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1 Introduction

1.1 Objective

The Design Description for the TEAM program describes the structure and behavior of the components in
the system and their interrelationships and principles. The TEAM program is broken into two spirals.
This document describes the design for Spiral 1, which focuses on mission planning. Spiral 2 focuses on
mission execution. The design will also be updated in Spiral 2 and there will be revisions to this
document.

1.2 Program Overview

1.2.1 Background

The improvised explosive devices in land warfare serve as a reminder of the asymmetric threat posed by
mines in general. The sea lines of communication are threatened by mines just as land lines. In addition,
adversaries can deny forces entry to coastal regions. These regions are often in the littorals requiring
shallow draft vessels to defend them. To face this threat, the new Littoral Combat Ship (LCS) will be able
to be equipped with a mine countermeasures (MCM) mission module.

Like the land mine problem, the sea mine problem is a difficult one. Mines can be found in various
regions of the water column, and these regions present different challenges to the tasks of searching for
and neutralizing mines. As such, various assets are utilized in these challenges, air and sea, manned
and unmanned.

Currently there is minimal coordination between air and sea assets and between manned and unmanned
assets. Yet these assets play vital and complementary roles in the MCM problem. What coordination
there is takes place in between sorties. This means that they cannot compensate for contingencies that
take place during sorties. This may lead to losses in mission effectiveness.

Furthermore, each asset has its own command and control systems and personnel with specialized skills.
This can lead to inefficiencies in the already challenging workload aboard the LCS.

Lockheed Martin performed preliminary analyses of improvements in mission performance by employing
the TEAM concepts. In those analyses, there was a substantial reduction in time to first neutralization
utilizing 2 unmanned vehicles and a manned helicopter versus a helicopter independently. Furthermore,
the analysis of multiple helicopters teaming for an upper water column mission showed a substantial
reduction in time to first neutralization and a substantial increase in the number of mines neutralized. The
analyses also indicated a potential decrease in workload through the use of common command and
control enabling better load balancing across operators.

In these analyses, various simplifying assumptions were made. If, however, even a fraction of the
improvements indicated can be realized, this represents a great opportunity for improving mission
effectiveness in the MCM mission. Although this effort is currently limited to a single LCS, the analyses
indicate greater improvements with multiple LCSs.

1.2.2 Program Description

One of the critical issues for Mine Countermeasures (MCM) missions aboard the LCS is the simultaneous
management of multi-vehicle (both manned and unmanned) teams. Optimizing tactical performance of a
limited crew by reducing workload requirements across all mission phases (pre-launch, launch, transit to
operations area, execution, recovery, and post-recovery) is currently not feasible since each platform
generally maintains its own management and control solution (a vehicle-centric approach). Two key
methods to reduce LCS MCM workload through advanced mission management technologies are: (1)
Increase the autonomy of specific tasks currently done by human operators, and (2) Standardize mission
management functions across all vehicle types and mission segments to reduce the need for skill

specialization. As such, the main objective to meet the requirements of future LCS missions is to change from a vehicle-centric to a mission-centric paradigm (Figure 1).

Figure 1 Management of Manned and Unmanned Assets in Teams

Mission centric planning means optimizing over multiple vehicles and multiple objectives. Automated decision aids are helpful in this. Mission centric execution means doing so during mission execution. Automated decision aids are essential for this.

Mission execution includes replanning in the face of the unexpected. Loss of subsystems or entire assets, discoveries about the environment and enemy actions can break the best plan. TEAM seeks to recover from these by incorporating the mission planning process in the mission monitoring process transforming it from a passive to an active process.

The objective of this phase of the TEAM program is to demonstrate technologies that can plan and execute mine countermeasures missions using the various assets available to it. TEAM seeks to combine these technologies using an open standard methodology to enhance configurability and extensibility. Finally, it seeks to plan the transition of these technologies to the fleet.
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3 Design Description

3.1 Context

TEAM exists in the context of other LCS and mine hunting components. These are illustrated in Figure 2.

Figure 2 Context of TEAM with other LCS and Mine Hunting Components

Like the land mine problem, the sea mine problem is a difficult one. Mines can be found in various regions of the water column, and these regions present different challenges to the tasks of searching for and neutralizing mines. As such, various assets are utilized in these challenges. The MH-60S is a key platform in the MCM challenge and will be utilized on the LCS. The Airborne Mine Countermeasures (AMCM) program is implementing the employment of five MCM systems, the AQS-20A towed sonar array, the Airborne Laser Mine Detection System (ALMDS), the Airborne Mine Neutralization System (AMNS), the Rapid Airborne Mine Clearance System (RAMICS), and the Organic Airborne and Surface Influence Sweep (OASIS), on the MH-60S.

In addition, there are various surface and subsurface unmanned vehicles deployed or in development to address this problem. Of particular note is the Remote Minehunting System (RMS), the AN/WLD-1(V)1, featuring the Remote Multi-Mission Vehicle (RMMV), a semisubmersible unmanned vehicle capable of towing a variable depth sonar (VDS), the AN/AQS-20. There is also the Battle space Preparation Unmanned Autonomous Vehicle (BPAUV) and the Vertical Take-off and landing Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (VTUAV).

Analysis of the battle space and planning the MCM missions is performed with the assistance of the Mine Warfare and Environmental Decision Aids Library (MEDAL). Missions for the various assets are generated and distributed to them for detailed mission planning. After hunting missions have been
completed, data is collected for post mission analysis (PMA) after which MEDAL is utilized to generate new missions, searching, identifying, neutralizing, or sweeping.

Furthermore, programs under development, like Supervision of Unmanned Mission Management by Interactive Teams (SUMMIT) and Commander’s Estimate of the Situation (CES) can provide benefit to and can benefit from TEAM capabilities.

TEAM infrastructure and services are targeted to be part of the next generation MCM ecosystem. The services and planning capability provide a solid foundation upon which future mission capability can be built.

### 3.2 Architecture

#### 3.2.1 Approach

In general, TEAM’s architecture is Service Oriented Architecture (SOA). Newly developed code, legacy code, third party code, and future code can be wrapped by service interfaces (Integration Application Programming Interface [API]) that communicate via a service bus. This is illustrated in Figure 3.

![Figure 3 Example Service Composition](image-url)

These services in their simplest form are quite useful, but their real power is revealed when they can be used to perform more-complex processes. Work has been under way for years to develop the technologies and standards that would support such a requirement. BPEL has emerged as the de-facto
standard. Where appropriate mission processes are represented as BPEL-compliant processes in TEAM. These processes emphasize orchestration of services whereas the services provide atomic functions.

Figure 4 shows a simple example workflow where an application sends data to a service, which performs a function and then sends processed data to the same or other application. In addition, the application may be an aggregate service, a service consisting of other services, a construct for many applications that provides convenience without disrupting reusability and configurability of the constituent services.

![Figure 4 Example Simple Workflow](image)

**Figure 4 Example Simple Workflow**

This approach is applied to the context of Figure 2 in a demonstration environment and Figure 5 emerges. In Figure 5 TEAM is divided into groups of services for convenience. Note that Figure 5 shows the grand vision for the entire program and beyond, not limited to Spiral 1. As a result, not all services shown are described later in this document. For example, the MEDAL services are displayed as they are expected from the forthcoming MEDAL EA effort. This, too, is beyond the scope of this work, but is included here as an example. Spiral 1 focuses on mission planning, so services in the Collaborative Mission Planning section as well as the Human Machine Interface (HMI) are described.

In Figure 5, the supporting services shown below the Enterprise Service Bus are not part of TEAM. These services are used to provide the necessary infrastructure to support the TEAM. For example, vehicle and sensor services provide handles to avatars in the demonstration environment. While these might become handles to vehicles in a deployed system, this is beyond the scope of this work.

The enterprise service bus itself is not part of the system per se. While an ESB is used to demonstrate TEAM services, no assumption is made that this is the service that will forever be used. It is intentionally
so to force compliance to standards. It also enables the government to utilize the ESB of its choice. While this is unlikely to result in zero effort to accommodate, the effort is anticipated to be much lower than if TEAM were tightly coupled to a particular ESB.

The MEDAL services are displayed as they are expected from the forthcoming MEDAL EA effort. While this is not part of this effort, it is targeted to be accommodated. Furthermore, other efforts are shown as able to expand, but such expansion is beyond scope.

Figure 5 Representative TEAM and Supporting Services

### 3.2.2 Integration Infrastructure

This infrastructure in Figure 6 enables the integration of services through the introduction of a reliable set of capabilities, such as intelligent routing of data and control flow, protocol mediation so that services need not implement the details of the protocol, rules management to ease configurability and tailoring of logic, and process engine to make to whole system come together.

The use of third party, standards-based software facilitates openness. This openness enables future users can substitute other developed or third party solutions to suit their needs.
While the ESB is beyond the scope of the TEAM program, the Mule® service bus is utilized as a reference bus in lieu of a government selected bus. In addition to Mule®, a number of open source solutions are also utilized as references in place of government selected solutions. Neither these nor Mule® are deliverable, but the government may opt to use them if it so chooses. jBPM, java Business Process Management, is used as a reference workflow engine. This provides a programmatic method for design and execution of workflows. Data is managed using PostgreSQL with PostGIS providing geographic data management on top of it. GeoServer is an open source service for publishing and editing georeferenced data providing Web Map Service (WMS), Web Coverage Service (WCS), and Web Feature Service (WFS). Because these interfaces are not always necessary and desirable, Hibernate/Hibernate Spatial is also used for providing these data. Finally, Drools is targeted as the rules engine.

By embracing open standards (such as JSR-94, a rules engine API, and UCORE, a data format standard already embraced by some government agencies) and not assuming a particular solution, the program intends to be compatible with whatever solutions the government brings to bear for these issues. In addition, it facilitates additional functional solutions the government chooses to use in the future.

### 3.3.1 Collaborative Mission Planning

Collaborative mission planning is tasked with converting mission objectives into an actionable plan across team assets. It takes geometry and performance parameters from MEDAL and decomposes those into tasks to be performed by available individual vehicles. For example, TEAM might be issued a bottom
mine hunt task in area A and a neutralization task for surface targets Mike-1, and Mike-2 also in area A. In this case, tracks for RMMV uniform coverage might be developed and divided into one set of tracks for each RMMV, while neutralization tasks (transit, reacquire, neutralize) might be developed for the MH-60S. The MH-60S might even be assigned tasks to refit with AQS-20 and some of the hunt tracks. The tracks might be scheduled to perform those most distant from Mike-1 and Mike-2 first in order to minimize risk to the assets. Accounting for the vehicles’ characteristics (e.g. effective at needed depth) and the operating parameters, it then schedules those tasks to the vehicles performing them. Given that schedule and the vehicles’ mobility parameters, it then generates routes for the vehicles. Figure 7 shows an overview of the data flow and centers of processing that are used to accomplish this.

Figure 7 Collaborative Mission Planning Overview

3.3.2 Contingency Management

Contingency Management’s task is to monitor the situation and the progress of the mission and decide when a plan modification is necessary. Most of this functionality is utilized in Spiral 2, but it is important to consider the approach during Spiral 1.
Figure 8 Contingency Management Overview

3.3.3 Human Machine Interface

The Human Machine Interface (HMI) serves as the exclusive interaction with the operator. It provides a tactical situation display on which geographic information appears. Overlaid on the tactical situation display appear objective geometries and routes. Also appearing in the HMI in information on the available vehicles and scheduling information on tasks. From here the operator has the ability to display information on these items and the ability to accept or edit data such as waypoints.
Figure 9 HMI Context

3.3.4 Situational Assessment

Situational Assessment is deferred to Spiral 2.

3.4 Service Descriptions

3.4.1 Asset Allocation/Scheduling Service

This service assigns assets to tasks and schedules those tasks for the assets. It takes a mission specification and decomposes it into task specifications. Continuing the previous example, given two RMMVs and a MH-60S each with an AQS-20, the tracks might be allocated evenly across those vehicles, allocated according to their endurance and speed capabilities, or allocated to the RMMVs with the MH-60S held in reserve with a neutralizer package.

It assigns and schedules tasks to assets, adding deconfliction constraints to the tasks specifications and applying task templates as appropriate. Continuing the example, an AQS-20 search task may require an alignment task preceding and following it constituting additional scheduling constraints. In addition, the first such task may require a streaming task preceding the alignment task and the last such task a sensor recovery task following the alignment task. These tasks would be added to the hunt task scheduled to proceed and follow it.

Deconfliction constraint examples include ensuring that search tasks by one vehicle maintain a standoff distance from a neutralization task and ensuring that transit tasks by two different vehicles be separated...
temporally. Thus, in the example, RMMV 1 might be launched a period of time before RMMV 2 to ensure a minimum separation in space. In addition, its first track might be the track most distant from RMMV 2’s first track. In contrast, there need be no delay between RMMV 1’s launch and MH-60’s launch because there is no risk of collision.

3.4.2 Environmental Data Access Service

This service maintains information about environmental data. This is represented as gridded data. Data can be requested at a specified point or a specified grid. Supported data types are bottom depth and bottom type. Data may not be available for some or all of these types and a subset of the requested region. If the data are available, they are returned for the requested region.

3.4.3 External Tasking Translator

This serves as the interface to tasking applications beyond the system boundary. In particular, this is intended to receive MEDAL information and convert it to the internal representation, decoupling the external tasking representations from the internal representation.

3.4.4 Human Machine Interface

The Human Machine Interface (HMI) provides the sole interaction with operators. It displays environmental data. Supported environmental data include bathymetry and bottom type. It displays mission objective geometry and accepts operator changes to it. It displays vehicle status information. It displays task schedule information and accepts operator changes to the tasks. Supported changes include vehicle assignment. It displays vehicle route information and accepts operator changes to it. Supported changes include waypoint addition, deletion, or movement. It also displays alerts when a plan is infeasible, when the mission objectives cannot be achieved with the assets available, when the task schedule is infeasible, when the routes are infeasible.

3.4.5 Image/Video Service

This service manages collections of images and video. For demonstration purposes, this provides simulated snippets and video for viewing by the operator. In a deployed system, it might be used for reference materials or to review previously collected images or video. This service is a Spiral 2 artifact.

3.4.6 MENSA Administrator

Mission Effectiveness and Safety Assessment (MENSA) is a legacy system for monitoring and assessing situation data. The MENSA Administrator offers a framework for an extensible set of monitoring and assessment agents.

3.4.6.1 Objective Monitor Agent

This function monitors changes in mission objectives and determines when the plan needs to be changed to accomplish the given objectives.

3.4.7 Mine Contact Data Access Service

This service accepts, manages and provides data on contacts. Contacts are spot reports of entities that may or may not be objects of interest. In particular, they may be mine like echo contacts (MILECs), mine like objects (MILCOs), or mines of different types.

The service definition provides for operations for requesting all contacts in a specified region and for a specific contact. In addition, they are made available through publish/subscribe. Furthermore, it provides operations for adding and modifying contacts.

3.4.8 Mine Threat Assessment Service

This service maintains data about mine threats. This is not information about a particular mine or mine like object, but information about a type of mine. Supported information includes operating characteristics such as minimum and maximum case depth, physical characteristics such as mine case size and shape, countermeasures type, and mine class. The service provides these data, if available, upon request.
3.4.9 Mission Planning Service
This service is a composite service that guides data though other services. In particular, this takes
mission objectives and guides them through the task scheduling and route planning services to produce
comprehensive mission plans.

3.4.10 Operational Mix Assessment Service
This service finds the feasible vehicle/task pairings. It takes in objectives and an available vehicle list
with asset capabilities and matches vehicles to objectives.

3.4.11 Plan Dissemination Service
This service provides plans to interested parties beyond the system boundaries, decoupling those parties'
plan representations from the internal plan representation. It provides Common Route Definition format
documents for use by JMPS and vehicle formats for dissemination to vehicles. For demonstration
proposes, this is a simple interface. In a deployed system, this might be a JAUS compliant interface.
While this service is a Spiral 2 artifact, it is useful to consider in Spiral 1 design.

3.4.12 Vehicle State Data Access Service
This service maintains status information about assets. The information schema is an extension of
UCORE, leveraging many of the data structures defined there. It extends UCORE by adding information
about subsystems such as engines and optional sensors or neutralizers. The service provides these
data, if available, upon request. In the future, the information will be updated based on communications
from the assets.

3.4.13 Route Planning Service
This service plans routes between scheduled tasks for an asset. It respects spatial and temporal
constraints in the given task specifications and the performance capabilities of the given asset.

3.4.14 Track Service
This service maintains information on objects in the battle space. These include friendly, unfriendly,
unaffiliated, and obstacle objects. The service provides these data, if available, upon request.

3.4.15 Uniform Coverage Planning Service
This service decomposes mission-level objectives into tasks to be performed by assets. For example, an
objective to hunt in a given area of mines to a given clearance level might be decomposed into a number
of tracks to be traversed by an AQS-20 sensor at given speed and depth. It wraps the legacy UCPLAN
functionality.

3.4.16 Vehicle Class Data Access Service
This service maintains information about assets. This is not state data, but rather static performance data
such as maximum speed. It also includes information about what subsystems can be employed by the
asset. The service provides these data, if available, upon request.

3.4.17 Vehicle Management Service
This service maintains information on what vehicles (not vehicle classes) are available.

3.5 Data Models and Management
TEAM leverages community of interest and industry adopted schemas.

It is based on UCORE, a universal core data schema that enables information sharing with ways to
describe “what, when, where, who” types of information using a minimal set of terms in the core, agreed
to by DoD and intelligence community and extensible by communities of interest and systems as needed.
Figure 10 UCORE Based Data Hierarchy

UCORE makes heavy use of other common standards, including Geography Markup Language (GML), a XML grammar defined by Open Geospatial Consortium, Inc. ® (OGC) to express geographical features used for representing geospatial data as well as an interchange for format for that data.

3.6 Behaviors

This section describes the behaviors of the TEAM system for the Spiral 1 demonstration.

3.6.1 Use Cases

Use cases are a useful way of describing the fundamental ways in which a system interacts with its users and environment. Figure 11 shows the use cases identified for Spiral 1. There is at least one activity diagram associated with each use case in the following sections.

Activity diagrams are a useful tool for showing behavior between and within system components. Each swim lane in an activity diagram represents a component in the system and each line between swim lanes represents an interface. Otherwise, they look much like convention flow charts.
Figure 11 Use Case Diagram
3.6.2 Mission Change

Figure 12 shows the sequence of events that take place when a mission change is received. The change may come from the network (representing higher command authority). Alternately, it may come from the operator station.

Mission objectives arrive from the network actor and are translated into an internal representation including geometries and task types to be performed within those geometries as well as mission constraints such as restricted areas. These can be reviewed by the Operator in a separate use case. These objectives are validated (checked against any constraints). Validated objectives are then checked against the current plan to see if they can be accommodated without change. If not, planning proceeds.
Figure 12 Mission Change Activity Diagram
3.6.3 Review Mission

This use case permits the Operator to review and alter objectives (Figure 13). This may be arrived at through a change in objectives, the discovery of invalid objectives, or the infeasibility of the objectives given constraints later in the planning process (e.g. availability of assets).

If it was arrived at from a change in objectives, the Operator may have configured the system to proceed without Operator intervention. This behavior is useful for testing later behaviors. Otherwise the human machine interface’s state is set and the Operator is presented with the objectives. If he or she makes changes, the revised objectives are sent back and handled in the Mission Change use case. In any case, state is reset before proceeding.

Figure 14 shows a representative screenshot of the Operator’s view during this use case.
Figure 13 Review Mission Activity Diagram
Figure 14 Representative Review Mission Screenshot
3.6.4 Schedule Tasks

Figure 15 shows the process for defining the mission schedule. Given the objectives, information is gathered about the current situation (e.g. constraints on vehicle availability and on their capabilities, environment constraints on the objectives and the vehicle capabilities [e.g. bottom type may render vehicle hunting capabilities ineffective for certain regions], known mine like echo contacts, mine like objects and mines [these may be the targets of tasks or objects to avoid for other tasks]).

Given these conditions, the system develops the vehicle-task pairings. If tasks require uniform coverage planning, that is invoked for each of the pairings. Each of the resulting tracks may be considered a task to be scheduled along with identification and neutralization tasks. Additional scheduling constraints are added (e.g. launch windows and arrival windows to avoid collisions) and the tasks are scheduled if feasible. Of course, it is entirely possible that the problem is over constrained such that no solution is feasible. In this case, the results are sent back for mission review. Otherwise, they are sent for schedule review and route planning.
Figure 15 Schedule Tasks Activity Diagram
3.6.5 Review Task Schedule

Figure 16 shows the activities in the Review Task use case. Here the Operator is given an opportunity to review and alter the developed vehicle task schedule. This can be reached as a result of a new scheduling case, discovery of an invalid schedule, or an infeasible schedule discovered during routing.

Like the Mission Review use case activity diagram, state is set upon entry and reset upon exit. Also, the Operator may have set the system to proceed without intervention, in which case this process is exited. Otherwise the Operator is presented with the derived schedule and may accept or alter it. If it is altered, it is validated. If the validation fails, the Operator is presented with the schedule for revision.

Figure 17 shows a representative screenshot of the Operator’s view during this process.
Figure 16 Review Task Schedule Activity Diagram
Figure 17 Representative Review Schedule Screenshot
3.6.6 Plan Routes

Figure 18 shows the activities in the Plan Routes use case. Routes are planned for assigned vehicle to traverse between tasks in schedule order conforming to constraints in the task specification. If routes are infeasible, they are sent back for the Operator to relax constraints. Otherwise the mission plan is compiled and stored.
Figure 18 Plan Routes Activity Diagram
3.6.7 Review Mission Plan

Figure 19 shows the activities involved in reviewing the routes developed by the system. This process may be reached by the development of new routes. The process is similar to other review use cases in that state is set upon entry, and reset upon exit, the Operator may opt out of review, review, or change, any changed are checked for validity and presented to the Operator if invalid. In addition, this activity diagram shows the ability to display routes in the Joint Mission Planning System (JMPS) to show interoperability with a legacy system as well as the ability to work with other operator interfaces in general.

Figure 20 shows a representative screenshot of the Operator’s view during this process.
Figure 19 Review Mission Plan Activity Diagram
Figure 20 Representative Route Review Screenshot
3.6.8 Review Assets

Figure 21 shows the activities in the Review Assets use case. This is a fairly straightforward case in which, on demand, data are collected and displayed to the Operator.

Figure 22 shows a representative screen of the Operator’s view of this (with the relevant data highlighted).
Figure 21 Review Assets Activity Diagram

Figure 22 Representative Screenshot (Focus on Asset Display)
3.7 Simulation Environment

While not part of the design, it is useful to be aware of the intent of the simulation environment in which this design is expected to operate.

Figure 24 shows additional detail for the vehicle and sensor simulation. Note that some of these items are beyond scope, but expect to be incorporated under synergistic investment. In general, there are vehicular models that convert route plans to DIS packets. These packets are used by the JSAF environment to update the positions of the vehicles. JSAF maintains a unified model of the true state of the simulation and provides DIS packets with those. These are converted to GML moving object for use by the sensor models to provide TEAM with sensor reports and state reports.
# Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALMDS</td>
<td>AN/AES-1 Airborne Laser Mine Detection System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMNS</td>
<td>AN/ASQ-235 Airborne Mine Neutralization System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API</td>
<td>Application Programming Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQS-20A</td>
<td>Towed Sonar Array (VDS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATR</td>
<td>Automatic Target Recognition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPUAV</td>
<td>Battlespace Preparation Underwater Autonomous Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD/CAC</td>
<td>Computer-Automated Detection/Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CES</td>
<td>Commander’s Estimate of the Situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COI</td>
<td>Community of Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COT</td>
<td>Cursor on Target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRD</td>
<td>Common Route Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIS</td>
<td>Distributed Interactive Simulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD</td>
<td>Department of Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOID</td>
<td>Electro-Optic Identification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESB</td>
<td>Enterprise Service Bus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCCS-M</td>
<td>Global Command and Control System – Maritime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GML</td>
<td>Geography Markup Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMI</td>
<td>Human Machine Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAUS</td>
<td>Joint Architecture for Unmanned Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jBPM</td>
<td>Java Business Process Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSAF</td>
<td>Joint Semi-Automated Forces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JMPS</td>
<td>Joint Mission Planning System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCS</td>
<td>Littoral Combat Ship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM</td>
<td>Lockheed Martin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCM</td>
<td>Mine Countermeasures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDAL</td>
<td>Mine Warfare and Environmental Decision Aids Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MENSA</td>
<td>Mission Effectiveness and Safety Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH-60S</td>
<td>Naval Helicopter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MILCO</td>
<td>Mine Like Object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MILEC</td>
<td>Mine Like Echo Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIW</td>
<td>Mine Warfare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIWC</td>
<td>Mine Warfare Commander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLO</td>
<td>Mine Like Object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCA</td>
<td>National Command Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OASIS</td>
<td>AN/ALQ-220 Organic Airborne and Surface Influence Sweep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGC</td>
<td>Open Geospatial Consortium, Inc.®</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA</td>
<td>Post Mission Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAMICS</td>
<td>AN/AWS-1 Rapid Airborne Mine Clearance System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMMV</td>
<td>AN/WLD-1(V)1 Remote Multi-Mission Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS</td>
<td>Remote Minehunting System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOA</td>
<td>Service Oriented Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUMMIT</td>
<td>Supervision of Unmanned Mission Management by Interactive Teams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEAM</td>
<td>Team-Based Execution of Autonomous Missions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCD</td>
<td>Use Case Diagram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCORE</td>
<td>Universal Core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USW</td>
<td>Undersea Warfare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPC</td>
<td>Unique Planning Component (JMPS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USCC</td>
<td>Unmanned System Common Controller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDS</td>
<td>Variable Depth Sonar (AQS-20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSS</td>
<td>Volume Search Sonar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTUAV</td>
<td>Vertical Take-off and Landing Unmanned Aerial Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCS</td>
<td>Web Coverage Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFS</td>
<td>Web Feature Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMS</td>
<td>Web Map Service</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>