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ABSTRACT

This document describes the design, installation, and operation of an improved measurement system for the aerothermodynamic flow path states in an Allison T63-A-700 (C250-18 civilian designation). Temperature measurements for the gas generator turbine and exhaust state points were evaluated and average values were calculated. The measurement uncertainty for airflow, fuel flow, and output power has been reduced to less than 3%. State points match installation design data within 3%. The digital scanning array has improved the accuracy of the pressure measurements and added the ability to measure pressure differences over time. The added bellmouth pressure sensors provide a redundant pressure measurement that is more accurate than the dynamometer system. The gas generator turbine inlet and exhaust temperature profiles have been measured and show that the temperature profile becomes less symmetrical with increasing air and fuel flow. The measurement values for the gas generator inlet temperature have been consolidated into a single value that is about 50 degrees different from expected values. The temperature profile at the power turbine inlet shows how the hot spot at the gas generator turbine inlet is affected by the swirl produced by the power turbine stages. The time resolved fluctuations in pressure between the compressor and gas generator turbine have been measured and show that compressor discharge and gas generator turbine inlet pressures are similar.
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**NOMENCLATURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{c}_p )</td>
<td>Specific heat</td>
<td>[BTU/lbm(^ {\circ} R )]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>fuel to air ratio</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \dot{m}_a )</td>
<td>Air mass flow rate</td>
<td>[lbm/s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \dot{m}_a )</td>
<td>Fuel mass flow rate</td>
<td>[lbm/hr]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>Compressor / Gas generator turbine speed</td>
<td>[rpm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1(_c)</td>
<td>Corrected compressor speed</td>
<td>[rpm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>Power turbine speed</td>
<td>[rpm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>Output shaft speed</td>
<td>[rpm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{\text{heatloss}} )</td>
<td>Heat rejection to oil</td>
<td>[BTU/min]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{\text{out}} )</td>
<td>Output power</td>
<td>[hp]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_2 )</td>
<td>Compressor inlet static pressure</td>
<td>[psia]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{t2} )</td>
<td>Compressor inlet total pressure</td>
<td>[psia]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{t3} )</td>
<td>Compressor inlet total pressure</td>
<td>[psia]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{t4} )</td>
<td>Gas generator turbine inlet total pressure</td>
<td>[psia]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{t5} )</td>
<td>Power turbine inlet total pressure</td>
<td>[psia]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{t7} )</td>
<td>Power turbine exit total pressure</td>
<td>[psia]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Q_R )</td>
<td>Fuel lower heating value</td>
<td>[BTU/lbm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{t2} )</td>
<td>Compressor inlet total temperature</td>
<td>[(^{\circ} R )]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{t3} )</td>
<td>Compressor discharge total pressure</td>
<td>[(^{\circ} R )]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{t4} )</td>
<td>Gas generator turbine inlet total temperature</td>
<td>[(^{\circ} R )]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{t5} )</td>
<td>Power turbine inlet total temperature</td>
<td>[(^{\circ} R )]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{t7}$</td>
<td>Power turbine exit total temperature</td>
<td>$[^oR]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_c$</td>
<td>Compressor adiabatic efficiency</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_{ggt}$</td>
<td>Gas generator turbine adiabatic efficiency</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_{pt}$</td>
<td>Power turbine adiabatic efficiency</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\pi_b = P_{t4}/P_{t3}$</td>
<td>Combustor pressure ratio</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\pi_c = P_{t3}/P_{t2}$</td>
<td>Compressor pressure ratio</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\pi_{ggt} = P_{t5}/P_{t4}$</td>
<td>Gas generator turbine pressure ratio</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\pi_{pt} = P_{t7}/P_{t5}$</td>
<td>Power turbine pressure ratio</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>[lbm/ft$^3$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_c = T_{t3}/T_{t2}$</td>
<td>Compressor temperature ratio</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{ggt} = T_{t5}/P_{t4}$</td>
<td>Gas generator turbine temperature ratio</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{pt} = T_{t7}/P_{t5}$</td>
<td>Power turbine temperature ratio</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I. INTRODUCTION

A. BACKGROUND

Gas turbine engines are commonly used to produce electrical and propulsive power for surface and airborne craft in the United States Navy. It is important for Naval Officers to be familiar with gas turbine engines because many shipboard jobs involve operating and maintaining them.

At the Naval Postgraduate School, courses such as Marine Power and Propulsion (ME3240) give students the opportunity to apply theory presented in class on a fully operational gas turbine. The hands on experience allows students to see how each major component operates and interacts with the entire system. Thermodynamic theory of the Brayton cycle can be compared to measured values in an operating engine.

The engine currently in use in this lab is the Allison T63-A-700 gas turbine, which has a C250-C18 civilian designation. Brian Eckerle [Ref 4] attached the engine to a dynamometer system that measures key parameters like airflow, fuel flow, and output power. David Haas [Ref 3] designed, built, and installed an instrumentation package to analyze the performance of the gas turbine and its major components, because the original engine instrumentation was insufficient. This package consists of pressure probes and thermocouples placed between major components, to measure temperature and pressure of the air throughout the engine.

Calculations made with measurements from Haas’ instrumentation package did not match values from the installation design manual, due to three major discrepancies. First, some of the pressure sensors were not installed and others were giving incorrect values. Second, measured values for airflow, fuel flow and output power varied as much as 10%-15% from installation design values. As a result, component adiabatic efficiency calculations were too high to be representative of a realistic model. Third, the gas generator inlet and power turbine exit temperatures were measured with numerous devices, but their average values did not match temperatures calculated by energy balances. Since these temperatures are critical for an accurate thermodynamic cycle analysis, their proper formulation demands closer attention.
B. MOTIVATION

Increasing the quality of the measurements for the ME3240 gas turbine laboratory motivated this thesis. Matching measurements with values based on the installation design manual appeared to be a practical method for evaluating and interpreting data.

C. OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this thesis are assessing the quality and reliability of the current measurement system; creating a plan for improving measurements; designing, fabricating, and installing an improved package, and evaluating the improved measurements.

D. ORGANIZATION

Chapter II describes the engine, its major components, and general performance data. A thermodynamic model is presented, based on installation design values at standard inlet conditions, which predicts the component adiabatic efficiencies. These component adiabatic efficiencies are used in a calibrated model to predict the thermodynamic states through the engine for non-standard inlet conditions, which is used to evaluate the measurement based model.

Chapter III describes the measurement assessment. Problems with instrumentation giving inaccurate measurements are explained. Determinations whether the faulty data are due to missing devices, broken instrumentation, or an incorrect analysis of the measurements are made. Measurement requirements are also listed.

Chapter IV explains the improved measurement plan. The design, manufacture, and installation of the improved measurement system are described. The implementation of this new plan is shown.

Chapter V presents the results and an evaluation of the improvements. The new measurement data are compared with design values. New averaged values for gas generator inlet temperature and exhaust temperature are explained.
Chapter VI summarizes the work that has been done, provides conclusions that have been drawn from comparisons between values predicted in the thermodynamic model and measurements, identifies existing problems and proposes further work to settle unsolved discrepancies.
II. ENGINE DESCRIPTION AND THERMODYNAMIC MODEL ANALYSIS

A. ENGINE DESCRIPTION

An Allison Model C250-C18 (T63-A-700 military) gas turbine engine is installed in the Marine Propulsion Laboratory. The Allison Division of the now Rolls Royce Corporation produced this engine. Its primary use in the military was propulsion for the U.S. Army’s OH-58 light observation helicopter. With over 25,000 made, and more than 5,000 shipped to the U.S. Military, the Allison C250 is one of the most commonly produced gas turbines. Weighing 138 pounds, this twin spool free power turbine engine has the ability to produce 317 shaft horsepower (SHP) at standard conditions (59°F, 1 ATM) with a compressor speed at 105%. Its gas generator turbine is rated at 51,120 RPM (100%) and its power turbine is rated at 35,000 (100%), which is geared to 6,000 RPM. The specific fuel consumption for maximum continuous power is rated at 0.708 lbm/SHP-hr. The gas turbine engine is rated at 304 shaft horsepower at 100% gas generator turbine and 100% power turbine speed with standard inlet conditions.

This gas turbine engine consists of four major components: the compressor, the combustor, the gas generator turbine, and the power turbine. Air enters the engine through the compressor. It passes through six axial compressor stages into a 7th stage, which is centrifugal. At standard temperature and pressure inlet, and at maximum power, the compressor pressure ratio is rated at 6.149:1. The air exits the compressor through two scroll type diffusers. Two circular ducts direct the air to the combustor, which is located at the rear of the engine. As the air enters the combustor, it reverses direction while the single fuel nozzle injects fuel into the flow.

The combustion gas then passes through the gas generator turbine’s (GGT) two axial stages. The power produced by the gas generator drives the compressor and engine accessories. The flow then passes through the two axial stage power turbine (PT). The power turbine is connected to a helical reduction gear, which drives a variable speed output shaft. The engine type is typically run at a constant speed with variable torque,
but the specific engine used in this laboratory has been modified so the speed could be varied.

Figure 2.1. Model 250 Gas Turbine Engine. [From Ref 3]

B. THERMODYNAMIC MODEL ANALYSIS

The thermodynamic cycle analysis provided below was done to describe the state of the working fluid at the inlet and exhaust of every component, for a comparison between measurement data and installation design values. The analysis is done at full power: N1=100%, N2=100%. Five major inter-component locations are considered when analyzing the gas turbine thermodynamic cycle. These points are the compressor inlet (2), the compressor discharge (3), GGT inlet (4), PT inlet (5), and the exhaust (7).

The installation design manual was used to formulate a calibrated model for comparison with a measurement based model. The installation design manual was used
to provide values for a standard inlet model, but the data did not include all of the required parameters. The values from the installation design manual for standard inlet conditions include [Ref 1]:

1. Output shaft horsepower \( \text{SHP} = 304 \text{ hp} \)
2. Gas generator turbine exit temperature \( T_{15} = 1815 \text{ R} \)
3. Fuel flow rate \( \dot{m}_\text{f} = 210 \text{ lbm/hr} \)
4. Airflow rate \( \dot{m}_\text{a} = 3.13 \text{ lbm/s} \)
5. Compressor discharge total temperature \( T_{13} = 964 \text{ R} \)
6. Compressor discharge total pressure \( P_{13} = 90.37 \text{ psia} \)
7. Oil heat rejection \( P_{\text{heat loss}} = 13.44 \text{ hp} \)

Some parameter values were assumed for the calculations required to complete the analysis. Experimental data from Appendix C of was used to estimate the following values with the exception of the gear box efficiency. The values that were assumed include:

1. Combustor total pressure ratio \( \pi_b = 0.973 \)
2. Gas generator turbine total pressure ratio \( \pi_{\text{ggt}} = 0.377 \)
3. Gear box efficiency \( \eta_{\text{gb}} = 0.920 \)

Values from the installation design manual were used with the assumed parameters to calculate the following values needed to finish the standard inlet model.

1. Gas generator turbine inlet total temperature
2. Power turbine exit total temperature
3. Compressor adiabatic efficiency
4. Gas generator turbine adiabatic efficiency
5. Power turbine adiabatic efficiency

A fuel based calculation for gas generator turbine inlet temperature was made with values from the installation design manual. The values required are the compressor discharge total temperature \( T_{t3} \), the fuel to air ratio \( \frac{\dot{m}_a}{\dot{m}_f} \), the lower heating value for the fuel \( Q_R = 18400 \ \text{BTU/lbm} \), and the average specific heat across the combustor.

\[
T_{t4} = \frac{\bar{c}_{p,\text{burner}}T_{t3} + fQ_R}{(1 + f)\bar{c}_{p,\text{burner}}} \tag{2.1}
\]

A design value for the power turbine exit temperature was calculated by evaluating an energy balance across the PT using the gearbox efficiency.

\[
SHP = \left( \dot{m}_a + \dot{m}_f \right) \left( T_{t5} - T_{t4} \right) \frac{\bar{c}_{p,\text{pt}}}{\eta_{\text{gearbox}}} \tag{2.2}
\]

The compressor adiabatic efficiency, \( \eta_c \), is given by

\[
\eta_c = \left( \frac{\gamma-1}{\tau_c} - 1 \right) \frac{\pi_c}{\tau_c - 1} \tag{2.3}
\]

where \( \pi_c \) is the total pressure ratio between the compressor discharge and inlet, and \( \tau_c \) is the total temperature ratio between the compressor discharge and inlet.

The gas generator turbine adiabatic efficiency, \( \eta_{ggt} \), is given by

\[
\eta_{ggt} = \frac{1 - \tau_{ggt}^\gamma}{\frac{\gamma-1}{\pi_{ggt}^\gamma} - 1} \tag{2.4}
\]

where \( \pi_{ggt} \) is the total pressure ratio between the gas generator turbine exit and inlet, and \( \tau_{ggt} \) is the total temperature ratio between the gas generator turbine exit and inlet.

The total to static power turbine adiabatic efficiency, \( \eta_{pt} \), is given by
\[ \eta_{pt} = \frac{1 - \tau_{pt}^{\tau - 1}}{1 - \pi_{pt}^{\pi - 1}} \]  

(2.5)

where \( \pi_{pt} \) is the ratio between the power turbine exit static pressure and inlet total pressure, and \( \tau_{pt} \) is the total temperature ratio between the power turbine exit and inlet.

After the standard inlet condition model calculations were completed, values from the installation design manual for non-standard inlet conditions were used with the component adiabatic efficiencies from the standard inlet model to calculate the state points values for a calibrated model that are not given by the installation design manual. These are the guidelines used for calculating the state points for the calibrated model.

1. Compressor inlet conditions were matched with experimental measurements.
2. Compressor discharge conditions were obtained from the installation design manual.
3. Gas generator inlet pressure was calculated by using the estimated combustor pressure ratio and the temperature was calculated by using equation 2.1.
4. Power turbine inlet temperature was obtained from the installation design manual and the pressure was calculated by using the gas generator turbine adiabatic efficiency from the standard inlet model with equation 2.4.
5. Power turbine exit temperature was calculated by using equation 2.2 with the estimated gear box efficiency and installation design values. The pressure was calculated by using the power turbine adiabatic efficiency with equation 2.5.

The values from the calibrated model will be used to evaluate the instrumentation measurement data. Note that the compressor adiabatic efficiency for the non standard model was recalculated because all the required values were in the installation design manual.
Figure 2.2. Engine Schematic. [After Ref 3]
Table 2.1. Installation design manual data, standard inlet model and calibrated model comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$P_{t1}$</th>
<th>$\Delta P_{loss}$</th>
<th>$P_{t2}$</th>
<th>$P_{t3}$</th>
<th>$\pi_c$</th>
<th>$\pi_b$</th>
<th>$P_{t4}$</th>
<th>$\pi_{ggt}$</th>
<th>$P_{t5}$</th>
<th>$P_{t7}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>IDM @ ISO</strong></td>
<td>14.696</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>14.696</td>
<td>90.370</td>
<td>6.149</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard Model</strong></td>
<td>14.696</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>14.696</td>
<td>90.370</td>
<td>6.149</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>87.659</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>33.047</td>
<td>14.696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IDM @ non ISO</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>14.591</td>
<td>83.980</td>
<td>5.756</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calibrated Model</strong></td>
<td>14.681</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>14.591</td>
<td>83.980</td>
<td>5.756</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>78.101</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>29.640</td>
<td>14.702</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T_{t2}$</th>
<th>$T_{t3}$</th>
<th>$\tau_c$</th>
<th>$T_{t4}$</th>
<th>$T_{t5}$</th>
<th>$T_{t7}$</th>
<th>$\eta_c$</th>
<th>$\eta_{ggt}$</th>
<th>$\eta_{bl}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>IDM @ ISO</strong></td>
<td>519.0</td>
<td>964.0</td>
<td>1.857</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1815.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard Model</strong></td>
<td>519.0</td>
<td>964.0</td>
<td>1.857</td>
<td>2216.7</td>
<td>1815.0</td>
<td>1533.3</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>0.745</td>
<td>0.7509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IDM @ non ISO</strong></td>
<td>535.0</td>
<td>980.0</td>
<td>1.832</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1830.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calibrated Model</strong></td>
<td>535.0</td>
<td>980.0</td>
<td>1.832</td>
<td>2232.7</td>
<td>1830.0</td>
<td>1565.2</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>0.745</td>
<td>0.751</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$N1$</th>
<th>$N1_c$</th>
<th>$P_{out}$</th>
<th>air flow</th>
<th>fuel flow</th>
<th>SFC</th>
<th>$Q_R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>IDM @ ISO</strong></td>
<td>51200</td>
<td>51200</td>
<td>304.00</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>210.00</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>18400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard Model</strong></td>
<td>51200</td>
<td>51200</td>
<td>304.00</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>210.00</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>18400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IDM @ non ISO</strong></td>
<td>51200</td>
<td>50429</td>
<td>285.94</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>202.54</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>18566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calibrated Model</strong></td>
<td>51200</td>
<td>50429</td>
<td>285.94</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>202.54</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>18566</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table contains parameters necessary to perform the thermodynamic cycle analysis, shown as two sets of data. The first set is for standard inlet conditions and occupies the first two rows. The first row is taken directly from the installation design manual, and the second row adds a few values (calculated from first row parameters) to complete the chart.
The second set, in the next two rows, is for non-standard inlet conditions. These inlet conditions are chosen to match those present for a majority of the experimental runs. Parameters in the third row are calculated using component adiabatic efficiencies determined from the data in the first set, and the non-standard inlet conditions (535 °R, 14.681 psia). Fourth row calculations use third row data to complete the chart.

There is an inlet loss due to the pressure drop from the ambient condition to the compressor inlet, and there is a backpressure at the exhaust because of the ducting between the cell and the atmosphere. At the same compressor speed, the increase of inlet temperature will decrease the tangential Mach number of the compressor blades and the compressor pressure ratio will be lower. There is a decrease in compressor temperature ratio, which would result in a lower pressure ratio at a constant adiabatic efficiency. Figure 2.3 shows how work is lost due to the inlet pressure loss, the backpressure, and the increase in inlet temperature.

\[ \Delta \text{ Pout} = \text{back pressure} = \text{less work} \]

\[ \Delta \text{ Pin} = \text{inlet loss} \]

\[ \text{Discharge to higher pressure} \]

\[ \text{Discharge to initial isobar with no back pressure} \]

**Figure 2.3. Calibrated model T-s diagram**
III. INITIAL MEASUREMENT SYSTEM, MEASUREMENT PROBLEMS, AND INSTRUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

A. INITIAL MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

The instrumentation that was installed the Allison Corporation took three measurements. The values that were measured included:

1. Power Turbine Inlet Temperature \([F]\) \(T_{i5}\)
2. Compressor Speed \([\text{rpm}]\) \(N_1\)
3. Power Turbine Speed \([\text{rpm}]\) \(N_2\)

The instrumentation that was included with the Superflow 901 Dynamometer system measured the output parameters and auxiliary system conditions. The values that were measured are:

1. Air Flow \([\text{scfm}]\)
2. Fuel Flow \([\text{lbm/hr}]\)
3. Output Shaft Speed \([\text{rpm}]\)
4. Torque \([\text{ft-lbf}]\)
5. Fuel Temperature \([F]\)
6. Oil Temperature \([F]\)

The dynamometer also has the ability to calculate brake specific fuel consumption \([\text{BSFC}]\), brake specific air consumption \([\text{BSAC}]\), air to fuel ratio \([\text{A/F}]\), and output shaft horsepower. The humidity can be entered into the dynamometer system for corrected parameter values for output shaft speed and horsepower. The specific gravity of the fuel has to be entered for the fuel flow measurement. The vapor pressure of the atmosphere has to be entered for an accurate measurement of airflow.

The temperature measurements were taken with thermocouples that are connected to a Hewlett Packard 3852A Data Acquisition Control Unit for signal processing. A
single transducer near the measurement location was used to measure each pressure value. Due to the non-uniform velocities within the flow, finding the bulk average measurements of the flow was important in designing the instrumentation package.

B. MEASUREMENT PROBLEMS

1. Lack of Compressor Inlet Pressure Measurement

There were no pressure measurements at the compressor inlet. The pressure loss through the ducting and the plenum would have to be determined for an accurate calculation for the compressor inlet total and static pressures.

2. Pressure Measurement Instrumentation

Omega pressure transducers were installed throughout the gas turbine system to start operation of the gas turbine laboratory. These pressure transducers were inexpensive and not well made. The pressure devices were installed six years ago and the several failures prove that it is time for an overhaul.

There were two scales for the pressure transducers used, 50-psid and 100-psid. Appropriate transducers were used at measurement locations throughout the engine, except at the exhaust. Two 50-psid pressure transducers were used to measure a differential pressure of half of a psid. The scale of the transducers was too high for the measurement range. The pressure transducer’s output signal is in volts, and if the measurement range is a small percentage of the instrument’s scale, the output signal will be on the order of signal noise. It was difficult to get consistent data from these two transducers and it became clear that it is important to match instrumentation scale to measurement range.

Temperature and pressure measurements were taken sequentially meaning that the measurement at each state point may not correspond to the next point. This snapshot of data is not representative of the flow because of fluctuations caused by its temporal variations. The variations in compressor speed cause the pressure measurements to change over time. The temperature measurements are not affected as much as the
pressure measurements because of thermal inertia, there is a lag between the change in compressor speed and the temperature measurement. The data could include a measurement spike that would be greatly different from the averaged value. A time-averaged value over several minutes would give a more accurate measurement.

3. Gas Generator Inlet Temperature

Due to the great non-uniformity of the flow velocity and the combustion within the combustor, a wide range of temperatures can be experienced and their distribution would be difficult to predict. That is why a large number of thermocouples were used to evaluate this condition.

![Figure 3.1. Schematic of gas generator instrumentation ring [From Ref 3]](image)

A mass based value gives a representative bulk average because the variation of temperature and fluid condition at every measurement point is taken into affect. An analysis of a mass based enthalpy average utilized the fact that the thermocouples at the
blade tips accounted for a smaller cross sectional area, the location of the maximum temperatures.

Evaluating the specific heat, temperature, and density of all forty-eight thermocouples refines the analysis. Finding the velocity profile through the annulus would require many measurements, which would increase losses from instruments that can be easily clogged.

\[
h = \frac{\int (c_p T) d\theta}{\int d\theta}
\]  

(3.1)

\[
h = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{48} (c_p T A \rho_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{48} v_i} \frac{v}{v}
\]  

(3.2)

4. Exhaust Temperature

The exhaust temperature measurements are taken at four locations, two from each of the two exhaust stacks. Different temperature profiles were observed when the PT speed was varied while the GGT speed remained constant. At the highest PT speed, one of the measurements was considerably higher than the other three. At the lowest PT speed, the same behavior was seen but the peak temperature was in the other exhaust stack.

C. INSTRUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

Accurate temperature and pressure measurements before and after each major component are necessary for producing a representative cycle graph. Mass flow measurements for air and fuel are needed to calculate power and energy balances. The following measurements for the 250-C18 gas turbine are needed for a thorough analysis of the engine and the instrumentation package.

1. Air Mass Flow Rate \([\text{lbm/s}]\) \(\dot{m}_a\)

2. Fuel Mass Flow Rate \([\text{lbm/hr}]\) \(\dot{m}_f\)
3. Compressor/GGT Speed [rpm] N1
4. Power Turbine Speed [rpm] N2
5. Compressor Inlet Total Temperature [°R] T12
6. Compressor Inlet Total Pressure [psia] P12
7. Compressor Inlet Static Pressure [psia] P2
8. Compressor Discharge Total Temperature [°R] T13
9. Compressor Discharge Total Pressure [psia] P13
10. Gas Generator Inlet Total Temperature [°R] T14
11. Gas Generator Inlet Total Pressure [psia] P14
12. Power Turbine Inlet Total Temperature [°R] T15
13. Power Turbine Inlet Total Pressure [psia] P15
14. Exhaust Total Temperature [°R] T17
15. Exhaust Static Pressure [psia] P7
16. Torque [ft-lb] τ
17. Shaft speed [rpm] N3
18. Output Power [SHP] P_{out}
IV. INSTRUMENTATION IMPROVEMENTS

A. PRESSURE SENSOR SYSTEM REPLACEMENTS

The hardware improvements with the instrumentation package involved the pressure measurements. The Scanivalve DSA 3017 pressure sensor has the ability to compare sixteen channels to an isolated reference pressure within a single package. The DSA 3017 also has the ability to continually take data over a period of time and give an average. It is temperature compensating and vibration tested, which means it can be mounted on the engine stand to minimize distance between the measurement locations and the sensor. Since the connection is ethernet based, the data is processed on a PC, which is connected to the sensor with ethernet cables that are not affected by the gas turbine engine. Due to the various pressure ranges throughout the system, three different measurement scales were used to maximize accuracy: 10” H₂O, 2.5 psid, and 100 psid. A Mystic barometer is used to measure the absolute ambient pressure, this measurement value will be used as a reference for each of the DSA 3017 pressure sensors.

Figure 4.1. Scanivalve DSA 3017 Pressure Sensor
Pressure sensors were connected to the pressure probes installed in the calibrated bellmouth at the compressor inlet. An air mass flow calculation can be made and compared to the value measured by the dynamometer’s two turbine flow meters.

\[
\dot{m}_a = \frac{C_a A P_{t_2}}{\sqrt{R T_{t_2}}} \sqrt{\frac{2k}{k-1} \left( \frac{P_2}{P_{t_2}} \right)^{\frac{2k}{k}} 1 - \left( \frac{P_2}{P_{t_2}} \right)^{\frac{k-1}{k}}} \tag{4.1}
\]
Table 4.2. Temperature Sensor Locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tt</th>
<th># of measurements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amb</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. IMPLEMENTATION

The DSA 3017 required 0.063” OD tubing for the connection between the pressure probes and the pressure sensor. The high temperatures experienced within the gas turbine engine would melt the nylon connection tubing and damage the DSA 3017; therefore the pressure probes were extended with four feet of steel tubing. This would allow the air to cool before entering the connection tubing and pressure sensor. The signal is then processed on a PC.

C. TEMPERATURE INSTRUMENTATION

The temperature measurement system was not modified because the measurement data was within a 1-2% difference from the calibrated model. It appeared that the thermocouples were placed at appropriate locations within the flow and were reading realistic temperature values based on the installation design manual. The same temperature data acquisition system was used because a time resolved temperature measurement would not show significant fluctuations.

The temperature instrumentation was also used to analyze the flow at certain state points. The thermocouples within the instrumentation ring at the gas generator turbine inlet were mapped out on a representation of the annulus so the temperature measurements could be seen on a contour plot. This contour plot could be used to compare the profile factor with the physical orientation of the annulus and the relative
location of the compressor discharge inlets. This comparison could contribute to an analysis on how the flow field affects the temperature measurements.

A visual model was also made to analyze the temperature measurements at the power turbine exit. The model compares the temperature differences between the two exhaust stacks at different power turbine speeds. The temperature profile at the power turbine exit was used to analyze how the power turbine rotor stages could affect the annulus temperature profile from the gas generator turbine inlet.
V. RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Measurement data were collected from an engine test with the improved instrumentation scheme. The test was performed at a maximum power setting with the GGT speed at 51,120 RPM and the PT speed at 35,000 RPM. Temperature and pressure measurements will be compared with values supplied by the SF-901 dynamometer and the Allison installation design manual.

A. KEY PARAMETERS

Table 5.1. Key parameter comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ma [lb/s]</th>
<th>ma [lb/s]</th>
<th>mf [lb/hr]</th>
<th>Output [SHP]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bellmouth</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>202.54</td>
<td>285.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dyno</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>205.67</td>
<td>282.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>1.71%</td>
<td>5.71%</td>
<td>1.55%</td>
<td>-1.34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.1 shows that the key parameter measurements like air mass flow rate, fuel mass flow rate, and output shaft horsepower have less than 3% difference from the calibrated model values. The measurement of air mass flow from the dynamometer exceeds the 3% difference margin, which required an accurate value for vapor pressure to be manually inputted into the dynamometer. The inaccurate measurement of volumetric airflow rate could have been the result of an incorrect vapor pressure input.
B. STATE POINT MEASUREMENTS

Table 5.2. State point comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( T_{12} )</th>
<th>( T_{13} )</th>
<th>( T_{14} )</th>
<th>( T_{15} )</th>
<th>( T_{17} )</th>
<th>( P_{12} )</th>
<th>( P_{13} )</th>
<th>( P_{14} )</th>
<th>( P_{15} )</th>
<th>( P_{17} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Predicted Values</td>
<td>535.0</td>
<td>980.0</td>
<td>2232.7</td>
<td>1830.0</td>
<td>1565.2</td>
<td>14.59</td>
<td>83.98</td>
<td>78.10</td>
<td>29.64</td>
<td>14.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured Values</td>
<td>534.6</td>
<td>986.8</td>
<td>2286.9</td>
<td>1815.1</td>
<td>1537.8</td>
<td>14.59</td>
<td>82.08</td>
<td>79.81</td>
<td>30.10</td>
<td>14.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>-0.08%</td>
<td>0.69%</td>
<td>2.43%</td>
<td>-0.81%</td>
<td>-1.75%</td>
<td>-0.04%</td>
<td>-2.26%</td>
<td>2.19%</td>
<td>1.57%</td>
<td>0.68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. GAS GENERATOR TURBINE INLET TEMPERATURE PROFILE

The temperature profile was neither uniform nor symmetrical. Due to the temperature profile and its wide range of temperatures, it was assumed that there was a problem with the thermocouple configuration. After a discussion with Scott Wood, a Model 250 test engineer at Rolls Royce, it was determined that this particular engine was producing measurements similar to those produced at the Rolls Royce labs. The temperature profile presented is suspected to be a result of the uneven mass flow between the two compressor discharge ducts. The airflow may be directing the combustor flame toward one side of the thermocouple ring. The hot spot is toward the side with the compressor duct having the lower pressure. The difference between the gas generator turbine inlet temperatures calculated from a fuel based energy balance is only 2.43% higher from the mass based calculated value, assuming constant axial velocity.

When plotting the profile factor around the annulus, a difference can be seen when comparing the profiles from different gas generator turbine speeds. At 80% of maximum speed, the hot area of the annulus occupies the left side, and as speed increases to 100%, the hot area occupies only the top left corner of the annulus.

\[
P F = \frac{T - T_{\text{min}}}{T_{\text{max}} - T_{\text{min}}} \quad (5.1)
\]
Table 5.3. Gas generator turbine inlet temperature comparison @ full power

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T_{in}$[R]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TC Average</td>
<td>2310.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Based</td>
<td>2286.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Based</td>
<td>2232.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.1. Gas generator turbine inlet profile factor @ 80% of maximum compressor speed
Figure 5.2. Gas generator turbine inlet profile factor @ 100% of maximum compressor speed

The scale for the contour plots consisted of 30 divisions. The lines on the plot separate color fields that represent a difference of 0.033.

D. EXHAUST TEMPERATURE

The temperatures at the power turbine exit changed with power turbine speed at a constant compressor speed. At lower speeds, the maximum temperature measurement was in the left exhaust stack, but as speed increased, the higher temperature measurements were measured in the right stack. Figure 5.3 shows how the temperature profile changes. The two left columns in each set represent the temperature measurements in the left exhaust stack, and the two right columns represent the measurements from the right stack.
E. PRESSURE MEASUREMENTS

Pressure measurements were taken throughout the engine at one-second intervals. The data were used to plot the pressure fluctuations over time. The y-axis in each plot represents the pressure difference from the average measurement value and the x-axis represents time.

The pressure probes in each of the compressor discharge ducts experienced similar temporal pressure variations. The compressor seems to be affecting the discharge pressure on both sides evenly. The pressure probes at the top and bottom of the gas generator turbine inlet were also experiencing similar fluctuations. The temporal pressure fluctuations at the gas generator turbine inlet were similar between the top and bottom halves. The pressure fluctuations at the compressor discharge are similar to the average pressure fluctuations at the gas generator turbine inlet. It appeared that the
pressure variations at the gas generator turbine inlet are caused primarily by the compressor speed fluctuations.

Figure 5.6 was used to analyze the affect of the compressor discharge pressure variations on compressor adiabatic efficiency assuming the temperature ratio remained constant. Table 5.4 shows that there is a 2% difference in adiabatic efficiency between the values based on the maximum and minimum fluctuations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$P_{t3}$</th>
<th>$\eta_c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>avg</td>
<td>80.02</td>
<td>75.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max</td>
<td>80.42</td>
<td>75.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>79.62</td>
<td>73.78%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.4. Compressor adiabatic efficiency comparison

Figure 5.4. Compressor discharge pressure measurement fluctuations
Figure 5.5. Gas generator inlet pressure measurement fluctuations

Figure 5.6. Compressor discharge and gas generator inlet pressure measurement fluctuation comparison
VI. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. SUMMARY

The preexisting flow-path instrumentation was evaluated and it was determined that there existed several problems in the measurement system, including inaccurate measurement of airflow rate, fuel flow rate, and output power. These parameters varied as much as 10-15% from expected values. Also, several quantities that are useful in describing the environment in which the engine operates, such as the inlet pressure depression, were not measured. To improve measurement quality and to obtain previously unknown pressures, new pressure measuring instrumentation was installed. Finally, the calibrated bellmouth flow meter was instrumented to provide a redundant measurement of inlet air mass flow to the engine.

A primary flow path thermodynamic model of the engine was developed and calibrated to match the major output quantities and thermodynamic states between components for standard ISO conditions at full power. This model was then used to predict the total pressures and temperatures between the components and the primary output of the engine under the conditions under which the engine operates in the cell. These predictions were used to evaluate the accuracy of the measurements.

B. CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions on the accuracy of the upgraded flow path measurements have been drawn based on the consistency of the measured data and comparisons of these quantities to the values predicted by the calibrated thermodynamic model:

1. The installation of the digital scanning array (DSA) pressure measurement sensors increased the accuracy at the existing measurement locations and provided additional useful data. The pressure measurements are generally consistent with the predictions from the thermodynamic model and expectations; the measured values were within 3% of expected values. However, the combustor total pressure loss was measured
to be about 3%, which seems low for a small, reverse flow burner. Pressures measured in similar locations, e.g. from the left and right side, are in agreement to within a fraction of a psi and all measurements are repeatable from run to run, which are an indicator of high quality data.

2. Temporal fluctuations in the measured pressures, defined by the time resolved measurement minus the average value at that location, from all channels after the compressor were very similar, with peak-to-peak variations on the order of 0.7 psid. These variations are presumably due to small variations in compressor speed. While a systematic evaluation of the sample interval or record length needed to obtain repeatable pressure averages were not done, it seems that a 30 second record with sampling interval of one second is sufficient to obtain repeatable averages to within a couple of tenths of a psi, if the compressor speed is relatively constant.

3. The bellmouth provides a measurement of air mass flow that is more consistent with predicted values than the dynamometer’s turbine flow meters. The bellmouth calculation produced a 1.71% difference from the expected value while the dynamometer measurement had a difference close to 6%.

4. The temperatures measured with the thermocouples do not agree closely with predicted values in the engines hot section. Specifically, the mass averaged calculation of the turbine inlet temperature, $T_{T4}$, based on the 48 thermocouple measurements and an assumed constant axial velocity for the gas generator inlet temperature is about 50 degrees F higher than the value from the engine model or a combustor energy balance. Also, the power turbine exit temperature is about 30 degrees lower than an expected value. The temperature profile at the power turbine exhaust is the result of the temperature profile at the gas generator turbine inlet and the swirl produced by the power turbine. The hot spot in the gas generator turbine inlet temperature profile is being directed toward one of the exhaust stacks, depending on the power turbine speed.

5. The temperature profile out of the combustor was not axis-symmetric at any power setting. At lower power settings (e.g. 80%) there were hotter and cooler halves, about the vertical axis of symmetry, which may be due to the slightly higher pressure entering the left side of the combustor pushing the “fireball” to the right side of the combustor.
annulus. However, at the 100% power setting, the profile factor lost its symmetry and the highest temperatures were in the upper left-hand corner. This change in the nature of the temperature field is not understood.

C. RECOMMENDATIONS

There are several remaining problems and uncertainties with the measurement system, which should be addressed. The major problem is that of relatively large uncertainties in the temperature measurements in the three hot section locations. The reason(s) that the mass averaged turbine inlet temperature, as calculated with the thermocouple measurements, do not match the temperature calculated from a combustor energy balance should be determined. Since the spatial resolution seems adequate, other reasons should be investigated, such as the thermocouples not properly reading the gas temperature due to radiation loading, etc. The two thermocouples used in the measurement of power turbine inlet temperature, and the four used for the exit temperature are probably not sufficient to provide adequate spatial resolution for the calculation of a representative average temperature. The pressure probes at the power turbine inlet should be replaced with the same temperature/pressure combination devices at the compressor discharge. A more detailed survey of the outlet temperature versus power turbine speed at a constant engine mass flow should be conducted to determine how an accurate average outlet temperature should be measured.

Finally, an evaluation of the effects of the instrumentation that measures the gas generator inlet conditions should be made. The 50 probes may be conducting heat away from the air while increasing pressure losses.
## APPENDIX A. DSA 3017 SPECIFICATIONS

### Specifications

**Inputs (P<sub>x</sub>):**
- DSA3017: Standard: 16 each .063 inch (1.6 mm) O.D. tubulations (optional 1/8 inch Swagelok fittings)
- DSA3018: Standard: 1/8 inch Swagelok fittings (optional 1/16 and 1/4 inch Swagelok fittings)

**Full Scale Ranges:**
- **Differential:** ±10 inch H<sub>2</sub>O, 1, 2.5, 5, 15, 30, 50, 100, 250, 500, 600, 750 psid
- **Absolute:** 15, 30, 50, and 100 psia

**Accuracy:** (Including linearity, hysteresis, and repeatability)
- ±0.1 ±2.5 psid ±0.5% FS
- ±5 to 500 psid ±0.05%
- ±501 to 750 psid ±0.08%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor Pressure Range</th>
<th>Static Accuracy (% of FS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>±1 ±2.5 psid</td>
<td>±0.5% FS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±5 to 500 psid</td>
<td>±0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±501 to 750 psid</td>
<td>±0.08%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Resolution:** 16 bit

**Scan Rate:** 200 samples/channel/second max. 3.2kHz/DSA module up to 250kHz with Ethernet system

**Communication:**
- Ethernet 10base2 (standard)
- Ethernet 10baseT (optional)
- RS232
- RS485 (contact factory)

**Communication Protocol:** TCP/IP 45Hz/channel EU

**Operating Temperature:**
- DSA3017: 0°C to 60°C
- DSA3018: -55°C to 60°C

**Temperature Compensated Range:** 0°C to 60°C standard

**Mating Connector Type:**
- I/O: RG-58 BNC or RJ-45
- Power: Bendix PTO2A-8-3P, 3 pin female
- Trigger: Bendix PTO2A-8-6P, 6 pin female

**Power Requirements:**
- DSA3017: 28Vdc nominal @ 350mA (20-36Vdc)
- DSA3018: 28Vdc nominal @ 1.2A (24-36Vdc)

**External Trigger:** 6 mA at 4 Vdc minimum edge damping

**Overpressure Capability:**
- 10 inch H<sub>2</sub>O = 2 psi (13.79kPa)
- 1 psid = 5 psi (35kPa)
- 200 psid (1729kPa) = 200%
- 500 psid (3500kPa) = 150%
- 600 psid (4200kPa) = 125%
- 750 psid (5230kPa) = 100%

**Maximum Reference Pressure:** 250 psig (1729kPa)

**Media Compatibility:** Gases compatible with silicon, silicone, aluminum, and Buna-N

**Weight:**
- DSA3017/16Px: 8.4 lbs. (2.9 kg)
- DSA3018/16Px: 9.6 lbs. (4.45 kg)

**Total Thermal Error over 0 - 60°C Range:** ±0.01% F.S./°C

---

Figure A.1. DSA 3017 Specifications
APPENDIX B. MATLAB CODE FOR GGT INLET TEMPERATURE PROFILE

clear
rv=[2.573 2.78 2.95 3.1 3.18];
thv=linspace(pi/2,5*pi/2,49);
[r,th]=meshgrid(rv,thv);
x=r.*cos(th);
y=r.*sin(th);
a=h(:,1);
b=h(:,3);
z=[a h b];
contourf(x,y,z,30)
colorbar
axis square
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APPENDIX C. COLLECTED DATA

The following tables contain data collected and the average values used for thermodynamic calculations. The engine conditions were 100% compressor speed and 100% power turbine speed. The unit for temperature is Rankine, and the unit for pressure is pounds per square inch absolute.

Table C.1. Temperature and pressure data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[R]</th>
<th>(T_{i2})</th>
<th>536.5</th>
<th>(T_{i4ir})</th>
<th>2407.8</th>
<th>(T_{i4mr})</th>
<th>2496.0</th>
<th>(T_{i4or})</th>
<th>2474.7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(T_{i2})</td>
<td>532.7</td>
<td>(T_{i4ir})</td>
<td>2376.2</td>
<td>(T_{i4mr})</td>
<td>2510.4</td>
<td>(T_{i4or})</td>
<td>2478.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(T_{i2})avg</td>
<td>534.6</td>
<td>(T_{i4ir})</td>
<td>2371.9</td>
<td>(T_{i4mr})</td>
<td>2492.0</td>
<td>(T_{i4or})</td>
<td>2477.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(T_{i3})</td>
<td>986.7</td>
<td>(T_{i4ir})</td>
<td>2376.1</td>
<td>(T_{i4mr})</td>
<td>2498.3</td>
<td>(T_{i4or})</td>
<td>2477.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(T_{i3})avg</td>
<td>986.9</td>
<td>(T_{i4ir})</td>
<td>2289.8</td>
<td>(T_{i4mr})</td>
<td>2346.6</td>
<td>(T_{i4or})</td>
<td>2376.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_{i3}\avg)</td>
<td>986.8</td>
<td>(T_{i4ir})</td>
<td>2274.8</td>
<td>(T_{i4mr})</td>
<td>2313.6</td>
<td>(T_{i4or})</td>
<td>2320.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_{i4mass}\ avg)</td>
<td>2286.9</td>
<td>(T_{i4ir})</td>
<td>2069.1</td>
<td>(T_{i4mr})</td>
<td>2256.3</td>
<td>(T_{i4or})</td>
<td>2213.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_{i5})</td>
<td>1815.1</td>
<td>(T_{i4ir})</td>
<td>2088.3</td>
<td>(T_{i4mr})</td>
<td>2184.9</td>
<td>(T_{i4or})</td>
<td>2179.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_{i7})</td>
<td>1633.6</td>
<td>(T_{i4ir})</td>
<td>2187.1</td>
<td>(T_{i4mr})</td>
<td>2203.0</td>
<td>(T_{i4or})</td>
<td>2205.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_{i7})avg</td>
<td>1511.2</td>
<td>(T_{i4ir})</td>
<td>2209.0</td>
<td>(T_{i4mr})</td>
<td>2238.7</td>
<td>(T_{i4or})</td>
<td>2073.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_{i7})avg</td>
<td>1488.0</td>
<td>(T_{i4ir})</td>
<td>2243.2</td>
<td>(T_{i4mr})</td>
<td>2283.7</td>
<td>(T_{i4or})</td>
<td>2328.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_{i7})</td>
<td>1518.4</td>
<td>(T_{i4ir})</td>
<td>2296.5</td>
<td>(T_{i4mr})</td>
<td>2331.2</td>
<td>(T_{i4or})</td>
<td>2295.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_{i7}\avg)</td>
<td>1537.8</td>
<td>(T_{i4ir})</td>
<td>2226.2</td>
<td>(T_{i4mr})</td>
<td>2270.3</td>
<td>(T_{i4or})</td>
<td>2243.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_{i7}\avg)</td>
<td>1527.8</td>
<td>(T_{i4ir})</td>
<td>2274.5</td>
<td>(T_{i4mr})</td>
<td>2236.5</td>
<td>(T_{i4or})</td>
<td>2234.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_{i7}\avg)</td>
<td>1533.8</td>
<td>(T_{i4ir})</td>
<td>2297.1</td>
<td>(T_{i4mr})</td>
<td>2303.8</td>
<td>(T_{i4or})</td>
<td>2322.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_{i7}\avg)</td>
<td>1533.8</td>
<td>(T_{i4ir})</td>
<td>2338.3</td>
<td>(T_{i4mr})</td>
<td>2402.3</td>
<td>(T_{i4or})</td>
<td>2442.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[psia]</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(P_{tamb})</td>
<td>14.681</td>
<td>(P_{t3left})</td>
<td>82.126</td>
<td>(P_{i4top})</td>
<td>79.788</td>
<td>(P_{i5})</td>
<td>29.987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_{17})</td>
<td>14.591</td>
<td>(P_{i3right})</td>
<td>81.914</td>
<td>(P_{i4bottom})</td>
<td>79.826</td>
<td>(P_{i5})</td>
<td>30.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_{17})</td>
<td>12.985</td>
<td>(P_{i3avg})</td>
<td>82.020</td>
<td>(P_{i4avg})</td>
<td>79.807</td>
<td>(P_{i5avg})</td>
<td>30.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_{17})</td>
<td>14.769</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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