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OBJECTIVE: To investigate the extent to which measurable personality traits can predict the susceptibility of individuals to job burnout, and to determine whether or not training in stress coping can reduce susceptibility to job burnout.

APPROACH: The project involved a before and after panel study design in which participating students with part-time jobs initially completed a battery of personality and stress coping questionnaires diagnostic of susceptibility to job burnout. After receiving stress coping training, their job adjustment was tracked for the next 3 months, and measures of burnout were obtained. Analyses focused on the extent to which the initial personality assessments predicted burnout and coping training may reduce it.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS: 101 of the 300 students who participated in the initial assessment phase of the study completed all subsequent phases. Analyses comparing the demographic and personality attributes of those who completed the study and those who failed to complete it revealed no significant differences between them. Among those completing the study, there were no significant differences between the before and after measures of the three components of job burnout (emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and diminished professional efficacy). Thus, stress coping training did not reduce burnout. The personality measures of neuroticism, self-esteem, locus of control, and self-efficacy grouped together to form the core self-evaluation trait (CSE) indicating a high or low predisposition toward burnout, significantly predicted two of the three burnout measures: low CSE students showed lower professional efficacy and higher cynicism than high CSE students. In all of the foregoing analyses, there were no significant differences found between the 35 males and 66 females who completed the study.

CONCLUSIONS: Measurable personality attributes can be integrated under the heading of core self-evaluation to reliably predict major components of burnout. The failure to find that stress coping training was associated with a significant reduction in any of the three components of burnout may be due to one or more of the following: (a) poor design of the stress-coping instruction procedure, (b) part-time employed students generally do not experience levels of stress high enough to require substantial coping efforts, and (c),
stress coping training has no effect on how people habitually respond to stress. Additional research is needed to explore these possibilities.

SIGNIFICANCE: Results show that measurable personality attributes are associated with the tendency for people to experience job burnout. Pending further research with larger samples of people in stressful jobs, this finding suggests that in order to minimize the likelihood of burnout, a personality assessment procedure should be employed when selecting individuals for stressful positions. Further research with individuals facing substantial levels of job stress is needed to determine whether it is possible to design effective stress coping instruction procedures.
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