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ABSTRACT

The benefits of applying low plasticity burnishing (LPB) to 17-4PH Stainless Steel (H1100) on both the fatigue and corrosion fatigue performance were compared with the shot peened (SP) and low stress ground (LSG) conditions. LPB treatment dramatically improved both the high cycle fatigue (HCF) performance and fatigue strength. The baseline LSG and SP treatments showed similar fatigue strengths of about 150 ksi (~1035 MPa), while LPB treatment improved the fatigue strength by about 30%. Introduction of an EDM notch of a_o = 0.010 in. (0.25 mm) and c_o = 0.030 in. (0.75 mm) simulating a semi-elliptical surface foreign object damage (FOD), decreased the fatigue strength of both SP and LSG by nearly 80%, while LPB helped retain much of the fatigue strength at the levels comparable to baseline material without FOD.

Corrosion fatigue strength (in the presence of active corrosion medium of 3.5% NaCl solution) of the LSG material showed a drop of nearly 33% from the baseline material without corrosion; LPB material showed corrosion fatigue strength nearly the same as the baseline material without corrosion. While the introduction of a simulated FOD on the LSG dramatically decreased the fatigue strength to less than 15 ksi (~100 MPa), LPB retained nearly 90% of the fatigue strength of the baseline material without corrosion.

Mechanistically, the effect of corrosion and FOD resulted in early crack initiation and growth, thus resulting in a dramatic decrease in fatigue performance. Despite the existence of similar corrosion conditions, the deep compressive surface residual stresses from LPB treatment helped to mitigate the individual and synergistic effects of corrosion fatigue and FOD.
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INTRODUCTION

Introduction of residual compressive stresses in metallic components has long been recognized to lead to enhanced fatigue strength. For example, many engineering components have been shot-peened or cold worked with the fatigue strength enhancement as the primary objective or as a by-product of a surface hardening treatment like carburizing/nitriding, physical vapor deposition, etc. Over the last decade, other examples of the former type of treatment like low plasticity burnishing (LPB), laser shock peening (LSP), and ultrasonic peening have emerged. In all the surface treatment processes, key benefits are obtained when deep compression is achieved with no or minimal cold work of the surface. All of these surface treatment methods...
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have been shown to benefit fatigue prone engineering components to different degrees.

Corrosion fatigue damage, stress corrosion cracking, foreign object damage (FOD), and erosion damage are generally recognized as significant degradation processes that affect naval aircraft turbine engine compressor components. Precipitation hardened martensitic stainless steels are widely used in applications where a combination of high strength and resistance to corrosion is needed. 17-4PH stainless steel is probably the most used alloy steel of this kind. Components made of precipitation hardened martensitic stainless steels have been known to be prone to corrosion fatigue and stress corrosion cracking (SCC).

Much of the earlier research on this topic focused on preventing or minimizing corrosion fatigue damage and stress corrosion cracking through alloy chemistry, microstructure control through heat treatment and surface treatment.

Low plasticity burnishing (LPB) has been demonstrated to provide a deep surface layer of high magnitude compression in various aluminum, titanium, nickel based alloys and steels. The deep compressive residual stress state on the surface of these materials mitigates fatigue damage including FOD, fretting fatigue damage, and corrosion fatigue damage. The LPB process can be performed on conventional CNC machine tools at costs and speeds comparable to conventional machining operations such as surface milling.

The main goal of this research is to investigate the effect of a compressive surface residual stress state imparted by LPB process upon the mechanisms of corrosion fatigue and FOD in 17-4 PH stainless steel, and compare these results with the results obtained after a conventional SP surface treatment.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE**

**Material and Heat Treatment**

17-4 PH stainless steel was procured in the form of 0.5 in. (~12.7 mm) thick plates. Bars of nominal dimensions of 0.375 in. X 1.25 in. X 8 in. (9.5 mm X 31.75 mm X 203.2 mm) were initially machined. All the bars were heat-treated to the H1100 condition – i.e., aged at 593 ºC (1100 ºF) for 4 hours, followed by air-cooling. The nominal composition and tensile properties of the heat-treated steel are as follows:

Chemical Composition: (weight%) C-0.040%, Nb-0.32%, Cr-15.35%, Cu-3.39%, Mn-0.69%, Mo-0.24%, Ni-4.24%, P-0.024%, S-0.006%, Si-0.63%, Ti-<0.01%, Bal-Fe.

0.2% Y.S. = 156 ksi (~1,075 MPa), UTS = 160 ksi (~1,100 MPa), Elong. = 16%, RA = 66.4%

**HCF Specimen Processing**

Thick section fatigue specimens were finish machined out of the heat-treated bars by LSG. A typical fatigue specimen is shown in Figure 1a, which has a trapezoidal cross section in the gauge section. This special design enables the testing of specimens with a deep surface layer of compressive residual stress. The trapezoidal cross section HCF sample was designed to force fatigue failures to initiate in the compressive gage section surface under 4-point bend loading.

To simulate FOD, a semi-elliptical surface notch of depth of \(a_0\) = 0.01 in. (0.25 mm) and surface length of \(2c_0\) = 0.06 in. (1.5 mm) was introduced in selected groups of specimens by electrical discharge machining (EDM).

**LPB Processing**

LPB process parameters were developed by Surface Enhancement Technologies, LLC, (SET) for thick sections of 17-4 PH Stainless Steel using proprietary methods to impart the greatest depth and magnitude of residual stress with minimal cold work. The CNC control code was modified to allow positioning of the LPB tool in a series of passes along the gage section while controlling the burnishing pressure to develop the desired magnitude of compressive stress with relatively low cold working. Figure 1b shows a thick section fatigue specimen in the process of being low plasticity burnished in the four-axis
Low Plasticity Burnishing (LPB) Treatment to Mitigate FOD and Corrosion Fatigue Damage in 17-4 PH Stainless Steel

manipulator on the CNC milling machine. Figure 1c shows a thick section fatigue specimen with a LPB processed top surface.

Figure 1a: Photograph of a typical thick section specimen.

Figure 1b: A set of 8 thick section specimens being LPB processed in a 4-axis CNC milling machine.

Figure 1c: The LPB treated surface patch in the gage section of a thick section specimen.

SP Processing

Shot peening was done using a conventional air blast peening system equipped with a rotating table on two sets of fatigue specimens with the following process parameters: 125% coverage, 14CW shots and 8A intensity.

Figure 1d: A specimen mounted in the 4-point bend fatigue test set-up.

Figure 1e: A thick section specimen with salt solution soaked tissue wrapped around the gage section.

High Cycle Corrosion Fatigue Testing

All high cycle fatigue tests were performed under constant amplitude loading on a Sonntag SF-1U fatigue machine. A photo of the fatigue test setup is shown in Figure 1d. Fatigue testing was conducted at ambient temperature (~72F) in four-point bending mode. The cyclic frequency and load ratio, R, were 30 Hz and 0.1 respectively. Tests were conducted to the event of specimen fracture or until a "run-out" life of $2.5 \times 10^6$ was attained whichever occurred first. Run-out specimens were subsequently re-tested to fracture at a minimum stress of at least 20 ksi greater than the stress level at which run-out had occurred. For analysis purposes, such re-tests were regarded as virgin tests and results were included thus in S-N results. Cycling was terminated upon separation of the sample or when displacement resulting from severe cracking exceeded equipment limits. Specimens from tests terminated for the latter reason were subsequently broken by hand to
permit direct observation of fracture surface details.

Initially, baseline HCF tests were conducted on LSG and LPB treated specimens in air with and without the EDM notch. Other corrosion fatigue tests were carried out in a medium of aqueous salt solution on specimens with LSG, SP and LPB surface treatment, both with and without an EDM notch. Corrosion fatigue testing was performed using a 3.5% NaCl salt solution with pH of about 7. Filter papers were soaked with the solution, wrapped around the gage section of the fatigue test specimen, and sealed with a plastic film to avoid evaporation. The pH of the solution was adjusted by adding either NaOH or HCL. Figure 1e shows a specimen with the acid salt soaked filter paper sealed around the gauge section. Figure 1e shows the specimen mounted in the four-point bend fixture assembled for fatigue testing in a Sonntag SF-1U HCF testing machine.

As indicated earlier, all fatigue tests were conducted at room temperature, frequency of 30 Hz, and an R-ratio \((\frac{\text{min}}{\text{max}})\), of 0.1. LSG specimens were tested in air and in 3.5% NaCl medium, with and without an EDM notch on the surface. SP specimens were tested in air, with and without EDM notch. LPB specimens were tested in air and in 3.5% NaCl medium, with and without an EDM notch on the surface. EDM notches simulated a semi-elliptical surface crack of size \(a_0 = 0.010\) in \((0.25\) mm) and \(c_0 = 0.030\) in \((0.75\) mm). In LPB treated specimens, specimens with deeper EDM notches were tested with the goal of testing the limiting capability of LPB treatment to withstand bigger damage.

**Residual Stress Measurement**

X-ray diffraction residual stress measurements were made at the surface and at several depths below the surface on LPB treated fatigue specimens. Measurements were made in the longitudinal direction in the fatigue specimen gage employing a \(\sin^2\theta\) technique and the diffraction of chromium K\(\alpha_1\) radiation from the \((211)\) planes of steel. The lattice spacing was first verified to be a linear function of \(\sin^2\theta\) as required for the plane stress linear elastic residual stress model\(^{21-24}\).

Material was removed electrolytically for subsurface measurement in order to minimize possible alteration of the subsurface residual stress distribution as a result of material removal. The residual stress measurements were corrected for both the penetration of the radiation into the subsurface stress gradient\(^{24}\) and for stress relaxation caused by layer removal\(^{25}\).

The value of the x-ray elastic constants required to calculate the macroscopic residual stress from the strain normal to the \((211)\) planes of steel were determined in accordance with ASTM E1426-9.\(^{26}\) Systematic errors were monitored per ASTM specification E915.

**Fractography**

Following fatigue testing, each specimen was examined optically at magnifications up to 60x to identify fatigue origins and locations thereof relative to the specimen geometry. Pictures were taken with a Nikon 990 digital camera through a Nikon Stereoscopic microscope at 15x. A representative photograph of a typical failure for each specimen group was obtained. A few selected specimens were also examined under a Cambridge S90B SEM.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Residual Stress Distributions**

The residual stress distributions measured as functions of depth are presented graphically in Figure 2. Compressive stresses are shown as negative values, tensile as positive, in units of ksi \((10^3\) psi) and MPa \((10^6\) N/m\(^2\)).
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Figure 2. Residual stress distribution for LSG, SP and LPB processed (and fatigue tested, all in air) specimens. S/N28 (LSG): $S_{\text{max}} = 140$ ksi (~965 MPa); $N_i = 3,303,607$ cycles, S/N20 (SP): $S_{\text{max}} = 185$ ksi (~1275 MPa); $N_i = 148,980$ cycles, S/N88 (LPB): $S_{\text{max}} = 210$ ksi (~1,450 MPa); $N_i = 200,445$ cycles.

Figure 2 shows the residual stress (RS) and %cold work (CW) profiles for specimens subjected to LSG, SP and LPB treatment after fatigue testing. The LSG specimen shows surface compression of about -85 ksi (~-585 MPa), which decreases rapidly to nearly zero at a depth of 0.002 in. (~0.05 mm). This specimen is cold worked 15% at the surface. In comparison, SP treatment shows surface compression of about -105 ksi (~ -725 MPa), which becomes more compressive to about -125 ksi (~ -860 MPa) at a depth of about 0.002 in. (~0.05 mm), and rapidly relaxes to nearly zero residual stress at a depth of about 0.010 in. (~0.25 mm). More importantly, the SP treatment produces a very high degree of cold work, nearly 70% cold work on the surface, which decreases to nearly zero within a depth of 0.005 in. (~0.125 mm). In contrast to both these, LPB treatment produces surface compression of -100 ksi (~ -690 MPa), which becomes more compressive to about -115 ksi (~ -790 MPa) at 0.005 in. (~0.125 mm) and gradually decreases to zero at a depth of about 0.040 in. (~1 mm). LPB produces surface cold work of < 5%, which decreases to nearly zero within 0.001 in. (~0.025 mm).

The low cold work associated with the LPB process is credited with the high stability of the compressive residual stresses of LPB treated parts to thermal exposure and mechanical overload conditions. In contrast, the SP treated specimens tend to go through recovery and recrystallization processes that are dominant in highly cold worked parts, and thereby lose the beneficial compressive residual stresses.

HCF and Corrosion Fatigue Performance

Figures 3-6 show the HCF and corrosion fatigue performance of 17-4 PH Stainless Steel (H1100) in the form of S-N curves. In Figure 3, the baseline material (LSG) performance with and without the EDM notch is presented. The unnotched LSG condition shows a fatigue strength, (endurance limit at about $10^7$ cycles) $S_{\text{max}}$ of about 150 ksi (~1035 MPa). In comparison, corrosion fatigue for LSG results in a fatigue strength of about 100 ksi (~690 MPa). Introduction of a semi-elliptical EDM notch of nominal size $a_o = 0.010$ in. (0.25 mm) and $c_o = 0.030$ in. (0.75 mm) drastically decreases the fatigue strength to about 20 ksi (~140 MPa) for both air and corrosion environments. With the EDM notch, the fatigue lives at higher stresses show a corresponding decrease of over an order of magnitude in comparison to the unnotched specimens. In Figure 3, power law lines were fitted to the data and these lines are included in the presentation of data. The lines in this figure represent the average behavior of the material in its baseline LSG condition, and are used in Figures 4 and 5 for purposes of comparison with other surface treatment processes.
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Figure 3. Baseline HCF and corrosion fatigue results plotted in the form of S-N curves for LSG treatment. The fitted lines are used in Figures 4 and 5 for the purpose of comparison of fatigue performance of SP and LPB treated specimens.

Figure 4. HCF results for SP treatment. Baseline data for LSG treatment (fitted lines from Figure 3) are shown for comparison. Corrosion fatigue tests were not performed on SP treated specimens since no significant benefit in HCF behavior was observed due to shot peening, as seen in this figure.

Figure 5. Corrosion fatigue and HCF results for LPB treatment. Baseline data for LSG treatment (fitted lines from Figure 3) are shown for comparison.

Figure 6: HCF and Corrosion fatigue performance as a function of EDM notch depth in 17-4 PH SS - H1100. Note that while for LSG and shot peened specimens a notch of 0.010 in depth resulted in dramatic loss of fatigue strength. LPB even with a 0.040 in. of notch depth has good fatigue strength. Also, note that for LPB, the HCF and corrosion fatigue behavior of notched specimens are practically indistinguishable.

However, the introduction of a 0.010 in. (0.25 mm) deep notch knocks the performance down
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Figure 5 shows the HCF and corrosion fatigue behavior of LPB treated specimens. Again, the trend lines for the baseline LSG material from Figure 3 are included in this Figure. The unnotched LPB treatment shows superior HCF performance with a fatigue strength of 190 ksi (~1310 MPa). Figure 5 also shows that fatigue data from all of the other test conditions with LPB treatment, notched HCF, and both notched and unnotched corrosion fatigue may be grouped into one set of data. A couple of inferences may be reached from this data. Firstly, the fact that the notched HCF data, and the notched and unnotched corrosion fatigue data are practically indistinguishable in LPB treated system shows that for all practical purposes LPB process has negated the effects of corrosion in the corrosion fatigue process. Secondly, it is interesting to note that the HCF and corrosion fatigue performance of this group are statistically no worse than the unnotched baseline (LSG) material.

Figure 6 shows a summary of HCF and corrosion fatigue tests for a number of specimens with deeper EDM notches up to a depth of 0.040 in. (~1 mm). Here, it is evident that for both shot peened and LSG materials, even a 0.010 in. (0.25 mm) deep EDM notch greatly decreases the HCF and corrosion fatigue strength to a value between 25 and 40 ksi (~170 and 275 MPa). In contrast, the LPB treated specimens withstand even a 0.040 in. (~1 mm) deep EDM notch with a fatigue strength of at least 55 ksi (~ 380 MPa). Again, this HCF and corrosion fatigue performance for the LPB treated specimens are easily explained based on the residual stress distributions seen in Figure 2.

Fractography

Figure 7 shows optical fractographs of unnotched specimens fatigue tested in air. Figure 7a shows the fracture surface of a LSG specimen with multiple crack initiation sites, while Figures 7b and 7c show the surface of a shot peened and LPB treated specimens, respectively, with crack initiation sites. The general features of the fracture surface are typical of crack initiation followed by fatigue crack growth to failure. Figure 8 show SEM fractographs of a corrosion fatigue...
tested unnotched LSG specimen. Again, a single dominant initiation site leads to crack growth. The higher magnification SEM image in Figure 8b indicates the intergranular fracture mode typical of fatigue crack growth conditions under corrosion fatigue conditions. Figure 9 shows optical fractographs of corrosion fatigue tested specimens with EDM notches. Figures 9a through 9d show fractographs of a corrosion fatigue tested LPB specimen. Here, Figures 9a and b show a single crack initiation site, and Figures 9c and d show the gage side view near the crack origin and below the fracture surface. Evidence of crack initiation at a corrosion pit is evident in these SEM photographs. Figure 10 shows optical fractographs of EDM notched LPB treated specimens under corrosion fatigue conditions. Again the crack initiation and growth regions are quite evident in these fractographs.

Figure 8a: LSG + Salt unnotched, Smax = 120 ksi (825 MPa), Nf = 391,342 cycles – arrow indicates initiation site

Figure 8b: Same as 8a, but higher magnification

Figure 8: SEM fractographs of corrosion fatigue tested LSG specimens

Figure 9a: LPB + salt unnotched, Smax = 150 ksi (1,035 MPa), Nf = 316,613 cycles – arrow indicates initiation

Figure 9b: Same as 9a, but higher magnification

Figure 9c: Same region as 9a, gage surface near crack initiation site (arrow).

Figure 9d: Same as 9a, cracking on the gage surface just below the crack initiation site.
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**DISCUSSION**

The HCF and corrosion fatigue results presented in Figure 3-6 and the fractographic observations in Figures 7-10 are fully consistent with the residual stress results shown in Figure 2. For both LSG and shot peened specimens, the depth of compression is less than 0.010 in. (~ 0.25 mm) and correspondingly, the HCF and corrosion fatigue performance for both these conditions deteriorated to very low values. The depth of compression achieved in LPB treated specimens is > 0.040 in. (~ 1 mm), that correspondingly nearly equals the limit of tolerance of EDM notch for corrosion fatigue.

These results quite elegantly demonstrate the beneficial effect of surface compressive residual stresses on corrosion fatigue performance.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, low plasticity burnishing (LPB) treatment was studied on 17-4 PH Stainless steel (H1100) specimens, which were tested for corrosion fatigue performance in neutral salt solution environment. Effect of EDM notches to simulate FOD related initial damage conditions were studied. The results overwhelmingly indicate that LPB imparted highly beneficial compressive residual stresses on the surface, and further that LPB treated specimens could easily withstand erosion and/or FOD related damage up to a depth of about 0.040 in. (~ 1 mm) from the surface.
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