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1 Introduction

Results are presented from a compact, portable adaptive optics (AO) system based on a MEM deformable mirror (DM). The initial results presented in this work show data from a system whose design approach views the AO system as a self-contained instrument, much like a camera or a spectrograph rather than as a part of the infrastructure of the telescope, which is the traditional view. The goal of this ongoing work is to create a versatile, accessible approach for various current users and various new users of adaptive optics technologies, and those investigators interested in improving the response of their telescopes by correcting the wavefront sensors. Customized software and hardware, combined with commercially available optics, a camera, DM, and wavefront sensor, provided a low-cost, compact alternative to conventional systems. Some of the key features of the reconstructor allow transportability by reducing or eliminating the need for tight alignment between the wavefront sensor, the camera, and the corrective element. Furthermore, unlike more conventional approaches, this system is designed to recover quickly from loss of data, i.e., missing frames, to continue operations even under unfavorable conditions. Such a characteristic is also central to the ability of the system to cope with the effects of scintillation. The choice of using a MEM deformable mirror for the AO system is multifold: first of all, most MEM devices are compact, have low power consumption, and are easily interfaceable with commercial PCs. These characteristics are all vital to satisfy our needs for low complexity, ease to use, and portability.

In the following sections, we examine some field data and report on the results.

2 Experiment

The AO system was tested during an engineering run in July 2002 using the one-meter telescope at the Naval Observatory Flagstaff Station (NOFS), as shown in Fig. 1. The observing run was during the monsoon season. Although visibility was poor, it was sufficient to test the optical layout and the closed-loop performance of the software. Data were taken on α Lyrae (Vega) between clouds and through haze during two nights. In the middle of the first night, the AO system was removed and a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera was placed in the focal plane...
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**Abstract**

The report presents experimental results from a MEMS-based adaptive optics system. The system demonstrates significant improvements in optical correction over conventional methods, particularly in dynamic environments. The MEMS technology allows for real-time adjustment, significantly enhancing image clarity and resolution. Future work will focus on expanding the system's applications in various optical environments.
of the telescope optics train to calibrate the system using a standard NOFS camera. The AO system was then reinstalled.

The system was mounted on an 18 in. x 24 in., .75-in. thick aluminum plate. The optics resides on top of the plate while the power supply, control electronics, and computer are mounted to the bottom of the plate. Vibration from the computer’s hard-disk drive was suppressed by wrapping it in a vibration damping foam. The optical design was optimized for a compact system using ZEMAX.

The adaptive element used in this experiment was a MEM 37-element mirror\(^5\) from OKO technologies (Delft, The Netherlands).\(^6\) The unit is 15 mm in diameter and was driven with custom electronics to drive each actuator with 0 to 140 V providing a “throw” of approximately 40 waves (at 633 nm) for focus and about 2-1/2 waves for higher order corrections. The DM and schematic of the MEM mirror are shown in Fig. 2.

The wavefront sensor was a Shack-Hartman\(^7\) lenslet array coupled to a 128 x 128 pixel CCD camera from Dalsa. The maximum allowed frame rate for such a camera and readout electronics is approximately 750 frames per second. The camera readout noise, especially at high frame rates, was the limiting factor for the sensitivity of the system. Combined with the poor seeing conditions, due in large part to high clouds on the one available night during the run, the performance of the Dalsa in this mode required actual frame rates to be around 100 Hz. For a brief period during the observing run, the weather cleared enough to close the loop on the bright star Vega.

The telescope itself presented a challenge to the wavefront reconstruction algorithm. The pupil of the telescope has a 45% central obscuration due to the secondary mirror. This is quite a large obscuration for standard meter class telescopes.

60 subapertures were used for wavefront sensing. The wavefront reconstructor required at least three subapertures between the inner and outer radii. Figure 3 shows both the pupil and the images formed by the subapertures. The 45% obscuration by the secondary is evident in both images.

---

\(^5\) Restaino et al.: Experimental results of a MEMS-based adaptive...

---

**Fig. 1** Compact adaptive optics system being installed on one-meter telescope at the Naval Observatory Flagstaff station.

**Fig. 2** Photo and schematic of OKO deformable mirror used in the described adaptive optics system. This unit has 37 active elements and measures 15 mm in diameter. Custom electronics drove the actuators.

**Fig. 3** (a) Pupil imaged; note the large secondary. (b) Single frame from the wavefront sensor camera.
The Shack-Hartman measurements and data processing are illustrated in Eqs. (1) and (2).

\[
\Delta x = \frac{R}{n} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \quad \Delta y = \frac{R}{n} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y},
\]

\[
s = [B] \phi + n,
\]

\[
\phi = [B^T C_n B]^{-1} [B^T C_n]^{-1} s. \tag{3}
\]

Equation (1) represents the slope measurements made by a Shack-Hartmann sensor. Equation (2) is the signal equation of the wavefront sensor, where the matrix \( B \) is the reconstructor matrix and \( n \) represents the noise. The least square approach to invert Eq. (2) is shown in Eq. (3) under generic assumptions, i.e., that we can use a covariance matrix to describe the noise contribution \( C_n \), etc. This traditional reconstruction scheme, however, has difficulties with missing data, especially when they are dynamically changing, like in the case of scintillation.

However, with our new algorithm, the reconstructor is not vulnerable to effects from large obscurations and the effects of scintillation. This was one of the reasons that we elected to observe in the middle of the monsoon season in Flagstaff, Arizona, to evaluate the effects of scintillation on our new reconstructor algorithm. The new algorithm will be the object of a forthcoming publication.

Measurements of scintillation index were carried out using the wavefront sensor data. The scintillation index (for definition and properties, see for example Ref. 8) is typically around 20% and for very good seeing conditions, it is of only a few percent. Figure 4 shows a 3.5-s time sequence of four subapertures. The measured scintillation index, averaged over the subpupils, is shown in Fig. 5. The analysis of Figs. 4, 5, and 6 illustrates the amount of scintillation that the reconstructor had to cope with. Notwithstanding such dynamical changes in the number of illuminated subapertures, as can be clearly seen in the video in Fig. 6, the reconstructor was able to allow closed-loop operations.

3 Results and Discussion

Scintillation and poor seeing decreases the stability of the wavefront reconstruction, since the variation in intensity makes for large differences in the signal-to-noise ratio from one subpupil to another. Cloud cover made observations logistically challenging. Images of Vega using open- and closed-loop corrective optics are shown in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b). The images are 30-s integrations. The closed-loop point spread function (PSF) is symmetric and the central peak is much higher. A scan through the vertical axis of Fig. 6 is shown in Fig. 7 and the scale is in counts, after bias and dark correction, of the CCD camera. The time averaged open-loop Strehl ratio was about 3.7%, and the closed-loop Strehl ratio was 27%, showing a factor of 6 in improvement, even with the difficult conditions. Figure 8 shows a single frame nontime averaged, open loop and closed loop of Vega. The instantaneous Strehl ratios are 2.9 and 21%, respectively.
4 Summary and Conclusion

The first test run of a portable adaptive optics system is performed. Mounting of the instrument, alignment, and closing of the loop on the system is accomplished in less than two days. Weather prevented substantial testing; however, the cloud cover opened long enough to close the loop on Vega. Examples of this data are presented with a 4- to 6-fold increase in Strehl. The work shows that successful demonstration of the instrument concept and design is achieved. Important parameters such as size, weight, and ease of installation as well as performance are also important for applicability to interferometry, where installation on a number of telescopes is needed to obtain viable images. We show that the compact system can be installed quickly on a one-meter telescope and produce viable images, even in challenging weather conditions.
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