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### Effects of Electronic Quantum Interference, Photonic-Crystal Cavity, Longitudinal Field and Surface-Plasmon-Polariton for Optical Amplification

Some possibilities for coherent optical amplification of a normally-incident and weak radiation field are reviewed based on various physical mechanisms, such as electronic quantum interference induced by a coupling laser field in a three-level system, field enhancement through the cavity confinement of a radiation field in a photonic crystal and field concentration seen in a transmitted near field through a metallic surface grating due to excitation of surface-plasmon-polariton modes. Numerical results are presented and discussed to demonstrate these interesting effects. The important role played by a longitudinal field resulting from the absorption by an induced three-dimensional plasma wave inside a doped semiconductor is analyzed using a nonlocal and non-adiabatic model.
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Abstract—Some possibilities for coherent optical amplification of a normally-incident and weak radiation field are reviewed based on various physical mechanisms, such as electronic quantum interference induced by a coupling laser field in a three-level system, field enhancement through the cavity confinement of a radiation field in a photonic crystal and field concentration seen in a transmitted near field through a metallic surface grating due to excitation of surface-plasmon-polariton modes. Numerical results are presented and discussed to demonstrate these interesting effects. The important role played by a longitudinal field resulting from the absorption by an induced three-dimensional plasma wave inside a doped semiconductor is analyzed using a nonlocal and non-adiabatic model.

Index Terms—amplification, quantum interference, surface-plasmon-polariton, photonic crystal, longitudinal field.

I. INTRODUCTION

Electronic quantum interference in a multi-level atomic system can originate from the superposition of a direct transition of electrons and an indirect transition of electrons mediated by a self-absorption of spontaneous photons after a probe field is applied resonantly. A complete theory for the radiative decay of excited electrons in an atomic system requires a full quantum electrodynamical treatment of both the electromagnetic (EM) field and the electrons. Using this approach one finds that in addition to the usual diagonal radiative-decay process, there exists an off-diagonal radiative-decay coupling (ODRDC) effect that becomes very important when two or more electron transition energies are very close [2]. The ODRDC effect describes a nearly-resonant absorption of a spontaneously emitted photon from the downward transition of one electron by another electron that subsequently transits upward to a close-by different level. By properly tuning the frequency of a laser field that couples the ground state to two excited states, the phase-sensitive coherence between the two upper levels, which is provided by the ODRDC process, adds an equivalent “population” to one of the two excited states. When this coherence is strong enough, an incoming probe field resonant with the transition between a meta-stable level and one of the two upper levels can be amplified via a stimulated emission process. A similar quantum interference effect provides the framework for electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) [3], which has attracted a lot of attention and has been confirmed experimentally [4]. The scheme proposed to observe EIT uses a Fano-type interference [5], [6] between a pair of coherently prepared dressed states. In this paper, we will restrict our comparison to Harris’s EIT scheme [3] unless otherwise indicated. Since the early proposal for studying effects of electronic quantum interference in semiconductor quantum wells [7], there have been a lot of researches on EIT and lasing without inversion in semiconductor systems.

Recently, there has been growing interest in studies of the propagation of EM waves in disordered and/or periodic dielectric structures [8]. This interest is partly due to the possibility of observing the localization of EM waves in disordered dielectric structures [9]–[13] and also to the possible existence of photonic band gaps in three-dimensional (3D) periodic dielectric structures [14]–[20]. In analogy to the case of an electron wave propagating in a crystal, light waves traveling in periodic structures will be described in terms of photonic bands with the possibility of the existence of frequency gaps where the propagation of EM waves is forbidden. In the original proposal for photonic band structures [16], it was suggested that the inhibition of spontaneous emission in such gaps can be utilized to substantially enhance the performance of semiconductor lasers and detectors. Surprisingly, the very recently observed black-body-type emission from 3D metallic photonic crystals displayed unique spectrum [21].

Ebbesen et al. [22] reported a relatively enhanced optical transmission seen in arrays of subwavelength cylindrical holes in metallic films. Similar phenomena have been observed in subwavelength metallic gratings [23] and even in simple planar metallic films [24]. These enhanced optical transmissions are believed to be related to light coupling to surface-plasmon-polariton (SPP) modes in non-structured [24] or structured [25] metallic films. The observation of tunable localized surface plasmons was also reported in a nanodot-liquid crystal matrix [26]. In order to understand the physics involved in the enhanced optical transmission, near-field calculations are required. The previously-proposed calculational methods include a modal expansion [25], the Chandezon method [27], a simplified analytical method [28], and a finite-difference time-domain method [29]. All these methods are spatially local and adiabatic in time, thereby neglecting the nonlocal dynamic relationship [30], [31] between the induced material polarization and the total EM field in the Maxwell equations, but can be applied to dielectric host materials in which there are no free charged carriers. In addition, the absorption from the longitudinal field due to the induced plasma wave in
In its simplest form an SPP is an EM excitation that propagates in a wave-like fashion along the planar interface between a metal and a dielectric medium and whose amplitude decays exponentially with increasing distance into each medium from the interface [33]–[35]. Thus, an SPP is a surface EM wave, whose field is confined to the near vicinity of the dielectric-metal interface. This confinement leads to an enhancement of the field at the interface [36], resulting in an extraordinary sensitivity of the SPP to surface conditions. Surface plasmon polariton-based devices exploiting this sensitivity are widely used in chemo- and bio-sensors [37]. The enhancement of the EM field at the interface is responsible for surface-enhanced optical phenomena such as Raman scattering, second harmonic generation, fluorescence, etc [33], [38]. The relative ease of manipulating SPPs on a surface opens an opportunity for their applications to photonics and optoelectronics for scaling down optical and electronic devices to nanometric dimensions [39]. The intrinsically two-dimensional nature of SPPs prohibits them from directly coupling to light. Usually, a surface metal grating is required for the excitation of SPPs by normally-incident light through an interaction between SPPs and the grating. Moreover, since the EM field of an SPP decays exponentially with distance from the surface, it cannot be observed in conventional (far-field) experiments unless the SPP is transformed into light by its interaction with a surface grating.

In this paper, we will review coherent optical amplification of a normally-incident light through an interaction between SPPs and the grating. The field enhancement of an incident radiation field used as a coupling field for electromagnetically-induced transparency in a quantum dot. In Sec. IV, we discuss the important optical absorption by a longitudinal field inside conducting materials and its effect on the transmitted near field. In Sec. V, we discuss the field concentration through a metallic surface grating and the excitation of surface plasmon polaritons through the interaction with either a prism or a grating. The paper is briefly concluded in Sec. VI.

II. ELECTRONIC QUANTUM INTERFERENCE

In Fig. 1(a), we consider a three-level system with two nearly degenerate upper levels. In this case, the equations for the density matrix $[\rho_{ij}]$ of the system in Fig. 1(a) are [40]

$$\begin{align*}
-2\beta_{21, 12} \rho_{22} - \Omega_{12}^R \Im(\rho_{12}) - 2\beta_{21, 13} \Re(\rho_{23}) &= 0, \\
-2\beta_{31, 13} \rho_{33} - \Omega_{13}^R \Im(\rho_{13}) - 2\beta_{31, 12} \Re(\rho_{23}) &= 0, \\
i(\omega_{21} - \omega_p) \rho_{12} - \beta_{21, 12} \rho_{12} + \frac{i\Omega_{12}^R}{2} (\rho_{22} - \rho_{11}) + \frac{i\Omega_{13}^R}{2} \rho_{23}^* - 2\beta_{21, 13} \rho_{13} &= 0, \\
i(\omega_{31} - \omega_p) \rho_{13} - \beta_{31, 13} \rho_{13} + \frac{i\Omega_{13}^R}{2} (\rho_{33} - \rho_{11}) + \frac{i\Omega_{12}^R}{2} \rho_{23} - 2\beta_{31, 12} \rho_{12} &= 0, \\
i\omega_{32} \rho_{23} - (\beta_{21, 12} + \beta_{31, 13}) \rho_{23} + \frac{i\Omega_{12}^R}{2} \rho_{12} - (\beta_{21, 12} + \beta_{31, 13} + \beta_{13, mn}) &= 0,
\end{align*}$$

where $\Omega_{ij}^R = 2e\times_0 \varepsilon_{ij}/\hbar$ is the resonant Rabi frequency, $\varepsilon_{ij}$ and $\omega_p$ are the amplitude and frequency of the probe field, $\hbar\omega_{ij}$ is the energy separation between levels $i$ and $j$, and $\beta_{ij, mn}$ stands for the diagonal and off-diagonal radiative-decay rates between levels $i$ and $j$ coupled by a dipole moment $\varepsilon_{ij}$. In Fig. 1(b), we consider another three-level system with the upper two levels resonantly coupled by a pump laser with a frequency $\omega_L$ and an amplitude $E_L$. In this case, however, the density-matrix equations for the dressed system in Fig. 1(b) are [40]

$$\begin{align*}
-\Gamma_2 \rho_{22} - \Omega_{12}^R \Im(\rho_{12}) - \sqrt{\Gamma_2 \Gamma_3} \Re(\rho_{23}) &= 0, \\
-\Gamma_3 \rho_{33} - \Omega_{13}^R \Im(\rho_{13}) - \sqrt{\Gamma_2 \Gamma_3} \Re(\rho_{23}) &= 0, \\
i(\omega_{21} - \omega_p) \rho_{12} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\Gamma_2} \sqrt{\Gamma_3} \rho_{12} + \frac{i\Omega_{12}^R}{2} \rho_{23}^* - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\Gamma_2 \Gamma_3} \rho_{13} &= 0, \\
i(\omega_{31} - \omega_p) \rho_{13} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\Gamma_2 \Gamma_3} \rho_{13} + \frac{i\Omega_{13}^R}{2} (\rho_{33} - \rho_{11}) + \frac{i\Omega_{12}^R}{2} \rho_{23} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\Gamma_2 \Gamma_3} \rho_{12} &= 0, \\
i\omega_{32} \rho_{23} - \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3) \rho_{23} + \frac{i\Omega_{12}^R}{2} \rho_{12} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\Gamma_2 \Gamma_3} (\rho_{22} + \rho_{33}) &= 0,
\end{align*}$$

where $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_3^0 \sin^2 \theta$ and $\Gamma_3 = \Gamma_3^0 \cos^2 \theta$ are the decay rates from dressed levels 2 and 3 to the lower continuum...
Fig. 1. Schematic illustrations for the effects of (a) off-diagonal radiative-decay coupling (ODRDC) and (b) electromagnetically-induced transparency (EIT) in the bare-atom picture. Here, \( \omega_L \) stands for the frequency of a strong coupling laser field, \( \omega_p \) stands for the frequency of probe field. The notations \( |1\rangle, |2\rangle \) and \( |3\rangle \) represent different energy states in the bare-atom picture. The dashed arrows denote the decay processes, while the solid arrows represent the excitations induced by the probe fields. The double hollow arrow represents the level coupling established by an external laser field. The symbols \( \beta_{i,m,n} \) are defined in the text. The ODRDC in (a) is denoted by the horizontal symbols \( \beta \). The dashed arrows denote the decay processes, while the solid arrows coupling laser field, \( \omega_L \) and the horizontal hollow arrows stand for the spontaneous photon emitted by radiative decay of one electron and then absorbed by the other electron. The symbol \( \circ \) with "\( \sim \)" at its center stands for an electron. This type of quantum interference is responsible for the zero absorption from the ground level to two nearly-resonant upper levels at a certain frequency.

As shown in Fig. 2 for the system in Fig.1(a) we understand that the electronic quantum interference comes from the superposition of a direct absorption path to one upper level and an indirect absorption path through another upper level followed by an off-diagonal radiative decay and ending in the same final state as the direct path [41]. This electronic quantum interference is formally described by \( |A + B \exp(i\phi)|^2 \) with transition amplitudes \( A \) and \( B \) and phase difference \( \phi \) for the two different paths. When \( \omega_{21} < \omega_p < \omega_{31}, \phi = \pi \) can be reached and \( A = B \) can be satisfied at the same time. This leads to a complete destructive interference which gives rise to a zero absorption.

As shown in Fig. 3 for the system in Fig.1(b), we see that the existence of the electronic quantum interference is also due to a superposition of a direct absorption path and an indirect absorption path mediated by an energy transfer which takes energy from a decayed electron to the continuum and gives it back to another electron excited out of the continuum via a so-called Fano coupling [5], [40]. To maintain the \( |1d\rangle \)-level population, a pumping laser is needed for moving electrons to \( |1d\rangle \) from the continuum state \( |0d\rangle \).

For the numerical results, shown in Fig.4[41], we choose \( h\omega_{31} = 1.5 \text{ eV}, h\omega_{32} = 8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}, r_{12} = r_{13} = 6 \text{ Å}, \) and \( \mathcal{E}_p = 1 \text{ kV/cm} \) for the system in Fig. 1(a). In the absence of electron scattering, we find a zero absorption of the probe field at \( \omega_p = (\omega_{21} + \omega_{31})/2 \) as a result of the equal dipole moments \( r_{12} = r_{13} \). This directly comes from the superposition of the direct absorption path and indirect absorption path, as shown in Fig. 2. The peak width is determined by the power broadening proportional to \( \Omega_{12}^2 = \Omega_{13}^2 \).

Electron scattering is found to create a dephasing to the induced optical coherence \( \rho_{ij} \) with \( i \neq j \). When the dephasing rate becomes comparable to the Rabi fre-
quency $\Omega_{23}^c$ of the coupling laser, the electronic quantum interference in the system in Fig.1(b) will be destroyed\[42\]. However, the suppressed electronic quantum interference will be recovered when the intensity of the coupling laser is increased, as shown in Fig.5, where a three-level Al$_{0.25}$Ga$_{0.75}$As/GaAs/Al$_{0.4}$Ga$_{0.6}$As asymmetric quantum well is considered\[42\].

### III. PHOTONIC-CRYSTAL CAVITY

For a three-dimensional dielectric photonic crystal, the band structure of photons is determined by the Maxwell equations

$$\nabla \times \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon_\varepsilon(\vec{r})} \nabla \times \vec{H}(\vec{r}) \right] = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \vec{H}(\vec{r}) ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where the dielectric function $\epsilon_\varepsilon(\vec{r})$ takes values of either 1 for air or $\epsilon_0$ for the dielectric medium, and is a periodic function in the three-dimensional space. Since $\epsilon_\varepsilon(\vec{r})$ is periodic, we can use Bloch’s theorem to expand the transverse $\vec{H}$ field in plane waves\[20\],

$$\vec{H}(\vec{r}) = \sum_{\vec{G}} \sum_{\lambda=1}^2 h_{G,\lambda} \hat{e}_\lambda \exp[i(\vec{k} + \vec{G}) \cdot \vec{r}] ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $\vec{k}$ is a wave vector in the Brillouin zone of the lattice, $\vec{G}$ is a reciprocal-lattice vector, and $\hat{e}_1$, $\hat{e}_2$ are unit vectors perpendicular to $\vec{k} + \vec{G}$. Substituting Eq. (12) into Eq. (11) leads to the following equation for an eigen-vector $h_{G,\lambda}$

$$\sum_{\vec{G}',\lambda'} M_{\vec{G},\lambda;\vec{G}',\lambda'} h_{\vec{G}',\lambda'} = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} h_{\vec{G},\lambda} ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where

$$M_{\vec{G},\lambda;\vec{G}',\lambda'} = [\vec{k} + \vec{G}][\vec{k} + \vec{G}']^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{e}_2 \cdot \hat{e}_2' & -\hat{e}_2 \cdot \hat{e}_1' \\ -\hat{e}_1 \cdot \hat{e}_2' & \hat{e}_1 \cdot \hat{e}_1' \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

and $\epsilon_\varepsilon(\vec{G}) = \epsilon_\varepsilon(\vec{G} - \vec{G}')$ is the Fourier transform of $\epsilon_\varepsilon(\vec{r})$.

For a simple-cubic lattice of square-rods, as shown in Fig. 6, the photon dispersion relation\[43\] is displayed in Fig. 7, where an absolute band gap is denoted by the shaded bar in the figure.

When a single defect is intentionally introduced in the photonic crystal, a photonic-crystal cavity is formed. Within the cavity, photon modes are localized with energy inside the band gap. As a generalization of Eq.(11), the Maxwell equations become\[44\]

$$\nabla \times \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon_\varepsilon(\vec{r})} \nabla \times \vec{H}(\vec{r}) \right] + U(\vec{r}) = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \vec{H}(\vec{r}) ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $U(\vec{r}) = -\epsilon_d(\vec{r})/\{\epsilon_e(\vec{r})[\epsilon_e(\vec{r}) + \epsilon_d(\vec{r})]\}$ and $\epsilon_d(\vec{r})$ is the dielectric function of the cylindrical defect. In Fig. 8, we show a top view for the calculated cavity-field distribution in a two-dimensional photonic crystal with punched holes in a dielectric film, from which we can clearly see the localization of the cavity radiation field inside the defect region. This spatial localization of the field greatly enhances the amplitude of the field inside the cavity.

The great enhancement of the cavity radiation field inside the cavity can be used as a strong coupling field to produce an electronic quantum interference in quantum dots placed in the cavity, as shown in Fig. 9, where an incident light field is expected to be amplified as much as a million times by a high-$Q$ cavity and then used as the coupling field resonant with two upper levels in a quantum dot.
**IV. LONGITUDINAL-FIELD EFFECT**

For a system with a half space of air \((z < 0)\) and a half space of a doped semiconductor \((z > 0)\) toped with a conducting sheet at \(z = 0\), the formal solution to the Maxwell wave equations can be formally written as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\bar{E}(\vec{q}_\parallel, \omega, z) \\
\bar{H}(\vec{q}_\parallel, \omega, z)
\end{bmatrix} = \exp(i \beta_1^T z) \begin{bmatrix}
\bar{A}_T^+(\vec{q}_\parallel, \omega) \\
\bar{B}_T^+(\vec{q}_\parallel, \omega)
\end{bmatrix} + \exp(-i \beta_1^T z) \begin{bmatrix}
\bar{A}_T^-(\vec{q}_\parallel, \omega) \\
\bar{B}_T^-(\vec{q}_\parallel, \omega)
\end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } z < 0 ,
\]

and

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\bar{E}(\vec{q}_\parallel, \omega, z) \\
\bar{H}(\vec{q}_\parallel, \omega, z)
\end{bmatrix} = \exp(i \beta_2^T z) \begin{bmatrix}
\bar{C}_T^+(\vec{q}_\parallel, \omega) \\
\bar{D}_T^+(\vec{q}_\parallel, \omega)
\end{bmatrix} + \exp(i \beta_2^T z) \begin{bmatrix}
\bar{C}_T^-(\vec{q}_\parallel, \omega) \\
0
\end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } z > 0 ,
\]

where the complex transverse wave numbers \(\beta_{1,2}^T\) are given by the transverse dielectric function \(\epsilon_{1,2}(\vec{q}_\parallel, \omega)\) through

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\beta_1^T(\vec{q}_\parallel, \omega) \\
\beta_2^T(\vec{q}_\parallel, \omega)
\end{bmatrix}^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \begin{bmatrix}
\epsilon_1^T(\vec{q}_\parallel, \omega) \\
\epsilon_2^T(\vec{q}_\parallel, \omega)
\end{bmatrix} - q_\parallel^2 .
\]

Here \(\epsilon_1^T(\vec{q}_\parallel, \omega) = 1\) is taken for the air side. On the other hand, the complex longitudinal wave number \(\beta_2^L\) inside the doped semiconductor is determined by the zero of the longitudinal dielectric function, i.e. \(\epsilon_2^L(\vec{q}_\parallel, \beta_2^L, \omega) = 0\). The transverse (T) field is perpendicular to \(\vec{q} = (\vec{q}_\parallel, \beta)\), while the longitudinal (L) field is parallel to \(\vec{q}\).
In the presence of a conducting sheet at the interface \( z = 0 \), the boundary conditions of the fields \( \{ \vec{E}, \vec{H} \} = \{ \vec{E}^T + \vec{E}^L, \vec{H}^T \} \) are [45]

\[
\vec{E}^T_{x,y}(\vec{q}_0, \omega, 0^+) + \vec{E}^L_{x,y}(\vec{q}_0, \omega, 0^+) = \vec{E}^T_{x,y}(\vec{q}_0, \omega, 0^-),
\]

(19)

\[
\epsilon_2^T(\vec{q}_0, \beta^T, \omega)\vec{E}^T_{x,y}(\vec{q}_0, \omega, 0^+) - \vec{E}^T_{x,y}(\vec{q}_0, \omega, 0^-) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \left[ \rho_s(\vec{q}_0, \omega) - i q_x P^s_x(\vec{q}_0, \omega) - i q_y P^s_y(\vec{q}_0, \omega) \right],
\]

(20)

\[
H^T_{x}(\vec{q}_0, \omega, 0^+) - H^T_{x}(\vec{q}_0, \omega, 0^-) = -i \omega P^y(\vec{q}_0, \omega) + \alpha^y(\vec{q}_0, \omega),
\]

(21)

\[
H^T_{y}(\vec{q}_0, \omega, 0^+) - H^T_{y}(\vec{q}_0, \omega, 0^-) = i \omega P^x(\vec{q}_0, \omega) - \alpha^x(\vec{q}_0, \omega),
\]

(22)

\[
H^T_{z}(\vec{q}_0, \omega, 0^+) = H^T_{z}(\vec{q}_0, \omega, 0^-),
\]

(23)

where \( \vec{P}_s, \rho_s \) and \( \alpha_s \) are the sheet polarization, sheet charge density and sheet current density, respectively. The existence of the longitudinal field inside the doped semiconductor bulk requires a supplementary boundary condition [45], given by

\[
-\frac{\omega}{\epsilon_0} \left[ \epsilon_2^T(\vec{q}_0, \beta^T, \omega) - \epsilon_b(\omega + i \gamma_0) \right] E^T_{z}(\vec{q}_0, \omega, 0^+) + i q_y \frac{\alpha^y(\vec{q}_0, \omega)}{\partial t} = \frac{i q_y}{\epsilon_0} \left[ \alpha_s(\vec{q}_0, \omega) + \frac{\partial \vec{P}_s(\vec{q}_0, \omega)}{\partial t} \right].
\]

(24)

Considering the rotational symmetry of the system, we can take \( q_y = 0 \) for our calculation. Therefore, the transfer matrix becomes [32] (see top of the next page)

Based on these solutions, the square ratios of the reflected field \( (r) \) and the transmitted field \( (t) \) to the incident field for both \( s \)- and \( p \)-polarization are

\[
\frac{F_{rs}(q_x, \omega)}{F_{tp}(q_x, \omega)} = \left[ \frac{C_1 + C_2^T + (\beta_A^T q_x - \beta_B^T q_x)}{A_1^T} \frac{C_1 + C_2^T + (\beta_A^T q_x - \beta_B^T q_x)}{A_1^T} \right]^{1/2},
\]

(28)

\[
\frac{F_{tp}(q_x, \omega)}{F_{tp}(q_x, \omega)} = \left[ \frac{C_1 + C_2^T + (\beta_A^T q_x - \beta_B^T q_x)}{A_1^T} \frac{C_1 + C_2^T + (\beta_A^T q_x - \beta_B^T q_x)}{A_1^T} \right]^{1/2},
\]

(29)

For the doped semiconductor, its optical properties are described by the longitudinal and transverse dielectric functions [45]

\[
\frac{\epsilon_2^L(q_x, q_z, \omega)}{\epsilon_b} = 1 - \frac{n_{3D}e^2}{\epsilon_0 \epsilon_b m^* (\omega + i \gamma_0) - \xi (q_x^2 + q_z^2)},
\]

(30)

\[
\frac{\epsilon_2^T(q_x, \omega)}{\epsilon_b} = 1 - \frac{n_{3D}e^2}{\epsilon_0 \epsilon_b m^* (\omega + i \gamma_0) - \xi (q_x^2 + q_z^2)},
\]

(31)

where \( \xi = 3 \gamma_0^2 / 5 \) and \( \nu_p = h(3 \pi^2 n_{3D})^{1/3} / m^* \). On the other hand, the sheet optical properties are described by the sheet polarizability [46]

\[
\bar{\chi}_s(q_x, \omega) = -\frac{n_{2D}e^2}{\omega (\omega + i \gamma_0) \epsilon_0 m_s^*} + (\epsilon_s - 1) \Delta L.
\]

(32)

In Fig. 10, we choose \( n_{3D} = 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}, m^*/m_0 = 0.067 m_0, \epsilon_b = \epsilon_s = 12, \Delta L = 30 \text{ A}, n_{2D} = 1.326 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and \( m_s^* = 0.024 m_0 \). Other parameters are indicated in the figure captions. Figure 10 displays \( F_{tp} \) for the \( p \) polarization as a function of \( \hbar \omega \). Results for \( p \) polarization are compared for three different cases: (1) including both a longitudinal field (LF) and a conducting sheet (solid curve); (2) including only a conducting sheet but not a longitudinal field (dash-dot-dotted curve); (3) including only a longitudinal field but not a conducting sheet, (dashed curve). We expect to see only one resonance around \( h \Omega_{1D}^0 = 13.1 \text{ meV} \) in \( F_{tp} \). In the absence a longitudinal field, the resonant frequency of \( F_{tp} \) is obtained by minimizing

\[
|\text{Re} \left\{ \beta_A^T + \beta_B^T \right\} \chi_s(q_x, \omega) \}| \text{ at } \omega = \omega_r. \]

In Fig. 10, the peak of the dash-dot-dotted curve reflects the resonance determined by \( \omega = \omega_r \). After the longitudinal field is included, the peak strength (solid curve) is reduced due to the strong absorption by the longitudinal 3D plasma wave, and its peak position is slightly shifted down. On the other hand, the peak strength of the dashed curve is significantly increased when the conducting sheet is excluded due to absence of the strong
reflection by the sheet current. In addition, the peak position of the dashed curve is shifted down due to the excitation of the longitudinal 3D plasma wave with an energy slightly lower than $h\Omega_{3D}^{\parallel}$.

We choose the same parameters for the calculation in Fig. 11. From it we see the effects of a conducting sheet and a doped bulk on the angular distribution as a function of $\theta_i$. Results for $p$ polarization are compared for four different cases: (1) with a longitudinal field, a conducting sheet and a doped bulk (solid curve); (2) with a conducting sheet and an undoped bulk (dash-dot-dotted curve); (3) with a longitudinal field and a doped bulk but without a conducting sheet (dashed curve); (4) with a conducting sheet and a doped bulk but without a longitudinal field (dotted curve). From Fig. 11 we see that free electrons in the doped bulk increase $F_{tp}$ over that of the undoped bulk at $\theta_i = 0^\circ$. When $\theta_i = 90^\circ$, $F_{tp} = 0$. The difference in values of $F_{tp}$ at $\theta_i = 0^\circ$ for doped and undoped bulk is caused by free electrons, which leads to $|\epsilon_1^T| \ll \epsilon_0$ for the doped bulk compared with $\epsilon_0$ of the undoped bulk. The inclusion of the conducting sheet greatly reduces $F_{tp}$ due to strong reflection. The longitudinal field only slightly reduces $F_{tp}$ when $\theta_i > 20^\circ$. $F_{tp} > 1$ (dashed curves) is seen when conducting sheet is absent, as well as $\theta_i < 30^\circ$.

V. SURFACE-PLASMON-POLARITON

Considering a smooth air-metal interface, if the longitudinal field is neglected inside the metal with conductivity $\sigma_c \to \infty$, we can solve the Maxwell wave equations along with proper boundary conditions. In the absence of a longitudinal field and a conducting sheet at the interface, Eqs. (26) and (27) lead us to the following analytical solutions for the transmitted and reflected fields with $p$- and $s$-polarization

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_x^T(q_x, \omega) \\ C_x^T(q_x, \omega) \end{bmatrix}_p = \frac{\beta_1^T(q_x, \omega) A_y(q_x, \omega)}{\beta_2^T(q_x, \omega) + \beta_1^T(q_x, \omega) \epsilon_2^T(q_x, \omega)} \times \begin{bmatrix} \beta_2^T(q_x, \omega) - \beta_1^T(q_x, \omega) \epsilon_2^T(q_x, \omega) \\ 2\beta_2^T(q_x, \omega) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_x^T(q_x, \omega) \\ C_y^T(q_x, \omega) \end{bmatrix}_s = \frac{A_y(q_x, \omega)}{\beta_1^T(q_x, \omega) + \beta_2^T(q_x, \omega)} \times \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1^T(q_x, \omega) - \beta_2^T(q_x, \omega) \\ 2\beta_1^T(q_x, \omega) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\{B_x^T, B_y^T\}$ is related to the reflected field, while $\{C_x^T, C_y^T\}$ is related to the transmitted field. For the $p$-polarization, the real part of the pole, i.e. $\Re[\beta_2^T(q_x, \omega) + \beta_1^T(q_x, \omega) \epsilon_2^T(q_x, \omega)] = 0$, defines the dispersion relation of the surface-plasmon-polariton (SPP) modes. Assuming a
In the limit of $k \rightarrow \infty$, we simply take $\beta_{sp}^2(q_x, \omega) = 1 - (\Omega_{sp}^{pl}/\omega)^2 < 0$. By using the relations $\beta_{sp}^{1,2}(q_x, \omega) = \sqrt{\omega^2/c^2 - q_x^2}$ and $\beta_{sp}^{2}(q_x, \omega) = \sqrt{\omega^2/c^2 - q_x^2}$, we get the in-plane wave number of SPP modes [47]

$$k_{sp} = \frac{\omega}{c} \sqrt{\frac{1 - (\Omega_{sp}^{pl}/\omega)^2}{2 - (\Omega_{sp}^{pl}/\omega)^2}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

In the limit of $k_{sp} \rightarrow \infty$, we arrive at the localized surface-plasmon (SP) mode [34] with $\Omega_{sp} = \Omega_{sp}^{pl}/\sqrt{2}$ The SP mode is a plasmon excitation that propagates in a charge-density-wave like fashion along the planar interface between a dielectric medium and a metal, whose associated field amplitude decays exponentially with increasing distance into each medium from the interface [47], as shown in Fig. 12. The dipole feature on the interface allows it to couple to the incident radiation field, creating SPP modes. The SPP modes change the incident radiation field into the transmitted near field inside the metal.

In the case of a metal film having two interfaces with air, the previous SP mode is split into one symmetric (+) and one antisymmetric (-) SP modes, given by $\Omega_{sp}^{\pm} = (\Omega_{sp}^{pl}/\sqrt{2}) \sqrt{1 \pm \exp(-q_x d)}$. Here $d$ is the film thickness.

The excitation of the near field of SPP modes requires a significant momentum $k_{sp}$ along the interface [34]. A simple planar interface cannot satisfy the condition. However, when the interface is either covered with a prism or patterned with a grating [34], the near field of SPP modes can be excited by a normally-incident radiation field on the grating, as shown in Fig. 13.

For the case with the grating, both reflected and transmitted fields possess high-order diffraction modes with a momentum $q_x + n(2\pi/a)$, where $n = 0, \pm1, \pm2, \cdots$ and $a$ is the period of the grating. In addition, the surface grating on top of the interface introduces a standing-wave-like feature in the spatial distribution of the transmitted near field [48], as shown in Fig. 14. For certain frequency $\omega$ of the incident light, the transmitted near field will be completely restricted within the gap regions. As a result of the field concentration in the gaps, we expect a very large enhancement of the transmitted field, which can be used to optically amplify a weakly-incident radiation field.

Fig. 12. The upper panel illustrates the electric-field distribution for surface-plasmon-polariton modes, and the lower panel illustrates the charge-density wave for localized surface-plasmon mode.

Fig. 13. The left panel illustrates the prism coupling for the excitation of SPP modes, while the right panel illustrates the grating coupling for the excitation of SPP modes.

Fig. 14. Plots of the E field over three periods of reflection gratings with calculation parameters: $d = 1.75 \mu m$, $a = 0.3 \mu m$, and $b = 1.0 \mu m$. The color scale is the square root of the intensity of the total E field normalized to the incident E field. The two panels correspond to the two resonances with $\lambda_R = 1.8 \mu m$ for the upper panel and $\lambda_R = 4.6 \mu m$ for the lower panel. Image used with permission of authors of [48].
shown and explained based on a nonlocal and non-adiabatic model.
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