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Abstract

Lockheed Martin’s Advanced Technology Laboratories has been designing, developing, testing, and evaluating spoken language understanding systems in several unique operational environments over the past five years. Through these experiences we have encountered numerous challenges in making each system become an integral part of a user’s operations. In this paper, we discuss these challenges and report how we overcame them with respect to a number of domains.

1 Introduction

Lockheed Martin’s Advanced Technology Laboratories (LMATL) has been designing, developing, testing, and evaluating spoken language understanding systems (SLS) in several unique operational environments over the past five years. This model of human interaction is referred to as Listen, Communicate, Show (LCS). In an LCS system, the computer listens for information requests, communicates with the user and networked information resources to compute user-centered solutions, and shows tailored visualizations to individual users. Through developing these systems, we have encountered numerous challenges in making each system become an integral part of a user’s operations. For example, Figure 1 shows the deployment of a dialogue system for placing Marine supply requests, which is being used in a tactical vehicle, a HMMWV.

Some of the challenges of creating such spoken language systems include giving appropriate responses. This involves managing the tension between utterance brevity and giving enough context in a response to build the user’s trust. Similarly, the length of user utterances must be succinct enough to convey the correct information without adding to the signature of the soldier. The system must be robust when handling out of vocabulary terms and concepts. It must also be able to adapt to noisy environments whose parameters change frequently and be able use input devices and power access unique to each situation.

2 Architecture

The LCS Spoken Language systems use the Galaxy architecture (Seneff et al., 1999). This Galaxy architecture consists of a central hub and servers. Each of the servers performs a specific function, such as converting audio speech into a text translation of that speech or combining the user’s past statements with what was said most recently. The individual servers exchange information by sending messages through the hub. These messages contain information to be sent to other servers as well as information used to determine what server or servers should be contacted next.

Various Galaxy Servers work together to develop a semantic understanding of the user’s statements and questions. The sound spoken into the microphone, telephone, or radio is collected by an Audio Server and sent on to the recognizer. The recognizer translates this wave file into text, which is sent to a natural language parser. The parser converts the text into a semantic frame, a representation of the statement’s meaning. This meaning representation is passed on to another server, the Dialogue Manager. This server monitors the current context of a
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conversation and, based on this context, can prompt the user for any necessary clarification and present intelligent responses to the user. Since the Dialogue Manager is aware of what information has been discussed thus far, it is able to determine what information is still needed. A semantic frame is created by the Dialogue Manager and this is sent through the Language Generation Server to generate a text response. The text response is then spoken to the user through a speech synthesis server.

To solve the problem of retrieving or placing data from/ via remote and local sources, we gave the systems below the use of mobile software agents. If user-requested information is not immediately available, an agent can monitor the data sources until it is possible to respond. Users may request a notification or alert when a particular activity occurs, which may happen at an indeterminate time in the future. Because of the potentially significant time lag, it is important to manage dialogue activity so that the user is only interrupted when the need for information is more important than the current task that the user is currently undertaking. This active management of interruptions aids task management and lightens cognitive load (Daniels et al., 2002).

3 Domains

3.1 LCS Marine

One of the first LCS systems to be tested out in the field was our Marine Logistics spoken dialogue system. This application sought to connect the Marine in the field to the Small Unit Logistics (SUL) database, which maintains current information about supply requisitions. Warfighters wanted to be able to place requests as well as check on the status of existing requests without the need of additional hardware or communicating with a third party. It was also highly desirable to use existing communications procedures, so that the training time to use the system was minimized. The system needed to be speaker-independent and mixed initiative enabling the warfighters to develop a sense of trust in the technology.

Marines using the system were able to perform several tasks. They could create new requests for supplies, with the system prompting them for information needed to fill in a request form. They could also modify and delete previously placed requests and could check on the status of requests in one of two ways. They could directly ask about the current status, or they could delegate an agent to monitor the status of a particular request. It was an easy addition to the system to add a constraint that the agent return after a specified time period if no activity occurs on the request, which is also valuable information for the Marine. These delegated agents travel across a low-bandwidth SINCGARS radio network from the Marine to the database and access that database to place, alter, and monitor supply requisitions.

The challenges in deploying this system to the field were twofold - building trust in the system so that it would become part of normal operations and in dealing with the unique environmental factors. The former presented the conflicting goals of brevity versus confirming user inputs. Marines want to restrict their time on the radio net as much as possible. At the same time they want to ensure that what they requested is what they were going to receive. Much time went into defining and refining system responses that met both needs as best possible. This involved several sessions with a numerous Marines evaluating possible dialogue responses. We also spent much time ensuring that LCS Marine could handle both proper and malformed radio protocols. Broad coverage of potential expressions, especially those when under stress, such as recognition of the liberal use of curse words, led to greater user ability to successfully interact through the system.

The second set of challenges, unique environmental factors, included access while on the move, battlefield noise, and coping with adverse conditions such as sand storms. Accessing LCS Marine while on the move meant using a SINCGARS radio as the input device. Attempts to use the system by directly collecting speech from a SINCGARS radio were dropped due to the technological challenges presented by the distortion introduced by the radio on the signal. Instead, we installed the majority of the system on laptops and put these into the HMMWV. We sent mobile agents over the SINCGARS data link back to the data sources. This meant securing hardware in a HMMWV and powering it off of the vehicle’s battery as illustrated in Figure 1. (Only one laptop was damaged during testing.) The mobile agents were able to easily traverse a retransmission link and reach the remote data source.

Dealing with hugely varying background noise sources was less of a problem than originally predicted. Fortunately, most of the time that one of these loud events would occur, users would simply stop talking. Their hearing was impaired and so they would wait for the noise to abate and then continue the dialogue. On the other hand, we did encounter several users who, because of the Lombard effect, insisted upon always yelling at the system. While we did not measure decibel levels, there were a few times when the system was not able to understand the user because of background noise.

3.2 Shipboard Information

An LCS system has also been developed to monitor shipboard system information aboard the Sea Shadow (IX 529), a Naval test platform for stealth, automation, and control technologies. From anywhere on the ship, personnel use the on-board intercom to contact this system, SUSIE (Shipboard Ubiquitous Speech Interface Environment), to ask about the status of equipment that is located throughout the ship. Crew members do not have to be anywhere near the equipment being monitored in order to receive data. Figure 2 illustrates a sailor using SUSIE through the ship’s intercom.

Personnel can ask about pressures, temperatures, and voltages of various pieces of equipment or can delegate
3.3 Battlefield Casualty Reporting System

We are currently developing a new LCS system known as the Battlefield Casualty Reporting System or BCRS. The goal of this system is to assist military personnel in reporting battlefield casualties directly into a main database. This involves intelligent dialogue to reduce ambiguity, resolve constraints, and refine searches on individual names and the circumstances surrounding the casualty. Prior knowledge of every individual’s name will not be possible. The deployment of this system will be again present many challenges such as noise effects on a battlefield, effects of stress on the voice, and the ability to integrate into existing military hardware.

4 Future Work

The areas of research needed to address needs for more dynamic and robust systems include better, more robust or partial parsing mechanisms. In addition, systems must be able to cope with multi-sentence inputs, including the system’s ability to insert back channel activity. Ease of domain expansion is important as systems evolve. Varying environmental factors mean that the systems require additional noise adaptation or mitigation techniques, in addition, the ability to switch modes of communication if one is not appropriate at a given time.

5 Conclusions

We have discussed the pragmatics involved with taking an SLS system out of the laboratory or away from telephony and placing it in a volatile environment. These systems have to be robust and be able to cope with varying input styles and modes as well as be able to modify their output to the appropriate situation. In addition, the systems must be an integral part of the technology that is in current use and be able to withstand adverse conditions. Satisfying all of these constraints involves active participation in the development process with the end-users as well as creative solutions and technological advances.
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