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INTRODUCTION

Estrogen regulates diverse biological processes through estrogen receptors (ERα and ERβ) (1). Receptor levels and dynamics have a profound influence on target tissue responsiveness and sensitivity to estrogen, and receptor turnover rates provide estrogen target cells with the capacity for rapid regulation of receptor levels and thus dynamic hormone responses (2-5). Furthermore, several experimental results have recently demonstrated that receptor degradation is a key component of the response of cancer cells, including breast cancer cells, to antiestrogen therapy (6, 7). In advanced stage breast cancers, estrogen receptor expression and activity can be lost or impaired, and the tumors are often resistant to endocrine therapies, such as the steroidal antiestrogens, ICI 182,780 and ICI 164,384 (6, 7). Our findings during the funding period have raised the intriguing possibility for a role of ubiquitin and ubiquitin-like pathways, including the NEDD8 pathway, in ERα ubiquitination and degradation and suggest that disruptions in such pathways may contribute to the development of antiestrogen-resistance in human breast cancer. The overall hypothesis that ubiquitin protein modification pathways repress estrogen action by facilitating degradation of ER protein was tested. Our experimental results suggest that perturbation of this pathway may prove instrumental in breast tumor progression; alternatively, activation of ubiquitin protein modification pathways may prove to be valid targets for novel therapeutics.
Task 1 was to determine the effect of Uba3 on breast cancer cell proliferation. We attempted to generate breast cancer cell lines stably expressing During the past year, we attempted to use an inducible promoter to control expression levels of the dominant negative Uba3 (C216S), a mutant that we had used previously to block the NEDD8 pathway (8). These efforts were unsuccessful, as blocking this pathway in MCF7 breast cancer cells turned out to be lethal. We conclude that the NEDD8 is essential for cell survival. Task one has been completed.

The second task of the project was to determine the molecular mechanisms of ERα corepression by the NEDD8 pathway. During the funding period, we generated point mutations within the nuclear receptor interacting motifs (the NR boxes) of Uba3 and then proposed to examine direct interactions of the mutant proteins with ER. Constructs were made and sequenced. However, we were unable to express proteins from the new constructs, for reasons that are unclear at this time. We speculate that perhaps the mutations made the protein unstable. Nonetheless, although mostly negative results were obtained, Task 2 has been completed.

Task 3 was to determine if ERα and ERα function is modified by APP-BP1 and Ubc12 and an NEDD8 target protein. As described in previous annual reports, task 3 has been completed, and we have continued to perform further investigations into the roles of ubiquitin-like pathway NEDD8 in the responses to estradiol and antiestrogens (deemed a logical extension of the SOW and within the scope of the fundamental questions underlying the SOW). Thus, we continued to investigate the role of the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway in ERα-mediated transcriptional responses in breast cancer cells.

Abnormal expression of ERα has long been associated with both the initiation and progression of breast cancer (10). An increase in the number of ERα-positive cells, as well as increased individual cell ERα content, have frequently been detected in malignant breast tumors (11). Furthermore, increased ERα content has been shown to augment the magnitude of estrogen-stimulated gene expression, providing a growth advantage to breast cancer cells (2, 8, 9,12). Collectively, these observations indicate that alterations in ERα degradation pathways may contribute to deregulation of ERα, perhaps leading to enhanced estrogen action in breast tumors.

We and others have clearly shown degradation of unliganded ERα is mediated by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, regulation of this pathway, at the molecular level, remains unclear. One potential mechanism involves CHIP, the carboxyl terminus of Hsc70-interacting protein, previously shown to target Hsp90 interacting proteins for ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation. We investigated a role for CHIP in degradation of unliganded ERα (13; manuscript attached). In HeLa cells transfected with ERα and CHIP, ERα is downregulated through a ubiquitination dependent pathway, while ERα-mediated gene transcription decreased (Fig. 1 and Fig 2A). In contrast, siRNA inhibition of CHIP expression resulted in increased ERα accumulation and reporter gene transactivation (Fig 1B and Fig 2B). Transfection of mutant CHIP constructs demonstrated that both the U-box (containing ubiquitin ligase activity) and the tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR, essential for chaperone binding) CHIP domains are required for CHIP-mediated ERα downregulation (Fig 3).

In addition, coimmunoprecipitation assays demonstrated that ERα and CHIP associate through the CHIP TPR domain (Fig 3). In ERα-positive breast cancer MCF7 cells, CHIP overexpression resulted in decreased levels of endogenous ERα protein and attenuation of ERα-mediated gene expression (Figs 4-6). Furthermore, ERα-CHIP interaction was induced by the Hsp90 inhibitor geldanamycin (GA), resulting in enhanced ER-alpha degradation; this GA effect was further enhanced by CHIP overexpression, but was abolished by CHIP-siRNA (Figs 7 & 8). Finally, ERα dissociation from CHIP by various ERα ligands, including estradiol, tamoxifen, and ICI 182,780 interrupted CHIP-mediated ERα degradation (Fig 9). These results demonstrate a role for CHIP in both basal and GA-induced ERα degradation. Furthermore, based on our observations that CHIP promotes ERα degradation and attenuates receptor-mediated gene transcription, we suggest that CHIP, by modulating ERα stability, contributes to the regulation of functional receptor levels, and thus hormone responsiveness, in estrogen target cells (summarized in Fig 11). Thus, based on our results, the chaperone/CHIP pathway, by
regulating ERα, levels, likely contributes to the development/progression of breast cancer. We believe that such a possible role for CHIP in breast cancer merits further examination.

In addition, during the funding period, we contributed to collaborative projects on the regulation of ER target genes in breast cancer, resulting in a co-authored publication (14; manuscript in appendix).

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Demonstrated that CHIP promotes ERα degradation and attenuates receptor-mediated gene transcription.
- Provided evidence to suggest that CHIP, by modulating ERα stability, contributes to the regulation of functional receptor levels, and thus hormone responsiveness, in estrogen target cells.
- Provided evidence to suggest the chaperone/CHIP pathway, by regulating ERα, levels, may contribute to the development/progression of breast cancer.

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES
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CONCLUSIONS

The antiestrogen ICI 182,780 is a drug is used as a second-line endocrine agent in patients who have developed tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer. Despite its potent antitumor effects, the drug does not circumvent the development of antiestrogen resistance (15-17). Moreover, the fact that most tumors acquiring ICI 182,780 resistance do so while retaining expression of ERα and estrogen responsiveness (18-20), suggests that administration of the antiestrogen may possibly lead to the selection of tumor cells defective in ERα down-regulation pathway(s), which in turn may confer a proliferative advantage in either the presence or absence of estrogens. In this context, mechanism underlying persistent expression of ERα in tumors with acquired resistance, such as disruptions in the NEDD8, CHIP or other ubiquitin or ubiquitin-associated/protein receptor degradation pathways, may thus present an important therapeutic target for future drug intervention.

For the "so what section" (evaluates the knowledge as a scientific or medical product to also be included in the conclusion of this report), the loss of ERα degradation pathway(s) may provide a mechanism by which breast cancer cells acquire antiestrogen resistance while retaining expression of ERα. Pathways that utilize the ubiquitin-proteasome system could serve as a therapeutic targets for breast cancer.
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ABSTRACT

In estrogen target cells, estrogen receptor-alpha (ERα) protein levels are strictly regulated. Although receptor turnover is a continuous process, dynamic fluctuations in receptor levels, mediated primarily by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, occur in response to changing cellular conditions. In the absence of ligand, ERα is sequestered within a stable chaperone protein complex consisting of heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90) and co-chaperones. However, the molecular mechanism(s) regulating ERα stability and turnover remain undefined. One potential mechanism involves CHIP, the carboxyl terminus of Hsc70-interacting protein, previously shown to target Hsp90 interacting proteins for ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation. In the present study, a role for CHIP in ERα protein degradation was investigated. In ER-negative HeLa cells transfected with ERα and CHIP, ERα proteasomal degradation increased, while ERα-mediated gene transcription decreased. In contrast, CHIP depletion by siRNA resulted in increased ERα accumulation and reporter gene transactivation. Transfection of mutant CHIP constructs demonstrated that both the U-box (containing ubiquitin ligase activity) and the tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR, essential for chaperone binding) domains within CHIP are required for CHIP-mediated ERα downregulation. In addition, coimmunoprecipitation assays demonstrated that ERα and CHIP associate through the CHIP TPR domain. In ERα-positive breast cancer MCF7 cells, CHIP overexpression resulted in decreased levels of endogenous ERα protein and attenuation of ERα-mediated gene expression. Furthermore, the ERα-CHIP interaction was stimulated by the Hsp90 inhibitor geldanamycin (GA), resulting in enhanced ERα degradation; this GA effect was further augmented by CHIP overexpression, but was abolished by CHIP depletion. Finally, ERα dissociation from CHIP by various ERα ligands,
including E2, OHT, and ICI, interrupted CHIP-mediated ERα degradation. These results demonstrate a role for CHIP in both basal and GA-induced ERα degradation. Furthermore, based on our observations that CHIP promotes ERα degradation and attenuates receptor-mediated gene transcription, we suggest that CHIP, by modulating ERα stability, contributes to the regulation of functional receptor levels, and thus hormone responsiveness, in estrogen target cells.
INTRODUCTION

The primary mediators of 17β-estradiol (E2) action, the major female sex steroid hormone, are the estrogen receptors ERα and ERβ. These receptors function as ligand-activated transcription factors, regulating expression of genes coordinating most physiological and many pathophysiological processes in estrogen target tissues (1). Tissue sensitivity, and the overall magnitude of response to E2 and other estrogens, is strongly influenced by a combination of factors, including cellular levels of ERα and its various coactivators and corepressors (2, 3).

To strictly control cellular responses, the cellular synthesis and turnover of the ERα protein dynamically fluctuates with changing cellular environments (4). For example, in the absence of ligand, ERα is a short-lived protein (half-life of 4-5 hours) and undergoes constant degradation (5). In the presence of ligand, by contrast, the turnover rate of ERα can be increased or decreased, depending upon the ligand, thus modulating receptor protein levels. Turnover-inducing factors and conditions include the cognate ligand E2, pure antiestrogens (ICI 164,384, ICI 182,780, RU 58,668), Hsp90 inhibitors (geldanamycin and radicicol), ATP depletion (oligomycin and hypoxia) and aryl hydrocarbon agonists; these all induce degradation and rapid downregulation of ERα levels (6-12). In contrast, the partial agonist/antagonist 4-hydroxytamoxifen (OHT), thyroid hormone, and protein kinase K activators (Forskolin, 8-bromo-cAMP) all block receptor degradation, subsequently increasing ERα protein levels (13-15).

Although both basal and ligand-induced ERα degradation are mediated by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway (12, 13, 16-21), regulation of this pathway, at the molecular level, remains unclear. Emerging evidence suggests that multiple ERα degradation pathways exist, and the engagement of one pathway over another depends on the nature of the stimulus (19, 21-23). For example, E2-induced receptor degradation is coupled with transcription and requires new protein
synthesis (17, 19, 22, 24); conversely, neither ERα transcriptional activity nor new protein synthesis are needed for ICI 182,780-induced ERα degradation (19, 20, 22). In addition, various stimuli induce distinct changes in the conformation and cellular compartmentalization of ERα (22, 25-27), and these may be associated with receptor ubiquitination.

Like other members of the steroid receptor superfamily, unliganded ERα, by associating with various Hsp90-based chaperone complexes, is maintained in a ligand-binding competent conformation (28). Although these associations do not influence ERα ligand-binding affinity, Hsp90 chaperone complexes appear to regulate ERα stability, as Hsp90 disruption induces rapid ERα degradation through the ubiquitin proteasome pathway (9, 28, 29). For regulation of such complexes, recent studies have identified the carboxyl terminus of Hsc70-interacting protein (CHIP) as a ubiquitin ligase that directs chaperone substrates for ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation (30, 31). CHIP interacts with Hsp/Hsc70 and Hsp90 through an amino-terminal tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR) domain and catalyzes ubiquitin conjugation through a carboxyl-terminal U-box domain (30). As recent observations demonstrate that CHIP targets a number of Hsp70/90-associated proteins for ubiquitination and degradation, including the glucocorticoid receptor, androgen receptor, Smad1/4, and ErbB2 (30-33), we investigated a regulatory role for CHIP in ERα stability. Our results demonstrate that CHIP, likely through a chaperone intermediate, associates with ERα and consequently facilitates both basal and geldanamycin-induced receptor degradation in human cancer cells.
RESULTS

CHIP overexpression decreases and CHIP knockdown increases ERα protein levels

To investigate the effect of CHIP overexpression on steady state levels of ERα, ER-negative HeLa cells were cotransfected with constructs expressing CHIP (pcDNA-His6-CHIP) and ERα (pSG5-ERα). ERα protein levels were subsequently determined by immunoblot analysis. Overexpression of CHIP decreased ERα protein levels in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1A). To control for transfection efficiency, the green fluorescence protein (GFP) was also included in transfection. No effect of CHIP on GFP expression level was observed (Fig. 1A), demonstrating that CHIP-induced downregulation of ERα was specific. Next, we examined whether CHIP-induced ERα downregulation could be inhibited by CHIP-specific siRNA. Compared to cells transfected with CHIP only, cotransfection of pBS/U6/CHIPi, a CHIP-siRNA expression construct (33), dramatically decreased the level of exogenous CHIP (Fig. 1B, upper panel). However, pBS/U6/CHIPi had no effect on GFP level, confirming that the CHIP-siRNA specifically blocks CHIP expression (Fig 1B). The effect of CHIP-siRNA on CHIP-induced ERα downregulation was then examined. As shown in Fig. 1B (lower panel), cotransfection of CHIP-siRNA, in a dose-dependent fashion, attenuated ERα downregulation induced by exogenous CHIP. Collectively, these results demonstrate that CHIP overexpression can downregulate ERα protein level in HeLa cells.

To examine a role for endogenous CHIP in regulation of ERα protein levels, HeLa cells, which are known to express CHIP (30), were cotransfected with pBS/U6/CHIPi and ERα. Expression of CHIP-siRNA decreased the level of endogenous CHIP by 60%, and correspondingly increased ERα protein level by 1.6 fold (Fig. 1C), indicating that endogenous CHIP plays a role in controlling ERα level in HeLa cells.
CHIP down-regulates ERα levels through the ubiquitin proteasome pathway

To determine whether proteasome activity is required for CHIP-induced ERα downregulation, HeLa cells were cotransfected with pcDNA-His6-CHIP and pSG5-ERα, treated with the protease inhibitor MG132, and subjected to immunoblotting. As shown in Fig. 2A, 6-hr treatment with MG132 completely blocked CHIP-induced downregulation of ERα. To examine whether polyubiquitination is required for CHIP-induced ERα degradation, a mutant ubiquitin, UbK0, with all lysines replaced by arginines (34), was utilized. Previously, we showed that the UbK0 protein could efficiently block E2-induced ERα degradation (35). Expression of UbK0, but not wild-type ubiquitin, restored ERα protein levels (Fig. 2B), demonstrating that CHIP stimulates ERα degradation through the ubiquitin and proteasome pathway.

CHIP targets mature ERα for degradation

It has been proposed that CHIP functions as a general ubiquitin ligase, responsible for ubiquitinating unfolded or misfolded proteins in a chaperone-dependent process (31). To examine whether ERα downregulation by CHIP was due to the selective ubiquitination of unfolded or misfolded receptor protein, we examined the effect of OHT, a selective estrogen receptor modulator, on CHIP-mediated ERα degradation. It has been shown that OHT can dissociate ERα from its chaperone complex and protect the receptor from both basal turnover and degradation induced by Hsp90-binding agents (8, 13, 21). We reasoned that if CHIP selectively targets immature or misfolded ERα (with no functional OHT-binding pocket), then, in the presence of CHIP, OHT treatment should not restore ERα levels. On the other hand, if CHIP targets mature ERα, OHT treatment should rescue the receptor protein from CHIP-induced
degradation. HeLa cells were thus cotransfected with pcDNA-His6-CHIP and pSG5-ERα and treated with OHT for 6 h prior to lysate preparation. OHT treatment completely abolished CHIP-induced ERα downregulation (Fig. 2A), but had no effect on protein levels of CHIP and GFP, excluding the possibility that OHT treatment affects protein degradation in general. These results demonstrate that CHIP induces degradation of correctly folded, ligand-binding competent ERα.

Both the TPR and U-box domains are essential for CHIP-induced ERα downregulation

To examine whether the ubiquitin ligase activity and chaperone interaction domain are required for CHIP-induced ERα degradation, two mutant CHIP constructs were utilized: 1) CHIP(K30A), a TPR domain mutant unable to interact with Hsp/Hsc70 or Hsp90; and 2) CHIP(H260Q), a U-box domain mutant unable to catalyze protein ubiquitin conjugation (36). In contrast to wild-type CHIP, neither CHIP(K30A) nor CHIP(H260Q) overexpression decreased ERα protein levels (Fig. 3A). These results establish that both the chaperone interaction and ubiquitin ligase activity of CHIP are required for CHIP-targeted degradation of ERα protein.

The TPR domain of CHIP is required for the CHIP-ERα interaction

As CHIP appears to be linked to ERα degradation, we investigated whether CHIP associates with the receptor. HeLa cells were cotransfected with ERα and CHIP, and coimmunoprecipitation analysis performed using an ERα-specific antibody. The results revealed a complex containing both CHIP and ERα (Fig. 3B). Because CHIP(K30A) exhibited no effect on ERα turnover (Fig. 3A), we examined whether the TPR domain is required for the CHIP-ERα interaction. In HeLa cells cotransfected with ERα and CHIP(K30A), the CHIP mutant was
not detected in the precipitated ERα complex (Fig. 3B), demonstrating a requirement for the TPR domain in the CHIP-ERα interaction. Because it is known that CHIP interacts with Hsp90 or Hsc/Hsp70 through the TPR domain (30), our results suggest that a chaperone intermediate is involved in CHIP-induced ERα degradation.

CHIP interacts with endogenous ERα, in breast cancer cells, to induce receptor ubiquitination and degradation

Having demonstrated a role for CHIP (possibly in association with chaperones) in degradation of exogenous ERα in HeLa cells, it was of interest to examine the effect of CHIP on stability and function of endogenous ERα in breast cancer cells. In human breast cancer MCF7 cells, overexpression of CHIP resulted in a dose-dependent ERα downregulation (Fig. 4A). Coimmunoprecipitation analysis of MCF7 cells transfected with pcDNA-His6-CHIP revealed both CHIP and ERα in the immunocomplexes precipitated by either an ERα-specific or anti-His6 antibody (Fig. 4B), suggesting that CHIP associates with endogenous ERα. In addition, both Hsc70 and Hsp90 were detected in the precipitated ERα complex (Fig. 4B). These results indicate that CHIP can associate with endogenous ERα-Hsp90/Hsc70 complexes to downregulate ERα level in breast cancer cells.

To determine whether CHIP promotes polyubiquitination of endogenous ERα, we examined the ubiquitination status of ERα in MCF7 cells transfected with hemagglutinin-tagged ubiquitin (HA-Ub), plus a vector control (pcDNA) or a CHIP-expressing construct. To block proteasomal degradation of polyubiquitinated proteins, transfected cells were treated with MG132 for 6 h prior to lysate preparation. An ERα-specific antibody was then used for
immunoprecipitation, and the presence of ubiquitinated ERα in the immunocomplex was detected by immunoblotting with an HA antibody. To assess overall levels of protein ubiquitination, whole cell lysates were immunoblotted using an HA antibody. The polyubiquitinated ERα exhibited a typical high molecular weight smear on the blot membrane, and overexpression of CHIP markedly increased smear intensity, suggesting elevated receptor polyubiquitination (Fig. 4C, left panel). In contrast, CHIP had no effect on overall protein ubiquitination (Fig. 4C, right panel).

A possible limitation of in vivo ubiquitination assays is that the immunocomplex may contain multiple polyubquitinated species, not just the target protein of interest. In order to corroborate the observation that CHIP promotes ERα ubiquitination, we examined the effect of CHIP on ERα-ubiquitination in MCF7 cells transfected with UbK0. This mutant ubiquitin competes with endogenous ubiquitin and terminates ubiquitin chains, resulting in the accumulation of oligoubiquitin-ERα conjugates, which upon immunoblotting with ERα antibody can be detected as mobility-shifted bands. In MCF7 cells transfected with wild-type ubiquitin, overexpression of CHIP had no effect on the intensity of ERα-ubiquitination (Fig. 4D, left panel), presumably due to the rapid degradation of polyubiquitinated ERα. However, in cells transfected with UbK0, overexpression of CHIP remarkably increased the amount of oligoubiquitinated ERα (Fig. 4D, right panel), confirming that overexpression of CHIP promotes ERα ubiquitination. Together, these results suggest that CHIP, by facilitating receptor ubiquitination, targets endogenous ERα for proteasome-mediated degradation.

Knockdown of endogenous CHIP by siRNA increases ERα level in MCF7 cells
The above experiments showed that overexpression of CHIP promotes ERα polyubiquitination and degradation in breast cancer cells. Conversely, we wanted to examine whether knockdown of endogenous CHIP protein by CHIP-siRNA could increase endogenous ERα level. Transfection of MCF7 cells with pBS/U6/CHIPi decreased the level of endogenous CHIP by 60% (Fig. 4E, upper panel) and increased the level of ERα level by 1.5 fold (Fig. 4E, lower panel), indicating that endogenous CHIP plays a role in basal turnover of ERα in breast cancer cells.

CHIP downregulates ERα-mediated gene expression

Having established a role for CHIP in ERα ubiquitination and receptor turnover, we next examined the effect of CHIP on ERα-mediated gene transactivation. HeLa cells were transiently transfected with ERα and an estrogen responsive reporter (ERE-pS2-Luc), plus various CHIP (CHIP, H260Q, K30A, CHIP-siRNA) or control (pcDNA) constructs. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were treated for 6 h with vehicle (DMSO) or E2 (10 nM) and luciferase activity then measured. In a parallel experiment, a constitutive reporter (SV40-Luc) was used to monitor transcription efficiency, as well as any general effects of the various CHIP constructs might have on luciferase expression. The ERE-pS2-Luc activities were then normalized to the corresponding SV40-Luc activities. Expression of wild type CHIP decreased (P<0.05) E2-induced ERE-pS2-Luc expression, while the CHIP mutants had no effect on ERα-mediated gene transactivation (Fig. 5A). Conversely, depletion of endogenous CHIP by siRNA increased both basal and E2-induced ERE-pS2-Luc expression ((P<0.01, Fig. 5B). Similarly, in MCF7 cells, overexpression of CHIP, but not U-box or TPR mutant, attenuated ERα-mediated reporter gene expression (Fig. 6A), while knockdown of endogenous CHIP by siRNA augmented ERα-
mediated reporter gene expression (Fig. 6B). To examine the effect of knocking down CHIP on
the expression of an endogenous ERα target gene, MCF7 cells were transfected with CHIP-
siRNA, and pS2 mRNA levels were examined. As shown in Fig. 6C, both basal and E2-induced
expression of pS2 mRNA were significantly increased. Together, these results demonstrate that
CHIP coordinately regulates ERα protein levels and ERα-mediated gene transactivation.

Geldanamycin induces ERα degradation through a CHIP-dependent mechanism

The Hsp90 inhibitor, geldanamycin (GA), binds to the amino-terminal ATP/ADP-binding
domain of Hsp90, “locking” this chaperone protein in its ADP-bound conformation (9, 29, 37).
CHIP has been reported to play a role in GA-induced degradation of ErbB2, a Hsp90 client
protein (36, 38), and recent studies have shown that GA stimulates ERα degradation through the
ubiquitin-proteasome pathway (9, 29, 37). Whether CHIP plays a role in GA-induced ERα
degradation has not been previously investigated. Thus, we examined the effects of CHIP
overexpression and depletion on GA-induced ERα degradation. In HeLa cells transfected with
ERα, GA treatment resulted in a time-dependent ERα downregulation (Fig. 7A); this effect was
enhanced by CHIP overexpression (Fig. 7A). Conversely, CHIP depletion by siRNA completely
abolished GA-induced ERα downregulation (Fig. 7A).

To investigate the effect of GA on the CHIP-ERα interaction, HeLa cells were
transfected with ERα and CHIP, and coimmunoprecipitation was performed with an ERα-specific
antibody. The amount of CHIP in the precipitated ERα complex increased following a 1-hr GA
treatment (Fig. 7B), suggesting that GA promotes ERα degradation by recruiting CHIP to the
chaperone-ERα complex. Because CHIP can associate with ubiquitinated proteins through its
U-box domain (31), ERα ubiquitination may play a role in the GA-induced ERα-CHIP
interaction. We thus examined the interaction between ERα and CHIP in the presence of the proteasome inhibitor MG132. We reasoned that if CHIP preferentially interacts with ubiquitinated ERα, then MG132, by enhancing the accumulation of polyubiquitinated ERα, would increase the ERα-CHIP interaction. However, MG132 treatment did not increase the amount of CHIP precipitated with the ERα complex (Fig. 7B), suggesting that the GA-induced ERα-CHIP interaction occurs prior to ERα polyubiquitination.

To establish a role for CHIP in GA-induced ERα degradation under physiologically relevant conditions, the consequence of knocking down endogenous CHIP by siRNA on ERα degradation was examined in MCF7 cells. GA induced rapid ERα downregulation in MCF7 cells transfected with a pcDNA control plasmid (Fig. 8A), consistent with previous reports (9, 29). However, expression of CHIP-siRNA significantly impaired GA-induced ERα downregulation (Fig. 8A). In addition, we performed a coimmunoprecipitation analysis to examine the effect of GA treatment on the association between endogenous CHIP and ERα. As shown in Fig. 8B, GA treatment increased the amount of CHIP that coimmunoprecipitated with ERα. Based on these results, we suggest that GA induces ERα degradation by enhancing the recruitment of CHIP to ERα-chaperone complexes.

Effects of ligand binding on geldanamycin-induced ERα degradation

Ligand binding results in disassembly of the ERα-Hsp90 chaperone complex, due to competition for overlapping binding sites and conformational changes within the ERα protein (28). Since GA stimulated the CHIP-ERα interaction (Fig. 7B and 8B), we investigated whether ligand binding, by interrupting the CHIP-ERα interaction, could interfere with GA-induced ERα
degradation. Towards this, ERα protein levels were examined in MCF7 cells: 1) exposed to E2, ICI 182,780 (ICI) or GA alone; 2) pretreated with vehicle, E2, OHT or ICI for 30 min, followed by a 6-h treatment with GA; and 3) pretreated with vehicle or GA for 30 min, followed by a 5.5-hr treatment with E2, OHT or ICI. As expected, E2, ICI and GA treatment, but not OHT, dramatically downregulated ERα levels in MCF7 cells (Fig. 9A, upper panel). Exposure to E2 or OHT, either prior to (Fig. 9A, middle panel) or shortly after (Fig. 9A, lower panel) GA treatment, completely abolished GA-induced ERα degradation. In contrast to what was observed with E2 and OHT, ICI exposure, neither prior to (Fig. 9A, middle panel) nor shortly after (Fig. 9A, lower panel) GA treatment, failed to protect ERα against degradation.

To examine the effect of these ligands on the CHIP-ERα interaction, coimmunoprecipitation analysis was performed on MCF7 cells transfected with CHIP. Cells were pretreated with GA for 30 min, followed by a 30-min treatment with E2, OHT, or ICI. GA treatment alone increased the amount of CHIP detected in the precipitated ERα complex; however, this amount was substantially reduced by treatment with E2, OHT, or ICI (Fig. 9B). These results demonstrate that all three ligands can interfere with the interaction between CHIP and ERα. Because these ligands have dramatically different effects on ERα stability, our results indicate that after dissociation from the Hsp90 chaperone complex, distinct downstream pathways exist for ERα degradation. Because E2 alone can induce ERα degradation through a transcription coupled mechanism (17, 19, 22, 24), it was somewhat unexpected to observe that ERα was stable during the combined treatment of GA and E2 (Fig. 9A). One explanation is that Hsp90 activity (inhibited by GA) is required for transcription-coupled ERα degradation. The OHT-ERα complex lacks transcriptional activity in MCF7 cells and thus is not a substrate for the transcription-coupled degradation pathway. Consequently, the ability of OHT to block GA-
induced ERα degradation is likely due to disruption of the CHIP-ERα interaction (Fig. 9B). ICI also interrupts the GA-induced CHIP-ERα interaction (Fig. 9B) but fails to stabilize ERα (Fig. 9A), suggesting that the ERα-ICI complex is targeted for degradation through a CHIP-independent, GA-insensitive pathway.

**Effect of CHIP and geldanamycin on ERα cellular localization**

CHIP and Hsp90 are located primarily in the cytoplasm (30), while ERα is primarily a nuclear-localized protein (39). To determine whether CHIP overexpression, or GA treatment, could affect the cellular distribution of ERα, HeLa cells were transfected with a GFP-ERα fusion protein (40) and the cellular distribution of green fluorescence was examined. In control cells, fluorescence was restricted to the nuclei (Fig. 10A, top left panel). CHIP coexpression or GA treatment did not affect the nuclear localization of GFP-ERα (Fig. 10A). In contrast, ICI treatment, either alone or in the presence of transfected CHIP, resulted in the appearance of green fluorescence in the cytoplasm (Fig. 10A, bottom two panels). This observation is consistent with a previous study by Dauvois et al. showing that ICI induces cytoplasmic retention of ERα (7). In addition, in HeLa cells transfected with GFP-ERα only, treatment with GA resulted in the appearance of GFP foci in the nuclei of approximately 20% of transfected cells (Fig. 10A, left middle panel). These GFP foci were not observed in GA-treated cells cotransfected with CHIP (Fig. 10A, right middle panel). While the identity of the GFP foci is unknown, one possibility is that these represent aggregated GFP-ERα, resulting from the combined effect of Hsp90 inhibition and high expression levels of GFP-ERα. CHIP overexpression may promote both basal and GA-induced ERα degradation, preventing GFP-ERα aggregate formation. Consistent with this interpretation, we found that expression of CHIP
decreased the number of GFP-ERα-expressing cells (Fig. 10B). Based on our results, and a recent finding that a small fraction of nuclear-localized CHIP can promote nuclear protein degradation (41), we suggest that CHIP-mediated ERα degradation occurs within the nucleus.

335 **DISCUSSION**

The cellular level of ERα determines both estrogen sensitivity and responsiveness (2, 35, 42). Steady state levels of ERα protein are tightly regulated through a rapid balance between receptor synthesis and turnover, according to changing cellular conditions (4). Although it has been well documented that ERα degradation is primarily mediated by the ubiquitin proteasome pathway, the molecular mechanism(s) by which cells regulate ERα stability are largely unknown. Here we report that the Hsc70/Hsp90-interacting protein CHIP plays a key role in both basal and Hsp90 inhibitor-induced ERα turnover. Furthermore, CHIP-induced receptor degradation occurs through the ubiquitin proteasome pathway. Overexpression of CHIP promotes ERα degradation, accompanied by a decrease in ERα-mediated gene transactivation. Conversely, inhibition of CHIP by siRNA increases ERα levels and upregulates ERα-mediated gene transactivation. Thus, this is the first report that CHIP, by modulating the cellular concentration of ERα, plays a role in regulating estrogen action.

During the preparation of this report, Tateishi and colleagues reported a similar finding, that CHIP plays a role in basal ERα turnover (43). Our findings agree with several conclusions from that study, including: 1) CHIP, through its TPR domain, associates with ERα-chaperone complexes; 2) CHIP promotes, through its TPR and U-box domains, both polyubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of unliganded ERα; 3) CHIP-mediated ERα degradation occurs in the
nucleus; and 4) ligand binding blocks CHIP-mediated ER\(\alpha\) degradation by disrupting CHIP-ER\(\alpha\) interaction. Here, we further extend the study of Tateishi et al. (43) in two significant aspects: 1) CHIP is required for Hsp90 inhibitor-induced ER\(\alpha\) degradation; and 2) CHIP targets “functional” ER\(\alpha\) (correctly folded, ligand-binding competent receptor protein) for degradation (43).

Several lines of evidence from our study support the conclusion that CHIP targets functional ER\(\alpha\) for degradation. First, OHT treatment completely blocked CHIP-induced ER\(\alpha\) degradation, suggesting that ER\(\alpha\) reaches a correctly folded conformation, competent for ligand binding, prior to CHIP-directed degradation. Secondly, CHIP overexpression downregulated ER\(\alpha\) levels and decreased ER\(\alpha\)-mediated gene expression, while CHIP depletion by siRNA upregulated ER\(\alpha\) levels and increased ER\(\alpha\)-mediated gene transcription. This coordinate regulation of ER\(\alpha\) levels and activity suggests that CHIP targets functional ER\(\alpha\) for degradation. Thirdly, CHIP plays a role in GA-induced ER\(\alpha\) degradation by primarily targeting Hsp90-associated, transcriptionally competent ER\(\alpha\) (29). Although originally believed to function as a general ubiquitin ligase, responsible for ubiquitinating unfolded or misfolded proteins in a chaperone-dependent process (31), more recent studies have demonstrated that CHIP also targets mature Hsp90 client proteins for degradation (33, 36).

Tateishi et al. (44) observed that CHIP overexpression increased ER\(\alpha\) transcriptional activity. Although this was not observed in our study, the use of different estrogen-response element (ERE) and control reporter constructs for the functional analyses of ER\(\alpha\) could account for this discrepancy. In the present study, an estrogen-responsive reporter construct (ERE-pS2-Luc), possessing two ERE copies within the pS2 promoter (44), was used. Our previous study demonstrated a close correlation between ERE-pS2-Luc expression and cellular concentration of
ERα (35). In the present study, we also utilized a constitutively active construct, SV40-Luc, to monitor and normalize the effects of both CHIP and CHIP-siRNA on transfection efficiency and luciferase expression. In the study by Tateishi et al., pRSVP3Gal was used as an internal control (44). When we used a similar construct, CMVβGal, we found that overexpression of either wild-type CHIP or TPR mutant (K30A), but not U-box mutant (H260Q), dramatically decreased CMVβGal expression in a dose-dependent manner (data not shown). Based on these observations, we suggest that βGal is not a suitable control reporter for studying the effect of CHIP on gene transcription.

Our results, with data from Tateishi et al. (44), suggest a role for the Hsp90 chaperone complex in the regulation of cellular ERα levels. A summary of distinct ERα degradation pathways is depicted in Fig. 11. Nascent ERα is translocated into nucleus, and by associating with Hsp90, receptor protein is maintained in a ligand-binding competent conformation, ready for subsequent activation (28). In the absence of ligand or other activation signals, CHIP constantly targets chaperone-associated ERα for degradation, thereby limiting cellular concentrations of receptor protein. Ligand binding disassembles the ERα-Hsp90 complex and thus protects ERα from CHIP-mediated degradation. However, depending on the ligand, ERα stability can vary considerably, suggesting that different downstream destructive pathways exist. Furthermore, the ERα-ligand interaction could play a definitive role in pathway utilization. For example, when activated by E2, ERα is degraded through a transcription-coupled mechanism (17, 19, 22, 24). Pretreatment with GA, however, abolished E2-induced ERα degradation (Fig. 9A), suggesting that Hsp90 activity is required for transcription-coupled ERα degradation. In support of this possibility, the Hsp90-p23 complex has been shown to play a role in disassembling the nuclear receptor transcriptional complex from chromatin, a process believed to
be a prerequisite for degradation of activated transcription factors (45-47). Conversely, through
an unknown mechanism, the nuclear ERα-ICI complex is immobilized to the nuclear matrix and
undoes rapid degradation, in association with cytoplasmic retention of aggregated nascent
ERα (7, 8, 22, 27, 40, 48). While it not clear how intracellular localization influences receptor
degradation, the unique distribution pattern of ERα after treatment with ICI-182,780, together
with the fact that ICI-induced receptor degradation is independent of ERα transcription activity,
support the possibility that the pure antiestrogen and E2 utilize distinct degradation pathways for
ERα. Taken together with our previous observation that an intact NEDD8 conjugation pathway
is essential for ICI-induced ERα degradation in breast cancer cells (49), we suggest that
destruction of the ICI-liganded receptor requires a cullin-based ubiquitin ligase.

Abnormal expression of ERα has long been associated with both the initiation and
progression of breast cancer (50). An increase in the number of ERα-positive cells, as well as
increased individual cell ERα content, have frequently been detected in malignant breast tumors
(42). Furthermore, increased ERα content has been shown to augment the magnitude of
estrogen-stimulated gene expression, providing a growth advantage to breast cancer cells (2, 35,
49, 51). A recent study demonstrated a correlation between the loss of ERK7, a regulator of
estrogen-induced ERα degradation, and breast cancer progression (52). Collectively, these
observations indicate that alterations in ERα degradation pathways may contribute to
deregulation of ERα, perhaps leading to enhanced estrogen action in breast tumors. Based on our
results, the chaperone/CHIP pathway, by regulating ERα levels, likely contributes to the
development/progression of that disease; and such a possible role merits further examination.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

The following antibodies and reagents were used in this study: anti-ERα (HC20) and anti-β-tubulin (SC9104) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA); anti-HA tag (3F10; Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN); anti-ERα (Ab-10) and anti-GFP (GFP01) (NeoMarkers, Inc., Fremont, CA); anti-GAPDH (Chemicon International, Inc., Temecula, CA); anti-CHIP (PAI-015, Affinity Bioreagents, Golden, CO); anti-Hsp90 (SPA-830) and anti-Hsc70 (SPA-816) (Stressgene, Victoria, BC, Canada); anti-His6 (8906-1, BD Biosciences, Palo, Alto, CA); protein G-agarose beads (Oncogene Research Products, San Diego, CA); horseradish peroxidase-conjugated second antibodies and SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Pierce, Rockford, IL); protein assay kit (Bio-Rad laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA); protease inhibitor cocktail set III (Calbiochem-Novabiochem Corporation, San Diego, CA); LipofectAMINE Plus Reagent (Life Technologies, Inc., Logan, UT); FuGENE (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN); 17β-estradiol, 4-hydroxytamoxifen, geldanamycin and MG132 (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO); ICI 182,780 (Tocris Cookson Ltd., Ellisville, MO); Passive lysis buffer and luciferase assay system (Promega Corp., Madison, WI); fetal bovine serum (FBS) and dextran-coated charcoal-stripped FBS (csFBS) (Hyclone laboratories, Inc., Logan, Utah); cell culture supplementary reagents (Life Technologies, Inc.; Rockville, MD).

Plasmid Construction

The construction of pSG5-ERα(HEGO), ERE2-pS2-Luc, SV40-Luc, pcDNA-HA-Ub, pCS2-UbK0 and CMV-GFP have all been described previously (35). The pcDNA-His6-CHIP,
pcDNA-His6-CHIP(K30A), and pcDNA-CHIP(H260Q) constructs were kindly provided by Dr. Neckers and Cam Patterson (36), the pBS/U6/CHIPi construct by Dr. Chang (33), and the GFP-ERα construct by Dr. Stenoien (40).

Cell Lines and Transient Transfection

The human cervical carcinoma cell line HeLa and the breast cancer cell line MCF-7 were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA). HeLa cells were maintained in minimum essential medium (MEM) with 2 mM L-glutamine, 1.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate, 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids, 1.0 mM sodium pyruvate, 50 units/ml penicillin, 50 μg/ml streptomycin, and 10% FBS. MCF7 cells were maintained in the same medium, with the addition of 6 ng/ml insulin. Prior to experiments, cells were cultured in hormone-free medium (phenol red free MEM with 3% dextran-coated charcoal-stripped FBS (csFBS)) for 3 days. For transfection, cells (80% confluence) were transfected with an equal amount of total plasmid DNA (adjusted with the corresponding empty vectors) by using LipofectAMINE Plus Reagent or FuGENE according to the manufacturer’s guidelines.

Immunoblotting, Immunoprecipitation and Luciferase Assay

For immunoblot analysis, whole cell extracts were prepared by suspending cells (~2 x 10⁶) in 0.1 ml SDS lysis buffer (62 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, and protease inhibitor cocktail III). After 15 min incubation on ice, extracts were sonicated (3 x 20 sec), insoluble material removed by centrifugation (15 min at 12,000 x g), and supernatant protein concentration determined using a BioRad protein assay kit. Five percent β-mercaptoethanol was added to the protein extracts before heating at 90 °C for 5 min. Protein extracts (50 μg per lane) were
fractionated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes, and probed with antibodies. Primary antibody was detected by horseradish peroxidase-conjugated second antibody and visualized using an enhanced SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate. The band density of exposed films was evaluated with ImageJ software (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/). Immunoprecipitation was performed as described previously (49). For luciferase assays, cell lysates were prepared with passive lysis buffer and luciferase activity determined using the Luciferase Assay System.

CHIP siRNA construct

The pBS/U6/CHIPi construct was kindly provided by Dr. Zhijie Chang (33). The siRNA expressed by the pBS/U6/CHIPi construct starts with GGG (position 233 to 251 bp relative to the ATG start site in the CHIP cDNA).

Quantitative Real Time PCR (Q-PCR)

Total RNA was prepared by a RNAeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), according to the manufacturer's protocol. RNA (2 µg) was reverse-transcribed in a total volume of 40 µl containing 400 units M-MLV (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA), 400 ng random hexamers (Promega), 80 units RNase Inhibitor and 1 mM dNTPs. The resulting cDNA was used in subsequent Q-PCR reactions, performed in 1x iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) with 5 pmol forward and reverse primers as previously described (35).
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. CHIP overexpression decreases and CHIP knockdown increases ERα protein levels.

A. Overexpression of CHIP downregulates ERα protein levels. HeLa cells were transfected with 250 ng pSG5-ERα, 100 ng CMV-GFP, and various amounts (0, 50, 100 and 250 ng) of pcDNA-his6-CHIP. B. Expression of CHIP-siRNA attenuates CHIP-induced ERα downregulation. In the upper panel, HeLa cells were transfected with 250 ng pcDNA-his6-CHIP, with or without 250 ng pBS/U6/CHIPi, as indicated. In the lower panel, HeLa cells were transfected with 250 ng pSG5-ERα, 250 ng pcDNA-his6-CHIP, and various doses (150, 300, 500, and 1000 ng) of pBS/U6/CHIPi. C. Knockdown of endogenous CHIP increases ERα level. HeLa cells were transfected with 250 ng pSG5-ERα and either 250 ng pcDNA-his6-CHIP or 250 ng pBS/U6/CHIPi, as indicated. For all experiments, 3 x 10⁵ HeLa cells were plated in 60-mm dishes, cultured in hormone-free medium for 3 days, and then transfected with LipofectAMINE Plus Reagent. Cell lysates were prepared 24 hr after transfection. Protein levels were determined by immunoblotting with specific antibodies. Exogenous His6-CHIP and endogenous CHIP were detected by anti-His6 and anti-CHIP, respectively. GFP and GAPDH were used as transfection control and SDS-PAGE loading controls, respectively. Representative results of two independent experiments, each performed in duplicate, are shown.

Fig. 2. The proteasome inhibitor MG132, partial ERα-antagonist OHT, and ubiquitin mutant UbK0, all block CHIP-induced ERα degradation.

A. The proteasome inhibitor MG132 and the partial ERα antagonist OHT block CHIP-induced ERα downregulation. HeLa cells were transfected with 250 ng pSG5-ERα and 100 ng CMV-
GFP, along with 250 ng pcDNA (vector control) or pcDNA-His6-CHIP, then treated with DMSO (vehicle), 10 μM MG312 or 1 μM OHT for 6 hr prior to immunoblot analysis. Protein levels of ERα, CHIP and GFP were determined by immunoblotting with anti-ERα, anti-His6 and anti-GFP, respectively. GFP was used as a control for transfection efficiency and SDS-PAGE loading.  

B. Expression of the ubiquitin mutant UbKO blocks CHIP-induced ERα downregulation. HeLa cells were transfected with 250 ng pSG5-ERα, with or without 250 ng pcDNA-His6-CHIP, pcDNA-Ub or pCS2-UbKO, as indicated. ERα protein levels were determined by immunoblotting with anti-ERα. GAPDH was used as a loading control for SDS-PAGE. For all experiments, 3x10⁵ HeLa cells were plated in 60-mm dishes, cultured in hormone-free medium for 3 days, and then transfected with LipofectAMINE Plus Reagent. Cell lysates were prepared 24 hr after transfection. The band density of exposed films was evaluated with ImageJ software. Relative ERα levels were presented as the mean ± SE of three independent experiments, each performed in duplicate.

Fig. 3. Both the TPR and U-box domains are required for CHIP-induced ERα downregulation.

A. Both the TPR and U-box domains are required for CHIP to downregulate ERα. HeLa cells were transfected with 250 ng pSG5-ERα, 100 ng CMV-GFP, along with 250 pcDNA (control) or various CHIP constructs as indicated. ERα and GFP protein levels were determined by immunoblotting with anti-ERα and anti-GFP, respectively. GFP was used as control for transfection efficiency and SDS-PAGE loading.  

B. The TRP domain is required for CHIP-ERα interaction. HeLa cells were transfected with 250 ng pSG5-ERα, along with 250 ng pcDNA-His6-CHIP or pcDNA-His6-CHIP(K30A). ERα protein in cell lysates was precipitated with
anti-ERα. The presence of CHIP in the precipitated ERα complex was determined by immunoblotting with anti-His6. The same blot was re-probed with anti-ERα to assess the amount of ERα in the precipitated immunocomplex. The expression levels of CHIP or CHIP(K30A) in whole cell lysates was determined by immunoblotting with anti-His6 (lower panel). For all experiments, HeLa cells were plated in 60-mm dishes at a density of \(3 \times 10^5\) cells/dish, cultured in hormone-free medium for 3 days, and then transfected with LipofectAMINE Plus Reagent. Cell lysates were prepared 24 hr after transfection. Representative results of two independent experiments, each performed in duplicate, are shown.

**Fig. 4.** CHIP interacts with endogenous ERα and induces ERα ubiquitination and degradation in breast cancer MCF7 cells.

**A. Overexpression of CHIP downregulates endogenous ERα levels in MCF7 cells.** MCF7 cells were plated in 100-mm dishes at a density of \(1 \times 10^6\) cells/dish, cultured in hormone-free medium for 3 days, and transfected with various amounts (0, 5 or 10 μg) of pcDNA-His6-CHIP using FuGENE. Twenty four hours after transfection, whole cell lysates were prepared, and protein levels of ERα and CHIP determined by immunoblotting with anti-ERα and anti-His6, respectively. GAPDH was used as an SDS-PAGE loading control. **B. CHIP associates with ERα-Hsp complex in MCF7 cells.** MCF7 cells were transfected as in A and subjected to coimmunoprecipitation analysis. ERα and CHIP were precipitated with anti-ERα and anti-His6, respectively. The presence of CHIP, Hsc70, Hsp90 or ERα in the precipitated complexes was determined by immunoblotting with anti-His6, anti-Hsc70, anti-Hsp90, or anti-ERα, respectively. **C. Expression of CHIP enhances endogenous ERα polyubiquitination in MCF7**
MCF7 cells were plated in 60-mm dishes at a density of $5 \times 10^5$ cells/dish cultured in hormone-free medium for 3 days, and transfected with 250 ng pcDNA-HA-Ub and 250 ng pcDNA or pcDNA-His6-CHIP. Twenty four hours after transfection, whole cell lysates were prepared and ERα protein was precipitated with anti-ERα. The presence of ubiquitin-conjugated ERα in the immunocomplex was detected by immunoblotting with anti-HA (upper panel). The same membrane was reprobed with anti-ERα to assess the amount of precipitated ERα (middle panel). Whole cell lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE and probed with HA antibody to determine the amount of total ubiquitinated proteins (lower panel). D. CHIP increases ERα ubiquitination in MCF7 cells expressing UbKO. MCF7 cells were plated as in (C) and transfected with 500 ng pcDNA-HA-Ub or 500 ng pCS2-UbKO, along with 250 ng pcDNA or pcDNA-His6-CHIP, as indicated. Twenty four hours after transfection, whole cell lysates were prepared and ERα protein was detected by immunoblotting with anti-ERα. E. Knockdown of endogenous CHIP increases ERα level. MCF7 cells were plated as in (C) and transfected with 2 µg vector or pBS/U6/CHIPi using FuGENE. Forty eight hours after transfection, whole cell lysates were prepared, and protein levels of CHIP and ERα were determined by immunoblotting with anti-CHIP and anti-ERα, respectively. GAPDH was used as an SDS-PAGE loading control. For all experiments, representative results of two independent experiments, each performed in duplicate, are shown.

**Fig. 5.** CHIP downregulates ERα-mediated reporter gene expression in HeLa cells.

HeLa cells were plated in 12-well dishes at a density of $1 \times 10^5$/well, grown in hormone-free medium for 3 days, and transfected with 10 ng pSG5-ERα, 250 ng ERE-pS2-Luc, 250 ng
various CHIP constructs (A) or pBS/U6/CHIPi (B). Twenty four hours after transfection, cells were treated for 6 hr with DMSO or 10 nM E2 and then assayed for luciferase activity. The ERE-pS2-Luc activity was normalized to SV40-Luc activity, which was determined in a parallel experiment where ERE-pS2-Luc was replaced with SV40-Luc. The results are expressed as means ± SE from three independent experiments, with each performed in quadruplicate. * means P<0.05 (student’s t-test, vs pcDNA treated with E2). 

Fig. 6. CHIP downregulates ERα-mediated gene expression in MCF7 cells.

A. Overexpression of CHIP inhibits ERα-mediated reporter gene expression. MCF7 cells were plated in 12-well dishes at a density of 1x10⁵/well, grown in hormone-free medium for 3 days, and transfected with 250 ng ERE-pS2-Luc, along with 250 ng various CHIP constructs. Twenty four hours after transfection, cells were treated with DMSO or 10 nM E2 for 6 hr and then assayed for luciferase. The ERE-pS2-Luc activity was normalized to SV40-Luc activity (determined in a parallel experiment where ERE-pS2-Luc was replaced with 'SV40-Luc). Results are expressed as the mean ± SE from three independent experiments, each performed in quadruplicate. * means P<0.05 (student’s t-test, vs pcDNA treated with E2).

B. Knockdown of CHIP by siRNA increases ERα-mediated reporter gene expression. MCF7 cells were plated as in (A) and transfected with 250 ng ERE-pS2-Luc and various amounts (0, 250, and 500 ng) of pBS/U6/CHIPi. Twenty four hours after transfection, cells were treated and subjected to luciferase analysis, as in (A).

C. Knockdown of CHIP by siRNA increases expression of pS2 mRNA. MCF7 cells were plated in 100 mm dishes at a density of 1x10⁵/dish, grown in hormone-free medium for 3 days, and transfected with 5 µg vector or pBS/U6/CHIPi using FuGENE. Forty eight hours after transfection, cells were treated for 6 hr with DMSO or 10 nM E2. The
mRNA level of pS2 was determined by real time quantitative PCR. The relative pS2 mRNA levels were normalized with β-actin mRNA and expressed as mean ± SE from three independent experiments, each performed in duplicate. P<0.05 (student’s t-test, CHIP-siRNA vs pcDNA) is indicated by an *.

Fig. 7. Disruption of Hsp90 function induces ERα degradation through a CHIP-dependent mechanism in HeLa cells.

A. CHIP overexpression augments and CHIP depletion by siRNA blocks GA-induced ERα degradation. HeLa cells were plated in 60-mm dishes at a density of 3x10^5 cells/dish, cultured in hormone-free medium for 3 days, and transfected with 250 ng pSG5-ERα, along with 250 ng pcDNA, pcDNA-His6-CHIP or pBS/U6/CHIPi by using LipofectAMINE Plus Reagent. Twenty four hours after transfection, the cells were treated with 1 μM GA for 0, 0.5, 1 and 3 hr. Cell lysates were immunoblotted with anti-ERα. GAPDH was used as an SDS-PAGE loading control. The band density of exposed films was evaluated with ImageJ software. Relative ERα levels were presented as mean ± SE from three independent experiments. B. GA enhances CHIP-ERα interaction. HeLa cells were plated as in A and transfected with 250 ng pSG5-ERα and 250 ng pcDNA-His6-CHIP. Twenty four hours after transfection, cells were untreated or treated with 1 μM GA or 10 mM MG132 for 1 hr prior to lysate preparation. ERα protein was precipitated by anti-ERα and the presence of CHIP determined by immunoblotting with anti-His6. The same membrane was then re-probed with anti-ERα to assess the amount of precipitated ERα in the same complex. Representative results of three independent experiments, each performed in duplicate, are shown.
Fig. 8. CHIP is required for geldanamycin-induced ERα degradation in breast cancer MCF7 cells.

A. CHIP depletion by CHIP-siRNA eliminates GA-induced ERα degradation. MCF7 cells were plated in 60-mm dishes at a density of 3x10^5 cells/dish, cultured in hormone-free medium for 3 days, and transfected with 500 ng pcDNA (control) or pBS/U6/CHIPi. Twenty four hours after transfection, cells were treated with 1 μM GA for 0, 1, 2.5 and 4 hr, and subjected to immunoblotting with anti-ERα. β-tubulin was used as SDS-PAGE loading control. The band density of exposed films was evaluated with ImageJ software. Relative ERα levels are presented as mean ± SE from three independent experiments (lower panel). B. GA stimulates CHIP-ERα interaction. MCF7 cells were plated at 1x10^6 cells in 100-mm dishes, cultured in hormone-free medium for 3 days, and treated with 1 μM GA for 0, 1 and 3 hr prior to lysate preparation. ERα protein was precipitated by anti-ERα and the presence of CHIP examined by immunoblotting with anti-CHIP. The same membrane was then reprobed with ERα antibody to assess the amount of precipitated ERα in the same complex. Representative results of two independent experiments, each performed in duplicate, are shown.

Fig. 9. Effect of ligand binding on geldanamycin-induced ERα degradation

A. ERα protein levels in MCF7 cells treated with GA before or after ligand exposure. MCF7 cells were plated in 60-mm dishes at a density of 3x10^5 cells/dish and cultured in hormone-free medium for 3 days. Upper panel, cells were treated with vehicle, 10 nM E2, 1 μM OHT, 100 nM ICI or 1 μM GA for 6 hr; Middle panel, cells were exposed to indicated ligand for 30 min
prior to a 6-hr GA treatment; Lower panel, 30 min after GA treatment, cells were exposed to indicated ligand for 5.5 hr. For all experiments, ERα levels were determined by immunoblotting with anti-ERα. β-tubulin was used as SDS-PAGE loading control. B. Effect of ligands on GA-induced CHIP-ERα interaction. MCF7 cells were plated in 100-mm dishes at a density of 1x10^6 cells/dish, cultured in hormone-free medium for 3 days, and then transfected with 5 µg pcDNA-His6-CHIP by using FuGENE. Twenty four hours after transfection, the cells were treated with 1 µM GA for 30 min, followed by a 30-min treatment with indicated ligands (100 nM E2, 1 µM OHT and 100 nM ICI). ERα protein from the cell lysates was precipitated using anti-ERα. CHIP presence in the precipitated ERα complex was determined by immunoblotting with anti-His6. The same membrane was re-probed with ERα antibody to assess the amount of precipitated ERα. Representative results of two independent experiments, each performed in duplicate, are shown.

**Fig. 10.** Effect of CHIP and geldanamycin on ERα cellular localization.

HeLa cells were plated in 6-well dishes at a density of 1x10^5 cells/dish, cultured in hormone-free medium for 3 days, and transfected with 250 ng GFP-ERα and 250 ng pcDNA or pcDNA-His6-CHIP by using LipofectAMINE Plus Reagent. Twenty four hours after transfection, the transfected cells were treated with 1 µM GA or 100 nM ICI for 6 hr. The fluorescence of GFP-ERα was then examined using an inverted microscope (Axiovert 40 CFL) (A). The number of cells expressing GFP-ERα from 10 microscope fields was present in the histogram (B). Representative results of two independent experiments, each performed in triplicate, are shown. Open bar, vehicle-treated controls; Gray bar, GA treatment (1 µM, 6 h).
Fig. 11. Schematic summary of distinct ERα degradation pathways.

Nascent ERα is translocated into nucleus. There, by associating with Hsp90, the receptor is maintained in a ligand-binding competent conformation, ready for subsequent activation. In the absence of ligand or other activation signals, CHIP constantly targets Hsp90-associated ERα for degradation. Ligand binding disassembles the ERα-Hsp90 complex and thus protects ERα from CHIP-mediated degradation. However, depending upon the ligand, distinct downstream destructive pathways are engaged in the degradation of liganded ERα. When activated by E2, ERα is degraded through a transcription-coupled mechanism. In response to ICI, nuclear ERα-ICI complex is immobilized to the nuclear matrix and undergoes rapid proteasomal degradation. In addition, ICI induces cytoplasmic retention and aggregation of nascent ERα OHT-ERα complexes are stable, likely due to the lack of transcriptional activity.
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Loss of Estrogen Receptor Signaling Triggers Epigenetic Silencing of Downstream Targets in Breast Cancer

Yu-Wei Leu, Pearly S. Yan, Meiyun Fan, Victor X. Jin, Joseph C. Liu, Edward M. Curran, Wade V. Welshons, Susan H. Wei, Ramana V. Davuluri, Christoph Plass, Kenneth P. Nephew, and Tim H-M. Huang

ABSTRACT

Alterations in histones, chromatin-related proteins, and DNA methylation contribute to transcriptional silencing in cancer, but the sequence of these molecular events is not well understood. Here we demonstrate that the removal of estrogen receptor (ER) α signaling by small interfering RNA, polycomb repressors and histone deacetylases are recruited to initiate stable repression of the progesterone receptor (PR) gene, a known ERα target, in breast cancer cells. The event is accompanied by acquired DNA methylation of the PR promoter, leaving a stable mark that can be inherited by cancer cell progeny. Reestablishing ERα signaling alone was not sufficient to reactivate the PR gene; reactivation of the PR gene also requires DNA demethylation. Methylation microarray analysis further showed that progressive DNA methylation occurs in multiple ERα targets in breast cancer genomes. The results imply, for the first time, the significance of epigenetic regulation on ERα target genes, providing new direction for research in this classical signaling pathway.

INTRODUCTION

The steroid hormone estrogen is important for normal breast development, but it is also important for growth and progression of breast cancer. The molecular actions of estrogen are mediated by estrogen receptors (ERs), ERα and ERβ. On ligand binding, ERα functions as a transcription factor by either binding to DNA targets or tethering to other transcription factors, such as AP-1 and SP-1 (1). These molecular interactions have been shown to positively or negatively modulate the activity of ERs downstream genes important to recruiting histone-modifying enzymes, such as histone deacetylases, to tethering to other transcription factors, such as AP-1 and SP-1 (1).

It is known that estrogen signaling regulates the growth of some breast tumors, and antiestrogen therapies can effectively block this growth signaling, resulting in tumor suppression (2). However, most tumors eventually develop resistance to this endocrine therapy, and antiestrogens are mostly ineffective in patients with advanced disease (2). Mechanisms underlying this hormonal resistance are complex, involving intricate interactions between ERα and kinase networks (1, 2). In addition, epigenetic silencing of ERα is known to contribute to the antiestrogen resistance (1, 2). An emerging theme not yet investi-
gions of the now silent targets, leaving a heritable “mark” that may be stably transmitted to cell progeny.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Lines and Clinical Samples. The breast cancer cell line MCF-7 and its derived subline, C4-12, were routinely maintained in our laboratories. For the demethylating treatment, cells were plated at a density of 2 × 10^5 cells per 10-cm dish and pretreated with 2 or 5 μmol/L 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-Aza-C; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) for 5 days before treatment with 17β-estradiol (E2; 10 nmol/L, 24 hours). Thirty-two invasive ductal carcinomas were obtained from patients undergoing breast surgery at the Ellis Fischel Cancer Center (Columbia, MO), in compliance with the institutional review board. Seven tumor-free breast parenchymas were used as controls. The ER status of tumor tissue was determined by immunohistochemical staining (21).

Transfection of Estrogen Receptor α Small Interfering RNAs. MCF-7 cells (60% confluent in a 3.5-cm-diameter culture dish) were starved in chromatin-bound agarose was centrifuged. The supernatant was collected and tumor tissue was determined by immunohistochemical staining (21). MeCP2 (Upstate Biotechnology); and DMNT1, DNMT3a, or DNMT3b (Imgenex, San Diego, CA). Agarose slurry was added to the mixture, and the chromatin-bound agarose was centrifuged. The supernatant was collected and used for total input (it serves as a positive control) in the ChIP-PCR assay. After elution, proteins were digested from the bound DNA with proteinase K. Phenolchloroform-purified DNA was then precipitated with ethanol and used in ChIP-PCR assays for a progesterone receptor (PR) promoter region. The primer sequences were 5'-GGCTTTGGGCGGGGCCTCCCTA (sense strand) and 5'-TCTGTGCTCCGTCCGACAGG (antisense strand). After amplification, 32P-incorporated PCR products were separated on 8% polyacrylamide gels and subjected to autoradiography using a Storm PhosphorImager (Amersham Biosciences).

Methylation-Specific Polymerase Chain Reaction. Genomic DNA (1 μg) from each sample was bisulfite-converted using the EZ DNA Methylation Kit (Zymo Research Inc., San Diego, CA). Agarose slurry was then added to the DNA mixture, and the suspension was centrifuged to remove cell debris. The supernatant was collected and used for total input in the methylated DNA (mDNA) assay. After elution, proteins were digested from the bound DNA with proteinase K. Phenolchloroform-purified DNA was then precipitated with ethanol and used in ChIP-PCR assays for a progesterone receptor (PR) promoter region. The primer sequences were 5'-GGCTTTGGGCGGGGCCTCCCTA (sense strand) and 5'-TCTGTGCTCCGTCCGACAGG (antisense strand). After amplification, 32P-incorporated PCR products were separated on 8% polyacrylamide gels and subjected to autoradiography using a Storm PhosphorImager (Amersham Biosciences).

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation-Polymerase Chain Reaction. Cultured cells (2 × 10^5) were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde and then washed with PBS in the presence of protease inhibitors. The cells were resuspended in lysis buffer, homogenized using a tissue grinder pestle to release nuclei, and then pelleted by centrifugation. SDS-lysis buffer from a chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay kit (Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY) was used to resuspend the nuclei. The lysate was sonicated to shear chromatin DNA and then centrifuged to remove cell debris. The supernatants were transferred to new tubes and incubated overnight with an antibody against ERα, YY-1, or EZH2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology); HDAC1, MBD2, or MeCP2 (Upstate Biotechnology); and DMNT1, DMNT3a, or DMNT3b (Imgenex, San Diego, CA). Agarose slurry was then added to the mixture, and the chromatin-bound agarose was centrifuged. The supernatant was collected and used for total input (it serves as a positive control) in the ChIP-PCR assay. After elution, proteins were digested from the bound DNA with proteinase K. Phenolchloroform-purified DNA was then precipitated with ethanol and used in ChIP-PCR assays for a progesterone receptor (PR) promoter region. The primer sequences were 5'-GGCTTTGGGCGGGGCCTCCCTA (sense strand) and 5'-TCTGTGCTCCGTCCGACAGG (antisense strand). After amplification, 32P-incorporated PCR products were separated on 8% polyacrylamide gels and subjected to autoradiography using a Storm PhosphorImager (Amersham Biosciences).

Combined Bisulfite Restriction Analysis. Combined bisulfite restriction analysis (COBRA) was carried out essentially as described previously (23). Bisulfite-modified DNA (~10 ng) was used as a template for PCR with specific primers flanking the targeted sites (Tel1 or BsuMI) of an ERα downstream target. Primer sequences used for amplification are listed in Supplementary Table S1. All PCR reactions were performed in PTC-100 thermocyclers (MJ Research, Watertown, MA) using AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems). 32P-incorporated amplified products were separated on 8% polyacrylamide gels and subjected to autoradiography using a Storm PhosphorImager (Amersham Biosciences).

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation on Chip. MCF-7 cells (2 × 10^5) were used to conduct Chip with an antibody specific for ERα following the protocol described (see Chromatin Immunoprecipitation-Polymerase Chain Reaction). After chromatin immunoprecipitation, DNA was labeled with Cy5 fluorescence dye and hybridized to a genomic microarray panel containing ~9,000 CpG islands (24). Microarray hybridization and posthybridization washes have been described previously (25). The washed slides were scanned by a Gene Fix 4000A scanner (Axon, Union City, CA), and the acquired microarray images were analyzed with GenePix Pro 4.0 software. This Chip-on-chip experiment was conducted twice.

Positive CpG island clones were sequenced, and the derived sequences were used to identify putative transcription start sites by Blasta5 or Blat.6 Both Genomatix7 and TFSEARCH8 programs were then used to localize the consensus sequences of the estrogen response elements (EREs) and other

6 http://genome.cse.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgBlat
7 http://www.genomatix.de/site_map/index.html
8 http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/research/ht/TFSEARCH.html

related transcription factor binding sites (AP-1, SP-1, cAMP-responsive element binding protein, and CEBP).

**Differential Methylation Hybridization.** Differential methylation hybridization (DMH) was performed essentially as described previously (25, 26). Briefly, 2 μg of genomic DNA were digested by the 4-base frequent cutter Msel, which restricts bulk DNA into small fragments but retains GC-rich CpG island fragments (24). H-24/12 PCR primers (5'-AGGCAACTGTGCTATCGAGGGGT-3' and 5'-TAATCCCTCCGA-3') were then ligated to the digested DNA fragments. The DNA samples were further digested with two methylation-sensitive endonucleases, HpaII and BstUI, and amplified by PCR reaction using H-24 as a primer. After amplification, test DNA from siRNA-methylation-sensitive endonucleases, HpaII and BstUI, and amplified by PCR

**RESULTS**

**RNA Interference Transiently Knocks Down Estrogen Receptor α Expression in Breast Cancer Cells.** Although several in vitro systems and mouse models are available for analysis of estrogen signaling, to our knowledge, the recently described RNAi (27) has not been actively used in this area of research. We therefore used this technology to specifically repress ERα gene expression via targeted RNA degradation (28, 29). Six different ERα siRNAs, two of which have sequences homologous to a splice variant, were synthesized (Fig. 1A). These siRNAs (40 nmol/L) were individually transfected into MCF-7, an ERα-positive human breast cancer cell line. MCF-7 cells were cultured in the presence of E2. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis showed that, 24 hours after transfection, two siRNAs, siRNAs 1 and 17, were capable of repressing ERα transcripts (Fig. 1B). Specifically for siRNA 1, we observed a >93% decrease of ERα mRNA. Immunofluorescence (Fig. 1C) and Western blot (Fig. 1D) analyses confirmed that this RNAi also dramatically reduced ERα protein synthesis. This inhibitory effect appeared to be transient, and the expression of ERα protein reappeared in cultured cells 4 weeks after RNAi withdrawal (Fig. 1D).

**Epigenetic Silencing of the PR Gene Is Triggered by Estrogen Signal Disruption.** We hypothesized that disruption of ERα signaling by siRNA may lead to the silencing of some positively regulated ERα targets governed by epigenetic mechanisms. To this end, a known ERα downstream target, the PR gene, was investigated in detail. In Fig. 2A and B, quantitative RT-PCR analysis showed that by 36 hours after treatment of MCF-7 cells with siRNA 1, the level of PR transcripts (PR-A and PR-B) was reduced by >95% (paired t test, P < 0.0001). Next, ChIP-PCR was performed to determine the status of chromatin remodeling at the 5'-end of the PR gene. The protein-DNA complexes were immunoprecipitated with antibodies to ERα or to specific modified histones (acetyl-H3, acetyl-H3-K9, and methyl-H3-K4) known to specify active transcription (7, 30). As shown in Fig. 2C, the presence of these active chromatin components was diminished over a period of 36 hours, coinciding with decreased ERα binding to the PR promoter region.

Fig. 1. Down-regulation of ERα by siRNAs. MCF-7 ERα-positive human breast cancer cells were transfected with six different double-stranded siRNAs for 4 hours. Cells were left untreated for a prolonged period of 3 to 4 weeks (see RNAi withdrawal in D), and proteins were harvested for analysis. Mock-treated cells were transfected with vehicle only. A, map of ERα cDNA. The ERα mRNAs were transcribed from several unique first exons and seven other common exons. An additional splice variant (between exons 3 and 4) is also shown. Approximate locations of siRNAs (1, 2, 16, 17, 37, and 38) are indicated by bars. B, RT-PCR of ERα. PCR primers specifically for the splice variant (ER-sv) and the common transcripts (ER-c) are indicated by arrows in A. The GAPDH transcript was used as a control. The expression levels of ER-sv or ER-c were normalized against GAPDH (bottom panel) by real-time PCR. C, immunofluorescence analysis of ERα expression. Small interfering RNA 1- or mock-treated MCF-7 cells were cultured on microscope coverslips and incubated with an ERα antibody. Positive nuclear staining (Texas Red) was seen only in mock-treated cells (with antibody), but not in siRNA 1-treated (with antibody) or mock-treated (without antibody) cells. D, Western blot analysis of ERα expression. Cellular protein products from various treatments were harvested and separated by SDS-PAGE for immunoblot analysis with ERα and GAPDH (loading control) antibodies, respectively. Results are representative of at least three independent experiments.
We speculated that this initial transcriptional inactivation might trigger further recruitment of repressor molecules to the PR promoter CpG island to subsequently establish a long-term silencing state. ChIP-PCR assays were conducted with a panel of antibodies raised for the polycomb repressors YY-1 and EZH2, histone deacetylase HDAC1, methyl-CpG-binding proteins MBD2 and MeCP2, and DNA methyltransferases DNMT1, DNMT3a, and DNMT3b. At 36 hours after siRNA treatment, YY-1 and EZH2 were bound to the promoter region (Fig. 2D). These polycomb proteins have previously been shown to target the regulatory regions of homeobox genes, the resulting repression of which can be tissue specific and important for early embryonic development (31, 32). Here we demonstrate for the first time that these proteins have an additional role in repressing an ERα target gene. Furthermore, the PR promoter was seen, at 36 hours, to recruit HDAC1 (Fig. 2D), a protein known to deacetylate histone protein tails, creating a repressive heterochromatin environment in the targeted promoter area (7, 9, 10). However, at this early time point (36 hours), ChIP-PCR analysis did not detect the presence of the DNA methyltransferase DNMT1 in the PR promoter CpG island area (Fig. 2D), nor did we observe the presence of MBD2 or MeCP2, which are known to bind methylated CpG sites. Only a faint band corresponding to DNMT3b was detected in the PR promoter area by 36 hours after ERα siRNA treatment of MCF-7 cells. Except for DNMT3a, the recruitment of these repressive proteins to the PR promoter CpG island was evident by 168 hours after siRNA treatment.

To further determine whether the recruitment of these epigenetic components could trigger de novo DNA methylation, MSP assays (33) were conducted to survey two 5'-end regions of PR at different time periods after siRNA treatment. As shown in Fig. 3A, PR methylation was detected in amplified bisulfite-treated DNA only at 36 hours after treatment. This observation was independently confirmed by conducting semiquantitative COBRA (23). In the assay, ~10% of MCF-7 cells showed methylation in one (Fig. 2A, TaqI-2) of the two PR TaqI sites analyzed 36 hours after siRNA treatment (Fig. 3B). Both of these sites became methylated at a later time point (168 hours) of treatment (Fig. 3B). This study implies that acquired DNA methylation is a late event and that the density of DNA methylation may gradually accumulate at the 5'-end of PR after disrupting ERα signaling by siRNA.

Next, we determined whether this acquired promoter methylation could be observed in ERα-negative breast tumors. COBRA was therefore conducted in 32 primary tumors (16 ERα-negative and 16 ERα-positive tumors) and 7 normal controls (see representative examples in Fig. 3C). Consistent with the in vitro findings, PR promoter hypermethylation occurred more frequently in ERα-negative tumors (45%) than in ERα-positive tumors (10%) (χ² test, P < 0.05).

Reexpression of PR Requires Both Estrogen Signal Restoration and DNA Demethylation. The in vitro experimental results described above are based on transient siRNA treatment. To determine whether this signal disruption has a lasting impact on PR expression, we took advantage of an ERα-negative cell subline, C4-12, derived from ERα-positive MCF-7 cells by long-term hormonal depletion (34). A recent study has indicated that PR gene expression is absent in this cell line (35). We therefore examined whether stably reexpressing ERα could restore PR gene activity in several established C4-12...
subclones (C4-12/vec, C4-12/ER#1, C4-12/ER#50, and C4-12/ER#86; see examples in Fig. 4A, inset).

Treatment of these subclones (e.g., C4-12/ER#86 in Fig. 4A) with E2, however, failed to induce PR mRNA expression, demonstrating that reintroduction of ERα alone was insufficient to reactivate expression of a silent PR gene. To determine whether loss of PR expression was due to DNA methylation, C4-12/vec (i.e., cells stably transfected with empty vector) and C4-12/ER#86 cells were pretreated with 5-AzadC, a DNA demethylating agent, before E2 treatment. As shown in Fig. 4A, sequential treatment with 5-AzadC followed by E2 resulted in reexpression of PR mRNA in C4-12/ER#86 cells, but not in C4-12/vec cells, demonstrating that both ERα expression and DNA demethylation are required to restore PR expression. To further confirm that reactivation of the PR gene was due to DNA demethylation, the methylation status of the PR promoter CpG island region was examined by MSP (Fig. 4B). In contrast to MCF-7 cells in which the PR promoter CpG island was unmethylated (Fig. 3A), methylation was observed in both C4-12/vec and C4-12/ER cells (Fig. 4B, Lanes 1-2, and 5-10). However, after treatment with 5-AzadC, PR promoter methylation was partially reversed in C4-12/vec cells (Fig. 4B, Lanes 3 and 4) and completely removed in C4-12/ER#86 cells (Fig. 4B, Lanes 11 and 12). Together, these results demonstrate that the silencing of PR is maintained, in part, by DNA methylation in the ERα-negative C4-12 cells and that reactivation of the PR promoter requires both the presence of ERα and DNA demethylation.

DNA Methylation of Multiple Estrogen Receptor α Downstream Targets Is Triggered by Disrupting Receptor Signaling. To determine whether this epigenetically mediated silencing is a generalized event, we used ChiP-on-chip, a novel microarray-based method developed in our laboratory (36, 37), for a genome-wide screening of ERα downstream targets. In this case, we probed a panel of ~9,000 arrayed CpG island fragments with anti-ERα-—coimmuno-
precipitated chromatin. Putative target sequences were used to search for the presence of ER\(\alpha\) binding motifs, EREs, and other related binding sites (e.g., AP-1, SP-1, cAMP-responsive element binding protein, and CEBP) by using the Genomatrix\(^7\) and TFSEARCH\(^8\) programs. These computational algorithms identified a total of 70 unique ER\(\alpha\) promoter targets, which were used to construct a subpanel genomic microarray (see a partial list of the genes in Supplementary Table S4). The previously described DMH method (25, 26) was then used to determine the DNA methylation status of these ER\(\alpha\) targets in siRNA-treated versus mock-treated MCF-7 cells. Amplicons representing genomic pools of methylated DNAs were prepared from these treated cells using our established protocols (25, 26). Cy5 (red dye)- and Cy3 (green dye)-labeled DNAs were prepared from siRNA- and mock-treated cells, respectively, and cohybridized to microscope slides containing the arrayed 70 unique ER\(\alpha\) targets. ER\(\alpha\) target loci methylated in siRNA-treated cells, but not in mock-treated cells, were expected to show greater Cy5/Cy3 hybridization signals. This is because methylated CpG sites are protected from methylation-sensitive enzymes, could not be amplified by PCR, and were thus devoid of hybridization signals.

To analyze our microarray data, we adapted the "shrunken centroids method" (38) to define the threshold setting for class prediction of methylated ER\(\alpha\) target loci. This approach can be used to uniquely define the threshold level that statistically discriminates ER\(\alpha\) loci commonly methylated in siRNA-treated cells from the same loci in mock-treated cells. After initial evaluation of the microarray data, we chose the threshold value 2.0 that generates less error (\(\leq 0.3\)) for cross-validation (data not shown). When the cross-validation variances from individual samples were plotted (Fig. 5A), many ER\(\alpha\) target loci could be used to discriminate between siRNA-treated cells and mock-treated counterparts (manifested as having many loci with no misclassification error) at the 168 hour time point. However, this threshold level was not sufficiently stringent to discriminate between the mock- and siRNA-treated cell samples at 24 or 36 hours (manifested as having very few loci with low misclassification error). In Fig. 5B, the actual methylation status of individual loci, in comparison with the predicted centroids, is plotted to present an overall change of DNA methylation at different time periods of siRNA treatment. Relative to the overall predicted centroids, a positive value of a locus...
indicates more methylation during the treatment, whereas a negative value indicates less methylation. This shrunk centroid map revealed that de novo DNA methylation can be detected in a subset of ERα targets 168 hours after siRNA treatment, but not in cells treated for only 24 or 36 hours after treatment.

To validate the findings of the shrunk centroid analysis, unsupervised cluster analysis was performed on the microarray data, using the top 21 methylated loci selected by machine training ("heat map" shown in Fig. 5C). The result reaffirms the shrunk centroid data in that replicates of each treatment type are clustered together and that the level of methylation increased with the extent of siRNA treatment. A paired t test revealed that the methylation status of these 21 loci was significantly different (P < 0.05) between the mock-treated (ERα-positive) and siRNA-treated (ERα-negative) cells.

This microarray observation was independently validated by conducting expression and DNA methylation analyses on three newly identified ERα downstream targets, TRIP10, Kr-Znf1, and DCC. In general, the decreased levels of these mRNAs preceded the emergence of DNA methylation at their respective promoter CpG islands (Fig. 6A and B). This epigenetically mediated silencing also indirectly influenced the expression of MTA3, a gene known to be regulated via a downstream ERα target and to participate in Mi-2/NURD nucleosome remodeling (Fig. 6B; ref. 39).

DNA Methylation of ERα Downstream Targets is Preferentially Observed in ERα-Negative Tumors. We next determined whether this in vitro finding could be seen in vivo. DMH was therefore conducted using the aforementioned 32 primary breast tumors and 7 normal controls. The derived microarray data were then analyzed by the shrunk centroid method. Although the methylation results of these 70 ERα target loci did not clearly segregate tumor samples into subclasses, we observed a general trend that methylated loci appear more frequently in ERα-negative tumors than in ERα-positive tumors (P < 0.05). Fig. 5D presents a heat map of the 12 most methylated loci in the studied breast tumors. As shown, we observed higher overall methylation in the ERα-negative tumors (6 of 16 tumors had >40% methylation in the loci analyzed) than in the ERα-positive tumors (only 1 of 16 tumors achieved the same level of methylation). Also, the total number of loci showing DNA methylation was greater in ERα-negative tumors, when compared with ERα-positive tumors. Only four loci showed a low level of methylation in normal breast samples. Methylation analysis by MSP was further conducted for TRIP10 in these breast samples (Fig. 6C). Consistent with the microarray finding, TRIP10 promoter hypermethylation was detected in 50% (8 of 16) of ERα-negative tumors but in none of the 16 ERα-positive tumors analyzed (χ² test, P < 0.005).

DISCUSSION

Understanding the sequence of how complex epigenetic events are established can provide important insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying gene silencing in cancer. However, the "chicken and egg" issue of which comes first, DNA methylation, histone modification, or others, is an ongoing debate in the epigenetic research community. Many early studies of this issue come from non-mammalian systems. Mutations in a histone methyltransferase specific for H3-K9 resulted in loss of DNA methylation in Neurospora crassa (15, 16), suggesting that histone methylation can initiate DNA methylation. In Arabidopsis, it has been shown that Cnpnp-G methyltransferase depends on a histone H3 methyltransferase (40), also indicating that histone methylation can direct DNA methylation. New evidence suggests that the reverse scenario can occur in heterochromatin (41). In this case, a self-reinforcing system is implemented, allowing for feedback from DNA methylation to histone methylation for the long-term maintenance of a heterochromatin state in a gene (41). However, this epigenetic paradigm remains to be explored in mammalian systems. Earlier studies have shown that in vitro methylated transgenes can be targets for methyl-CpG-binding proteins, which in turn recruit repressor complexes containing histone deacetylases (17, 18). Fahner et al. (19) suggested that DNA methylation of hMLH1 can specify unique histone codes for the maintenance of a silenced state. They detected methyl histone 3-lysine 9 in the DNA methylated, transcript...
tionally silenced promoter CpG island of hMLH1 in a cancer cell line. Treatment with the DNA demethylating agent 5-AzaC alone, but with not the histone deacetylase inhibitor trichostatin A, resulted in reversal of this repressive histone modification. Taken together, these reports, as well as other studies, imply that in contrast to other organisms, histone modifications may be secondary to DNA methylation in initiating gene silencing in mammalian cells (17, 18, 20, 42).

A study by Bachman et al. (43), however, presents a different view with respect to the silencing of the p16 gene in an experimental system using somatic knockout cells. These authors suggest that chromatin modifications are not totally dependent on prior DNA methylation to initiate gene silencing. In support of this observation, Mutskau and Felsenfeld (44) have recently demonstrated that histone modifications are the primary event associated with the silencing of a transgene, ILR2. In this case, a gradual increase in DNA methylation density in and around the ILR2 promoter was observed after transfection. In contrast to previous observations, these two recent studies therefore suggest that DNA methylation sets up an epigenetic “mark” for the maintenance of long-term silencing, rather than initiating it. Clearly, this epigenetic process is complex and multifaceted, and it is possible that the sequence of epigenetic events for establishing and maintaining the silenced state of a gene can be locus or pathway specific.

The present study suggests that gene inactivation and histone modifications occur before DNA methylation at some ERα target loci. Depicted in Fig. 7 is a hypothetical gene containing an ERE site within the promoter area, the active transcription of which is directly dependent on estrogen signaling. On the removal of this signaling, down-regulation of this gene occurs immediately. Transcriptional repressors (e.g., polycomb proteins) and histone deacetylases are then assembled to its promoter to initiate long-term transcriptional repression. Subsequent recruitment of DNA methyltransferases to the repressor complex methylates CpG sites in the adjacent area. This process may be gradual, with methylation density increasing over time in the targeted area (see the heat map in Fig. 5C). The buildup of DNA methylation could set up a heritable mark that may eventually replace some of the original repressors to establish a heterochromatin state of long-term silencing. In this case, reactivation of ERα target genes could no longer be achieved by reestablishing estrogen signaling alone (see the example of PR in Fig. 4A); it also requires DNA demethylation. In addition to the PR gene, we suggest that establishment of epigenetic memory may occur in other critical ERα downstream loci in some breast cancer cells.

The occurrence of DNA methylation in a pathway-specific manner also has a new implication. Altered DNA methylation was originally thought to be a generalized phenomenon arising from a stochastic process in earlier studies (45, 46). This random methylation in tumor suppressor genes at their promoter CpG islands, thus silencing their transcripts, would provide tumor cells with a growth advantage. The specific epigenetic patterns observed in particular cancer types would therefore be derived from clonal selection of the proliferating cells. Some studies (26, 47, 48), however, have indicated that this epigenetic event is not random and that remodeling of the local chromatin structure of a gene may influence its susceptibility to specific DNA methylation. The present study provides some answers to this conundrum. Here we show that dysregulation of normal signaling in cancer cells may result in stable silencing of downstream targets, maintained by epigenetic machinery. This implies that the altered epigenetic condition is pathway specific, rather than a stochastic process in the ERα signaling pathway.

In conclusion, the present study implicates, for the first time, epigenetic influence (i.e., chromatin remodeling and DNA methylation) on transcription of ERα downstream target genes and thus provides a new direction for research in this classical signaling pathway. Unlike irreversible genetic damage, epigenetic alterations are potentially reversible, providing an opportunity for therapeutic intervention in breast cancer. Histone deacetylase inhibitors, alone or together with DNA demethylating agents, may represent novel treatment approaches that could be combined with currently available chemotherapies. Our experimental evidence therefore provides a rationale for such treatment strategies designed to alter aberrant epigenetic processes in hormone-insensitive but receptor-positive breast tumors.
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