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High Fidelity Stimulation Need

- Models & Simulations (M&S) are critical in the today’s development of the complex Ballistic Missile Defense System (BMDS)
  - Testing of the BMDS is costly
  - Geographic dispersion complicates test capability
  - A laboratory BMDS Ground Test (GT) network is enabled by M&S

- M&S are used to evaluate:
  - Design modifications to system architectures
  - Impacts of system hardware changes
  - Software capabilities prior to and after fielding
  - Evaluate system performance against emerging threats
  - Conduct pretest readiness and evaluations

- The BMDS AN/TPY-2 radar requires a high fidelity stimulator to adequately exercise critical software functions and processes such as advanced discrimination and distributed track processing
AN/TPY-2 Stimulation Injection Point Options

Beam Steering Commands

- Antenna
- REX
- Beam Steering Generator
- Exciter
- Receiver
- Signal Processor 1
- Data Processor 1
- Raw A/D
- Transmit/Receive & SP Commands
- SP Replies
- Object Track Reports

Low Fidelity Injection
- Analog Signal
- Limited Target Modeling
- System Verification/Checkout
- Limited Channel Stimulation

Medium Fidelity Injection
- Limited Target and Environment Modeling
- Predetermined Detections with Track Processing Software Only

High Fidelity Injection
- Complex Target and Environment Signature Modeling
- Multiple Channel Stimulation
- Full System Software is Exercised – Detection and Track Performance Testing

TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.
AN/TPY-2 Closed Air-Gap (CAG) Configuration

- Radar Tactical Hardware
- AN/TPY-2 Signal/Data Processor
  - BSG & Transmit/Receive Commands
  - SP Commands
  - SP Replies
- Participant Interface
- RDSIS
  - Radar Digital Signal Injection System
- MDSE
  - TEC
    - Test Execution and Control
  - TIU
    - Truth Interface Unit
- CNIP
  - “Snoop” C-Series Messages
  - Tactical Network Connection Point

- • CTTO Demonstration
  Tests scheduled in Dec 08 and Mar 09 as prep for GTI-09

Contractor Product
Government Product
• RDSIS Objective - Develop a Tool that will Provide:
  – Real-Time Simulation of Radar Return Signals to Stimulate the BMDS Radar
  – A High Fidelity Driver for BMDS Ground Testing
• Radar Capabilities Supported by RDSIS:
  – One and Two Pass Configurations
  – Single Beam Search, Multi-Beam Search, Multi-Frequency Search, Multi-Beam-Multi-Frequency Search, Verification, Track, and Discrimination
  – Narrowband, Medium Band and Wideband Waveforms
• Natural Environment Modeling - Incorporate the effects of:
  – Atmospheric Absorption
  – Cloud and Precipitation Attenuation
  – Atmospheric Lens Loss and Refraction
• Signal Characteristics
  – Generate real time I & Q for each Receiver Channel
  – Incorporate the Effects of AGC
  – Include Noise Injection
  – Model Sum and Difference Antenna Patterns (Mainbeam & Sidelobes)
  – Antenna Pattern Modeled for Transmit and Receive Antenna Weighting Functions
  – Include Effects of Outages and Amplitude and Phase Errors
• High Fidelity Modeling of I & Q Samples
  – Utilize Scattering Center Based Threat RCS Models
  – Utilize Threat Dynamics
    – Include Effects Due to Object Body Dynamics
    – Utilize Inputs Provided via 17 Column Trajectory Data Threat Dynamics
### Example Data Generation Time Line

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RP N-2</th>
<th>RP N-1</th>
<th>RP N</th>
<th>RP N+1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t+0</td>
<td>t+40ms</td>
<td>t+80ms</td>
<td>t+120ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Window to Receive Commands for RP N**
- **Window to Generate I&Q Return Data for RP N**
- **Window to Inject Sim Data for RP N**
- **Window to Receive Commands for RP N+1**
- **Window to Generate I&Q Return Data for RP N+1**
- **Window to Inject Sim Data for RP N+1**

- NLT 28 ms
N-Point Real-time Processing for MB & WB Waveforms

List of Scatterer Data

1. Extract scatterer data for particular aspect $\alpha$, roll $\rho$ and polarization

$\{(x_1, y_1, z_1); \sigma_1 \}$,
$\{(x_2, y_2, z_2); \sigma_2 \}$,
$\{(x_3, y_3, z_3); \sigma_2 \}, \ldots\}$

Scatterer data (3-D location + complex RCS)

2. Compute relative range and range rate for each scatterer, using body orientation and dynamics

$\{(R_1, R_1', \sigma_1), (R_2, R_2', \sigma_2), \ldots\}$

For NB Waveforms, Scatterers are Coherently Summed into a Single RCS Response
Discrimination Testing: As the development of the BMDS matures, advanced techniques for target discrimination will make use of AN/TPY-2 data both at the organic sensor and in the system wide context.

Software Development and Performance Evaluation: The origin of RDSIS has been in the development of software for the AN/TPY-2 radar and will continue to play a vital role in this process. Additionally, standalone performance evaluations of the radar are possible to assess readiness of software builds and system performance.

Ground Test: The continued BMDS ground test campaigns will require multiple instantiations of the AN/TPY-2 radar to conduct system wide tests as more radars are integrated into the BMDS. High fidelity stimulation will enable more accurate system testing and evaluation.

CTTO: Concurrent Test, Training, and Operations applications will make use of high fidelity stimulation for system level testing and training to advance BMDS capabilities.

VOL: Realistic virtual-over-live testing is enabled by injection of complex scenes combined with live data to assess system performance in loading conditions.

Deployable Stimulation: Development of a deployable high fidelity stimulation for fielded radars provides on-site test capability and increases the fidelity of system ground test of fielded assets.

SOLD: The THAAD Sim-Over-Live-Driver (SOLD) utilizes RDSIS as a test asset.

OTHER: Continuing development of other and future X-band radars will implement RDSIS to meet development, test, and training needs. Examples: SBX and EMR
Example Use Case Implementation:
Virtual Over Live (VOL)

BMDS Radar / RDSIS Operating in a Virtual-over-Live Environment

AN/TPY-2 Radar

BMDS SDPE

To/From CNIP

Live Targets

Simulated Target Information Driven Internally or Externally
• Simulations are critical to the development and testing of the Ballistic Missile Defense System
  – Critical to the development of algorithms such as discrimination methodologies

• High fidelity radar stimulation provides a needed capability to assess the readiness and performance of the AN/TPY-2 radar
  – High fidelity target and environment signature modeling improves assessment capability
  – Realtime execution capability is a key factor

• Application of high fidelity stimulation for the varied Use Cases will provide enhanced test and training thus improving the Warfighters capability
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