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Counter-Threat Technologies Investments
Mission-Enabling Technologies Investments
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Conclusion
Recommendations
Identify the science and technology in which the U.S. naval forces should consider investing to counter predicted threats and to enhance the effectiveness of U.S. naval forces in the period 2015 to 2020 while undertaking Littoral Operations.

*Sponsor:* Deputy Chief of Naval Operations
(Warfare Requirements and Programs) N6/7

*Duration:* Five Months
This study initially sought to identify the threat implications for three specific areas of the world; however, the issues appear to be universal because of the proliferation of weapons and systems that could threaten U.S. naval forces.

Although the geographic features of the three areas differ, the military implications for all areas appear to be similar.
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• Continued asymmetric opposition to U.S. interests
  – Non-state actors
  – Nation states
  – Military actions
  – Against U.S. critical infrastructure
  – Against U.S. civilian population

• Continued dilution of U.S. S&T base
  – Foreign students outnumber Americans in advanced engineering and science curricula
  – Technical education losing to business, arts
  – Government laboratory positions less attractive
  – Foreign investment in technical education accelerating
• Globalization eroding U.S. technical dominance
• Impending oil availability crisis
  – U.S. dependence on Middle East oil
  – Near-term Chinese demand for oil
  – Mid-term EU, Indian demand for oil
  – Changing situation in Venezuela
• Increasing U.S. dependence on foreign technology
• Worldwide access to advanced technology through foreign and U.S. sales and espionage
• Technological surprise is probable!
This study makes no attempt to define the future or to draw possible scenarios for what the world will look like in 2015-2020. However, certain trends are obvious:

- Nuclear, chemical, bio weapons continue to proliferate
- Terrorism continues
- Increasing violence and political influence by non-state actors
- Proliferation of primitive (but effective) as well as modern weapons/systems
  - Improvised explosive devices
  - Man-portable air-defense missiles
  - Sea mines
  - Surface-to-surface missiles
Military Implications of the World of 2020 for S&T/2

• Growing foreign economic power and changing politics
  – Rapidly changing demographics
  – Major emphasis on advanced S&T education
  – Advanced weapon development and sales

• Development of significant regional military powers
  – Blue water navies: China, India
  – Regional navies: Iran
Navy-Marine Corps Missions in 2020

Many missions are similar to the Cold War era
BUT with significantly different emphasis

↑ Provide seaborne missile defense
↑ Provide seaborne support for operations against terrorism (including homeland defense)
↑ Protect U.S.-Allied maritime areas of interest (inc. SLOCs)
↑ Project military power (presence/rescue/peacekeeping/strike/assault)
→ Threaten military forces of potential enemies (especially their WMD capabilities)
↓ Deter nuclear attacks (Trident SSBNs)
Threats Impacting Navy-Marine Corps Missions/1

- Increased availability of long-range weapons against naval-maritime formations
  - Ballistic missiles with terminal guidance
  - High-speed, sea-skimming cruise missiles
  - EM Guns
- Proliferation of nuclear, chemical, biological weapons
- Proliferation of inexpensive delivery systems and weapons, including
  - Air (UAVs, mini-UAVs)
  - Surface (USVs)
  - Underwater (UUVs, mines, mini-submarines, SDVs)
  - Land mines, IEDs, and other low-tech systems
  - MANPADS, laser devices, and other high-tech systems
Threats Impacting Navy-Marine Corps Missions/2

• Proliferation of advanced submarine technologies
  and concepts of operation
  – Propulsion
  – Sensors
  – Stealth
  – Weapons
• Proliferation of capabilities for sophisticated information warfare
• Increase in vulnerabilities of U.S. logistics
  – Pipeline
  – Overseas procurement of goods and services
Threats Impacting Navy-Marine Corps Missions/3

- Near-continuous surveillance of U.S. land and sea forces by opposing military and commercial satellites, “cheap” UAVs, and other means
- “Network centricity” creates vulnerabilities for U.S. forces
  - Interruption/jamming
  - Effective EMCON impossible
  - Information overload
  - Over-dependence on reachback
- Loss of low-observable effectiveness
- Reliance on GPS makes it a major target
Increasing Anti-Access Capabilities

Technological Advances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>China/India/Iran</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

ASCM ➔

Mines ➔

Submarines ➔

Source: Deep Red/OPNAV N2
### Technology Traceability to Missions and Threats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threats Impacting Missions</th>
<th>Mission-Enabling Technologies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Missions</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide Seaborne Missile Defense</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide Seaborne Support for GWOT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protect Maritime Areas of Interest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Military Power</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threaten Adversary Military Forces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deter Nuclear Attacks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Counter-Threat Technologies</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Acoustic Systems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrimination &amp; Clutter Rejection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Target Generation &amp; Deception</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign S&amp;T Awareness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal Methods for VVA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS Alternative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS Deep-Fade Technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Assurance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overseas Supply Chain Surety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overarching Issues</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal mechanism for assessing U.S. vulnerabilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundamental understanding of COTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term program to develop S&amp;T workforce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved coordination of R&amp;D programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirements-linked, long-range planning process for S&amp;T investment strategy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-range S&amp;T review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Technology Traceability to Navy Marine-Corps Missions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Missions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide Seaborne Missile Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide Seaborne Support for GWOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protect Maritime Areas of Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Military Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threaten Adversary Military Forces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deter Nuclear Attacks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Technology Traceability to Missions Indexed by Threats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threats Impacting Missions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Submarines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous Surveillance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Adversaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS as Common Point of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulnerability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inexpensive Delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Warfare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistics Pipeline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulnerability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of Low Observable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR Delivery Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net-centricity Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMD Proliferation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Missions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide Seaborne Missile Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protect Maritime Areas of Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Military Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threaten Adversary Military Forces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deter Nuclear Attacks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Provide Seaborne Support for GWOT
- Protect Maritime Areas of Interest
- Project Military Power
- Threaten Adversary Military Forces
- Deter Nuclear Attacks
# Technology Traceability to Counter-Threat Technologies

**Threats Impacting Missions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Missions</th>
<th>Provide Seaborne Missile Defense</th>
<th>Provide Seaborne Support for GWOT</th>
<th>Protect Maritime Areas of Interest</th>
<th>Project Military Power</th>
<th>Threaten Adversary Military Forces</th>
<th>Deter Nuclear Attacks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Threaten Adversary Military Forces</td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protect Maritime Areas of Interest</td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide Seaborne Support for GWOT</td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Military Power</td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide Seaborne Missile Defense</td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Counter-Threat Technologies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Threaten Adversary Military Forces</td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protect Maritime Areas of Interest</td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide Seaborne Support for GWOT</td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Military Power</td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide Seaborne Missile Defense</td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
<td><img src="marker" alt="Marker" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Technology Traceability to Mission-Enabling Technologies

### Threats Impacting Missions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threats Impacting Missions</th>
<th>Mission-Enabling Technologies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide Seaborne Missile Defense</td>
<td>Advanced AAW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide Seaborne Support for GWOT</td>
<td>Coordinated, Multimode ASW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protect Maritime Areas of Interest</td>
<td>Effective C2 in EMCON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Military Power</td>
<td>Environmental Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threaten Adversary Military Forces</td>
<td>Lower-Cost Platforms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deter Nuclear Attacks</td>
<td>Offensive Mine Warfare</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Missions

- Provide Seaborne Missile Defense
- Provide Seaborne Support for GWOT
- Protect Maritime Areas of Interest
- Project Military Power
- Threaten Adversary Military Forces
- Deter Nuclear Attacks

### Counter-Threat Technologies

- Active Acoustic Systems
- Discrimination & Clutter Rejection
- False Target Generation & Deception
- Foreign S&T Awareness
- Formal Methods for VVA
- GPS Alternative
- GPS Deep-Fade Technology
- Information Assurance
- Overseas Supply Chain Surety

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counter-Threat Technologies</th>
<th>Mission-Enabling Technologies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advanced AAW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coordinated, Multimode ASW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Effective C2 in EMCON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower-Cost Platforms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Offensive Mine Warfare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pattern Recognition &amp; Anomaly Detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Robust Offensive Information Warfare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upstream Information Fusion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Technology Traceability to Missions and Threats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threats Impacting Missions</th>
<th>Mission-Enabling Technologies</th>
<th>Overarching Issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Submarines</td>
<td>Advanced AAW</td>
<td>Formal mechanism for assessing U.S. vulnerabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous Surveillance by Potential Adversaries</td>
<td>Antenna Technology</td>
<td>Fundamental understanding of COTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS as Common Point of Vulnerability</td>
<td>Coordinated, Multimode ASW</td>
<td>Long-term program to develop S&amp;T workforce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inexpensive Delivery Systems</td>
<td>Effective C2 in EMCON</td>
<td>Improved coordination of R&amp;D programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Warfare</td>
<td>Environmental Sciences</td>
<td>Requirements-linked, long-range planning process for S&amp;T investment strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportioning</td>
<td>Lower-Cost Platforms</td>
<td>Long-range S&amp;T review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistics Pipeline</td>
<td>Offensive Mine Warfare</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulnerability</td>
<td>Pattern Recognition &amp; Anomaly Detection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of Low Observable Effectiveness</td>
<td>Robust Offensive Information Warfare</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR Delivery Systems</td>
<td>Upstream Information Fusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net-centricity Vulnerability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMD Proliferation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Missions</th>
<th>Counter-Threat Technologies</th>
<th>Overarching Issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide Seaborne Missile Defense</td>
<td>Active Acoustic Systems</td>
<td>Formal mechanism for assessing U.S. vulnerabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide Seaborne Support for GWOT</td>
<td>Discrimination &amp; Clutter Rejection</td>
<td>Fundamental understanding of COTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protect Maritime Areas of Interest</td>
<td>False Target Generation &amp; Deception</td>
<td>Long-term program to develop S&amp;T workforce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Military Power</td>
<td>Foreign S&amp;T Awareness</td>
<td>Improved coordination of R&amp;D programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threaten Adversary Military Forces</td>
<td>Formal Methods for VVA</td>
<td>Requirements-linked, long-range planning process for S&amp;T investment strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deter Nuclear Attacks</td>
<td>GPS Alternative</td>
<td>Long-range S&amp;T review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GPS Deep-Fade Technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Information Assurance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overseas Supply Chain Surety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings/1

Counter-Threat Technologies Investments

- **Tactical/Operational**
  - Active acoustic systems
  - Discrimination and clutter rejection
  - False target generation for deception
  - GPS deep-fade technology
  - GPS alternative

- **Logistics**
  - Security for overseas supply chain

- **Capabilities/Systems Development**
  - Foreign S&T awareness
  - Formal, automated methods for Verification, Validation, and Accreditation
  - Information assurance
Mission-Enabling Technologies Investments

- Tactical/Operational
  - Advanced AAW
  - Coordinated, multimode ASW
  - Effective $C^2$ in EMCON
  - Offensive mine warfare
  - Pattern recognition and anomaly detection
  - Robust offensive information warfare
  - Upstream information fusion

- Capabilities/Systems development
  - Antenna technology
  - Environmental sciences (specific areas)
  - Low-cost platforms technologies
Findings/3

Overarching Issues Requirements

- Formal mechanism for assessing U.S. vulnerabilities
- Fundamental understanding of COTS
  - Business models
  - Technology drivers
  - Standards
  - Internal structure, functionality, vulnerabilities
- Long-term program to develop S&T workforce
- Improved coordination of R&D programs
- Requirements-linked, long-range planning process for S&T investment strategy
- NRAC long-range S&T review should be a continuing responsibility
The **bottom line** is that

While the Navy has a productive S&T program today....

The rapidly changing threat and the rate of world technological development demands change in the Navy-Marine Corps investment strategy for S&T over the next 15 years to insure that the naval services can continue to effectively carry out their missions.
Failure to change the investment strategy for Navy-Marine Corps S&T will make technological surprise on the battlefield likely...and success in executing naval missions will be problematic.
• Develop Long-Term S&T Planning Process

The ASN(RDA) should direct that his staff, the Chief of Naval Research, and appropriate OPNAV codes develop a long-term S&T planning process to help guide the future of Navy-Marine Corps S&T investment. Such a process should address (1) probable missions, (2) related systems capabilities, (3) related platform type requirements, and (4) related S&T requirements for required capabilities.

Further, it is proposed that the study sponsor, N6/7, recommend that this ongoing effort be made responsible to the Department of the Navy’s S&T Corporate Board.*

*Note: The S&T Corporate Board consists of the Vice Chief of Naval Operations, the Assistant Commandant of the Marine Corps, and Assistant Secretary of the Navy (RDA), with the Chief of Naval Research as board secretary.
• Develop Long-Term S&T Workforce Plan

The ASN(RDA) should determine the extent to which the NRAC report of 2002 has been implemented and remains valid with respect to the civilian S&T workforce. And, in collaboration with the Commander Naval Education and Training Command, the Chief of Naval Personnel, the appropriate Navy and Marine Corps systems commands, determine those actions to be recommended for retaining uniformed personnel in the S&T workforce.
• Accelerate Lower-Cost Platform Technologies

The ASN(RDA) should determine in conjunction with the Naval Sea Systems Command the means to initiate a comprehensive analysis based on the above cited concepts to review the above cited concepts for ships design and their implementation to date, and insure that they are adopted to the maximum extent practical in the "next" Navy ship design. In particular, the extended service lives of contemporary warships demands that high priority be given to transition to these concepts prior to initiation of the next major surface ship or submarine design.
• Assess and Mitigate Long-Term COTS Vulnerabilities

The ASN(RDA) should form a joint task force representing the Office of Naval Research and the appropriate systems commands that would be empowered to develop a business model for assessing the potential vulnerabilities of COTS insertion into naval systems. Such an assessment should address technology drivers, standards, internal structure, functionality, and supportability. Develop a program to enable mitigation of potential vulnerabilities.
## Technology Traceability to Missions and Threats

### Threats Impacting Missions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threats</th>
<th>Advanced Submarines</th>
<th>Continuous Surveillance by Potential Adversaries</th>
<th>GPS as Common Point of Vulnerability</th>
<th>Inexpensive Delivery Systems</th>
<th>Information Warfare</th>
<th>Proliferation</th>
<th>Logistics Pipeline</th>
<th>Vulnerability</th>
<th>Loss of Low Observable Effectiveness</th>
<th>LR Delivery Systems</th>
<th>Net-centricity Vulnerability</th>
<th>WMD Proliferation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Mission-Enabling Technologies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technologies</th>
<th>Advanced AAW</th>
<th>Antenna Technology</th>
<th>Coordinated, Multimode ASW</th>
<th>Effective C2 in EMCON</th>
<th>Environmental Sciences</th>
<th>Lower-Cost Platforms</th>
<th>Offensive Mine Warfare</th>
<th>Pattern Recognition &amp; Anomaly Detection</th>
<th>Robust Offensive Information Warfare</th>
<th>Information Assurance</th>
<th>Long-term program to develop S&amp;T workforce</th>
<th>Improved coordination of R&amp;D programs</th>
<th>Requirements-linked, long-range planning process for S&amp;T investment strategy</th>
<th>Overseas Supply Chain Surety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Overarching Issues

- Formal mechanism for assessing U.S. vulnerabilities
- Fundamental understanding of COTS
- Long-term program to develop S&T workforce
- Improved coordination of R&D programs
- Requirements-linked, long-range planning process for S&T investment strategy
- Long-range S&T review