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The technology of hypersonic projectiles is becoming mature from a metal physics perspective but there are still unsolved challenges relating to flight characteristics and aerodynamic stability. These projectiles deform under explosive loads and accelerate to hypersonic speeds in 2x10-6 seconds. In addition, these projectiles operate at sea-level conditions, a high-speed flight regime not commonly studied. The objective of this effort is to study the aerodynamics characteristics of deformable projectiles flying at hypersonic speeds and sea-level conditions. Because aerodynamic stability is critical for proper performance it is important to know what shapes should be avoided and which ones are acceptable. Since this was a short one-year IDP task the effort only focused on static body geometries, no deformable body calculations were attempted.
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OBJECTIVES
• Quantify aerodynamic loading on a non-uniform real-time deforming geometry.
• Understand the degree to which aerodynamic loads affect the formation of the projectile.
• Determine aero-stability characteristics to help guide warhead designers. Need to increase stand-off range.

APPROACH/TECHNICAL CHALLENGES
• Focus on understanding the hypersonic flow physics associated with explosively formed projectiles
• Perform calculations to study aerodynamic stability of complex shapes under going real-time dynamic deformation.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS/RESULTS
• Completed initial assessment of flow chemistry
• Completed initial stability analysis

Long-Term PAYOFF: Increase stable flight distance by 100% while reducing testing costs.

Sea Level Conditions
Body Shape Starts as a Flat Plate and Forms During Flight
Accelerates to Hypersonic Speeds in 2x10^6 seconds
Science and Computational Challenges

- Geometry is simpler, but deforming rapidly
- Flow is explosively accelerated in front of body
- No current way to quantify fluid-structure effects (metal is plastic)
- Do not know about flow chemistry during rapid deformation
- Cannot use static boundary conditions in CFD codes
- Interfaces one approach to coupling with hydrocodes.

- Geometry is complex, but deforming slowly if at all
- Aero stability is important issue – structural failure is possible
- Chemistry still important
- Unsteady flow issues from base and cavity flows
Overview

• Collaboration with AFRL/VA was Initial Plan
  — AVUS: unstructured, hypersonic CFD
  — Personnel losses delayed their participation
• Steven Ellison has brought in VULCAN (from NASA)
• Work presented here performed with Vulcan
• Still have critical need for an unstructured code, and most importantly support form the code author(s).
• Future Options
  — Obtain COBALT support through HPC IHAAA funds
  — Obtain AVUS capability if AFRL/VA is able to overcome personnel issues
• Aerodynamic effects on EFP formation – surface extracted from EPIC results

• Long-term aerodynamic stability – analytical shape (analytical parametric equations that are lofted using CAD)
Analysis of Body Temperatures

- Performed and analysis to bound body temperatures
- Needed to see if there was heat addition to the flow from the body. Explosively deformed metal gets hot.
- Sandia’s CTH Shock Physics Code was used for these simulations.
- Looked at copper and another metal
High Speed Gas Properties

- Mach 6 at sea level
  - Ideal gas, or account for variable g and chemistry?
- Using Beggar
  - Ideal gas
  - Excluding base flow (outer surface only)
- Using Vulcan
- Surface temperatures estimated from Sandia’s CTH Hydrocode. (Courtesy of Dr. James Wilson)
  - Looked at both Copper and another metal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mach Number</th>
<th>6.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Static Density</td>
<td>1.225 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static Pressure</td>
<td>101325 Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static Temperature</td>
<td>288.16 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Species Simulation Mass Fractions</td>
<td>f_N2 = 0.7655, f_O2 = 0.2345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Species Simulation Mass Fractions</td>
<td>f_N2 = 0.7552, f_O2 = 0.2314, f_Ar = 0.0129, f_CO2 = 0.0005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
High Speed Gas Properties

Vulcan Solutions - Temperature

(a) Ideal
(b) 2-Sp Reacting
(c) 2-Sp Frozen
(d) 4-Sp Frozen
Numerical Experiments Performed to Determine the Level of Simulation Detail Needed to Accurately Model Low Altitude, High Speed Flows

Goal is understand what level of chemistry modeling is needed to model hypersonic flows at sea level conditions. This is critical for later analysis of aero-stability and unsteady flow issues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow Parameter</th>
<th>Flow Parameter Range by Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ideal Gas (Beggar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mach Number</td>
<td>0 – 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>1.013 × 10^5 – 4.752 × 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>288.16 – 2353.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of Specific Heats (γ)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Ideal gas solutions had temperatures of 2350K, near the temperature diatomic oxygen begins to dissociate.
- A 5 species reacting gas simulation had temperatures 275K lower, and no dissociation.
- Frozen flow simulations with both 2-species and 4 species were calculated.
- It was determined that a 4-species frozen flow is an acceptable level of modeling for high-speed flows at sea-level conditions, for the current geometry.
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Computational Grids

- Creating structured grids about EFP shapes…
- EFP Outer Surface
Computational Grids

• Overview, Front
Computational Grids

- Overview, Rear
Computational Grids

- Rear
Computational Grids

- Outer Surface Mesh
Computational Grids

- Front Cutaway
Computational Grids

• Cavity Mesh: 1/6 Slice
Aerodynamic Stability
Summary

• Made advances in understanding the nature of the high speed gas dynamics.

• Too much time needed for structured grids.
  – Need unstructured capability

• Started analysis of aero-stability issues

• Performed some initial flow analysis

• Ben Case is leaving for a job in Utah.

• Appreciation for Dr. James Wilson (AFRL) who performed some solid mechanics analysis to help us.

• Appreciation to AFRL/VA for assisting us as much as they could even though they had manpower issues.
Preliminary Considerations

- Checking for adequate grid spacing by calculating the $y^+$ value of the first grid point off the surface.

$$ y^+ = \frac{y \rho u_\tau}{\mu} $$

$$ u_\tau = \left( \frac{\tau_w}{\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} $$

$$ \tau_w = \mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \approx \mu \frac{V_{\text{mag}}}{\Delta y} $$

Baldwin-Lomax Turbulence Model:

$$ y^+ \leq 1.0 $$