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The overall hypothesis of this project is that the radiation response of normal and cancerous prostate tissues can be correlated to the appropriate sensing and repair of DNA breaks by repair complexes following exposure to ionizing radiation. Specific aims relate to determining the interaction of DNA repair proteins in vitro using immunofluorescent confocal microscopy and biochemical DNA rejoining assays under both hypoxic and oxic conditions (given in vivo tumour cell populations). An in vivo program of prostate xenograft radioresponse is also being initiated to determine the level of DNA repair in situ using immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescent markers. Our studies show that terminal growth arrest rather than apoptosis is the major death pathway for irradiated prostate cancer cells and that prostate cancer cells have a DNA repair defect when compared to normal prostate epithelial cells. This appears to be related to the expression and intracellular function of the Rad51 protein. Current experiments are designed to determine whether DNA protein focal interactions can predict the radioresponse of prostate xenografts and human tumors, in vivo. Our studies support the use of novel radiosensitizing compounds and predictive diagnostic assays for cancer therapy using DNA repair biomarkers.
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Introduction:

The current funded study entitled “Molecular mechanisms of radioresistance in prostate cancer”, is investigating the role of DNA break repair in the radiation response of normal and malignant prostate epithelium. The overall hypothesis of this project is that the radiation response of normal and cancerous prostate tissues can be correlated to the appropriate sensing and repair of DNA breaks by repair complexes following exposure to ionizing radiation. Specific aims relate to determining the interaction of DNA repair proteins in vitro using immunofluorescent confocal microscopy and biochemical DNA joining assays under both hypoxic and oxic conditions (given in vivo tumour cell populations). An in vivo program of prostate xenograft radioresponse is also being initiated to determine the level of DNA repair in situ using immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescent markers. These initial studies will determine the heterogeneity in fractionated response in a series of prostate xenografts as relates to DNA repair capacity, which may be translated to novel markers for radiation response in patients who receive prostate radiation therapy. The relevance of this project is that this in vitro to in vivo preclinical approach may derive clinical biomarkers of radiation response which can predict which patients will most benefit from radiation therapy for prostate cancer. The project will also determine the molecular mechanisms behind radiation response, in general, in prostate epithelial tissues (1,2,5).

Body:

Task 1: Initially, the first task was to primarily complete in vitro studies on PC-3; LNCaP, DU145 and normal prostate (PRSE and PREC) cells relating to DNA double strand break repair. Clonogenic radiation curves were derived for all 5 cell cultures, and complete apoptosis assays were determined in both a dose and time responsive manner. We observed that although there were differences in SF2 value for all 5 cell lines, there was little variability in radiation induced apoptosis and no evidence for dose-dependancy. This suggests that there were alternate death mechanisms for prostate cells following exposure to ionizing radiation which was determined to be post-mitotic cell death and senescence(2). This is important as it supports our grant’s hypothesis that DNA repair is an important endpoint for study as it correlates more to cell survival using terminal growth arrest or cell senescence. For example, in all 5 cell lines, we found that there was an increase in the p16 superscript ink4a and p21 superscript WAF1/WAF2 genes at 5-9 days following 2-10 Gy of ionizing radiation. Furthermore, these cells were found to contain senescent populations which was quantitated and found to be dose responsive and correlated to their clonogenic survival (using a novel fluorescent flow cytometric proliferation assay). This work has been accepted for publication in Prostate Cancer and Prostate Diseases (Nature Publishing Group) and should be out in the fall issue of 2002 (Refer to text and figures contained in manuscript 1, Bromfield et al; appended as Appendix 1).

Task one projects were also completed for all 5 cell lines in determining the ability for the cells to repair double strand breaks, single strand breaks and DNA base damage (and oxidative damage) following ionizing radiation using the comet assay. Preliminary data with pulse field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) and continuous field gel electrophoresis...
(CFGE) suggested that the results for DNA break assays correlated well to the Comet assay. As the Comet assay can give further information cell cycle distribution following DNA damage, we utilized this assay for all further experiments. We have observed a series of novel observations which currently suggests that malignant prostate cancer cells have a DNA repair defect in the repair of DNA-dsbs, DNA-ssbs and DNA-base damage and oxidative damage in relation to the two normal prostate epithelial and stromal cell cultures (4,6; see Figure 1). This has not been reported before. Current experiments are discerning whether or not repair is altered under oxic versus hypoxic conditions and early data suggest that rad51 is reduced in expression under hypoxic conditions. These studied will be completed in the next 6 months.

RNA protection and Western blot analyses suggest that that the non-homologous (ie Ku70/80/DNA-PK) and homologous (ie rad50/51/XRCC3) proteins are upregulated at the transcriptional level in the malignant prostate cells compared to normal prostate cells (see Figure 2). We therefore went onto to discern protein function in terms of protein-protein interactions during DNA repair. Antibody labeling conditions and image analysis programs have been completed to utilized quantitative confocal microscopy for DNA complexes regarding RAD51, RAD50, MRE11, P95, BRCA1, BRCA2, P53, RPA, ATM and DNA-PK protein co-localization following DNA damage. We initially utilized RNA protection analyses (RPA) and western blots to show that malignant prostate cancers have an increased expression of homologous recombination-associated protein complexes, including XRCC3 and RAD51 proteins. This result was also recently reported in a publication early in 2002 by Haaf and co-workers (7).

However, more importantly, our quantitative confocal microscopy has shown that all though the 3 malignant prostate epithelial cell lines (PC-3, DU-145, LNCaP) have high levels of protein expression on western blot analysis, they do not have functional RAD51 in terms of forming nuclear complexes following DNA damage. We observed that there is a decreased incidence of ionizing radiation induced foci of RAD51 following 10 Gy of irradiation when compared to the normal cell cultures. In fact it appears, that the LNCaP cell line, shows perinuclear staining following ionizing radiation and cannot be transported into the nucleus following DNA damage. It may therefore be that there are mutations in the BRCA2 and BRCA1 genes which are responsible for complexing with the RAD51 protein, and all 5 cell lines are being sequenced for BRCA1 and BRCA2 and RAD51 in separate experiments (9). This result has not been reported in the literature before, and may suggest that although there are increased levels of RAD51 and tumour cell lines, they may not have an increased fidelity of DNA repair if the protein cannot translocate from the cytoplasm to the nucleus. A quantitative assessment of the DNA complexes following radiation by both RNA, protein and repair foci complex formation endpoints have been completed and a manuscript is in preparation to be submitted in the next 3 months regarding this (Fan et al., 2002; see Figures 3, 4).

Primary prostatic cultures were initiated as prostatectomy specimen cultures available through Coriell Laboratories. We were successful in culturing both the prostate stromal and the prostate epithelial cell cultures in vitro to be utilized in a radiobiology and DNA
repair experiments. DNA double stand break repair complexes have been determined in these cultures and are part of the data set contained in the manuscript in Appendix 1 and the manuscript in preparation, as noted above.

Xenografts from Erasmus University, Rotterdam were received after an MTA was signed and they were implanted into Balb/c mice for growth assays. An ethics approval for biopsies taken before and after 5 fraction (25 Gy in 5 fractions pre-operative radiotherapy) during clinical prostate radiotherapy was given by our research ethics board (REB) and this was submitted to the US Army as part of the documentation for this grant. Eight patients have been accrued to the phase 1 pilot study of preoperative radiotherapy, and we are using both pre and post radiotherapy biopsies on these patients to derive the markers related to in vivo irradiation or patient irradiation as outlined in Tasks 2 and 3. We have therefore completed all endpoints for Task 1, as a result, manuscripts are in preparation, or in press, in relation to Task 3.

Task 2: Complete in vivo radiobiologic studies on human prostate xenografts (months 12-24).

We have determined that there can be cell cycle phase specific changes in DNA-double strand break foci formation, in relation to DNA rejoining, by irradiating cells under both a synchronous and G0-G1 synchronized conditions. However it was difficult to synchronize the malignant cells completely in G1 and we only could synchronize them to a point that 90% of cells were in G1 at the time of irradiation, compared to >95% for the normal cell lines. Our data suggests that DNA-double strand break foci, including homologous recombination-associated proteins, do form in the absence of DNA replication in G1 and are dose responsive. Selected experiments looking at G1 versus S versus G2 phase of the cell cycle and DNA double strand break foci formation in malignant and normal cell lines will take place over the next 6-12 months.

Single dose experiments have been completed for the PC-3 and the selected Rotterdam xenografts, utilizing the dose of 20 Gy, and then the xenografts were removed and stained for immunohistochemical markers pertaining to p53, apoptosis related genes (bax, BCL2 and TUNEL assay as well as survivin) and DNA repair markers (RAD50, BRCA1, BRCA2, RAD50, DNAPKcs, KU70, KU80, ATM, P21, RB, MYC and RPA). The xenograft histology was also stained for proliferation markers such as MIB-1, KI-67 and PCNA. Our early results suggest that RAD51 and RAD50 and BRCA2 increase in expression both in terms of the nuclear intensity of staining as well as a number of cells positively staining for protein following 20 Gy or irradiation in vivo at 24 hours (e.g. see Figure 4). This has not been reported in the literature before (8,10). It is unclear whether or not the increase in staining is occurring in the oxic versus hypoxic compartments and as such we are pursuing these studies relating to relative expression within the two compartments using hypoxic markers (GLUT-1 and HIF1alpha) in separate experiments.

Growth delay will be determined in the next 12 months for fractionated, single dose in control groups of animals containing the PC-3 DU-145 and PR-346C and other
Rotterdam xenograft to complete Task 2. IHC endpoints will be completed as in the previous paragraph for all animal treatment cohorts.

Unlike in vitro experiments, the observation of discrete DNA repair foci using normal microscopic IHC within histologic specimens of xenografts irradiated in vivo have been difficult and does not have the resolution of the confocal microscopy. We are continuing to modify the microscope technology in order to do this, and also optimize our tissue fixation prior to antibody use to allow for confocal microscopy based on frozen sections. However, it may be that the relative subcellular localization of repair proteins (cytoplasmic versus nuclear), such as that we have observed in studies described in Task 1 for RAD51, may give us a unique marker for malignancy, as well as radiation response that can not solely be quantitated using the number of foci, per se.

Accrual of peri-radiotherapy biopsies from clinical patients after fraction 5 for analysis will be starting in the next 6 months, as we have altered our radiation protocol to improve IMRT-treated patients as well as a new dose for dose escalated conformal radiotherapy (change from 75.6 to 79.8 Gy). Nonetheless, six patients so far have been accrued for the preoperative radiotherapy study, and we are just in the midst of sequencing their p53 status for all 11 exons of the p53 gene. Baseline immunohistochemistry for p53, p21, TUNNEL, bax and BCL-2 have been completed. We are currently staining the Phase I pre-operative radiation study patients (i.e. post 25 Gy) biopsies to compare pre and post radiotherapy specimens for the unique markers outlined above and this should be completed within the next 6-9 months.

**Task 3: (Months 18-36)**

Task 3 is to primarily complete the clinical studies undergoing radiotherapy, and to determine DNA repair foci in human clinical biopsies pre and post radiotherapy in phase 3 and Phase 1 trials. We will continue our xenograft studies as it pertains to fractionated and single dose related growth delay experiments, and to ascertain the relative senescence-associated in DNA repair-associated markers that we have observed based on the manuscripts completed related to Task 1. As such we have already started some components of Task 3 and these studies will run long-term and parallel as patient biopsies are accrued for the assays.

**KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS:**

1. Determination of clonogenic radiation survival for both normal malignant prostate epithelium, showing that normal epithelium is exquisitely sensitive to radiation which may therefore explain the glandular atrophy during clinical prostate radiotherapy.
2. Apoptosis is not the dominant mode of cell death in prostate cancer cells following ionizing radiation. Clonogenic survival can be better estimated by the number of cells undergoing a tumour arrest or senescence like phenotype which may explain the long time to PSA nadir in irradiated prostate patients.
3. Molecular markers pertaining to apoptosis and molecular endpoints of apoptosis are not correlated in malignant and normal prostate cell lines.
4. DNA repair complexes can be visualized using confocal microscopy and quantitative and are dose dependant for the RAD50, RAD51, BRCA2-associated complexes. The appearance and disappearance of these foci correlate to the kinetics of DNA biochemical rejoining assays (ie. Comet assay).

5. Malignant prostate epithelium has an inherent DNA defect in terms of DNA double strand break repair, single strand break repair and base damage repair. This may be related to carcinogenesis as well as irradiation response. The defect in repair can be associated with the defect in the RAD51 nuclear foci formation, even though these cell lines exhibit high levels or RAD51 protein expression on western blot analyses. There is therefore a disconnect between these two endpoints which speaks to function rather than expression.

6. Xenografts have been received from Rotterdam and are growing in nude mice. Preliminary single dose experiments (20 Gy) show that a number of DNA repair markers can be radiation induced and this now must be correlated to the overall radiation response in terms of in vivo growth delay analyses.

7. Accrual to the phase I pilot study of preoperative radiotherapy has achieved in 8 patients so far in which biopsies are available in pre and post radiotherapy for staining of DNA-repair associated markers.

8. These same biopsies have been successfully sequenced for the p53 gene in terms of all exons (2-11) and are being correlated with immunohistochemical endpoints relating to p53 function and expression and apoptosis.

**Reportable Outcomes:**

**Manuscripts:**


Bromfield G, Fan R, Meng A, Kumaravel Ts, Bristow RG. Radiation-Induced Death Pathways in Prostate Cells: Role of Apoptotic and Non-Apoptotic Cell Death (In press, Prostate Cancer and Prostate Diseases, Fall 2002).

Fan, R., Kumaravel, TS, Bromfield G.and Bristow, R. “Defective rad51 nuclear localization in malignant prostate cancer. Manuscript in preparation, Fall, 2002

**Abstracts and Presentations:**


**Invited Lectures—R. Bristow:**


“Molecular Biomarkers in Radiation Oncology”, Target Insight DRO-CME Meeting; **Invited Speaker**; May 2001.


**Other reportable outcomes:**

Ms. Gillian Bromfield obtained an MSc in Medical Biophysics (U Toronto) utilizing operating funds from this award relating to apoptosis and senescence in irradiated prostate cells. Furthermore, there is a continues development of the tissue bank relating to irradiated prostate specimens as it relates to the phase I and phase III clinical trials. Funding was applied to the Canadian Prostate Cancer Research Initiative (CPCRI) regarding HDAC inhibitors and prostate cancer senescence, and a seed grant ($ 37,500 CAN has been awarded. Although the proposed new studies are completely separate from the current US Army DOD grant, information gleaned from the US Army grant supported new hypotheses in the CPCRI grant.

Ms. Alice Meng, M.Sc. was hired as the technician for the technical aspects of the grant as outlined in the original budget. She came to our lab from the private biotechnology sector.

Dr. Rong Fan, a post doctoral fellow recruited following a previous post-doctoral fellowship at Harvard University, is supported by this US Army award. She has done extremely well in her studies relating to RAD51 and prostate cancer carcinogenesis in
radiation response. A manuscript will ensue from this work shortly in the next 3-6 months.

Conclusions:

The importance of our work suggests that we can focus on DNA repair as an important endpoint in the radiation response of prostate cancer, given that we have shown the terminal growth arrest and cells senescence are associated with clonogenic survival rather than apoptosis.

Our important results currently suggest that cell senescence is an important factor that must be further looked at in terms of pharmacologic manipulation in relation to prostate cancer cell kill following radiation. Our studies also suggest that there are defects in DNA repair the novel in malignant cell lines compared to normal epithelial cell lines, and this is being investigated with confocal immunofluorescent biology in terms of function and repair complex formation (5). Currently our data suggests that in malignant prostate cancer, the RAD51 is dysfunctional even though it is expressed at high levels, which may explain prostate cancer carcinogenesis and relative response to radiation therapy(1). These studies also suggest that anti-RAD51 therapy, such as gene therapy using alogo nucleotides or adenoviral vectors(3), may be efficacious in targeting malignant versus normal prostate cells, given that the RAD51 protein is dysfunctional in malignant cancer epithelium.

References:


Dear Dr Bristow

Re: Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases – Manuscript 000223

Thank you for sending in your revised paper entitled “Cell Death in Irradiated Prostate Epithelia Cells: Role of Apoptotic and Clonogenic Cell Kill”. I am pleased to be able to inform you that the paper has now been accepted for publication in the journal Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases and I have today forwarded it to the publishers for typesetting. You should receive author proofs in a couple of weeks.

Thank you for taking the time to submit to this journal.

Kind regards
Yours sincerely

Roger S Kirby
Professor of Urology
Editor
Cell Death in Irradiated Prostate Epithelial Cells:

Role of Apoptotic and Clonogenic Cell Kill

Bromfield, GP², Meng, A², Warde P¹ and Bristow RG¹,²*

Departments of Radiation Oncology¹ and Medical Biophysics²
University of Toronto and
The Ontario Cancer Institute/Princess Margaret Hospital
(University Health Network)
Toronto, Ontario, CANADA

*Correspondence to:
Robert Bristow MD PhD FRCPC
Department of Radiation Oncology
Princess Margaret Hospital (UHN)
610 University Avenue, Toronto,
Ontario, CANADA.
M5G 2M9.
Telephone: 416-946-2129
FAX: 416-946-4589.
E-mail: rob.bristow@rmp.uhn.on.ca

Running Title: Death In Irradiated Prostate Epithelial Cells

Key Words: prostate cancer, epithelium, senescence, apoptosis, radiosensitivity
ABSTRACT

Dose-escalated conformal radiotherapy is increasingly being used to radically treat prostate cancer with encouraging results and minimal long-term toxicity, yet little is known regarding the response of normal or malignant prostate cells to ionizing radiation (IR). To clarify the basis for cell killing during prostate cancer radiotherapy, we determined the IR-induced expression of several apoptotic- (bax, bcl-2, survivin and PARP) and G1-cell cycle checkpoint- (p53 and p21\textsuperscript{WAF1/Cip1}) related proteins, in both normal (PrEC-epithelial and PrSC-stromal) and malignant (LNCaP, DU-145 and PC-3; all epithelial) prostate cells. For these experiments, we chose doses ranging from 2 to 10Gy, to be representative of the 1.8-2Gy daily clinical fractions given during curative radiotherapy and the 8-10Gy single doses given in palliative radiotherapy. We observed that IR-induced bax and p21\textsuperscript{WAF1/Cip1} protein expression were attenuated selectively in normal stromal and epithelial cell cultures, yet maintained their p53-dependency in malignant cell lines. For each cell culture, we also determined total apoptotic and overall radiation cell kill using a short-term nuclear morphologic assay and a long-term clonogenic survival assay, respectively. Clonogenic survival, as measured by the surviving fraction at 2Gy (SF2), ranged from 0.05 (PrEC) to 0.55 (DU-145), suggesting that malignant prostate cells are more radioresistant than normal prostate cells, for this series. IR-induced apoptotic cell kill was minimal (less than 6% cell after a dose of 10Gy at times of 24-96 hours) and was not dose-dependent. Furthermore, apoptotic kill was not correlated with either molecular apoptotic response or clonogenic cell kill. Using a flow cytometric proliferation assay with the PrSC (stromal) and DU-145 (epithelial) representative cultures, we observed that a senescent-like phenotype (SLP) emerges within a sub-population of cells post-irradiation that is non-clonogenic. Terminal growth arrest was dose-responsive at 96 hours following irradiation and associated with long-term expression of both p21\textsuperscript{WAF1/Cip1} and p16\textsuperscript{INK4a} genes. Future strategies for prostate radiotherapy prediction or novel treatments should additionally focus on terminal growth arrest as an important endpoint in prostate cancer therapy.

Key Words: prostate cancer, epithelium, senescence, apoptosis, radiosensitivity
INTRODUCTION

Dose-escalated (i.e. 76-80Gy) radiotherapy is an important treatment option for men with intermediate-risk prostate cancer who present with T1or T2 disease, a Gleason score greater than 6 out of 10 and serum prostatic specific antigen (PSA) values in the order of 10-20ug/ml. Successful radiotherapy results in a gradual decline of the serum PSA over 12-24 months following treatment where a PSA nadir of less than 1.0ug/ml predicts for 5-year, long-term local control. With 3-dimensional conformal (3D-CRT) or intensity-modulated (IMRT) radiotherapy treatment protocols, 5-year PSA-free relapse rates are approximately 75-85% and associated with minimal late toxicity (less than 5% with Radiotherapy Oncology Group (RTOG) Grade 3-4 rectal or bladder damage).

Yet these same data predict that 15-25% will not achieve local control following radical radiotherapy, thought in part to be due to the intrinsic radioresistance of prostate cancer cells secondary to genetic (e.g. apoptosis, cell-cycle or DNA-repair-related gene expression) or microenvironmental factors (e.g. hypoxia or altered growth factor expression). The success of radiation therapy in prostate cancer treatment is therefore dependent on the eradication of all prostate tumor clonogens (i.e. tumor stem cells, estimated to be less than 1% of cells within a tumor), which are select tumor cells capable of unlimited proliferative potential. Failure to eradicate this particular population will result in the regrowth of the tumor following treatment. Despite many advances towards understanding prostate carcinogenesis, little work has been published to date relating the mode of clonogenic cell kill following DNA damage in either normal and malignant prostate epithelial cell cultures. This information is important in defining new strategies to augment prostate cancer cell kill and understand the kinetics of cell kill following radiotherapy (in comparison to decreasing PSA value kinetics in vivo) and may allow optimal interpretation of post-radiotherapy biopsies as a secondary measure of local tumor control.

Apoptosis plays an important role in the death of both normal prostate and androgen-dependent malignant prostate tissue following androgen withdrawal, leading to a decrease in either glandular or tumor volume, respectively. This is supported by the observation of rapid apoptosis following castration in the rat prostate glands (a response lacking in mice deficient in expression of the pro-apoptotic gene, bax) and in patients treated with androgen-withdrawal therapy for locally advanced or metastatic prostate cancer. The relationship between androgen ablation and apoptosis has led to a number of clinical studies that have attempted to determine whether local failure following radical radiotherapy is secondary to genetic factors that control radiation-induced apoptosis. However, taken together, clinico-pathologic studies that have attempted to correlate altered expression of the p53, p21^{WAF1/CIP1}, bax, bcl-2 and caspase apoptosis-related genes and radiocurability have been
inconclusive.\textsuperscript{9,10} This may be due to small clinical sample size, differences in the quantitation or timing of immunohistochemical or gene expression endpoints\textsuperscript{11,12}, variable clinical treatment parameters, or perhaps due to alternate mechanisms of prostate cell death that confound analyses focused solely on apoptotic endpoints.

Recent data has supported the hypothesis that apoptosis may not be the dominant mode of cell death following radio- and chemotherapy in stromal (i.e. fibroblast) and epithelial tissues\textsuperscript{13,14}. Indeed, it has been suggested that in these and selected tumor models, apoptosis may actually occur in a non-clonogenic population following DNA damage\textsuperscript{15,16}. Alternatively, a permanent cell-cycle arrest or senescence-like terminal growth arrest may also be a factor in determining prostate cell death following radiotherapy\textsuperscript{17}. Markers of senescence, such as senescence-associated β-galactosidase (SA β-gal) activity and permanently elevated levels of p21\textsuperscript{WAF1/Cip1} and p16\textsuperscript{INK4a} are actively under investigation as biomarkers of terminal growth arrest in human tumors\textsuperscript{18}. Chang and co-workers\textsuperscript{19} observed that a number of DNA-damaging agents (including ionizing radiation) could induce a senescence-like phenotype (SLP) in 11/14 cell lines tested. Other laboratories\textsuperscript{13} and reviews have outlined a number of considerations regarding radiation-induced cell-death, including cell-type dependency in defining the dominant mode(s) of death (i.e. apoptosis, mitotic-linked death and reproductive death (SLP)/necrosis)\textsuperscript{20}. An improved understanding of death processes has been afforded by novel flow cytometric methods to detect terminally-arrested tumor cells following drug treatment\textsuperscript{19,20}, and ascertain their relative morphology and clonogenic potential.

The purpose of the current study was to examine the mode(s) of prostate cell death \textit{in vitro} following exposure to ionizing radiation to possibly refine clinical biomarkers for prediction of radiotherapeutic response and suggest future treatment strategies. Apoptosis, proliferation and clonogenic survival were assessed in a panel of cell lines comprised of both normal (stromal-PrSC and epithelial-PREC) and malignant (LNCaP, DU-145, PC-3; all epithelial) cultures, to determine the overall cellular response. We demonstrate that apoptosis is not the dominant mode of cell kill in this panel of cell cultures post-IR. Instead, data on selected cell lines supports the concept that long-term proliferative arrest relates to clonogenic radiation cell killing \textit{in vitro}.

\textbf{MATERIALS AND METHODS}

\textit{Cell Culture}

All cell cultures were incubated in vented tissue culture flasks under 5% CO\textsubscript{2} and 37°C culture conditions. LNCaP cells (a gift from L. Chung, University of Virginia) were maintained in T-media (Gibco-BRL) and supplemented with 10% FCS. PC-3 and DU-145 cells were purchased from ATCC and maintained as suggested in Ham's F12K, and alpha-Modified Eagles Medium respectively,
supplemented with 10% FCS and 2mM L-glutamine. PrEC (normal prostate epithelial cells) and PrSC (normal prostate stromal cells) were purchased from Clonetics and maintained per suggested protocol in PrEGM media and SCGM media, without testosterone supplementation, respectively. Both cell cultures have limited lifespan and proliferative potential in culture according to the supplier and we have consistently observed decreased growth rates following passage 5 in vitro for both cultures. Immortalized myc-infected Rat-1 HO15.19 fibroblast cells (a gift from Dr. L. Penn, OCI/PMH\textsuperscript{21}) were maintained in Dulbecco's H21 media supplemented with 10% FBS. Cultures were maintained without testosterone supplementation to ensure that radiation survival studies were completed under similar conditions in normal and malignant prostate cultures and as previous experiments have determined that exogenous testosterone may not always alter apoptotic responses, clonogenic survival or IR-induced p21\(^{WAF1/CIP1}\) expression and cell arrest in androgen-sensitive cells (J. Tsihlias, personal communication, and\textsuperscript{22,23}). Approximate doubling times for cell cultures under these conditions were as follows: PrEC: 36-48 hours (highly variable), PrSC: 18 hours, LNCaP: 36 hours, PC-3: 24 hours, DU-145: 18 hours, Myc-expressing Rat-1HO15.19: 16 hours.

**SF2 Gy and Clonogenic Radiation Survival Curves**

Logarithmically growing cells were rinsed with PBS/HBSS, trypsinized for 5 minutes at 37°C, and then were seeded at appropriate densities for colony formation in six-well dishes (Nunc). Asynchronous cultures were irradiated 16-20 hours post-plating to reduce the immediate effects of trypsinization and such that the multiplicity index was less that 1.1\textsuperscript{24}. At least two dilutions of cells in triplicate were used for each dose point for any given individual experiment. At least three independent clonogenic radiation experiments were completed for each cell line. Plated cells were either mock irradiated or irradiated with 0 to 10Gy under aerobic conditions using a \(^{137}\text{Cs}\) irradiator at ~1 Gy/min at room temperature. Plates were then incubated at 37°C for 7-14 days depending on cell doubling time in vitro and re-fed every 4-5 days before fixation and staining (methylene blue/50% methanol) of resulting colonies (aggregates of greater than fifty cells were scored as a colony). Radiation survival was calculated as the plating efficiency of treated cells divided by the plating efficiency of untreated cells and plotted as a function of dose on a semi-logarithmic plot as previously described\textsuperscript{24}. We were unable to derive colonies at doses greater than 2Gy in the LNCaP and PrEC cell cultures due to poor plating efficiencies, and therefore only SF2 values are presented for these cultures. For a given cell culture, there was no correlation between the SF2 values and plating efficiency amongst individual experiments, although mean SF2 values were increased in cell lines which exhibited increased plating efficiency, as reported in the literature (i.e. DU-145 and PC-3)\textsuperscript{3,21}. 

5
**Western Blotting**

Logarithmically-growing cells were irradiated and lysed on ice for 20 minutes with E7 lysis buffer as previously described. Protein quantification was performed using a commercial Pierce-BCA assay kit to derive a mean concentration value based on three assays per lysate. SDS-PAGE was performed using 7-12% bis-acrylamide (29:1) gels with a 4% stacking gel run in a Novex X-cell semi-dry Mini Cell western blotting apparatus at room temperature. Each well was loaded with 20μg of total protein plus loading buffer (final concentration 1x-6% glycerol, 0.83% β-mercaptoethanol, 1.71% Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 0.002% Bromophenol Blue) after boiling for 3 minutes. Samples resolved by electrophoresis at 80-110 Volts for 1.5-2.5 hrs were transferred onto nitrocellulose overnight at 14v/4°C or for 1.5 hours at 24v/room temperature in transfer buffer (75mM glycine, 10mM Tris, 20% methanol). For selected blots, pre-hybridization staining with Ponceau S confirmed equal loading and transfer between running lanes.

To detect protein, membranes were blocked in TBST/0-10% low fat milk and then exposed to the primary antibody 2-4 hours at room temperature constant rotation. Membranes were then rinsed with TBST and exposed to the appropriate secondary antibody for 1 hour under similar conditions, rinsed again with TBST, once with 10x TBS and finally incubated in Amersham ECL chemiluminescence solution for one minute. Membranes were exposed to Hyperfilm ECL from AmershamPharmacia and analyzed by densitometry (Molecular Dynamics Computing Densitometer, ImageQuant Mac v.1.2). Primary antibodies used in these studies included: p53-mouse monoclonal (Santa Cruz Bp53-12, 1:3000); p21WAF1-mouse monoclonal (Oncogene Ab-1, 1:3000); Bax-rabbit polyclonal (Santa Cruz N-20, 1:1000); Bcl-2-mouse monoclonal (Santa Cruz 509, 1:500); PARP-mouse monoclonal (BioMol SA-249, 1:1000), survivin-rabbit polyclonal (Alpha Diagnostics SURV11, 1:5000), and p16INK4A mouse monoclonal (Oncogene Ab-1, 1:1000).

**Assays for Apoptotic Cell Death**

Radiation-induced apoptosis was quantified on the basis of distinct nuclear morphology and associated apoptotic bodies based on a previously standardized immunofluorescence protocol (Hoechst 33342 staining). For the morphology assay, logarithmically growing cells were re-plated at appropriate densities in triplicate and mock/irradiated with 0, 2, 10 or 20Gy. These were scored for apoptotic morphology (i.e. apoptotic bodies and nuclear condensation-see sample in Figure 2a) at periods of 24 to 96 hours following irradiation. Total adherent and floating cells in each culture were fixed and stained in 4% formalin-PBS/10μM Hoechst 33342 DNA-specific dye for 30 minutes at RT. Cell counts to evaluate any cell loss/lysis into culture media were also performed at each time-point.
All experiments utilized Rat-1 HO15.19 cell line as positive control for gamma-irradiation induced apoptosis (L. Penn, personal communication and Lee et al.25).

**Radiation Survival in Proliferating and Non-proliferating Irradiated Cultures: The CFDA-SE Flow Cytometry Proliferation Assay**

To determine if permanent arrest is associated with decreased clonogenic survival, a modification of the protocol by Chang et al.19 utilized the CFDA-SE (CFSE) fluorescent dye20,26. The CFSE compound (Molecular Probes, C-1157) is distributed throughout the cellular membranes and is divided evenly amongst subsequent progeny based on division of equal volumes of membrane at cell division. Multiple rounds of cell division are therefore represented by a corresponding decrease in total membrane fluorescence within a proliferating population, which can be detected by flow cytometry. Analyzing cell populations for relative fluorescence (FL1[CFSE] parameter; increased in non-proliferating cultures) and increasing side-scatter (SSC parameter; due to increased granularity associated with senescent cells) allows for flow cytometric analysis of senescent-like populations post-treatment.

Sub-confluent flasks of cells were trypsinized, collected and centrifuged into a pellet and 5x10^6 cells were re-suspended in 1mL serum-free media plus 1uL of stock solution (5M CFSE in DMSO) at 37°C for 10 minutes with occasional inversion. Ice-cold RPMI 1640 + 10% FBS was then added prior to a subsequent cell centrifugation, and finally the cells were re-suspended in PBS. The cells were further washed twice in PBS, re-plated at low density (approximately 10% confluence) into multiple, 175cm^2 Falcon flasks for next-day irradiation (0 to 10Gy). As a control, all cells (floating and adherent) from one untreated flask were harvested one day post-plating and analyzed with flow cytometry to find baseline fluorescence (FL1[CFSE]) intensity. Remaining cultures were followed until day 5 when all cells (floating and adherent) were harvested, analyzed and sorted by FACS into "non-proliferating" (FL1[CFSE]^{hi}SSC^{hi}), and "proliferating" (i.e. all cells other than FL1[CFSE]^{hi}SSC^{hi}) populations to determine clonogenic potential within each population. The 5 day time point was initially chosen as it represents the point at which surviving cells would begin to declare their colony-forming ability3. Sorted populations of DU-145 and PrSC were used to derive colonies in each sub-group as examples of epithelial and stromal (i.e. fibroblast-like) models. Pre-sort samples were analyzed on a BDIS FACScan analyzer and samples sorted using either a Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry system FACStarPLUS or BDIS FACS Vantage system. BDIS CELLQuest Software v3.3 was used for both sorting and analysis. Cell lysates from adherent and floating cells in parallel cultures treated similarly (stained, irradiated with 0, 2 and 10Gy) were also harvested on days 5-9, and analyzed for expression of the p16^{INK4a} and p21^{WAF1} genes. Cultures were also stained for
senescence-associated β-galactosidase (SA β-gal) using the method of Chang et al., as a complementary biomarker of senescence-like death.

RESULTS

Gene Expression of Apoptosis-related Genes Within Irradiated Prostate Cell Cultures

As different laboratories may contain variants of original cell stocks, we initially determined the p53 status of the malignant prostate epithelial cell lines using full-length DNA sequencing of exons 1-11 of the p53 gene. Consistent with previous reports, LNCaP cells were found to express two wild type (WT) alleles, whereas the PC-3 cells were devoid of p53 protein expression due to chromosome 17p hemizygosity and a mutation in the remaining allele at codon 138 which results in a premature stop codon at position 169. The DU-145 cells express mutant (MT) p53 protein due to mutations at codons 223 and 274. We observed that the level of bax protein expression is p53-dependent following IR, given the increased expression in the WTp53-expressing LNCaP cells at 24 hours following 10Gy. This molecular response was attenuated, or absent, in the remaining PC-3 and DU-145 malignant epithelial cell lines, which have altered p53 protein expression. Of note, despite the western blots shown in Figure 1b, bax expression is detectable in DU-145 cells, albeit at a very low level. The response was also attenuated within the normal epithelial and stromal cultures (see Figure 1a, b, Table 1). Bcl-2 protein levels were low, yet detectable, using our antibody and remained unchanged in all cell cultures following 10Gy for periods up to 24 hours following radiation (see Figure 1c, d). In other experiments in our laboratory, the relative levels of bax and bcl-2 protein have been confirmed at the mRNA level by RNA protection analyses (Fan R. and Bristow R.G., manuscript in preparation). The IAP-related protein, survivin, has been suggested to be a prognostic indicator in a variety of cancers, presumably due to its influence on cellular apoptosis and G2 phase cell cycle control and reported differential upregulation in malignant tissues, relative to normal tissues. In vitro, endogenous survivin levels were observed to be detectable in all of the cell cultures within our series (normal and malignant), and were invariant following irradiation (Table 1) except for a slight (1.6-fold) increase in PrSC. Subsequent experiments have revealed lower levels of survivin in PrEC cultures than in their stromal and malignant counterparts.

Terminal apoptotic transduction involves altered expression and cleavage of the caspase family of proteins and the PARP protein. Other laboratories have reported that caspase 1 and 3 protein expression can be deficient in some of our malignant cell lines. In our experiments, analysis for PARP cleavage revealed that, although we detected an increase in the characteristic 89kD apoptotic-related PARP protein fragment in our highly-apoptotic Rat-1 HO15.19 cell line, there was no such change in any of the five prostate cell cultures at 24 hours following a dose of 10Gy (data not shown).
Our results (summarized in Table 1) suggest that gene and protein expression related to terminal apoptosis-defining events following IR is not consistent with observations made for other cells (e.g. lymphocytes or thymocytes) which are classically more susceptible to radiation-induced apoptosis; however we recognize that the molecular apoptotic and morphologic (see below) response of our cell panel may be variable dependent on type of cell stress and drug treatment.

**Minimal Evidence of Apoptotic Cell Death in Irradiated Prostate Cultures**

We next determined the level of radiation-induced apoptosis following various doses (2-20Gy) and time points (0-96 hours post-IR) using a distinct nuclear morphology assay (see Figure 2a) as previously described. A dose of 10Gy has been shown to decrease clonogenic survival by 3 logs or more, in other malignant cell lines. The highly-apoptotic adherent Rat-1 HO15.19 cell line formed a positive control for IR-induced apoptosis in these experiments and irradiated cultures were observed to contain decreasing numbers of cells over 24-96 hours consistent with a rapid induction of cell death (data not shown). The level of apoptosis in Rat-1 HO15.19 control following 20Gy became difficult to quantify at 24 hours due to a large amount of cellular debris and may be underestimated as presented in Figure 2b. The apoptotic response of our prostate cell panel at times of 24 to 96 following 10Gy as determined by morphology is presented in Figure 2b, and reveals that neither normal or malignant prostate cells undergo high levels of apoptosis at any time point up to 96 hours (a time at which the earliest colonies indicative of clonogenic survival can be detected). Additionally, careful total cell counts of adherent and floating cells within all the irradiated cultures suggested that there was no decrease at any time point up to 96 hours in total cell number, which ruled out underestimating apoptotic responses (data not shown). Moreover, in contrast to the Rat-1 HO15.19 Myc-expressing control, there was no evidence for a dose-dependent increase in apoptosis in our panel of cultures (2Gy range=0-1%, 10Gy range=0-6%, 20Gy range=0-3%; see Figure 2c).

We observed a trend towards increased levels of apoptosis among the malignant cell lines as compared to the normal cell cultures, although these relative differences were not consistent. The data presented using the *in vitro* morphology assay was also supported by the absence of an apoptotic sub-G1 peak within DNA histograms of irradiated PC-3, DU-145 and LNCaP cells using flow cytometry, the lack of apoptotic morphology post-IR of the same cells as analyzed by the COMET DNA-damage assay, and minimal TUNEL staining of the PC-3 cell line either *in vitro* or *in vivo* (growing as a xenograft i.m., in a nude mouse host) following irradiation (data not shown). These results suggest that cellular apoptosis is not a major mechanism of IR-induced prostate cell death under the culture and treatment conditions used in this study.
Molecular Analysis of Checkpoint Control in Prostate Cells

The p53 status in normal and malignant cells can be functionally related to either apoptosis or a G1 and G2 cell cycle arrest or checkpoint, and dependent on cell type, level of DNA damage or cell stressor\textsuperscript{33,34}. We therefore confirmed the presence or absence of a molecular p53-dependent G1 checkpoint in the normal and malignant prostate cells by determining the IR-induced upregulation of the cdk-inhibitory protein, p21\textsuperscript{WAF1/Cip1}. Following IR-induced DNA damage, the p53 protein is stabilized post-transcriptionally, by alternate phosphorylation of its amino terminus at serine residues 15 and 20 through both direct and indirect actions of the ATM protein. Stabilized p53 protein can then lead to a transcriptional upregulation of the p21\textsuperscript{WAF1/Cip1} protein, which inhibits the G1 cyclin-cdk kinase complexes, and results in a G1 arrest secondary to hypo-phosphorylation of the pRB (retinoblastoma) protein. An increased level of p53 protein was observed following irradiation in all WTP53-expressing prostate cells and peaked at 2-6 hours following IR-treatment (Figure 3). As expected, we observed a lack of p53 protein expression in irradiated null-p53 PC-3 cells and elevated endogenous levels of p53 protein in the MTp53-expressing DU-145 cells (consistent with a longer half-life for the MTp53 protein; Figure 3b), which were invariant post-IR. The PrEC and PrSC normal cultures both showed similar stabilization of the p53 protein relative to α-tubulin levels following irradiation. However, in the PrSC cells, the p21\textsuperscript{WAF1/Cip1} levels were upregulated and sustained at 24 hours; in the PrEC cells, the response was relatively attenuated in level and was duration reaching almost pre-irradiation levels at 24 hours (Figure 3a). We failed to observe an increase in p21\textsuperscript{WAF1/Cip1} expression in the MTp53-expressing and null-p53 cell lines (DU-145 and PC-3 respectively)\textsuperscript{35}, however the WTP53-expressing LNCaP cell line did show a strong IR-induced upregulation of p21\textsuperscript{WAF1/Cip1}. The p53 and p21\textsuperscript{WAF1/Cip1} protein expression results were correlated to relative mRNA levels under similar culture conditions using RNA protection analyses in separate experiments (Fan R. and Bristow R.G., manuscript in preparation). These data support that p53 can induce a molecular G1 checkpoint in both normal and malignant prostate epithelium, but highlights previous observations that, in certain normal epithelial cultures, p21\textsuperscript{WAF1/Cip1} expression may be attenuated relative to stromal cultures\textsuperscript{36} in a tissue-specific manner. This may relate to relative control of cell-cycle related checkpoint and carcinogenesis in these two tissues\textsuperscript{37}.

SF2Gy and Clonogenic Survival for Normal and Malignant Prostate Cultures

Colony-formation after DNA damage measures the long-term survival of cells that are capable of unlimited proliferation and summarizes all types of IR-induced modes of cell death including apoptosis, mitotic-linked death (death after 2-3 aborted divisions followed by apoptosis or necrosis) and permanent growth arrest leading to necrosis\textsuperscript{233}. Consistent with selected reports\textsuperscript{38,39} full
clonogenic survival curves could not be generated for our LNCaP cell line, due to poor plating efficiencies which made determination of colony-formation at doses greater than 2Gy difficult. We encountered similar difficulties with PrEC normal epithelial cultures, which also had a poor plating efficiency (0.1-1%). Nonetheless, for all cell lines we were able to generate radiation survival data after a low, clinically relevant dose of 2Gy (SF2), which approximates the daily fraction of radiation within curative radiation protocols. Full clonogenic survival curves following doses up to 10Gy (a dose approximating a single-fraction palliative treatment) were derived for the PC-3, DU-145, PrSC and Rat-1 HO15.19 cell cultures and the results are plotted in Figure 4a. The SF2 values for all cell cultures are shown in Figure 4b. The normal stromal and epithelial cultures were the most radiosensitive based on SF2 values, even though they had the lowest levels of IR-induced apoptosis at similar doses. Furthermore, the apoptotic kill response in the DU-145 and Rat-1 HO Myc cell lines was quite disparate, despite similar clonogenic survival (see Figure 2b,c). We observed that the DU-145 and PC-3 cell lines with altered p53 status were more radioresistant than the WTp53-expressing LNCaP cell line. However, defined experiments with prostate cell lines that are isogenic save for p53 status are required before concluding that p53 status correlates with radiosensitivity in prostate cancer cells. In summary, we have observed that the overall level of apoptosis was not correlated to the overall level of clonogenic cell survival in our panel of cell lines. Plotting the relative cell kill following 2Gy and 10Gy based on the two endpoints in Figure 4c illustrates the discrepancy between the results of two assays.

**Permanent Arrest in Irradiated Prostate Cells**

Given that apoptosis was not a dominant mechanism for clonogenic cell kill, we next investigated the contribution of terminal growth arrest associated with senescence-associated markers to clonogenic survival using both a representative stromal culture (PrSC cells), and a representative epithelial culture (DU-145 cells). The choice of these two cultures was predicated on the need for cell lines which would readily form colonies following flow cytometric sorting procedures at 2 and 10Gy and to maximize the tissue-specific and genetic differences relating to propensity for senescence, clonogenic cell kill and G1 checkpoint control.

Using a flow cytometric assay that simultaneously determines relative levels of FL1-CFSE fluorescence (i.e. proliferation) and SSC-parameter (cell granularity), we determined that up to 87% of the PrSC population was non-proliferating with an associated increased granularity (FL1[CFSE]$^\text{hi}$SSC$^\text{hi}$) at 5 days, following a dose 10Gy of radiation. In similarly-plated cultures, only 14% and 8% showed the same cytometric profile in 2Gy-treated or control cultures; these relative proportions being consistent over 2-3 representative experiments (Figures 5a, c). By comparison, only
32% of DU-145 cells had a senescent-like cytometric profile, although upon closer inspection, the data suggest that the vast majority (i.e. greater than 70%) of DU-145 cells were actually non-proliferating, but that these cells were inconsistently associated with increased granularity when compared to stromal PrSC cells (compare CFSE-fluorescence axis in both cell lines following 10Gy in Figure 5). The FL1[CFSE]$^{hi}$SSC$^{hi}$ cytometric profile was determined for 5% of DU-145 cells following 2Gy and 3% in the untreated DU-145 population (Figure 5a, b; note that cells not growth-arrested following 2Gy or in non-irradiated cultures may have undergone multiple rounds of division by this point differentially increasing the total “proliferating” population). We then sorted and plated cells from the senescent-like, non-proliferating population relative to the remaining cells and observed that relative colony forming ability (plating efficiency) was decreased in the FL1[CFSE]$^{hi}$SSC$^{hi}$ (“non-proliferating”), SLP population in a dose responsive manner (see Table 2).

In normal fibroblasts (i.e. stromal cells), cells undergo decreasing proliferative potential with increasing passage in vitro, until finally undergoing senescence associated with increased granularity, positive SA β-gal staining and upregulation of the p21$^{WAF1/Cip1}$ and p16$^{INK4a}$ proteins. Similar changes occur in normal fibroblast cultures when exposed to IR and has been referred to as a “premature IR-induced senescence”$^{40-42}$. Although we observed greater SA β-gal staining intensity in an increased number of PrSC stromal cells following a dose of 10Gy (see Figure 6a), we found the endpoint to be highly variable across all cell lines and difficult to quantitate in epithelial cells (further data not shown; noted by others$^{43}$). Whether upregulation of one, or both genes, are absolutely required for senescence and whether the process is p53-dependent in all cell types remains controversial.

In order to determine whether similar gene expression changes occurred in PrSC and DU-145 cells, we performed western blot analyses of cell populations obtained in parallel with flow cytometric experiments at day 5. These analyses showed high, IR-invariant levels of p16$^{INK4a}$ protein in both PrSC and DU-145 cultures and a dose-dependent increase in p21$^{WAF1/Cip1}$ levels in PrSC cells only (Figure 6b; confirmed using densitometry). We also analyzed p16$^{INK4a}$ expression in all of the cell cultures at 24 hours following 10Gy. In this case, levels of p16$^{INK4a}$ were either invariant (PrEC, LNCaP, DU-145) or undetectable (PrSC, PC-3) as confirmed by relative densitometry (data not shown). Long-term (up to 9 days) analysis of p16$^{INK4a}$ expression post-10Gy irradiation DU-145 and PrSC demonstrated increasing expression with time (data not shown), suggesting a time-dependent, thought not dose-dependent, expression of p16$^{INK4a}$. We conclude that cells within a terminally arrested population that have increased cellular granularity (SLP) are incapable of forming colonies and that the sub-population
of proliferating cells without associated increased granularity define the colony-forming potential of the entire irradiated culture.

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first report, to our knowledge, documenting the relative role of apoptosis and terminal growth arrest as factors in determining overall clonogenic radiation cell survival for a panel of normal and malignant prostate cell cultures within the same laboratory setting. Using clinically relevant radiation doses, we observed a strong molecular apoptotic response in certain cell lines (bax and p53 upregulation in LNCaP cells, post-IR), yet this response did not correlate with quantitative determinations of apoptosis using morphology endpoints. The apoptotic response was not dose-responsive and did not correlate with final clonogenic cell kill. Further support for our data are the observations that manipulation of the ceramide-sphingomyelin and bcl-2 associated apoptotic pathways, or androgen ablation, can increase the apoptotic responses of prostate cells without altering final clonogenic radiation survival (Shim K. and Bristow R.G., unpublished observation and 22,23,44).

Many groups have indicated that apoptosis may be the primary mode of death following gamma-irradiation only in specific cell types such as hematopoetic or lymphocytic cells, but not in stromal- or epithelial-derived tissues. Furthermore, many attempts to alter apoptotic indices in epithelial- and stromal-derived tissues have failed to affect clonogenic survival. This suggests that although the apoptotic pathway is intact in malignant prostate cells, other death mechanisms may over-ride this response following irradiation.

It has been suggested that epithelial cell G1 checkpoint may be abrogated or less efficient than that of its stromal counterpart, despite wild-type p53 status. Girinski et al. 36 found an abrogated p21^WAF1/Cip1 induction and G1 checkpoint in a panel of normal prostate epithelial cells as compared to a panel of normal prostate stromal cells following ionizing radiation. Meyer et al. 48 reported similar findings for mammary epithelial versus stromal tissues. Within the same group, Romonov et al. 49 observed an abrogated response to replicative crisis in the mammary epithelial cells suggesting a global defect in epithelial response to stress in comparison to its stromal counterpart. Our own experiments illustrated that, even for similar levels of p53 induction/stabilization, the p21^WAF1/Cip1 response in PrEC is greatly attenuated in comparison to its stromal counterpart. While the p53/p21^WAF1/Cip1 responses for our panel of malignant cell lines were as previously reported, the LNCaP cell line’s strong p21^WAF1/Cip1 induction is still surprising in light of its epithelial nature. Girinski et al. 36 suggested that epithelial cell response might be partially dependant on interactions with surrounding stromal tissues. LNCaP cells may have altered characteristics such that it does not require this interaction for a strong p21^WAF1/Cip1 induction following irradiation. Furthermore, studying G1 arrest alone may not be
sufficient, since cells lacking a functional G1 checkpoint can exhibit a G2 delay that may be linked to DNA repair\textsuperscript{52} and cellular survival following radiation (reviewed\textsuperscript{53}). Indeed, although our results suggest increased survival for cells which lack the G1 checkpoint, defined studies in isogenic tissue culture or solid tumor models which differ in p53 status and specific G1, S and G2 checkpoint control experiments are required to prove this hypothesis, and are part of a current research program\textsuperscript{11}.

The success or failure of radical radiotherapy depends on the daily proportionate killing of tumor clonogens, which make up less than 1% of the total population of cells within a tumor. For example, it has been estimated that each gram of tumor contains approximately $1 \times 10^9$ tumor cells, with approximately less than $1 \times 10^7$ cells having clonogenic capacity. To date, no formal test or data exists for the pre-treatment determination of the number of clonogens existing prior to prostate radiotherapy. One can estimate that for a radiotherapy protocol using 35-40 fractions of 2Gy, the clonogenic SF2 value should be less than 0.60 (i.e. death after 35 treatments = $0.6^{35} = 1.7 \times 10^{-8}$) to cure a 1 gram tumor, assuming equal killing per radiotherapy fraction\textsuperscript{4}. Our data suggests that the SF2 in vitro is less than 0.6 for the cell lines tested. However, the effective SF2 may be higher in vivo, due to cell-cell interactions, hypoxia, altered gene expression or cell cycle phase during irradiation\textsuperscript{3} and the relative importance of these factors remain to be in radiotherapy cohorts. Our observed in vitro radiosensitivity of normal epithelial and stromal cultures in vitro may explain the observation of glandular atrophy, fibrosis and decreased glandular function observed in prostate glands following irradiation. Exquisite sensitivity of normal epithelial cells may also explain why final post-therapy nadir PSA values in patients who achieve local control are lower than the PSA values in men without a diagnosis of prostate cancer, reflecting residual normal gland function after IR-induced cell kill\textsuperscript{54}.

In our experiments, relative clonogenic cell kill was approximated by quantitative endpoints of a dose-responsive terminal growth arrest, in which certain cells acquired a senescent-like phenotype. Terminal growth arrest, rather than apoptosis, may begin to explain the slow kinetics of decreasing PSA values following radiotherapy over 12-16 months following treatment\textsuperscript{2}. Recent experiments by Pollack and colleagues\textsuperscript{23,55} are consistent with our data, as the supra-additive radioresponses observed in LNCaP and Dunning rat R3327-G tumor models following combined androgen withdrawal and fractionated radiation (mimicking clinical stage T3-T4 prostate cancer treatment protocols which increase overall patient survival)\textsuperscript{56}, was secondary to factors which determined post-treatment cellular growth arrest rather than apoptosis.

If a surrogate measure of radiation sensitivity was developed to use prior to, or early during, the course of radiotherapy, specific measures could be used to increase radiocurability or abort radiotherapy altogether in favor of radical prostatectomy (if medically feasible). Crook et al. \textsuperscript{57} found
that markers of proliferation (PCNA, MIB-1) in post-radiotherapy biopsies from 498 men were an independent indicator of treatment failure, but indeterminate biopsies do occur which complicate interpretation as to whether viable clonogenic cells remain 2 to 2.5 years following radical radiotherapy. Our data suggests that other surrogate predictive factors might include molecular or cellular senescence or cell cycle arrest factors, such as the cdk inhibitors, p21^{WAF} or p16^{INK4a} that are activated by DNA damage and lead to altered proliferation and terminal growth arrest.

At present, no predictive test can determine which cells in the tumor are prostate clonogens rather than non-clonogens, however we believe that terminal growth arrest should be further investigated as a major mechanism of cell death in addition to apoptosis, in protocols that utilize radiotherapy. If proven important in prostate cancer, the former mechanism of cell death might be augmented \textit{in vivo} using radiotherapy in conjunction with inhibitors of prostate cancer cell proliferation such as retinoic acid, antisense to cdk inhibitors, inhibitors of telomerase, or inhibitors of histone deactylase (HDAC)^{58,59}. Indeed these agents are currently being prospectively tested as single agents or in combination with chemotherapy in Phase I/II trials; our results suggest further studies of the efficacy of these agents in combination with radiation as a novel prostate treatment strategy.
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**TABLES**

**Table 1:** Apoptosis- and cell cycle-related gene expression in prostate cell cultures 24 hours post-10Gy irradiation, as compared to mock-irradiated controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>p53</th>
<th>p21</th>
<th>bax</th>
<th>bcl-2</th>
<th>survivin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PrEC</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>⇔</td>
<td>⇔</td>
<td>⇔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrSC</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>⇔</td>
<td>⇔</td>
<td>⇔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Malignant**

| LNCaP    | ↑↑  | ↑↑  | ↑↑  | ⇔     | ⇔        |
| PC-3     | n-d*| low¹| ⇔   | ⇔     | ⇔        |
| DU-145   | ⇔   | n-d | n-d | n-d    | ⇔        |

¹n-d= non-detectable; ²low=barely detectable, no change post-XRT; ⇔ less than 2-fold change; ↑ greater than 2-fold increase; ↑↑ greater than 4-fold increase (quantified using relative densitometry).
Table 2. Relative colony forming ability within FL1[CFSE]^{hi}SSC^{hi} (non-proliferating) and proliferating populations, as sorted by flow cytometry at day 5 post-irradiation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Type</th>
<th>Dose (Gy)</th>
<th># of Cells Plated</th>
<th>Plating Efficiency (proliferating)</th>
<th>Plating Efficiency (Non-proliferating)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PrSC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.33±0.34*</td>
<td>0.03±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.06±0.03</td>
<td>0.01±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU-145</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.41±0.35</td>
<td>0.15±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.22±0.17</td>
<td>0.01±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.01±0.00</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*mean values and associated S.E.M.
FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Western blot analyses for apoptotic-related protein expression pre- and post-irradiation, in a panel of normal and malignant prostate cell cultures: (a) bax protein expression in normal prostate epithelial (PrEC) and stromal (PrSC) cell cultures; (b) bax protein expression in malignant prostate cell cultures (Rat-1 HO15.19 cell-lysate shown as positive control); (c) bcl-2 protein expression in normal prostate cell cultures; (d) bcl-2 protein expression in malignant prostate cell cultures (human bcl-2 transfected Rat-1 fibroblast lysate shown as positive control).

Figure 2. IR-induced apoptosis in normal and malignant cell lines: (a) Nuclear morphology of selected cell populations stained with Hoechst 33342 at 48 hours following 10Gy showing evidence of apoptotic bodies and chromatin condensation in cells denoted with white arrows: left panel, irradiated LNCaP cells; right panel, irradiated RAT-1 HO15.19 positive control cells (magnification 1000x); (b) Time course of IR-induced apoptosis following a dose of 10Gy (each bar represents the mean and S.E.M.); (c) Dose-dependence of IR-induced apoptosis assayed at 48 hours (error bars omitted for clarity in 3D plot).

Figure 3. Western blot analysis of p53 and p21WAF/Cip1 protein expression in (a) normal, and (b) malignant prostate cultures following 10Gy irradiation.

Figure 4. Clonogenic survival data for normal and malignant prostate cultures: (a) Full clonogenic radiation survival curves for PrSC, DU-145 and PC-3 and highly-apoptotic Rat-1 HO15.19 cell cultures (each point represents a geometric mean of 3-5 independent experiments plotted with associated geometric S.E.M.); (b) Surviving fraction following 2Gy (SF2 values) with associated S.E.M. of normal and malignant prostate cell cultures; (c) Direct comparison of mean quantitative data for apoptotic and clonogenic kill following either 2Gy (upper panel) or 10Gy (lower panel).

Figure 5: Frequency histogram of FL1 fluorescence parameter (i.e. CFSE vital dye fluorescence) for (a) PrSC (left) and DU-145 (right) at 5 days post-irradiation following doses of 0Gy (control, mock-irradiated), 2Gy and 10Gy. Shown is a representative experiment with total cell population analyzed for each dose; (b) represents 2 dimensional plots of FL1[CFSE] fluorescence intensity versus SSC (side scatter-granularity) for total cell numbers in mock irradiated and irradiated flasks of cells (see text for details) for PrSC (top) and DU-145 (bottom). Note increasing FL1[CFSE]+ SSC+ fractional subpopulation (representing a senescent-like phenotype) with increasing dose; similar cytometric data was observed in all replicate experiments. These populations as shown were sorted using flow cytometry to determine relative colony forming ability as tabulated in Table 2.

Figure 6. Senescent biomarkers in prostate cell populations: (a) Example of negative (left) and positive (right) SA β-gal staining in non-irradiated and irradiated PrSC cultures respectively, at 120 hours following a dose of 10Gy; (b) western blot analysis of p16INK4a and p21WAF/Cip1 protein expression in entire PrSC and DU-145 cultures at day 5 following irradiation.
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NIR</td>
<td></td>
<td>10Gy</td>
<td></td>
<td>10Gy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bax</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-tubulin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b)  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LNCaP</th>
<th></th>
<th>PC-3</th>
<th></th>
<th>DU-145</th>
<th></th>
<th>Rat-1 HO</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NIR</td>
<td></td>
<td>10Gy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>10Gy</td>
<td></td>
<td>NIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bax</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-tubulin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(a) PrEC → PrSC
NIR 10Gy 2h 6h 24h NIR 10Gy 2h 6h 24h

- p53
- p21^{WAF1}
- α-tubulin

(b) LNCaP → DU-145 → PC-3
NIR 10Gy 2h 6h 24h NIR 10Gy 2h 6h 24h

- p53
- p21^{WAF1}
- α-tubulin
Mean SF2: 0.05

Cell Line: 0.31

DU-145 Rat-1 HO: 0.50

LNCaP: 0.55

PrEC: 0.24

PrSC: 0.26

Surviving Fraction at 2 Gy
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(19) "The p53 tumour suppressor protein and DNA repair: new tricks for the old dog?: Invited Speaker, University of Pennsylvania Medical Center, April 2001

(20) "The p53 tumour suppressor protein and DNA repair: new tricks for the old dog?" Invited Speaker, University of Ottawa, April 2001
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FIGURE 1:
Neutral comet assay results show increased residual DNA breaks at 24 hours following irradiation (10 and 25 Gy; aerobic, RT) in malignant prostate cells in comparison to normal repair kinetics in normal prostate cells. Similar findings were observed utilizing the alkaline comet assay.

RNA Protection Analyses suggest increased basal level of transcription for homologous recombination genes involved in DNA repair in malignant cell lines compared to normal cell lines. Error bars represent SE.
Figure 2b

Increased HR-Associated Protein Expression in malignant prostate cell lines compared to tubulin loading controls; these results correlated to RNA overexpression profile seen in Figure 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LNCap</th>
<th>PC-3</th>
<th>DU145</th>
<th>PREC</th>
<th>PRSC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RAD51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37 KD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRCC3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38 KD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ku70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70 KD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubulin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60 KD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2c

Increased HR-Associated Protein expression in malignant prostate cell lines based on densitometry of Western Blot analyses shown in Figure 2b with β-tubulin as loading control.
**Figure 3a**
Positive control for irradiation-induced nuclear foci: Formation of gamma-H2AX protein is normal; dose-responsive, in normal and malignant prostate cell lines. Cells were stained with antibodies to H2AX post 10Gy XRT at 4 hrs; counterstained with DNA-DAPI stain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NIR</th>
<th>10Gy4H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LNCAP</td>
<td>PC3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3b**
Rad51 foci formation is defective following XRT (same conditions as in Figure 3a) in malignant LNCaP, PC-3 And DU-145 cells and is not dose-responsive; evidence for nuclear transport defect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NIR</th>
<th>10Gy4H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PREC</td>
<td>PRSC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3c
Quantitation of Data shown in Figure 3b: Decreased IRIF Rad51 Nuclear Response Following 10 Gy at 4 hours in malignant prostate cell lines

![Graph showing foci per nucleus for different cell lines and treatments.]

Figure 4
Immunohistochemistry for HR-associated repair proteins in PC-3 xenografts growing in nude mice and irradiated with 20 Gy; removal of tissues at 24 hours post irradiation and formalin fixation. Staining for rad51 and BRCA2 proteins show increased intensity post-XRT. Similar results were observed for rad50.

![Images showing H&E, Rad51, and BRCA2 staining for PC3--0Gy and PC3--20Gy.]