required to account for the difference in flow rates between aerosol generator (2 LPM) and the collector (28.3 LPM). Since the device is operated in a BSC, the make-up air is HEPA-filtered and will not contain contaminants. The two-way valve and the rotating table are both activated by the same switch, which triggers the rotation to halt once sampling is complete.

Figure 1: Dry Aerosol Deposition Device (DADD):

Figure 2: The DADD collector is composed of a single stage cascade impactor that was modified to contain a spinning disc that rotates the samples during loading.
Materials and Methods

Microorganisms: *Bacillus atrophaeus* (Bg) spores (ATCC 9372) were prepared to > 95% purity using standard protocols (Nicholson). The spores were stored at -80 °C for long-term storage and 4 °C for short-term storage. The spores were analyzed by phase contrast microscopy to ensure they were phase bright prior to use. *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 6538) was prepared by growing an overnight culture in trypticase soy broth (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, N.J.) at 37 °C/220 rpm. The cells were harvested by centrifugation (10 min at 10,000 X g), then resuspended in 1% raffinose to an OD$_{550}$ = 0.8.

Test Substrates: Glass slides were cut into 1-inch square samples, washed with ethanol, and stored in sterile containers until needed.

Aerosol exposure: Bg spores were diluted in sterile water to a concentration of 1X10$^7$ CFU/mL and 35 mL of the spore solution was added to the single-jet Collison nebulizer. Compressed air (20 psi) was added to the Collison nebulizer and allowed to equilibrate for 5 minutes. Glass coupons were placed in glass Petri dishes (Tisch Environmental, Cleves, Ohio), which were loaded into the collector. Care was taken not to load coupons in the center of the dish or too close to the edge as the impactor does not contain jets in these areas. The vacuum source was turned on and the DADD was activated to initiate sampling. The sampling was carried out for various times, after which the coupons were transferred into 50-mL centrifuge tubes containing 35 mL of neutralizing lecithin buffer (1X phosphate buffered saline, 0.5% lecithin, 0.59% sodium thiosulfate). The spores were removed from the coupons by aggressive vortexing for two minutes. The solution was serially diluted and inoculated in triplicate onto TSA plates using a WASP Spiral Plater (Microbiology International, Frederick, Md.). The TSA plates were incubated overnight at 37 °C, then enumerated using a Protocol automated colony counter (Microbiology International, Fredrick, Md.). The data were loaded into the *Prism-5* statistical analysis software package (GraphPad, 2236 Avenida de la Playa, La Jolla, California) and analyzed for variance. The aerosol process for *S. aureus* was identical to Bg spores with the following exceptions: 1) the cells were diluted in 1% raffinose to an OD$_{550}$ 0.8 and 2) following loading, the samples were incubated at 0-hr and 1-hr exposures to evaluate cell death due to desiccation.

Spore distribution: Glass slides were loaded with Bg spores as previously described. The slides were observed using a stereomicroscope and an upright microscope.
Results

BG spores loaded onto glass slides with the DADD demonstrated a linear correlation between time and loading concentration (Figure 3). The 12-minute loading is a little low but the trend is clear. This indicates that loading concentration can be tuned by adjusting loading times. Reproducibility of loading is a key factor in determining the usefulness of the technique. Repetitive loading performed at a single time point (5 min) on multiple days demonstrates that the DADD reproducibly loads spores onto surfaces (Figure 4). The average coefficient of variation (CV) observed for spore loading within a given experiment was 13.6%. This value is well within the acceptable range of variability found in traditional antimicrobial efficacy tests.

Figure 3: *Bacillus atrophaeus* spores loaded onto glass coupons using the Dry Aerosol Deposition Device
Loading *S. aureus* onto surfaces is more complicated because the vegetative microorganism is not as hardy as a spore and may be injured during impaction or by desiccation. To prevent desiccation, *S. aureus* was aerosolized in a solution of 1% raffinose. *S. aureus* coaerosolized with 1% raffinose provides a high loading consistency (Figure 5). The average CV for loading triplicate samples was only 6.1%. These data demonstrate that *S. aureus* survives the impaction process. As part of this experiment, a duplicate set of glass slides was loaded with *S. aureus*, incubated at room temperature for 1 hour, and extracted. The data from this test demonstrate that, during the incubation period, an average of 13% of the cells died due to desiccation compared to control samples (Figure 6). For decontamination studies, the decrease in viability would be observed in both the test and the control population and would not bias the result. The important factor is that a significant majority of the cells remain viable over the one-hour incubation period that is required to evaluate the performance of the decontamination technology.
Microscopic examination of Bg spores deposited onto glass slides revealed a concentric circular distribution pattern (Figure 7). The pattern is a direct result of the configuration of the impactor plate, which contains a series of jets aligned in concentric circles. The S. aureus cells were distributed in the same pattern as the Bg spores (data not shown).

Figure 5: S. aureus loaded onto glass coupons using the Dry Aerosol Deposition Device

Figure 6: S. aureus loaded onto glass coupons using the Dry Aerosol Deposition Device
Figure 7: Distribution of *Bacillus atrophaeus* spores loaded onto Glass Coupons using the Dry Aerosol Deposition Device
Discussion

The DADD provides a rapid, highly reproducible means for challenging surfaces with aerosolized microorganisms. The primary reason for developing the DADD was to challenge antimicrobial/self-decontaminating/reactive materials with dry biological aerosols, as water may increase the effectiveness of these surfaces. The DADD is also well suited to contaminate carriers that are subsequently used to evaluate the efficacy of such external decontamination technologies as liquid disinfectants or UV light. The absence of water in the loading step is a key parameter in simulating threat-representative aerosols. Water rapidly evaporates from aerosolized droplets (manmade and naturally occurring) leaving droplet nuclei. The droplet nuclei are what eventually contacts the surface and will require disinfection. A settling chamber could provide the same result; however, the settling chamber requires additional time to load samples and loading variability can be difficult to control.

The use of impaction to collect micro-organisms onto surfaces is not new. The cascade impactor is a common device that has been used for decades to quantify the airborne concentration of particles. However, the use of the impactor for this application is unique in that no attempt is being made to measure the airborne concentration; rather, the impactor is being used simply to load surfaces with a quantifiable amount of microorganisms. The cascade impactor typically uses collection media that are soft in nature (either agar-based media or filters) or oil-coated surfaces, which limit the amount of particle bounce, allowing for accurate sampling. For this study, a hard surface, glass, was used for the collection of microorganisms because the glass slide can easily be observed with a light microscope. Undoubtedly, many particles simply bounced off the surface and were not collected. However, because no attempt was made to measure aerosol concentration particle bounce is of no concern to this exercise.

One limitation to the DADD methodology is that the spores are not distributed uniformly across the entire surface. Microscopic examination of Bg spores deposited onto glass slides shows a concentric circular distribution (Figure 7). The pattern is a direct result of the configuration of the impactor plate, which contains a series of jets aligned into concentric circles. The DADD could also be used without the rotating disc, which would deposit a series of evenly distributed spots on the coupon. In either case, the microbes are distributed in a standard pattern over the surface, but concentrations are higher at the loading sites. If dispersal over the entire surface is desired the DADD could be modified to make distribution more uniform by varying the sample location under the jets. However, homogeneous distribution is not required to evaluate antimicrobial efficacy of the samples. The micro-organisms are deposited as single particles, so their exposure to decontaminants (self contained or external) will not be affected by the loading pattern.

The DADD is a versatile device that allows for creation and deposition of aerosols onto surfaces. The characteristics of the aerosol can be altered by changing the composition of the nebulization fluid or by changing the atomizer to create larger droplets.
By altering the composition of inert components in the aerosolization fluid, a specific threat can be approximated (i.e., biowarfare release, respiratory transmission, etc.). For this study, we did not focus on trying to mimic a given threat; instead, we focused on demonstrating the reproducibility of the method.

Another important factor in the evaluation of disinfection technologies is the level of microbial agglomeration. Agglomerated microorganisms will produce a more rigorous challenge because the exterior microbes will shield the interior microbes from the decontamination agents. The DADD may provide a mechanism for loading various-sized agglomerates onto surfaces. The impactor uses plates with different-sized jets to deposit different-sized particles onto surfaces. For this study, the plate with a $d_{50}$ of 0.65 µm was used. The $d_{50}$ is a measure of the collection efficiency of the sampler and indicates the particle size at which the sampler has a collection efficiency of 50% (9). The cascade impactor also has impaction plates to collect particles ranging up to 9 µm. By using different plates, it may be possible to collect agglomerates of specific sizes. We are not aware of any other technology that can be used to load agglomerates of a specific size onto surfaces.
Summary

The DADD provides a mechanism to load coupons with a highly reproducible challenge of microorganisms. The challenge is rapid and, consequently, offers advantages over a settling chamber. The distribution pattern of microbes onto surfaces is not completely uniform, but the DADD could be modified to deliver more-uniform surface distributions. The data generated in this study were measured on glass coupons, but we have preliminary data that show that the device can also be used to load many other substrates, including aluminum, concrete, and fabrics. Thus the use of the DADD is limited only to what can be placed in the cascade impactor. Future studies will focus on loading microorganisms onto multiple surfaces and determining the conditions required to load specific-sized agglomerates onto surfaces. The study of agglomerates is very difficult and the DADD may provide a unique capability for understanding how agglomerated microorganisms react with decontamination technologies.
References


