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A technique for obtaining pitch, yaw, and roll rates of a projectile from a single, low-cost, commercial off-the-shelf magnetometer has been developed at the Advanced Munitions Concepts Branch of the U.S. Army Research Laboratory’s Weapons and Materials Research Directorate. In this report, the magnetometer-based methodology is presented, the flight experiment and subsequent analyses are described, criteria for use of this methodology are given, and the potential uses of this technique in inertial measurements unit/INS applications are discussed.
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1. **Introduction**

The Advanced Munitions Concepts Branch of the U.S. Army Research Laboratory’s (ARL’s) Weapons and Materials Research Directorate has for many years designed, built, and employed body-fixed sensor and telemetry systems to measure flight body kinematics, primarily in support of military ordnance testing. Because requirements imposed by military applications such as high-g environment, extreme projectile dynamics, small size, low cost, low power consumption, etc., exclude many traditional inertial sensor systems, ARL is continually exploring emerging technologies and developing alternate techniques for their utility in obtaining desired measurements.

With the result from vector differentiation relating the time derivatives of a vector represented in two coordinate systems in relative motion, a technique for obtaining pitch, yaw, and roll rates from a single, low-cost, commercial off-the-shelf magnetometer was developed. In a recent ARL flight experiment intended to characterize the angular motion of National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) re-entry capsules, no data were available from the strap-down angular rate sensors during critical portions of several flights because the launch environment and projectile dynamics exceeded sensor capabilities. These rates were successfully derived from magnetometer data, and complete Euler angular histories of the test trajectories were obtained with an ARL-developed vector-matching algorithm.

In this report, the magnetometer-based methodology is presented, the flight experiment and subsequent analyses are described, criteria for use of this methodology are given, and potential uses of this technique in inertial measurement unit (IMU)/inertial navigation system (INS) applications are discussed.

2. **Inertial Navigation of Projectiles**

The equations of motion describing free-flight dynamics of rigid projectiles have six degrees of freedom, three translational velocity components and three rotational velocity components. A traditional strap-down IMU consists of an orthogonal triad of linear accelerometers and an orthogonal triad of angular rate sensors oriented along a projectile’s principal axes. Given initial launch position, orientation, and velocity of a projectile, the rate sensors’ output is integrated to update the projectile orientation, and the accelerometers are integrated once to update the projectile velocity and twice to update the projectile position. The solution of the inertial navigation problem is conceptually simple, but it is often difficult to realize an IMU capable of measuring the required six body states under constraints imposed by a particular application. Although this problem is
equally of concern to accelerometers and rate sensors, only the orientation estimation (i.e., rate sensor) problem is addressed herein.

Formulation of the inertial navigation problem for gun- and tube-launched projectiles requires the use of multiple coordinate systems (Harkins, 2003, 2007). Trajectory time histories are best described in an earth-fixed coordinate system with its origin at the launcher. Of necessity, strap-down sensor measurements are made in a flight-body-fixed coordinate system, and target locations are most naturally described in another earth-fixed system.

The first coordinate system is right-handed Cartesian ($I,J,K$) with its origin at the launch site. This will be referred to as the “earth-fixed” system and the axes are defined by

- The $I$ and $J$ axes, which define a plane tangent to the earth’s surface at the origin;
- The $K$ axis, which is perpendicular to the earth’s surface with positive downward, i.e., in the direction of gravity;
- The $I$ axis, which is chosen so that the centerline of the launcher is in the $I-K$ plane.

Down-range travel is then measured along the $I$ axis, deflection along the $J$ axis (positive to the right when one is looking down range), and altitude along the $K$ axis (positive downwards) (see figure 1).

![Figure 1. Coordinate systems.](image)

The second system is convenient for aeroballistic computations of rigid projectiles’ flights and for describing the locations and orientations of such projectiles’ components. This system is right-handed Cartesian ($i,j,k$) with its origin at the center of gravity (c.g.) of the flight body. For rotating flight bodies, the projectile-fixed coordinate system usually has its $i$ axis lying along the projectile axis of symmetry, i.e., the spin axis (with positive in the direction of travel at launch). The $j$ and $k$ axes are then oriented so as to complete the right-handed orthogonal system (figure 1). Spin ($p$),
pitch \((q)\), and yaw \((r)\) rates are measured about these axes. This will be referred to as the “body-fixed” system.

The third coordinate system \((X,Y,Z)\) is commonly employed to specify locations on or near the earth’s surface, i.e., north, east, and down. This will be referred to as the “navigation” system where north = \(X\), east = \(Y\), and down = \(Z\).

The earth-fixed and body-fixed coordinate systems are related through an Euler rotation sequence, beginning with a rotation of the earth-fixed frame about the K-axis through the yaw angle \(\psi\). The system is then rotated about the new J’-axis through the pitch angle \(\theta\). Finally, the system is rotated about the new i-axis through the roll angle \(\phi\). The two systems are related by the direction cosine transformation matrix (DCM), \(T_{Eb}\), with the subscript denoting earth fixed to body fixed. This transformation matrix is

\[
T_{Eb} = \begin{pmatrix}
c_{\psi}c_{\theta} & s_{\psi}c_{\theta} & -s_{\theta} \\
c_{\psi}s_{\theta}c_{\phi} - s_{\psi}c_{\phi} & s_{\psi}s_{\theta}c_{\phi} + c_{\psi}s_{\phi} & c_{\psi}s_{\phi} \\
c_{\psi}s_{\theta}c_{\phi} + s_{\psi}c_{\phi} & s_{\psi}s_{\theta}s_{\phi} - c_{\psi}c_{\phi} & c_{\psi}c_{\phi}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \(c_\bullet\) is \(\cos(\bullet)\), and \(s_\bullet\) is \(\sin(\bullet)\). Figure 2 shows both coordinate systems and the Euler angle relations between them.

\[\begin{align*}
p & = \dot{\theta} + \left[ q \sin(\phi) + r \cos(\phi) \right] \tan(\theta) \\
\dot{\theta} & = q \cos(\phi) - r \sin(\phi) \\
\dot{\psi} & = \left( q \sin(\phi) + r \cos(\phi) \right) / \cos(\theta)
\end{align*}\]
3. Vector Magnetometer

Among the many varieties of magnetic sensors, “vector” magnetometers are devices whose output is proportional to the magnetic field strength along the sensor’s axis(es). If a tri-axial vector magnetometer is installed so that the sensor axes are parallel to the axes of the body-fixed system, the projections of the earth’s magnetic field onto each of the sensor axes can be obtained by equation 1. If \( \vec{M}_E = (M_I, M_J, M_K) \) is the magnetic field vector in the earth-fixed system, then the components along the sensor axes are given by

\[
\vec{M}_b = T_{Eb} \vec{M}_E
\]

or

\[
M_i = c_{\psi}c_{\theta}M_I + s_{\psi}c_{\theta}M_J - s_{\theta}M_K
\]

\[
M_j = (c_{\psi}s_{\theta}s_{\phi} - s_{\psi}c_{\phi})M_I + (s_{\psi}s_{\theta}s_{\phi} + c_{\psi}c_{\phi})M_J + c_{\theta}s_{\phi}M_K
\]

\[
M_k = (c_{\psi}s_{\theta}c_{\phi})M_I + (s_{\psi}s_{\theta}c_{\phi} - c_{\psi}s_{\phi})M_J + c_{\theta}c_{\phi}M_K
\]

In any real magnetic sensor, determination of axes’ orientations and calibration coefficients can be a complex process, but for the present purpose, it is assumed that this has been successfully accomplished and \( \vec{M}_b \) is being accurately measured at a known sampling rate.

4. Obtaining Angular Rates From Vector Magnetometers

Consider two coordinate systems with the same origin and in relative motion, e.g., the earth-fixed and body-fixed systems just described at the time of projectile launch. From vector differentiation, the time derivative of any vector in the earth-fixed system \( \dot{\vec{v}}_E = \delta \vec{v}_E / \delta t \) and its time derivative in the body-fixed system \( \dot{\vec{v}}_b = \delta \vec{v}_b / \delta t \) are related by

\[
\dot{\vec{v}}_E = \dot{\vec{v}}_b + \vec{\omega}_b \times \vec{v}_b
\]

where \( \vec{\omega}_b = (p, q, r) \). Applied to the geomagnetic field vector, equation 5 becomes

\[
\dot{\vec{M}}_E = \dot{\vec{M}}_b + \vec{\omega}_b \times \vec{M}_b
\]

Realizing that equation 6 is unaffected by a translation of the earth-fixed system’s origin to the projectile c.g. at each sampling time and that \( \vec{M}_E \) is unchanging in the earth-fixed system and expanding in component form, we have the relations

\[
\dot{M}_i = -qM_k + rM_j, \quad \dot{M}_j = pM_k - rM_i, \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{M}_k = -pM_j + qM_i.
\]
Because these equations are not linearly independent, they can not be solved directly for the angular rates. However, for most rolling projectiles where $|p| >> |q|$ and $|r|$, a good estimate of $p$ is readily obtainable from the magnetometer data, as described in the next section. With a spin estimate, this system can be solved to yield estimates of the body-fixed pitch and yaw rates. Therefore,

$$\dot{\hat{q}} = \left( \hat{M}_k + pM_j \right)/M_i \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\hat{r}} = \left( -\hat{M}_j + pM_k \right)/M_i .$$

(8)

5. Obtaining Spin Rate From Magnetometers

Consider an earth-fixed, right-handed Cartesian coordinate system where the z-axis is along the geomagnetic field. In this new system, denoted by the subscript $m$, the geomagnetic field vector is $\bar{M}_m = (0,0,\vec{M}_E)^T$. As seen in section 2, there is a new set of Euler angles that defines a transformation matrix from this magnetic coordinate system into the body-fixed system so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_i \\ \bar{M}_E \\ M_j \\ \bar{M}_E \\ M_k \\ \bar{M}_E \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\psi_m}c_{\theta_m} & s_{\psi_m}c_{\theta_m} & -s_{\theta_m} \\ c_{\psi_m}s_{\theta_m}c_{\phi_m} - s_{\psi_m}s_{\phi_m} & c_{\psi_m}s_{\theta_m}s_{\phi_m} + c_{\phi_m}s_{\theta_m} & c_{\phi_m}s_{\theta_m} \\ c_{\psi_m}s_{\theta_m}s_{\phi_m} + s_{\psi_m}c_{\phi_m} & c_{\psi_m}s_{\theta_m}c_{\phi_m} - s_{\phi_m}s_{\theta_m} & c_{\phi_m}s_{\theta_m} \\ -s_{\psi_m}c_{\theta_m} & c_{\psi_m}c_{\theta_m} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -s_{\theta_m} \\ c_{\theta_m}s_{\phi_m} \\ -c_{\theta_m}c_{\phi_m} \end{pmatrix}$$

(9)

This gives a definition of the magnetometer measurements in terms of the magnetic Euler angles. The magnetic pitch angle,

$$\theta_m = \sin^{-1}\left( -M_i/\bar{M}_E \right) ,$$

(10)

is the complement of the angle between the projectile’s spin axis ($\hat{r}$), and the magnetic field, $\bar{M}_E$. The magnetic roll angle, $\phi_m$, is computed by

$$\phi_m = \tan^{-1}\left( M_j/M_k \right)$$

(11)

Analogous to equation 2, the body-fixed rates and the derivatives of the magnetic Euler angles are related by

$$\dot{\phi}_m = p + \left[ q \sin(\phi_m) + r \cos(\phi_m) \right] \tan(\theta_m)$$

$$\dot{\theta}_m = q \cos(\phi_m) - r \sin(\phi_m)$$

$$\dot{\psi}_m = (q \sin(\phi_m) + r \cos(\phi_m)) / \cos(\theta_m)$$

(12)
Estimates of $\dot{\phi}_m$ can be obtained in several ways. The simplest method is to make roll period estimates from successive zero crossings or signal extrema on the $M_j$ or $M_k$ signals. This process yields average roll rates over the respective periods. More continuous, higher order estimates are obtained by the computation of equation 11 at each sampling time and the differentiation of the results. Alternatively, $\dot{\phi}_m$ is computed directly from

$$\frac{\delta \tan^{-1}(M_j/M_k)}{\delta T} = \frac{\delta (M_j/M_k)}{\delta T} \left( \frac{1}{1 + (M_j/M_k)^2} \right) = \left( \frac{\dot{M}_j M_k - \dot{M}_k M_j}{M_j^2 + M_k^2} \right)$$

(13)

with the advantage of avoiding potential singularities in equation 11 when $M_k = 0$. The spin rate ($p$) can then be estimated by low-pass filtering of the $\dot{\phi}_m$ estimates (Wilson, 2004).

---

### 6. Measuring Angular Rates of a NASA Crew Exploration Vehicle (CEV) Model

NASA needs to characterize the aerodynamics of the CEV that will be a part of future Mars missions. Some previous measurements had been made in spark ranges with scale models of the CEV, but this methodology cannot be employed to characterize all conditions of interest because of velocity and stability limitations imposed by safety considerations in an indoor range. Further, only limited amounts of data are collected for each shot in a spark range, so testing costs quickly mount with the number of shots required. With the dual hope of expanding the set of potentially measurable flight dynamics and reducing testing costs, it was decided that gun launching of Mars CEV models equipped with a sensor and telemetry system (figure 3c) at an outdoor range would be explored as a practicable way to acquire the desired data at reentry velocities (Brown et al., 2006).

Before proceeding to the Orion CEV tests, we evaluated the proposed methodology using an Apollo capsule model with known aerodynamics (figure 3a and b). The sensor system consisted of six angular rate sensors and a three-axis magnetometer. Along each of the principal axes there were an angular rate sensor with a dynamic range of $\pm 1000$ deg/s, an angular rate sensor with a dynamic range of $\pm 2000$ deg/s, and a vector magnetometer.

Figure 4 gives the body-fixed pitch axis rate sensor data for the first 0.5 second of one of the Apollo model flight tests. Two “problems” with these data are readily apparent. First, the pitch (and yaw) angular rates exceeded the dynamic range of the rate sensors and clipping resulted. Second, after gun launch, the rate sensors required time to “settle”. This is obvious in the 1000-deg/s sensor data but was later discovered to be equally true of the 2000-deg/s sensor data. Because of these issues, the magnetometer-based method was used to estimate the angular rates and the Apollo model’s attitude history.
Initial spin rate was estimated to be approximately 2 Hz from a period measurement of radial magnetometer output. With this value for $\dot{p}$, equation 8 was evaluated to obtain estimates of the body-fixed pitch ($\dot{\varphi}$) and yaw ($\dot{\psi}$) rates. We estimated $\dot{\varphi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$ by differencing the successive magnetometer measurements. The resulting pitch rate estimate is seen in figure 5a superimposed on the rate sensor data. The good agreement of the magnetometer-derived pitch rate with the rate-sensor-measured pitch rates whenever those measurements exist supports the accuracy of the magnetometer-derived rate estimates at all other times. The magnetometer-derived rates indicate initial pitching rates of approximately 4000 deg/s. These early data are particularly important because the high-drag shape of the model causes the mach 3.5 launch velocity to decay to subsonic speed in less than 1 second, and the high mach numbers are representative of re-entry velocities. Later in the flight, as the model begins to tumble, pitch rates approaching 20000 deg/s are estimated by the magnetometer (figure 5b). Although these data are not of interest for characterizing
CEV aerodynamics, they demonstrate that the magnetometer method does not suffer from dynamic range limitations.

Figure 5. Pitch rate history from rate sensors and magnetometers.

With $\theta_m$, $\phi_m$, $\dot{q}$, and $\dot{r}$ in hand, equation 12 is used to compute $\psi_m$ at each sampling interval.

The magnetic azimuth is then given by

$$\psi_m(t) = \psi_m(0) + \int_0^t \psi_m(t) \, dt.$$  \hfill (14)

Finally, the earth-fixed Euler angles are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ \psi \\ \phi \end{pmatrix} = T_{mE} \begin{pmatrix} \theta_m \\ \psi_m \\ \phi_m \end{pmatrix}$$  \hfill (15)

where $T_{mE}$ is the DCM relating the magnetic and earth-fixed coordinate systems. This methodology has been successfully implemented in an on-board digital signal processor for real-time guidance of experimental projectiles, as reported in reference 1. When this methodology was executed during post-processing of the flight telemetry data, the Apollo model heading history seen in figure 6 was computed for the first second of flight. With these data, aerodynamic coefficients of interest were estimated for the test vehicle, and the flight experiment evaluation was successfully completed.
7. Criteria for the Use of Magnetometer-Based Angular Rate Estimation

The effectiveness of this methodology is clearly dependent on the accuracy of the measurements of $M_i$, $M_j$, $M_k$, $\dot{M}_j$, and $\dot{M}_k$. Thus, a calibrated vector magnetometer is required. Calibration constants can be determined on the ground and pre-loaded or often can be dynamically determined in flight. We estimated $\dot{M}_j$ and $\dot{M}_k$ by differencing successive magnetometer measurements. This simplistic method requires that data rates be sufficiently high to accurately estimate the derivatives. Sampling rates of at least one sample per degree of projectile rotation have been found to be adequate for a number of simulated projectiles. Alternatively, polynomial fitting to the magnetometer data followed by analytic differentiation has been shown to produce equally accurate results at lower sampling rates. Preferred methods should be determined for individual applications.
8. Summary

Free flight angular dynamics of projectiles have been successfully measured with vector magnetometers in flight experiments during intervals when angular rate sensors have failed to provide measurements. This result argues for investigation of the inclusion of magnetometers as supplements and/or replacements to rate sensors in low-cost IMU/INS systems.
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</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1  DIR NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS CMD  
TEST ARTICLE PREP DEP  
ATTN CODE 5 4  R FAULSTICH  
BLDG 1492 UNIT 1  
47758 RANCH RD  
PATUXENT RIVER MD  20670-1456

1  CDR NAWC WEAPONS DIV  
ATTN CODE 543200E  G BORGEN  
BLDG 311  
POINT MUGU CA  93042-5000

2  PROGRAM MANAGER ITTS  
PEO-STRI  
ATTN AMSTI FL  D SCHNEIDER  
C GOODWIN  
12350 RESEARCH PKWY  
ORLANDO FL  32826-3276

2  CDR US ARMY RDEC  
ATTN AMSRD AMR SG SD  P JENKINS  
AMSRD AMR SG SP  P RUFFIN  
BLDG 5400  
REDSTONE ARSENAL  AL 35898-5247

1  DIR US ARMY RTTC  
ATTN STERT TE F TD R EPPS  
REDSTONE ARSENAL  AL 35898-8052

1  ARROW TECH ASSOCIATES  
ATTN W HATHAWAY  
1233 SHELBRUNE RD STE 8  
SOUTH BURLINGTON VT  05403

5  ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS  
ATTN A GAUZENS  J MILLS  
B LINDBLOOM  E KOSCO  
D JACKSON  
PO BOX 4648  
CLEARWATER FL 33758-4648

1  ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS  
ATTN R DOHRN  
5050 LINCOLN DR  
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55436-1097

5  ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS  
ATTN G PICKUS  F HARRISON  
M WILSON (3 CYS)  
4700 NATHAN LANE NORTH  
PLYMOUTH MN 55442

8  ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS  
ALLEGANY BALLISTICS LAB  
ATTN S OWENS  C FRITZ  J CONDON  
B NYGA  
J PARRILL  M WHITE  
S MCCLINTOCK  K NYGA  
MAIL STOP WV01-08  BLDG 300  
RM 180  
210 STATE ROUTE 956  
ROCKET CENTER  WV 26726-3548

2  SAIC  
ATTN J DISHON  
16701 W BERNARDO DR  
SAN DIEGO CA 92127

3  SAIC  
ATTN J GLISH  J NORTHRUP  
G WILLENBRING  
8500 NORMANDALE LAKE BLVD  
SUITE 1610  
BLOOMINGTON MN 55437-3828

1  SAIC  
ATTN D HALL  
1150 FIRST AVE SUITE 400  
KING OF PRUSSIA PA  19406

1  AAI CORPORATION  
M/S 113/141  
ATTN C BEVARD  
124 INDUSTRY LANE  
HUNT VALLEY MD 21030

2  JOHNS HOPKINS UNIV  
APPLIED PHYSICS LABORATORY  
ATTN W D’AMICO  K FOWLER  
1110 JOHNS HOPKINS RD  
LAUREL MD 20723-6099

4  CHLS STARK DRAPER LAB  
ATTN J CONNELLY J SITOMER  
T EASTERLY A KOUREPENIS  
555 TECHNOLOGY SQUARE  
CAMBRIDGE MA  02139-3563

2  ECII LLC  
ATTN R GIVEN  J SWAIN  
BLDG 2023E  
YPG AZ 85365
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GD-OTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATTN E KASSHEIMER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RED LION PA 17356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ALION SCIENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATTN P KISATSKY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 PEACE RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RANDOLPH NJ 07861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DIRECTOR US ARMY RSCH LABORATORY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATTN AMSRD ARL CI OK (TECH LIB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BLDG 4600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DIRECTOR US ARMY RSCH LABORATORY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATTN AMSRD ARL SG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T ROSENBERGER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BLDG 4600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>DIR USARL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATTN AMSRD ARL WM BA D LYON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T BROWN E BUKOWSKI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J CONDON B DAVIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R HALL T HARKINS (5 CYS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D HEPNER G KATULKA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T KOGLER P MULLER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B PATTON P PEREGINO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BLDG 4600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DIR USARL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATTN AMSRD ARL WM BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P PLOSTINS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B GUIDOS P WEINACHT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BLDG 390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DIR USARL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATTN AMSRD ARL WM BD M NUSCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J COLBURN T COFFEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BLDG 390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DIR USARL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATTN AMSRD ARL WM BF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W OBERLE A THOMPSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BLDG 390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DIR USARL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATTN AMSRD ARL WM MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J BENDER W DRYSDALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BLDG 390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>