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Due to the increased use of laser and microwave ground-to-satellite communications the need for reliable optical turbulence information is growing. Optical turbulence information is important because it describes an atmospheric effect that can degrade the performance of electromagnetic systems and sensors, e.g., free-space optical and microwave communications and infrared imaging. A quantitative measure of the intensity of optical turbulence is the refractive index structure parameter, $C_n^2$. A critical analysis of selected past research on optical turbulence in diverse microclimate environments indicates that the magnitude of $C_n^2$ generally increases with increasing wavelength. This is because the overall contribution to $C_n^2$ due to moisture (i.e., humidity gradient) effects significantly increases with increasing wavelength. As an example, the values for near-millimeter wave $C_n^2$ can be larger by an order of magnitude or more than ones in the infrared, which are mainly dependent on temperature structure. Hence, this paper provides a brief review of temperature and humidity effects on microwave $C_n^2$, to include key computational algorithms and comprehensive reference citations. We anticipate that this work will be useful and informative to those interested in the design and performance of earth and space communication systems.
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1. Introduction

Optical turbulence is an atmospheric effect that acts on the propagation of light waves to distort electro-magnetic propagation paths and intensity. It is brought about by fluctuations in the refractive index in air, i.e., air density, which affects the speed at which light wave-fronts propagate. Atmospheric refractions of electro-magnetic energy can cause spatial and temporal (intensity) variations in transmitted signals (Chiba, 1971; Fried, 1967; Ishimaru, 1978; and Parry, 1981). In turn, these effects can significantly degrade (blur, shimmer, and distort) infrared images or increase transmission bit error rates in free-space laser and microwave communication systems. In an experiment to establish the first known optical communication link using lasers (from a mountaintop observatory) to a low earth orbiting satellite, Wilson et al. (1997) commented, “If left uncompensated (i.e., no adaptive beam forming or beam steering techniques applied) these [optical turbulence related] effects would cause fades and surges in the uplink signal, and result in high bit errors in the uplink communications data stream.” Similarly, for satellite communication systems at frequencies above 10 GHz, Vasseur (1999) commented that optical turbulence can bring about random fades and enhancements of received signals, which could impair the overall availability of the system and interfere with tracking and fade mitigation applications. In contrast, Vander Vorst et al. (1997) contended that the most significant effect on satellite communication links (at frequencies above 10 GHz) was tropospheric scintillation due to turbulence in clouds, in particular, that brought about by the entrainment process at the top of cumulus clouds, for example. Other signal degrading effects discussed in the paper by Vander Vorst et al. (1997) were those due to depolarization induced by rain and ice crystals and interference between space and terrestrial radio communication links sharing the same frequency bands.

Nevertheless, many research studies focusing on optical turbulence and its influences on electro-magnetic wave propagation in the atmosphere have highlighted measured and modeled estimates for the refractive index structure parameter, $Cn2$. As outlined in the following sections, $Cn2$ is a quantitative measure of the intensity of optical turbulence that can be derived for visible, infrared, millimeter, and radio wavelengths. However, it is generally agreed that path-integrated values of $Cn2$ are more useful than values of $Cn2$ at several discrete points (Kopeika, 1998). Calculation of the angle-of-arrival fluctuation variance, $\langle \sigma_A^2 \rangle$, and the log-intensity (or log-amplitude) variance of transmitted electromagnetic signals, $\langle \sigma_x^2 \rangle$, contain this type of information (Beland, 1993). Thus, improving future optical turbulence calculations will provide better estimates of $Cn2$ along more complex optical lines-of-site. This will result in better estimates of displacement, $\langle \sigma_A^2 \rangle$, and intensity fluctuations, $\langle \sigma_x^2 \rangle$, which are just two examples of the kinds improved work product that may
contribute important information on the performance of many electro-optical systems and sensors (Tunick, 2005).

2. Microwave $Cn2$ Data and Models

Values of visible and infrared wavelength $Cn2$ in the atmospheric surface layer near the ground have been generally observed to range from about $10^{-12}$ to $10^{-16}$ m$^{-2/3}$ (Kallistratova and Timanovskiy, 1971; Darizhapov et al., 1988). High values of visible or infrared $Cn2$, $10^{-12}$ m$^{-2/3}$ or greater, usually indicate a highly turbulent atmosphere and the potential for considerable visual blurring (e.g., the wavy lines one might encounter looking out over a hot paved road). At lower values of this $Cn2$, $10^{-16}$ to $10^{-15}$ m$^{-2/3}$, atmospheric optical turbulence might be considered negligible over shorter ($\leq 2$ km) optical paths although there could be other image-degrading effects due to aerosols, precipitation, fog, or smoke. In contrast, Tunick and Rachele (1991) found that model estimates of millimeter and radio wave $Cn2$ were equal to or greater than $10^{-11}$ m$^{-2/3}$ over wet and dry soils. They and others [see Tunick (2002) for a critical analysis of selected past research on optical turbulence in diverse microclimate environments] have suggested that the magnitude of $Cn2$ generally increases with increasing wavelength. This is because the overall contribution to $Cn2$ due to moisture (i.e., humidity gradient) effects significantly increases with increasing wavelength. As an example, Bohlander et al. (1985) commented that the values for near-millimeter wave $Cn2$ can be larger by an order of magnitude or more than ones in the infrared, which are mainly dependent on temperature structure. The data for microwave $Cn2$ reported by Medeiros Filho et al. (1988) appear to agree quite well with this rule. Medeiros Filho et al. (1988) derived values for microwave $Cn2$ from atmospheric temperature and humidity spectra information, which were collected on an instrumented mast above a 50 m building in an urban setting. Considering altitude scaling, i.e., $z^{-2/3}$ (nighttime) or $z^{-4/3}$ (daytime), their microwave $Cn2$ data (which were in the range $10^{-15}$ to $10^{-13}$ m$^{-2/3}$) were quite plausibly $10^3$ or more times larger than values for $Cn2$ that might have been calculated for visible or infrared wavelengths along that elevated path. Finally, Medeiros Filho et al. (1988) found that the average temperature, temperature-humidity cross-correlation, and humidity contributions to $Cn2$ (based on 17 daytime and nighttime cases) were 12%, 39%, and 49%, respectively. From this they concluded that (within the inertial sub-range) the main contribution to microwave $Cn2$ is atmospheric humidity (e.g., water vapor pressure) while, at the same time, the cross-correlation term has a considerable influence and therefore should not be neglected. [Note Wesely (1976) provided one of the best earlier papers to discuss the combined effect of temperature and humidity fluctuations on refractive index.]

Based on the structure function formulations given by Tatarski (1971), a useful expression for $Cn2$ can be written as,
\[ C_n^2 = b \varepsilon^{-1/3} K_H \left( \frac{\partial n}{\partial z} \right)^2, \]

where \( b \) is a constant, \( K_H \) is the exchange coefficient for turbulent heat diffusion, \( k \) is Karman's constant, \( \varepsilon \) is the turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rate, and \( \frac{\partial n}{\partial z} \) is the partial derivative of the index of refraction \( (n) \). A list of symbols and constants are given in the appendix. Equation 1 is assumed valid for \(| \bar{r} | \) in the inertial sub-range, where \(| \bar{r} | \) is a turbulent eddy length scale between the inner (viscous-dissipation) and outer (energy producing) turbulent scales (Tatarski, 1971; Ochs and Hill, 1985). Numerous atmospheric surface layer models of this type have been developed for estimating the refractive index structure parameter, \( Cn2 \), especially for visible, near-infrared, and infrared wavelengths (e.g., Wesely and Alcarez, 1973; Davidson et al., 1981; Kunkel and Walters, 1983; Andreas, 1988; Miller and Ricklin, 1990; Rachele and Tunick, 1994; de Bruin et al., 1995; Thiermann et al., 1995; Frederickson et al., 2000; and Tunick, 1998, 2003). In contrast, quite a few other authors have reported on measurements and model calculations of microwave refractivity and \( Cn2 \) in the boundary layer and troposphere (e.g., Cole et al., 1978; VanZandt et al., 1978; Burk, 1980; Morrissey et al., 1987; Medeiros Filho et al., 1988; d’Auria et al., 1993; and Hocking and Mu, 1997). Table 1 lists selected reports on microwave \( Cn2 \) data collected in diverse microclimates. Table 2 lists selected reports on computer models to derive microwave refractivity and \( Cn2 \) profile information in the boundary layer and troposphere. As an example, Burk (1980) shows modeled profiles for microwave \( Cn2 \), wherein the main influences on \( Cn2 \) are due to moisture (figure 1). Similarly, Hocking and Mu (1997) show measured profiles for microwave \( Cn2 \), wherein the effects on \( Cn2 \) due to moisture gradients are highlighted (figure 2).
Table 1. List of selected reports on microwave $Cn_2$ data in diverse microclimates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microclimate category / subcategory</th>
<th>Data summary</th>
<th>Lead Scientists / Laboratory, Agency, and University Affiliations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural – Agricultural, forests, rivers, and lakes</td>
<td>$C_n^2$, radio wave, FM-CW boundary layer profiles</td>
<td>Gossard et al., (1984), CIRES, Univ. of Colorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulder Atmospheric Observatory, Erie, CO</td>
<td>$C_n^2$, radio wave, derived from a 30GHz (1 cm) radio link, LOS 8.2 km @ 44-77 m a.g.l.</td>
<td>Herben and Kohsiek (1984), Eindhoven Univ. of Technology and KNMI, The Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meadows, grass-covered fields, and wooded areas, The Netherlands</td>
<td>$C_n^2$, microwave, 915 MHz turbulent eddy profiler; $C_n^2$, radio-wave, 2.7 GHz FM-CW boundary layer radar</td>
<td>Ince et al., (2000), Univ. of Massachusetts, Amherst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASES-99 field site, grass-covered fields and wooded areas, Central Kansas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban – City and residential buildings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above and in between city buildings, Central London</td>
<td>$C_n^2$, millimeter (110GHz); $C_n^2$, microwave (36 GHz), derived from log-amplitude fluctuation data, LOS 4.1 km @ 50 m a.g.l., on average</td>
<td>Cole et al., (1978), University College London, England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above and in between city buildings, Central London</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over barrier islands and along coastline, Chatham, MA</td>
<td>$C_n^2$, aircraft-mounted microwave refractometer, boundary layer optical turbulence data</td>
<td>Morrissey et al., (1987) Air Force Geophysics Laboratory, Hanscom, AFB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over the continental and coastal regions of the Asiatic Arctic, Siberia</td>
<td>Radio-wave refractive index gradient data derived from radiosonde water vapor and temperature profile data</td>
<td>Darizhapov et al., (1988) Academy of Sciences, USSR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern California coastal region, Point Magu, CA</td>
<td>Radio-wave refractive index profiles derived from (uv/visible) Lidar and radiosonde retrieved water vapor and temperature data</td>
<td>Blood et al., (1994), Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command (SPAWAR) Systems Center, San Diego, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern California coastal region, Point Magu, CA</td>
<td>Radio-wave refractive index profiles derived from Ground-Based High Resolution Interferometer Sounder- and radiosonde-retrieved water vapor and temperature data</td>
<td>Wash and Davidson (1994) Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command (SPAWAR) Systems Center, San Diego, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckland Park VHF radar facility, Southern Australia</td>
<td>$C_n^2$, radio wave, 54.1 MHz (VHF) Doppler radar and thermosonde data</td>
<td>Hocking and Mu, (1997), Univ. of Western Ontario, Canada Univ. of Adelaide, Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean – Tropical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East of Singapore, close to the equator, South China Sea</td>
<td>Radio-wave refractive index gradient data derived from radiosonde water vapor and temperature profile data</td>
<td>Ong and Ong (2000), Nanyang Technical Univ., Republic of Singapore</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. List of selected reports on computer models to derive microwave refractivity and $C_n^2$ profile information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Model summary</th>
<th>Lead Scientists / Laboratory, Agency, or University</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 km $&lt; h &lt; 15$ km a.g.l.</td>
<td>$C_n^2$ microwave; Radiosonde temperature, humidity, and wind speed data model; Includes formulations of Tatarski (1971) for the radio refractive index structure constant.</td>
<td>VanZandt et al., (1978) NOAA Aeronomy Laboratory, Boulder, CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 m $&lt; h &lt; 2000$ m a.g.l.</td>
<td>$C_n^2$ optical and microwave; Higher-order turbulence closure model; includes expressions for the temperature, and moisture structure parameters given by Wesley (1976).</td>
<td>Burk (1980) Naval Environmental Prediction Research Facility, Monterey, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 m $&lt; h &lt; 6000$ m a.g.l.</td>
<td>$C_n^2$ microwave; Model for ground-based clear-air FM-CW Doppler radars; determines velocity variance, t.k.e. dissipation rate, and wind shear.</td>
<td>Gossard et al., (1982) NOAA Wave Propagation Laboratory, Boulder, CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01 km $&lt; h &lt; 20$ km a.g.l.</td>
<td>$C_n^2$ optical, infrared, and microwave; $-2/3$, $-4/3$ power law profile expressions; additional empirical models based on tropospheric wind observations.</td>
<td>Good et al., (1988) Air Force Geophysical Laboratory, Hanscom AFB, MA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 m $&lt; h &lt; 4200$ m a.g.l.</td>
<td>$C_n^2$ microwave; Radiosonde temperature, humidity, and wind speed model; includes formulations of Tatarski (1971); includes algorithm to calculate turbulence due to intermittency.</td>
<td>d’Auria et al., (1993) University of Rome, Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 m $&lt; h &lt; 1200$ m a.g.l.</td>
<td>$C_n^2$ microwave; 4D refractivity field forecast model; temperature, wind speed, and humidity gradients derived from Navy hydrostatic mesoscale numerical model.</td>
<td>Burk and Thompson (1997) Naval Research Laboratory, Monterey, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 m $&lt; h &lt; 2000$ m a.g.l.</td>
<td>$C_n^2$ microwave; 3D time-dependent fields of turbulent refractivity calculated using a large eddy simulation (LES) model for the daytime boundary layer, convective case.</td>
<td>Gilbert et al., (1999) National Center for Physical Acoustics Univ. of Mississippi, MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 m $&lt; h &lt; 1200$ m a.g.l.</td>
<td>$C_n^2$ microwave; 4D refractivity field forecast model; Temperature, wind speed, and humidity gradients derived from the UK Meteorological Office, non-hydrostatic, mesoscale numerical model.</td>
<td>Atkinson et al., (2001) University of London, UK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Modeled profiles of microwave $Cn2$ over oceans (from Burk, 1980).

Figure 2. Measured profiles of microwave $Cn2$ and the humidity gradient over Adelaide, Australia, collected at the Buckland Park (54.1 MHz) Radar Facility. The vertical lines are centered at $\log_{10} Cn2 = -14.25$ (from Hocking and Mu, 1997).
The refractive index in air \((n)\) can be expressed in terms of air density (i.e., pressure, temperature, and water vapor content). The following equations are expressions for the real index of refraction in air as reported by Andreas (1988), who references Owens (1967), for visible and near-infrared regions. Andreas’ formulations, which are expressed in terms of air temperature, \(T\), and absolute humidity, \(Q\), alternatively can be given in terms of the conserved variables potential temperature \((\theta)\) and specific humidity \((q)\). Absolute humidity expressed as \(Q = \frac{100. e}{R_v T}\) where \(R_v = 461.50 \text{ } J \text{kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}\) is the gas constant for water vapor and vapor pressure, as shown in Hess (1979), given as \(e \approx 0.622Pq\), combine to yield the expression \(Q = 0.348 \frac{Pq}{T}\).

Within visible and near-infrared regions from 0.36 to 3 \(\mu\text{m}\) (as indicated by the subscript \(v\)), the real index of refraction in air can be written as,

\[
n_v = 1 + \left( M_1(\lambda) \frac{P}{T} + 1.61 \left( M_2(\lambda) - M_1(\lambda) \right) \frac{Pq}{T} \right) \times 10^{-6}, \tag{2}
\]

which are basically the first order terms of the refractivity (dispersion) and density formulas for dry air, water vapor, and carbon dioxide (Owens, 1967) as a function of wavelength \((\lambda)\), where

\[
M_1(\lambda) = 23.7134 + \frac{6839.397}{130 - \sigma^2} + \frac{45.473}{38.9 - \sigma^2}, \tag{3}
\]

and

\[
M_2(\lambda) = 64.8731 + 0.58058 \sigma^2 - 0.007115 \sigma^4 + 0.0008851 \sigma^6, \tag{4}
\]

where \(\sigma = \lambda^{-1}\) (wavelength\(^{-1}\)). Assuming steady state, homogeneous conditions, and considering the pressure partial derivative (in the surface layer) to be negligible, then taking the partial derivative of equation 2 yields,

\[
\frac{\partial n_v}{\partial z} = \left( M_1(\lambda) \frac{P}{T^2} + 1.61 \left( M_2(\lambda) - M_1(\lambda) \right) \frac{Pq}{T^2} \right) \times 10^{-6} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z}
+ 1.61 \left( M_2(\lambda) - M_1(\lambda) \right) \frac{P}{T} \times 10^{-6} \frac{\partial q}{\partial z} \tag{5}
\]
In the lower atmosphere ($z < 10$ km) the potential temperature ($\theta$) and moisture ($q$) partial derivatives $\partial\theta/\partial z$ and $\partial q/\partial z$, can be calculated from atmospheric data via instrumented radio-sondes (e.g., d’Auria et al., 1993; Vasseur, 1999). Similar expressions for the partial derivative of the refractive index can be derived for infrared (7.8 to 19 $\mu$m), near-millimeter (0.3 to 3 mm) and microwave (radio) wavelengths (reference Andreas, 1988), as had been shown, for example, in the paper given by Tunick and Rachele (1991).

For infrared (IR) wavelengths from 7.8 to 19 $\mu$m (as indicated by the subscript $i$) the real index of refraction in air can be written as described by Hill and Lawrence (1986) and Owens (1967), as

$$n_i = 1 + (n_v^d + n_i^w) \times 10^{-6} \quad (6)$$

where in the range –40 to +40 ºC,

$$n_i^w = Q \left[ \frac{957.9 - 928.\alpha^{0.4}(X - 1)}{1.03\alpha^{0.17} - 19.8X^2 + 8.2X^4 - 1.7X^8} + \frac{3.747 \times 10^6}{12499. - X^2} \right] \quad (7)$$

and

$$n_v^d = \left( M_i(\lambda) \frac{P}{T} - 4.615M_i(\lambda)Q \right) \quad (8)$$

where $X = \frac{10\mu m}{\lambda}$, and $\alpha = \frac{T}{273.15}$.

$$\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial z} = \left( -M_i(\lambda) \frac{P}{T^2} + 1.6095M_i(\lambda) \frac{Pq}{T^2} + 0.34875 \frac{Pq}{T^2}[A] - 0.34875[B] \frac{Pq}{T^2} \right) \times 10^{-6} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \quad (9)$$

$$+ \left( 0.34875[B] - 1.6095M_i(\lambda) \right) \frac{P}{T} \times 10^{-6} \frac{\partial q}{\partial z}$$

where

$$[A] = \left( -\frac{1.359\alpha^{0.6}(X - 1)}{1.03\alpha^{0.17} - 19.8X^2 + 8.2X^4 - 1.7X^8} + \frac{0.5949\alpha^{0.43}(X - 1)}{1.03\alpha^{0.17} - 19.8X^2 + 8.2X^4 - 1.7X^8} \right) \quad (10)$$

and

$$[B] = \left[ \frac{957.9 - 928.\alpha^{0.4}(X - 1)}{1.03\alpha^{0.17} - 19.8X^2 + 8.2X^4 - 1.7X^8} + \frac{3.747 \times 10^6}{12499. - X^2} \right] \quad (11)$$
For the radio region (wavelengths greater than 3 mm) as indicated by the subscript $r$, Andreas (1988) provides the following expression for the refractive index, i.e.,

$$n_r = 1 + \left( n_{rd} + n_{rw} \right) \times 10^{-6},$$

(12)

where, from Hill et al. (1982) and Boudouris (1963),

$$n_{rd} = 77.6 \frac{(P - e)}{T},$$

(13)

and

$$n_{rw} = 72.0 \frac{e}{T} + 0.375 \times 10^6 \frac{e}{T^2},$$

(14)

where $e$ (vapor pressure) = 4.615 $QT$. Since, $Q = 0.34875 \frac{Pq}{T}$ (for $P$ in millibars) then $e = 1.6096 Pq$.

Substituting this expression for vapor pressure ($e$) into equations 13 and 14 yields,

$$n_{rd} = 77.6 \frac{P}{T} - 1.249 \times 10^2 \frac{Pq}{T},$$

(15)

and

$$n_{rw} = 1.159 \times 10^2 \frac{Pq}{T} + 6.0356 \times 10^5 \frac{Pq}{T^2}.$$

(16)

Now, from equations 12, 15, and 16 we obtain

$$\frac{\partial n_r}{\partial z} = \left( -77.6 \frac{P}{T^2} + 9.0 \frac{Pq}{T^2} - 1.2071 \times 10^6 \frac{Pq}{T^3} \right) \times 10^{-6} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z}$$

\[ + \left( -9.0 \frac{P}{T} + 6.0356 \times 10^5 \frac{Pq}{T^2} \right) \times 10^{-6} \frac{\partial q}{\partial z}. \]

(17)

For the near-millimeter region (wavelengths from 0.3 to 3 mm) as indicated by the subscript $m$, Andreas (1988) provides the following expression for the refractive index, i.e.,

$$n_m = 1 + \left( n_{rd} + n_{rw} + n_{mw1} + n_{mw2} \right) \times 10^{-6}$$

(18)

where $n_{rd}$ and $n_{rw}$ are given above, $n_{mw1}$ is due to vapor resonances at wavelengths < 0.3 mm, and $n_{mw2}$ is due to water-vapor resonances at wavelengths > 0.3 mm. According to Andreas (1988), Hill (1988) evaluated the $n_{mw1}$ and $n_{mw2}$ terms, and although the $n_{mw2}$ term requires a line-by-line summation of the resonances and consequently does not have a single analytical form, he did produce an approximation for $n_{mw1}$, i.e.,
\[ n_{mw1} = Q \sum_{j=1}^{4} \alpha_j \left( \frac{296}{T} \right)^{a_j} \left[ 1 - B_j \left( \frac{296}{T} \right) \right] 0.303/\lambda)^{2j}, \]  

(19)

where \( \alpha_j, a_j, \) and \( B_j \) are given in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( j )</th>
<th>( \alpha_j )</th>
<th>( a_j )</th>
<th>( B_j )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.388221 \times 10^3</td>
<td>1.650</td>
<td>0.1993324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.2135129 \times 10^3</td>
<td>0.1619430</td>
<td>3.353494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.1485997 \times 10^3</td>
<td>0.1782352</td>
<td>3.100942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.1088790 \times 10^3</td>
<td>0.1918662</td>
<td>3.004944</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. The coefficients in equation 19.

For the sake of an analytic solution, Andreas (1988) does not consider the effect of \( n_{mw2} \). We follow his lead in our formulation. As such the approximation should be accurate to \( \pm 10\% \) in the window regions \( 0.31 \text{–} 0.34 \text{ mm} \) (880 – 970 GHz), \( 0.42 \text{–} 0.44 \text{ mm} \) (680 – 720 GHz), and \( 0.83 \text{–} 3.0 \text{ mm} \) (100 – 360 GHz).

Rewriting equation 19 in terms of specific humidity \( (q) \) gives,

\[ n_{mw1} = 0.34875 \frac{Pq}{T} \sum \left( \right). \]  

(20)

The contribution of equation 20 to \( \frac{\partial n}{\partial z} \) is

\[ \frac{\partial n}{\partial z} = -0.34875 \frac{Pq}{T^2} \sum \left( \right) \times 10^{-6} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z} + 0.34875 \frac{Pq}{T} \sum \left( \right) \times 10^{-6} \frac{\partial q}{\partial z}. \]  

(21)

Finally, combining equations 18 and 21 yields,

\[ \frac{\partial n_r}{\partial z} = \left( -77.6 \frac{P}{T^2} + 9.0 \frac{Pq}{T^2} - 1.2071 \times 10^6 \frac{Pq}{T^3} - 0.34875 \frac{Pq}{T^2} \sum \left( \right) \right) \times 10^{-6} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z} \]

\[ + \left( -9.0 \frac{P}{T} + 6.0356 \times 10^5 \frac{P}{T^2} + 0.34875 \frac{Pq}{T} \sum \left( \right) \right) \times 10^{-6} \frac{\partial q}{\partial z}. \]  

(22)
4. Summary and Conclusions

Optical turbulence is important because it can significantly degrade the performance of electromagnetic systems and sensors, such as laser and microwave ground to satellite communications and infrared imaging. For example, changes in the refractive index of air along the transmission path can influence the temporal intensities of microwaves causing signal fades and surges. Changes in the refractive index or air can also cause wave-fronts to distort and change direction from their original path. This may lead to a significant increase in bit-error rates for communication downlinks or lead to system unavailability. While an earlier paper (Tunick, 2002) mainly described data and models associated with optical sensors, e.g., those aligned horizontally over various paths close to the ground, this paper focused instead on data and models for microwave $Cn2$ through the boundary layer and troposphere. We have highlighted the importance of humidity effects on microwave $Cn2$ and have presented a comprehensive reference list for selected past research on this topic of interest. We anticipate that our report will be of interest to scientists and engineers concerned with the design and performance of earth and space communication systems.
Literature Cited


Hill, R. J.; and Lawrence, R. S. Refractive Index of Water Vapor in Infrared Windows. *Infrared Physics* 1986, 26, 371–376.


INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.
# Appendix – List of Symbols and Constants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Name or Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a_j$</td>
<td>Coefficient in equation 19 given in table 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Obukhov-Corrsin constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_j$</td>
<td>Coefficient in equation 19 given in table 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Cn^2$ or $C_n^2$</td>
<td>Refractive index structure parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>Water vapor pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_H$</td>
<td>Turbulent eddy exchange coefficient for heat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>Line of sight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_1(\lambda)$</td>
<td>Constant in equations 2 and 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_2(\lambda)$</td>
<td>Constant in equations 2 and 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>Index of refraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_i$</td>
<td>Index of refraction (infrared wavelengths)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_w^i$</td>
<td>Refractivity due to water vapor (infrared wavelengths)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_m$</td>
<td>Index of refraction (near-millimeter wavelengths)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_{mw1}$</td>
<td>Refractivity due to water-vapor resonances at wavelengths &lt; 0.3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_{mw2}$</td>
<td>Refractivity due to water-vapor resonances at wavelengths &gt; 0.3 mm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_r$</td>
<td>Index of refraction (radio wavelengths)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_{rd}$</td>
<td>Contribution from dry air to the instantaneous refractivity (radio wavelengths)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_{rw}$</td>
<td>Refractivity due to water vapor (radio wavelengths)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_v$</td>
<td>Index of refraction (visible wavelengths)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_v^d$</td>
<td>Contribution from dry air to the instantaneous refractivity (visible wavelengths)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Atmospheric pressure in millibars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>Specific humidity in kg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Absolute humidity in kg/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[ |r| \] Turbulent eddy length scale between the inner (viscous-dissipation) and outer (energy producing) turbulent scales

\[ R_v \] Gas constant for water vapor

\[ T \] Air temperature in Kelvin

\[ X \] Scaled wavelength

\[ Z \] Height in meters above ground

\[ \alpha \] Scaled temperature

\[ \alpha_j \] Coefficient in equation 19 given in table 3.

\[ \varepsilon \] Energy dissipation rate

\[ \lambda \] Wavelength in \( \mu m \)

\[ \theta \] Potential temperature

\[ \sigma \] \[
\frac{1}{\text{wavelength}}
\]

\[ \langle \sigma_A^2 \rangle \] Angle of arrival fluctuation variance

\[ \langle \sigma_x^2 \rangle \] Log-intensity (long-amplitude) variance of transmitted electromagnetic signals

\[ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \] Vertical gradient of potential temperature

\[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial z} \] Vertical gradient of specific humidity

\[ [A], [B] \] Placement variables in equation 9

\[ \frac{\partial n}{\partial z} \] Vertical gradient of the index of refraction

\[ \frac{\partial n_i}{\partial z} \] Vertical gradient of the index of refraction (infrared wavelengths)

\[ \frac{\partial n_m}{\partial z} \] Vertical gradient of the index of refraction (near-millimeter wavelengths)
$$\frac{\partial n_r}{\partial z}$$  Vertical gradient of the index of refraction (radio wavelengths)

$$\frac{\partial n_v}{\partial z}$$  Vertical gradient of the index of refraction (visible wavelengths)
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