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Fall 1997 Russian Military Draft
by MAJ Raymond C. Finch, III

“I (name) solemnly swear my loyalty to the fatherland, the Russian Federation. I swear to scrupulously follow the Constitution of the Russian Federation, strictly carry out the demands of military regulations, the orders of my commanders and superiors. I vow to worthily fulfill my military duty, courageously defend the freedom, independence and constitutional order of Russia, its people and the Fatherland.” --The oath of the Russian draftee

I tried to quote some figures: number of suicides, number of killed. In fact, there are no exact figures—and this is the most frightening fact. The boys conscripted into the Army are not needed. Nobody counts them: either dead or alive. --Press Secretary, Mothers of Soldiers Committee

The draft contingent is inadequate, and people are winding up in the Army today who should not serve in it: the sick, drug addicts, criminals, the illiterate etc.... Retired General and Duma Deputy, E. Vorobiev.

Introduction

This paper will examine the Fall 1997 conscription effort by the Russian military and use it as a prism to highlight some of the current problems within the Russian armed forces. The Russian government continues to rely upon conscription to staff its military and has just completed the autumn 1997 effort to replenish the ranks. According to the 1993 version of the Russian constitution, all Russian males between the ages of 18-27 are obligated to serve in the Armed Forces for a period of two years. Despite plans and initiatives to move toward a professional/volunteer military, the Russian government has been unable to abolish its conscript military manning system. The chief obstacles remain within the realm of economics and tradition. There is little money to move toward a professional military, and the concept of mandatory military service remains entrenched within the Russian idea of citizenship.

According to the latest Ministry of Defense reports, the Russian military was able to meet the 188,400 new recruit goal (113,000 to serve in the Armed Forces, with the remainder serving in the Border Forces, railway troops, and other ministries). However, even with this new influx of recruits, the Army and Navy will be staffed to only 80 percent of enlisted strength requirements. There are a number of factors why the Russian military has difficulty drafting new soldiers: the reluctance of Russian youth to serve in the military, the numerous deferments available to the smart
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and well-connected, the shrinking draft pool and the poor health of the draft-age contingent, the inability or unwillingness of civil authorities to prosecute those who avoid the draft, confusion regarding alternate forms of service, lack of funding to carry out the conscription effort, and recent legislation which prohibits potential soldiers from being conscripted if they have a criminal record.6

Why They Don't Want to Serve

Russian military authorities continue to struggle to instill a sense of patriotism within the younger generation. Since the celebration in 1995 of the 50th Anniversary of the Great Patriotic War, there has been no shortage of TV programs, periodicals, and museums dedicated to the Soviet triumph. The Ministry of Defense produces three different television programs and publishes a number of newspapers and magazines dedicated to military topics.7 Despite the overt propaganda efforts, however, there remains a widespread reluctance to serve. This unwillingness stems from a variety of causes: the military disaster in Chechnya, continuing reports of military corruption and hazing, the austere conditions in which many new recruits are forced to live, and the curtailment of much of the pre-induction training which used to be part of the school curriculum. Whatever their feelings for their country, a large percentage of draft-age Russians see no point in spending two years in what has been categorized as “slave labor,” where the average conscript monthly salary is less than $10.00.

Since taking office in May 1997, the new Minister of Defense, Marshal Igor Sergeyev, has adopted an optimistic, team-player approach to the problems facing the Russian military.8 In contrast to his predecessor (General/Mr. Rodionov), the new MOD has gone out of his way to accentuate the positive and to work with the Yeltsin government toward concrete reform plans. Marshal Sergeyev’s efforts, however, are predicated upon a corresponding increase in defense spending. Reforming and downsizing the Russian military will not be a cost-free proposition. The estimated housing costs alone for demobilized officers in 1998 is billions of rubles short of the allocated amount.9 Marshal Sergeyev’s plans to reform and create a modern, combat-ready military will fail if the government fails to honor its debts to the military (which in turn could spark a larger social unrest).10

Though MOD officials have proclaimed the fall draft campaign a success, there are a number of factors which make these claims suspect. As the spring 1997 draft results indicated, there has been a sharp deterioration of the draft process.11 Though the MOD met the number targets, the overall quality of the draft contingent has fallen sharply. A sizable percentage of the new recruits had health or mental problems (15 percent did not meet the minimum weight standards), one-third had not finished secondary (high) school, 15 percent had some sort of criminal record, 10-15 percent were chronic drug and alcohol abusers, and thousands continued to either evade the draft or to later desert from their units.12 A recent MOD official admitted that two out of every three conscripts from the most recent draft fall into the bottom two overall fitness categories, and that the intellectual level of new draftees has dropped 70 percent between 1993 and 1996.13

Complicating the assessment of the conscription effort is the difficulty in obtaining an accurate headcount as to the actual number of conscripts serving within the Russian armed forces. Depending upon the source, the difference in figures is almost 100 percent.14 It appears that this wide variance
is not just a question of sloppy record-keeping. As the Russian novelist Gogol described more than 100 years ago, there are certain advantages in keeping “dead souls” on the record books. Salaries for a hundred soldiers go much further than that paid for merely fifty.

**The Broken Conscription System**

In their transition toward a different form of government and economic system, many Russians are questioning the need for the draft. (Indeed, as part of President Yeltsin’s re-election campaign, he promised to end conscription and shift to a professional military by the year 2000.) These doubts are part of the larger confusion regarding the parameters and essence of the Russian state. In theory at least, up until six years ago everything within Russia belonged to the “workers’ state,” where all means of production and distribution were held in common. In their rush to privatize state-owned property, however, no clear borders were established as to what property belonged to the state and what could be privatized. This privatization mania has also infected those whose primary duty was to employ force for the state. If the local police can moonlight as armed guards, then why can’t soldiers be employed as cheap private labor? The state has not helped matters by delaying the payment of salaries. This damaged civil-military contract, combined with a tradition of bribery and a weak legal system, has not only resulted in extensive corruption among those wearing a uniform, but also in considerable confusion regarding the role and financing of the military.

This economic confusion has manifested itself in a number of different ways. Since the government was unable to settle its debts with the military, some military leaders have begun to look for other sources of income. Shortly before being relieved from office, MOD Rodionov appealed to the major Russian bankers and industrialists for economic assistance. His successor has adopted a similar tactic to encourage Russian business leaders to become involved with financing the Russian military. Generating its own income by selling off some of its military assets is a key element to the current reform plan. The perception is that the military, like other formally subsidized areas of the Soviet economy, must now be able to fund itself. One important asset in this business equation is the relatively cheap labor costs provided by conscripts.

Corruption has reached record levels in all areas of the Russian government, to include the military and the draft-induction process. There is not a single area within the draft system which is not being exploited for bribes or extortion. Prospective draftees know the cost of purchasing a medical exemption during the initial physical screening. Bureaucrats who sit on the draft boards are more than willing to augment their meager incomes with a bribe to exempt a young man from service. College administrators who provide deferments are well compensated. Local law enforcement authorities charged with “kidnapping” prospective draftees can be paid to lose their summons. Like everything else in Russian society today, the draft has been turned into a ruble-generating, “free-market” system.

The corruption hardly stops when a young Russian finally dons a uniform and begins his military service. To survive in today’s Russian Army requires protection, and the young soldier had better be willing to pay (either in labor or materiel). A portion of the recruit’s training will likely be spent performing unpaid labor for his commanding officer. This “training” might take the form of
building a summer cottage, guarding a personal home, or helping to harvest the neighboring *kolhoz’s* crops. Russia’s conscript army remains one of the largest (practically unpaid) labor pools.

Apropos to this widespread corruption is the quasi-criminal mind set which permeates the military enlisted ranks, where senior, more experienced soldiers take brutal advantage of the new conscripts. Soldiers are abused daily, quite often with tragic consequences. The law and soldiers’ rights remain dependent upon the arbitrary judgement of the commander. There has been some movement recently to crack down on this vicious habit of “dedovshina,” or hazing, and hold those accountable for committing these crimes. However, there is still little effective civilian oversight and the military remains accountable only to itself.

The declining health of the draft-age population is another factor which has had a negative impact upon the conduct of this fall’s conscription effort. Russia is experiencing a grave reduction in the overall health of its population. The average life span for males has now fallen to one of the world’s lowest (average life expectancy for males - 59 years). It is estimated that between one-fifth and one-third of all potential draftees are unable to meet the basic physical fitness standards. Some military units have been forced to establish special dietary camps where the new recruits can put on additional weight before training begins.

The MOD claims that to carry out the Fall 1997 draft effort cost an estimated 105 billion rubles ($17,000,000), but only 32 billion were allocated. Local authorities presumably made up a portion of the shortfall. The generosity of local officials has more to do with politics than patriotism. In meeting the draft quotas established by Moscow and providing for the decent processing of their future constituents, these local leaders hope to receive something in return. This political capital may prove to be decisive in both future power-sharing agreements with Kremlin leaders and support from their draft-age constituents. A more ominous prognosis could interpret this as another step toward regional warlordism.

In a very real sense, the Russian military is nearly professional. From the best estimates available, officers and contract soldiers (half of which are officers’ wives) make up nearly two-thirds of the active force. Despite all of the problems associated with conscription, it will likely be the corporate interests of these professionals which will pose the greatest challenges to the Russian state. Unlike conscripts, officers and their families expect to be fairly well paid and fed.

There is a lingering belief among a sizable portion of the Russian population that despite the current economic difficulties, Russia remains a superpower. As such, Russia must have a military which reflects this status. An equally large portion of the population is no longer willing to forego the beans and butter to buy more bullets. They are beginning to realize that mere military prowess alone is no measure of superpower status. The problems in carrying out the Fall 1997 draft characterizes this struggle over how to define Russia’s status.
Implications for the United States Military

The problems with the Fall 1997 conscription effort reflect many of the problems currently confronting the Russian security establishment. While there may be a handful of combat-ready units, the overwhelming majority of Russian military forces are poorly trained, equipped, paid, and led. Lack of available revenues have hampered the efforts of the political and military leadership from creating smaller, better-trained, and better-equipped armed forces. Improvement in this area is largely contingent upon stabilization and growth within the economic sector.

With regard to conventional forces, the Russian military presents only a minimal threat to the United States or to its allies for at least a decade. However, there are a number of other non-conventional threat scenarios which merit vigilance. For instance, there is no guarantee that the drop in conscript quality will not affect the Russian Strategic Nuclear Forces. Even though conscripts do not have direct access to these weapons, they do help maintain the communications and logistic base which supports these systems. There are also indications that the link between organized crime and the military continue to expand, especially with regard to weapon sales. Whether conscript or general officer, dire economic straits will force the owners of these weapons to search for buyers. There will likely be a continued proliferation in weapons of mass destruction.

The problems with the draft will also affect the vibrancy of the U.S.-Russian military partnership. To date, the Russian military leadership has hand-picked those units involved with training or working with their U.S. counterparts. Some of the reluctance of the Russian military to play a more active role in combined exercises (i.e. Partnership for Peace) stems from economic considerations. By and large, the Russian political and military leadership are unwilling to admit their impoverished state to their former Cold War foe. This reluctance will aggravate future cooperative efforts in the military realm.
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19. A recent segment from Army Magazine, a TV program dedicated to military topics, was dedicated to the subject of “dedovshina,” where they advertised an “abuse hot-line” for abused soldiers to call. There have also been a number of articles in the MOD’s written publications describing this lawless behavior and what commanders and soldiers should do to eradicate the problem. It appears that the Military Procurator is beginning to conduct on-site investigations to root out this criminal behavior. See: McLaren and Aleksandr Raskin, “Prosecutors Promise to Put End


22. Aleksandr Gol’tz, “Novobrantsy” (Recruits), *Itogi*, 21 October 1997, pp. 25-27. The governor of Tver, Vladimir Platov, not only saw his young draft-age constituents off at the rail station, but gave them a telephone number to call if they should become victims of “dedovshina.”

23. See for instance, Nikolay Styazhkin, “Stavropol Authorities Want to Control Local Troops,” *ITAR-TASS*, 11 Jan 98 as translated in FBIS-SOV-98-012 (on-line). Besides the creation of para-military Cossack groups where new recruits serve within the local areas, certain ethnic groups (besides the Chechens) have also begun to recruit, train, and equip their local military units. For instance, see: Mark Galeotti, “Growth of the North Caucasian armies,” *Jane's Intelligence Review*, January 98, pp. 3-4.

CALL/FMSO PRODUCTS “On-Line”

Thanks to the explosion in the Internet technologies, many soldiers are using Internet providers or on-line services, such as CompuServe or America OnLine. For those of you that have not yet attempted to contact CALL, there are two ways to access information from CALL/FMSO on-line: E-mail and the World Wide Web (www). CALL has transitioned to a Web-based access to the CALL data base (DB) (CALLDB) as of 1 August 1996. The CALL and FMSO Home Page addresses are:

http://call.army.mil

Along with FMSO Studies, CALL also offers the following products:

❖ **CTC Bulletins, CTC Observations and Trends Products:** These products are periodic publications that provide current lessons/TTP and information from the training centers (see Combat Training Center (CTC) Branch Section).

❖ **Special Editions:** Special Editions are newsletters related to a specific operation or exercise. Special Editions are normally available prior to a deployment and targeted for only those units deploying to a particular theater or preparing to deploy to the theater.

❖ **News From The Front! Bulletin:** This bulletin is a bi-monthly product that contains information and lessons on exercises, real-world events, and subjects that inform and educate soldiers and leaders. It provides an opportunity for units and soldiers to learn from each other by sharing information and lessons with the Total Force.

❖ **Training Techniques:** Accessed from the CALL Homepage. The Army's first on-line publication. It is focused at TTP for brigade and below.

❖ **Handbooks:** Handbooks are “how to” manuals on specific subjects (i.e., rehearsals, inactivation).

❖ **Initial Impressions Products:** A product developed during and immediately after a real-world operation (Bosnia) and disseminated in the shortest time possible for follow-on units for use in educating personnel and to support training prior to deployment to a theater. Training products (i.e., vignettes) may also be produced to support the follow-on unit to focus training activities.

Many customers are sending in their requests for information to CALL by E-mail, which is the simplest way to communicate with CALL. By sending an E-mail note to the CALL mailbox (please include your street address), you can register for future electronic publications, make requests for information or publications, or send in your own observations, tactics, techniques and procedures and articles. CALL’s and FMSO’s current E-mail addresses are:

call@leav-emh1.army.mil
fmso@leav-emh1.army.mil

Support CALL in the exchange of information by telling us about your successes so they may be shared and become Army successes!