**Bacillus anthracis** Edema Toxin Inhibits *Staphylococcus aureus* Enterotoxin B Effects In Vitro: a Potential Protein Therapeutic?
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Various in vitro effects of staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) on human peripheral blood mononuclear cells were mitigated by *Bacillus anthracis* edema toxin. In particular, levels of some SEB-induced cytokines (tumor necrosis factor alpha, gamma interferon) and chemokines (monocyte chemoattractant protein 1, macrophage inflammatory protein 1 alpha [MIP-1α], MIP-1β) were significantly diminished or even nonexistent, depending upon the timing of edema toxin administration. Overall, these results suggest a novel use of *B. anthracis* edema toxin against a bacterial superantigen.

*Bacillus anthracis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* are gram-positive pathogens involved in numerous human/animal diseases that include skin-linked maladies such as cutaneous anthrax, carbuncles, impetigo, and scalded-skin syndrome (16, 35). The various protein toxins produced by these bacteria (i.e., *B. anthracis* lethal toxin or *S. aureus* enterotoxins/toxic shock syndrome toxin 1) can profoundly affect a host in multiple ways, which may result in morbidity, shock, and even death. Furthermore, *B. anthracis* and staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) are considered by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to be select agents that warrant monitoring by the federal government. Therefore, a renewed interest in *B. anthracis* and SEB as bioterror threats has caused some academic, government, and industrial institutions to further investigate (or in some cases, stop investigating) the respective “biology” of this microorganism and toxin, with an immediate focus upon generating vaccines and therapeutics. Additionally, the continuing rise in antibiotic resistance among diverse clinical isolates throughout the world (e.g., vancomycin-resistant *S. aureus*) demands further attention now by researchers and clinicians, ultimately necessitating “novel” means of controlling bacterial pathogens and their toxins (22).

SEB and other related toxins produced by *S. aureus* and *Streptococcus pyogenes* (i.e., toxic shock syndrome toxin 1 [TSST-1] plus the pyrogenic exotoxins) possess superantigenic properties that entail a marked activation of T lymphocytes with subsequent release of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines (12–16). In large quantities, these molecules can play an important role in ill effects experienced by the host following exposure to SEB or other related protein superantigens. In vitro and in vivo studies by various groups have also shown that the adverse effects of the SEs and TSST-1 are naturally potentiated by a ubiquitous component of all gram-negative bacte-ria, namely, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (4, 6, 30–32). It is this fact that suggests that an agent which blocks LPS effects might also abrogate SEB activity, as both commonly evoke elevated levels of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines (8, 14–16).

A previous study by Hoover et al. (9) reveals that *B. anthracis* edema factor (EF), a calcium/calmodulin-dependent adenylate cyclase that enters various eucaryotic cells via *B. anthracis* protective antigen (PA) (17–19), substantially decreases the production of LPS-induced tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) from human monocytes even when given 2 to 4 h after LPS. A combination of EF and PA produces the edema toxin, which shares many attributes with other related bacillus and clostridial binary toxins (3, 5). Inherent characteristics of edema toxin and other procaryotic adenylate cyclases from *Bordetella pertussis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Yersinia pestis* include transient effects upon cells of the immune system, thus decreasing the host’s immunological response and enabling a pathogen to establish, and subsequently sustain, an infection (1, 19). Recent results from Tournier et al. (34) with murine dendritic cells and *B. anthracis* mutants further underscore this phenomenon, which includes suppression of cytokine production, dendritic cell function, and innate immunity by edema toxin.

An intracellular increase in cyclic AMP (cAMP) levels leading to diminished TNF-α release from mononuclear cells has been reported previously by various groups (11, 28), and this effect is linked to gene transcription (9). As evidenced with other cell types (18), the cAMP levels in human lymphocytes dramatically increase after dose-dependent exposure to edema toxin (17). In addition to results seen with TNF-α, a recent study by Paccani et al. (26) shows that anti-CD3 induced proliferation of human lymphocytes and production of gamma interferon (IFN-γ), and interleukin 2 (IL-2) and IL-5 are diminished substantially by edema toxin via inactivation of the transcription factors NFAT as well as AP-1. Such events elicited by *B. anthracis* edema toxin are transient (half-life of EF is ~2 h in cytosol), generally considered nonlethal, and prevent anti-CD3-induced proliferation of T lymphocytes in vitro (17–
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In contrast to *Vibrio cholerae* cholera toxin, which activates host adenylate cyclase, intracellular amounts of cAMP elicited by *B. anthracis* edema toxin rise more rapidly to even higher levels with a minimal lag period. Finally, in contrast to edema toxin, T-lymphocyte proliferation with subsequently elevated levels of proinflammatory cytokines/chemokines represents a classic effect caused by superantigen stimulation of the immune system. The results from the present study reveal a novel means of inhibiting SEB activity in vitro by using *B. anthracis* edema toxin.

Purified SEB was obtained from Toxin Technology (Sarasota, Fla.) with an endotoxin content of <1 ng/mg of protein, as determined by the Limulus amebocyte lysate assay (Bio-Whittaker, Walkersville, Md.). All cytokines and chemokines used as calibration standards in enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) were purified human recombinant proteins recognized by specific antibodies. IL-2, TNF-α, antibodies against TNF-α, and peroxidase-conjugates of anti-rabbit/anti-goat IgG were obtained from Boehringer-Mannheim (Indianapolis, Ind.). Monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-1), macrophage inflammatory protein 1 alpha (MIP-1α), MIP-1β, and antibodies against IL-1β, IL-6, MIP-1α, and MIP-1β were purchased from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, Minn.). IL-1β was kindly provided by the National Cancer Institute (Frederick, Md.), while IFN-γ and IL-6 were purchased from Collaborative Research (Boston, Mass.). Antibodies against IFN-γ, IL-2, and MCP-1 were obtained from BD Pharmingen (San Diego, Calif.). All other reagents were from Sigma (St. Louis, Mo.).

Cytokines and chemokines were measured by ELISA with specific antibodies according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Human recombinant cytokines and chemokines (20 to 1,000 pg/ml) were used as calibration standards on each plate. The detection limit of each assay was ~20 pg/ml, and all data were expressed as the means ± standard deviations (SD) of duplicate samples or means ± standard errors of the means (SEM) from multiple samples. Data were statistically analyzed by Student’s *t* test with Stata software (Stata Corp., College Station, Tex.), and differences between edema toxin-treated and untreated groups were considered significant at a *P* value of <0.05.

Initial work was done to investigate cytokine production elicited by purified edema toxin (EF + PA; List Biological Laboratories, Campbell, Calif.) in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC). The PBMC were isolated by density gradient centrifugation (Ficoll-Hypaque) of heparinized blood from randomly selected, healthy donors. PBMC (10^6 cells/ml) were cultured at 37°C in 24-well plates containing RPMI 1640 medium and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum. Cells were incubated with various concentrations of EF and PA at 5 and 12.5 nM concentrations, respectively. Other EF:PA mole ratios were explored in preliminary experiments, but results were still the same even with PA concentrations 10-fold higher than those of EF (data not shown). This was not surprising, as the mole ratio of EF:PA in an edema toxin complex is optimal at 1:2.5 (24). Additionally, there was no PBMC cytotoxicity at any
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**FIG. 1.** Production of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α by PBMC in response to EF + PA (edema toxin), where PA concentrations are 2.5-fold higher than EF. SEB was not added to these cell cultures. Values are the means ± SD from two PBMC samples and three separate experiments. Results for IL-1β and IL-6 at all concentrations of edema toxin are significantly different (*P* < 0.05) from the control (0 nM EF) consisting of medium without EF and PA.
tested concentration of edema toxin, as evidenced by trypan blue exclusion (data not shown). For all of these experiments, and as reported before by Hoover et al. (9) for human monocytes, it was quite evident that edema toxin did not increase TNF-α levels from PBMC. Such results are also similar to those previously reported for mouse macrophages with elevated cAMP due to cholera toxin or prostaglandin E2 stimulation (11). Additionally, other cytokines (IFN-γ, IL-2) and chemokines (MCP-1, MIP-1α, MIP-1β) were not induced by edema toxin during our studies (data not shown). In contrast, various laboratories have shown that TNF, IFN-γ, and other proinflammatory cytokines, as well as chemokines, are readily produced by SEB-stimulated PBMC in vitro (12–14, 16), and such immune modulators are important for the biological effects of bacterial superantigens (10, 12, 23, 30, 31).

Our experiments next delved into the effects of edema toxin (EF [5 nM] + PA [12.5 nM]) on cytokine production by PBMC incubated concomitantly with SEB (7 nM) for 16 h (Table 1). These results revealed a marked decrease in SEB-induced TNF-α (66%) and IFN-γ (56%) versus SEB-only controls. Both of these cytokines are significant for SEB-induced toxicity in vivo (23, 30, 31). However, there was no effect of edema toxin upon SEB-induced IL-2 (Table 1), and this was clearly reflected by the lack of inhibition of SEB-stimulated proliferation among PBMC (data not shown). Proliferation assays with PBMC (2 × 10^5 cells/well) were done by triplicate plating of cells incubated with SEB, with or without edema toxin, for 48 h at 37°C in 96-well microtiter plates. The PBMC were pulsed 1 μCi/well of [3H]thymidine (New England Nuclear, Boston, Mass.) during the last 5 h of culture as described previously (12). Cells were then harvested onto glass fiber filters, and incorporated [3H]thymidine was measured by liquid scintillation.

More profound than the cytokine effects was the percent inhibition of SEB-induced chemokines MCP-1 (88%), MIP-1α (92%), and MIP-1β (77%) by edema toxin versus cells treated with SEB alone (Table 1). Chemokines are important for migration and recruitment of T lymphocytes (21) as well as superantigen stimulation (12–14). Finally, in contrast to the other cytokines/chemokines, the IL-1β and IL-6 levels from edema toxin plus SEB-treated PBMC were above those from cells incubated with SEB alone. How elevated IL-1β and IL-6 levels might adversely affect cells and ultimately, the whole animal, following SEB and edema toxin exposure is rather complex and awaits further testing. However, as indicated by trypan blue exclusion and proliferation assays in vitro, the elevated IL-1β and IL-6 levels detected in our experiments were evidently not deleterious to human PBMC. Nonetheless, these results captured the overall trend and suggest that some specific, SEB-induced proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines are markedly decreased by *B. anthracis* edema toxin.

The next series of experiments investigated the effects of edema toxin preincubated for 3 h with PBMC before SEB exposure (Fig. 2a and b). Although effects upon SEB-induced TNF-α and IFN-γ levels were evident (59% and 18% decrease, respectively) (Fig. 2a) versus the SEB-only controls, they were clearly not as pronounced as those following concomitant administration of edema toxin (Table 1 and Fig. 2a). Decreased efficacy of edema toxin given before SEB might be linked to a rapid (~2 h) half-life of EF in the cytosol (18, 19). These cytokine results were also reflected by a diminished impact of edema toxin upon SEB-induced chemokines, in which MCP-1 and MIP-1β decrements were, respectively, 43% and 35%, versus the SEB-only controls (Fig. 2b). Levels of MIP-1α were insignificantly different from the SEB-only controls.

The final experiments involved “therapeutic” administration of edema toxin to PBMC 3 h after SEB (Fig. 2c and 2d). SEB-induced TNF-α, IFN-γ, MCP-1, MIP-1α, and MIP-1β levels were again noticeably decreased (51%, 49%, 88%, 80%, and 84%, respectively) compared to the SEB-only controls. These results were very encouraging, as they were akin to those just described for SEB and edema toxin coadministration as well as mimic the reported therapeutic effects of edema toxin upon LPS-stimulated TNF-α from human monocytes (9). The latter effect is evident 2 to 4 h after LPS exposure, which is similar to the 3-h therapeutic window of edema toxin upon SEB-stimulated PBMC cultures and specific cytokines/chemokines.

Overall, the results gathered during this succinct study revealed that edema toxin effectively mitigated various proinflammatory cytokine and chemokine levels normally elevated after human lymphocyte exposure to SEB. Similar decreases in specific, SEB-induced cytokines/chemokines were evident if edema toxin was added to PBMC at the same time as, or even 3 h after, SEB. There were noticeably fewer effects upon SEB-induced cytokines/chemokines if edema toxin was preincubated with PBMC 3 h before SEB, which is perhaps linked to a short half-life of EF in the cytosol (18, 19). We have clearly shown that levels of important chemotactic cytokines induced by SEB were diminished by *B. anthracis* edema toxin. In particular, edema toxin modulated the SEB-induced effects not only by down-regulating TNF-α and IFN-γ, cytokines important for SE-induced effects in vitro and in vivo (10, 12–16, 23, 30, 31), but also by suppressing SEB-induced chemokines, such as MCP-1, MIP-1α, and MIP-1β (12–14). Chemokines play a pivotal role during an immune response by activating T lymphocytes and influencing their differentiation, recruitment, and migration to infection sites (21). Although this study focused upon SEB, it is quite likely that other bacterial superantigens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokine or chemokine</th>
<th>Result for:</th>
<th>SEB + edema toxin (mean comparison)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>48 ± 40</td>
<td>292 ± 77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>405 ± 145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>34 ± 23</td>
<td>300 ± 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>854 ± 224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>178 ± 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>94 ± 60</td>
<td>101 ± 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1α</td>
<td>67 ± 32</td>
<td>84 ± 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1β</td>
<td>63 ± 32</td>
<td>294 ± 98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Effects upon SEB-induced cytokine and chemokine levels from PBMC with simultaneous addition of edema toxin**

- a Detected cytokine and chemokine concentrations (pg/ml) in culture supernatants are the means ± SEM from seven PBMC donors for all assays except IL-2 (n = 5). The SEM indicates inherent differences in SEB-stimulated cytokine and chemokine levels that naturally exist between human donors.
- b The same SEB (7 nM) and edema toxin (5 nM EF and 12.5 nM PA) concentrations were used for these experiments.
would be similarly affected by *B. anthracis* edema toxin. Additionally, a logical extension of this in vitro data includes therapy-based studies in vivo (8, 15).

Some of our previous work on potential therapeutics has involved low-molecular-weight inhibitors of SEB and TSST-1 activity in vitro and in vivo (8, 12–15). However, as edema toxin contains an enzymatic component with transient effects upon cells, it represents a novel and potentially useful alternative to small molecule/peptide inhibitors for superantigen-induced shock (2, 8, 12–15, 18, 27, 36, 38). To our knowledge, intravenous immunoglobulins have been used for humans with severe cases of superantigen-induced toxic shock (25, 33), but there are no other clinically available therapeutics against the SEs and related superantigenic toxins (16).

 Finally, the use of a bacterial protein toxin to combat another represents a unique, but perhaps unappreciated, application of “tools” already assembled by Mother Nature. Chimeric forms of the anthrax toxins have already been used successfully by various groups as protein shuttles that effectively stimulate the immune system (7) and fight cancer (20). However, in contrast to results of previous in vitro studies, a recent report suggests that edema toxin can cause necrosis and cytotoxicity in a zebra fish embryo model (37). Further studies are clearly needed with edema toxin in other models for ascertaining inherent toxicity, and as with any potential therapeutic, relative amounts and route of administration critically represent the difference between therapy and toxicity. As per the encouraging results presented in this current in vitro study, future efforts will be made in vivo regarding edema toxin efficacy toward bacterial superantigens such as SEB; however, this is not trivial and will require a “proper” animal model that enables accurate interpretations of the results. Overall, our findings represent another logical extension of the constructive exploitation of a bacterial binary toxin, such as the *B. anthracis* edema toxin, via a “swords into plowshares” approach.
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