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Our previous study on paraffin embedded tissues from patients with mammary carcinomas revealed a high frequency of loss of heterozygosity (LOH) in microdissected epithelial (EP) and adjacent or distant stromal (ST) cells. This study attempts to confirm previous findings on a larger scale and in a wider spectrum. Immunohistochemical and genetic analyses were performed on malignant and benign EP lesions with and without distinct ST alterations. Our findings show that morphologically comparable ST cells associated with malignant and benign lesions display a substantially different immunostaining patterns with antibodies to cell proliferation associated proteins, blood vessel components, and extracellular matrix molecules, and also show different frequencies and patterns of LOH at multiple chromosomal loci. ST cells from neither malignant nor benign lesions, however, display LOH or microsatellite instability with multiple DNA markers at chromosome 17p. These results are consistent with those of our previous studies, suggesting that [1] morphologically comparable ST cells in malignant and benign lesions are biofunctionally and genetically different and their genetic alterations correlate with those in their EP counterparts; [2] the biofunctions of ST cells may be independent of p53 gene regulation.
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Introduction

A dynamic, reciprocal interaction between epithelial (EP) and mesenchymal (stromal) cells during embryogenesis is a well-recognized phenomenon, which determines the pattern and extent of the EP morphogenesis and cytodifferentiation (1-3). Recent studies have suggested that a similar interaction may also take place during the development and progression of mammary tumors (4-6). The molecular mechanism and detailed process of EP-stromal (ST) interactions during both neonatal and adult stages, however, have not been well elucidated. In addition, although a number of genetic alterations, including gene mutations, microsatellite instability (MI), and loss of heterozygosity (LOH), have been consistently detected in the EP component of both pre-malignant and malignant mammary lesions (7-9), the genetic profile of the ST component in these lesions remains essentially unexplored.

In a previous study (10), we evaluated the genetic profile of a type of “non-hyperplastic” flat intraductal carcinoma, also known as “clinging ductal carcinoma in situ”, which is characterized by a single layer of mildly to severely atypical cells simply replacing the single layer of the native EP cells without appreciable proliferative or architectural alterations. In that study, morphologically normal ST cells at a distance (at least 15mm) from the EP component were microdissected to serve as normal internal controls. The ST cells in 22 of 25 (88%) cases displayed no genetic abnormality, while the ST cells in 3 cases (12%) showed LOH at multiple chromosomal loci. Those cases were excluded from that study because at that time we could not find adequate explanation in the literature. This surprising finding of LOH in ST cells prompted the initiation of a more detailed study. Paraffin embedded breast tissues from 11 female patients with intraductal or with invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC), and from 10 reduction mammoplasty specimen from normal women without radiological, or clinical and histopathological abnormality in their breasts, were selected for assessment of LOH. In each case, the normal appearing ST element (mainly fibroblasts, adipocytes, lymphocytes, and blood vessels) at a distance (at least 15mm) from, and close to the EP component, along with phenotypically different EP cells, were separately microdissected and placed in different tubes. The frequency and pattern of LOH among microdissected cells were compared after PCR amplification with a total of 12 DNA markers at
chromosomes 2p, 3p, 11q, 16q, and 17q. The LOH frequency in ST cells close to EP tumor cells ranged from 10-65% in intraductal carcinoma and from 20-75% in IDC. Furthermore, 10 of the 12 (83%) DNA markers showed LOH in the ST cells at a distance, ranging from 11–57 % of informative cases. In each case, the pattern and frequency of LOH in malignant EP cells were very similar to those in the ST component, and the EP and ST components in 8 (73%) of 11 cases displayed at least one identical LOH. In contrast, the frequency of LOH in clear-cut normal EP cells (33% or 4 of 12 markers) was substantially lower than that in normal appearing ST cells (83% or 10 of 12 markers) in cases with carcinoma. No LOH was detected in either EP or ST cells of the 10 reduction mammoplasty specimen used as normal controls. This study revealed a high frequency of LOH in normal appearing ST cells for the first time, suggesting that ST cells might be concurrently involved in the development or progression of mammary carcinomas, and that genetic alterations in ST cells may precede those in their EP counterparts. The manuscript of this study was published, with “highest priority”, in Cancer Research (11), one of the most prestigious research journals.

The current study attempts to confirm our previous one using a larger number of cases and a wider spectrum of lesions. More importantly, as it has been suggested that the evolution and progression of human mammary carcinoma involves a sequential, multistep process, starting from transformation of normal cells to hyperplastic, then to in situ, and eventually to invasive and metastatic lesions, this study attempted to assess the correlation between EP and ST cells during various steps of the hypothesized multistep process of carcinogenesis. The hypothesis to be tested is that the ST component of a majority of mammary carcinomas plays a crucial role in preparing a receptive microenvironment for tumor development and progression, or more remotely both the EP and ST components are derived through a clonal growth of a common progenitor sharing a common immunohistochemical and genetic profile. The identification of genetic abnormalities in ST cells could facilitate the disclosure of the mechanism of the development and progression of EP tumors, and also might lead to the development of novel therapeutic modalities that specifically target ST cells, rather than the EP component of breast cancer.
Body (Materials and Methods)

The original purpose stated that: “The test will be accomplished by comparing the frequency and pattern of LOH, as well as the clonality between EP and ST cells. The test will compare carcinoma and benign lesions characterized by a distinctive stromal alteration with carcinomas and benign lesions lacking a distinctive stromal change, to determine:

[1] whether the EP cells and their surrounding ST cells display a similar frequency and pattern of LOH, and the same clonality;

[2] whether the frequency and pattern of LOH correlates with the EP phenotype;

[3] whether there is shared LOH in various patterns of intraepithelial neoplasms and invasive carcinomas” (see attached Appendix A)

To address these three issues, three corresponding experiments have been conducted:

[1] Paraffin embedded tissues from a total of 20 female patients with bilateral breast cancer had been retrieved from our files and processed for immunohistochemical and genetic analyses

[2] Paraffin embedded tissues from a total of 20 female patients with tubular carcinoma, which is a malignant lesion characterized by distinctive stromal alterations, and from 16 patients with infiltrating syringomatous adenoma, which is a benign lesion also characterized by distinctive stromal alterations, were retrieved from our files and processed for immunohistochemical and genetic analyses, including LOH and clonality analysis

[3] Paraffin embedded tissues from a total of 125 patients with various patterns of intraepithelial neoplasms and invasive carcinomas had been retrieved from our files and processed for immunohistochemical and genetic analyses.
Key research accomplishments

The original purpose listed the following five experimental procedures or objectives to accomplish the purposed project:

[1] Immunostaining sections with specific antibodies to highlight EP and ST cells;


[3] DNA extraction from microdissected samples;

[4] PCR amplification of DNA extracts with fluorescent dye-labeled markers;

[5] Electrophoresis of PCR products and detection of signals with an automated DNA sequencer (see attached Appendix A).

All the listed procedures or objectives have been accomplished and the corresponding results have been analyzed prior to September 30, 2001.
**Reportable outcomes**

The main reportable outcomes can be summarized as follows:

[1]. EP tumor cells and their surrounding and distant ST cells display both concurrent and independent LOH at multiple chromosomal loci, while concurrent alterations are more frequently seen.

[2]. LOHs detected in ST cells appear to be clustered at chromosomes 3p, 11p, and 16q, but are rarely seen at chromosome 17p.

[3]. Comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) analysis reveals an identical change, deletion of 13q, in both the EP and ST component of a cancerous breast.

[4]. Our preliminary studies reveal that the EP and ST component in some cases share the same clonality.


[6]. The status of estrogen receptor (ER) expression in EP cells of intraepithelial neoplasms is closely associated with the integrity of the subjacent myoepithelial cell layer and the basement membrane.

For each of the three experiments corresponding to the three issues addressed in the proposal, the laboratory findings have been summarized, an abstract has been submitted to scientific conferences, and a manuscript is under preparation, which will be sent for publication in late 2001 or early 2002. The titles and the status of the three abstracts are as follows:

**[1] Primary bilateral breast cancers display different LOH and CGH profiles in both epithelial and stromal components.** Accepted for podium presentation at the 24th Annual San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium” (see attached Appendix B).

**[2] Morphologically comparable stromal cells associated with benign and malignant mammary epithelial lesions show different immunohistochemical and genetic profiles.** Submitted to the 2002 Annual Meeting of US & Canadian Academy of Pathology (see attached Appendix C).

**[3] Focal loss of estrogen receptor (ER) expression in ER positive ductal intraepithelial neoplasia is associated with disruption of the immediate subjacent myoepithelial cell layer.** Submitted to
the 2002 Annual Meeting of US & Canadian Academy of Pathology (see attached Appendix D).

In addition, since this project required a great number of electrophoresis for PCR amplified products, an innovated protocol, which permits a significant reduction in electrophoresis time and reagent costs was developed in our Laboratory. The manuscript of this protocol has been published in *Electrophoresis 22:1915-1919, 2001*, a Med-Line listed journal (see attached Appendix E).
Conclusions

The frequency and pattern of LOH seen in our current study are consistent with those of our previous study (11). These findings appear to be sufficient and adequate to make a conclusion for each of the three questions addressed in our proposal (see attached Appendix A):

1. The EP cells and their surrounding ST cells display a similar frequency and pattern of LOH, and the same clonality.

2. The frequency and pattern of LOH correlates with the EP phenotype;

3. There is shared LOH in various patterns of intraepithelial neoplasms and invasive carcinomas.

In addition, this study has expanded the spectrum of our previous findings in several respects:

1. For the first time, CGH analysis was performed in both EP and ST cells, and an identical CGH change was identified in both the EP and ST cells of a cancerous breast.

2. Both the immunohistochemical and genetic profiles in morphologically comparable ST cells associated with benign and malignant EP lesions were assessed, and substantial differences were observed in these ST cells.

3. Several chromosomal loci that display high frequencies of LOH in ST cells have been identified.

These findings appear to support our hypothesis that the EP and ST components of a majority of mammary carcinomas are derived through a clonal growth of a common progenitor. Even if the EP and ST cells were independently derived, the genetic and biofunctional alterations involved in carcinogenesis appear to result from dynamic, reciprocal interactions between the ST and EP cells. Ultimately, these findings suggest that ST cells play an important role in both the development and progression of the EP tumors. The elucidation of the mechanism and detailed process of these interactions may substantially facilitate the disclosure of the mechanism of the development and progression of epithelial tumors, and may also lead to development of novel therapeutic modalities that specifically target ST cells, rather than the EP component of breast cancer.
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A dynamic, reciprocal interaction between epithelial and mesenchymal (stromal) cells during embryogenesis is a well-recognized phenomenon, which determines the pattern and extent of the epithelial morphogenesis and cytodifferentiation. Recent studies have suggested that a similar interaction may also take place during tumor development or progression. The molecular mechanism for the epithelial-stromal interaction, however, remains to be identified. While a number of genetic alterations, including microsatellite instability, loss of heterozygosity (LOH), and gene amplification, have been observed in benign and malignant epithelial lesions, similar genetic alterations in the stromal component of these lesions have not been studied extensively. Using the PCR technique with 12 DNA markers, one of our previous studies simultaneously examined DNA extracts from microdissected epithelial cells and stromal cells near and far away from the epithelial component of breast specimens from 11 cancer patients and 10 normal controls (1). A high frequency of LOH at chromosomal loci that harbor tumor suppressor genes was detected in both stromal and cancerous epithelial cells. While LOH at several loci was detected exclusively in the stroma, 8 of 11 (73%) cancer cases showed at least one identical LOH in both epithelial and stromal cells. None of the normal controls displayed LOH. Our findings raise the provocative possibility that the elusive “pluripotent stem cells” in the breast may give rise to both malignant epithelial cells and the associated supportive stroma, and also suggest that genetic alterations in mammary stromal cells may precede genetic changes in epithelial cells. The manuscript of our results has been accepted and ranked as “highest priority” for publication in “Cancer Research” at the earliest possible date (1).

We propose to confirm our previous observations on a larger number of cases. More importantly, since it has been documented that the evolution and progression of human cancer involves a sequential, multistep process, starting from the transformation of normal cells to hyperplastic disorders, then to in situ lesions, and eventually to invasive and metastatic carcinomas, this study attempts to assess the correlation between epithelial and stromal cells during various steps of the hypothesized multistep process of carcinogenesis and tumor progression. The hypothesis to be tested is that the epithelial and stromal components of a majority of mammary carcinomas are derived through a clonal growth of a common progenitor; therefore, the epithelial cells and their surrounding stromal cells may share a common genetic
profile. The test will be accomplished by comparing the frequency and pattern of LOH, as well as the clonality between epithelial and stromal cells. The test will compare carcinomas and benign lesions characterized by a distinctive stromal alteration with carcinomas and benign lesions lacking a distinctive stromal change, to determine [1] whether the epithelial cells and their surrounding stromal cells display a similar frequency and pattern of LOH, and the same clonality; [2] whether the frequency and pattern of LOH correlates with the epithelial phenotype; [3] whether there is any shared LOH in various patterns of intraepithelial neoplasms and invasive carcinomas. The experimental procedures include: [1] Immunostaining sections with specific antibodies to highlight epithelial and stromal cells; [2] Microdissection of each epithelial phenotype and its surrounding stroma; [3] DNA extraction from microdissected samples; [4] PCR amplification of DNA extracts with fluorescent dye-labeled markers; [5] Electrophoresis of PCR products and detection of signals with an automated DNA sequencer. The protocol for each of the procedures (2-5), the tissue samples, and the facility to carry out the project are readily available in our department and the entire project can be accomplished within one year. The identification of genetic abnormalities in the stroma could facilitate the disclosure of the mechanism of tumor development and progression, and also may lead to development of novel therapeutic modalities that specifically target stromal cells, rather than the epithelial component of breast cancer.
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Primary bilateral breast cancers display different LOH and CGH profiles in both epithelial and stromal components

Man YG, Moinfar F, Shekitka KM, Stamatakis M, Lininger RA, Kuhl E, Brathaufer GL, and Tavassoli FA. Department of Gynecologic and Breast Pathology, Armed Forces Institute of Pathology and American Registry of Pathology, Washington, DC 20306-6000

One of our previous studies revealed that morphologically similar cells from two sides of bilateral primary breast cancers displayed a different pattern and frequency of loss of heterozygosity (LOH), suggesting that these might be independent lesions. This study attempted to confirm previous findings. Methods: The frequency and pattern of LOH and DNA copy numbers in microdissected epithelial (EP) and stromal (ST) cells from left and right lesions of 20 synchronous and metachronous breast cancers were compared, using PCR and comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) techniques. Results: A total of 147 LOH were detected in a total of 122 paired informative foci with a combination of 9 markers. Of 147 LOH, 82 (56%) were seen in the left and 65 (44%) in the right lesions. Of 122 paired foci, 97 (80%) showed unilateral and 25 (20%) displayed bilateral LOH. Of 42 paired, microdissected samples, 32 (76%) displayed more independent, while 4 (10%) showed more concurrent LOH. In 7 selected cases, CGH changes (gains or losses) were detected in the EP component in one side of three cases and in both sides of three cases, and loss of 11q was seen in the ST component in one side of two cases. CGH changes were distributed in 5 left and 4 right lesions, but none of cases that showed CGH changes displayed an identical pattern or frequency of changes in either EP or ST component of both breasts. Conclusions: These results are in favor of independent lesions in most bilateral breast cancers, and further suggest that ST cells are concurrently involved or even play initiative roles in development and progression of some breast cancers.
August 1, 2001

Yan-Gao Man
AFIP
Gyn & Breast Pathology
6825 16th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20306-6000

RE: Primary bilateral breast cancers display different LOH and CGH profiles in both epithelial and stromal components.

Dear Dr. Man:

Your abstract referenced above has been accepted for slide presentation, General Session 3, at the 24th Annual San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium. Instructions for a slide presentation are enclosed. Please follow them carefully.

Since yours is a General Session presentation, and two projectors are required for this very large meeting room, you must bring two sets of 35mm slides, and the sets must be identical. If you plan to do a computer presentation, notify Rich Markow by answering the questions in the lower right hand box of the Slide Presenter Instructions form and faxing it back to him as soon as possible.

If for any reason your presentation must be cancelled, notify the Symposium Coordinator as early as possible [E-mail Rmarkow@saci.org, FAX 210-949-5009, or phone 210-616-5912] before Friday, December 7. After that date, contact him at Marriott Rivercenter (210-223-1000). Be sure to mention your assigned abstract number. Failure to notify will result in activation of the No-Show Policy stated in the Abstract Submission Guidelines.

Don't forget to register to attend the meeting. You may register on line at www.sabcs.org. If you prefer fax or mail, a registration form is enclosed for your convenience. Pre-registration ends on October 31. REGISTRATION IS CLOSED FROM NOVEMBER 1 UNTIL DECEMBER 9, 2001. Your symposium materials will be given to you when you check in at SABCS in Conference Room 1-4.

For information on reserving a hotel room, go to the SABCS website at www.sabcs.org and click on the Housing button for instructions.

The final program booklet will be mailed in September. In the meantime, information is available on our website, which is updated periodically. Thank you for your abstract submission, and we look forward to your presentation.

Sincerely,
GARY C. CHAMNESS, PhD
Chairman, Abstract Selection Committee
24th Annual San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium
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4:00  Extending Breast Conservation
J. Michael Doran, MD
Edinburgh University Breast Unit
Edinburgh, Scotland

4:30  Ablation Techniques for Breast Cancer
Monica Morrow, MD
Northwestern University
Chicago, Illinois

Supported by an educational grant from AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals

5:00-7:00  POSTER SESSION I & RECEPTION
(#101-180)

Detection / Diagnosis
Axillary/Sentinel Nodes
Biopsy Techniques

Risk and Prevention
Diet and Nutrition
Familial Breast Cancer
Prevention

Treatment
Breast Conservation
Radiation Therapy
Surgery

Tumor Cell Biology
Molecular Biology
Growth Factors/Inhibitors
Oncogenes/Tumor Suppressor Genes

5:00-7:00  POSTER SESSION II & CONTINENTAL BREAKFAST
(#201-280)

Detection / Diagnosis
Mammography/Imaging
Screening

Prognosis and Response Predictions
Predictive Factors

Risk and Prevention
Risk Factors
Adjuvant Therapy

DCIS
Cost-Effectiveness

Tumor Cell Biology
Angiogenesis
Antiestrogens
DNA Repair
Gene Therapy

9:30-10:00  PLENARY LECTURE I
Angiogenesis Therapy—where are we now?
Adrian L. Harris, MD, PhD
University of Oxford
Oxford, England, UK

10:00-12:00  GENERAL SESSION III

10:00  17. Utilization of gene expression profiling to identify markers of disease recurrence in node-negative breast cancer.
Roche PC, Mertens NL, Couch FJ, Ingle JH, Leontovich AA, Perez EA, Lillie J.
Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; Millennium Predictive Medicine, Cambridge, MA.

10:15  18. Improved survival benefit from Herceptin (trastuzumab) and chemotherapy in patients selected by fluorescence in situ hybridization.
Mass R, Press M, Anderson S, Shamon D.
Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, CA; University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA; Laboratory
Corporation of America, Research Triangle Park, NC; Division of Hematology and Oncology, UCLA School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA.

10:30  19. Level-I evidence for prognostic and predictive impact of uPA and PAI-1 in node-negative breast cancer provided by second scheduled analysis of multicenter Chemo-NO therapy trial.
Harbeck N, Messcher A, Frechtl A, Unach M, Sebemann H-K, Sweep F, Graeff H,
Schmitz M, Joachim C, Thomsen C, for the Chemo NO Study Group.
Frauenklinik, Technische Universitaet Muenchen, Munich, Germany; Institut fuer Medizinische Informationsverarbeitung, Universitaet Tuebingen,
Tuebingen, Germany; Frauenklinik Grosshadern, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universitaet, Munich, Germany; Universitaetsfrauenklinik Eppendorf, Hamburg,
Germany; Dept. of Chemical Endocrinology, University Medical Center Sint Radboud, Nijmegen, Netherlands.

10:45  20. Telomerase is a prognostic marker in breast cancer: high-throughput tissue microarray analysis of HRST and HTR expression.
Poremba C, Duflo R, Heine B, Sauteur G, Boekler WD.
Gerhard-Domagk-Institute of Pathology, Westfaelische Wilhelms-University, Munster, Germany; Institute of Pathology, Free University, Berlin, Germany; Institute of Pathology, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland.

Jamil WI, Strobl B, Schindlbeck C, Rjos D, Kenstenich C, Stephen B, Harold S.
1. Frauenklinik, LMU, Munich, Germany; Frauenklinik, TU, Munich, Germany.

Naut MOV, Kerkvliet N, Wilson SM, Nadkarni K, Morris VL, MacDonald IC.
Groom AC, Chambers AF.
University of Western Ontario, London, ON, Canada; London Regional Cancer Centre, London, ON, Canada.

11:30  23. Genetic alterations in the progression of non-invasive to invasive breast cancer.
Li Z, Tsimelzon A, Inamnenil A, Mohsin SK, Hiltsenbeck SG, Clark GC, Fuqua
SA, Osborne CK, O’Cossell P, Alfred DC.
Breast Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX.

11:45  24. Primary bilateral breast cancers display different LH and CGH profiles in both epithelial and stromal components.
Man YC, Moinifar F, Selvikkia RM, Stamatelakis M, Liningar R, Khubs E,
Brathlauer GL, Tavassoli F.
Department of Gynecologic and Breast Pathology, Armed Forces Institute of Pathology and American Registry of Pathology, Washington, DC.
12:00-12:55  LUNCH (Ticket Required)

1:00-2:00  CASE PROBLEMS IN PRIMARY BREAST CANCER

2:00-5:00  MINI-SYMPOSIUM II

Translational Discoveries from the SPOREs
Suzanne A. Fuqua, PhD, Moderator
Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas

2:00  Introduction

2:05  A Hypersensitive Mutant Estrogen Receptor Alpha is Present in Most Invasive Breast Cancers
Suzanne A. Fuqua, PhD
Baylor College of Medicine
Houston, Texas

2:30  Strategies to Decipher SERM Stimulated Breast and Endometrial Cancer Growth
V. Craig Jordan, PhD
Northwestern University
Chicago, Illinois

2:55  Expression of Novel Transforming Oncogene G6LF/KLF4 in Breast Cancer
J. Michael Ruppert, MD, PhD
University of Alabama at Birmingham
Birmingham, Alabama

3:20  Roles of G1 Cyclins in Mammary Tumorigenesis
Yan Geng, PhD
Dana-Farber Cancer Institute
Boston, Massachusetts

3:45  Pleiotropin and its Receptor in Breast Cancer
Anton Wellstein, PhD
Georgetown University Medical Center
Washington, DC

4:10  Anti-HER2 Immunoliposomes for Targeted Drug Delivery
John W. Park, MD
University of California, San Francisco
San Francisco, California

4:35  Application of Methylated Gene Markers to the Early Detection of Breast Cancer in Ductal Lavage Fluid
Saraswati Sukumar, PhD
Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine
Baltimore, Maryland

5:00-6:30  OPEN

6:30-8:30  THE BRIunker INTERNATIONAL AWARDS DINNER

The Susan G. Komen Breast Cancer Foundation and the 24th Annual San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium cordially invite you to join us in the Marriott Rivercenter ballroom for dinner and presentation of the Binkner International Awards for Breast Cancer Research. (Ticket Required)

7:30-9:30  POSTER SESSION III & CONTINENTAL BREAKFAST
(#301-380)

Detection/Diagnosis
Circulating Markers 301-304
Marrow and Blood Micrometastases 305-309

Prognosis and Response Predictions
Prognostic Factors 310-341
Prognostic Factors — Methods 342-345

Outreach
Advocacy/Education 346-351

Treatment

Chemothery—General 352-365
Chemothery — Support 366

Tumor Cell Biology
Cell Biology 367-373
Drug Resistance 374-380

9:30-12:00  MINI-SYMPOSIUM III

Breast Imaging: Present and Future
Richard Elledge, MD, Moderator
Baylor College of Medicine
Houston, Texas

Introduction

Seeing is Believing: Visualizing Gene Expression and Secondary Messengers by MRI
Thomas J. Meade, PhD
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

10:00  Magnetic Resonance Elastography
Don Plowes, MD
Sunnybrook Health Science Center & Women's College
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Breast Cancer Detection Using Functional Optical Spectroscopy and Imaging
Bruce J. Tromberg, MD
University of California, Irvine
Irvine, California

11:00  Positron Emission Tomography: Current and Future Applications
Richard Wahl, MD
Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine
Baltimore, Maryland

11:30  Using Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy to Diagnose and Predict Therapeutic Response in Breast Cancer
Michael Garwood, PhD
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, Minnesota

12:00-12:55  LUNCH (Ticket Required)

1:00-2:00  CASE PROBLEMS IN ADVANCED BREAST CANCER

2:00-2:30  PLEniary LECTURE II

Post surgery radiation therapy for breast cancer: A review and critique of the overview analysis
Allen Lichter, MD
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan

2:30-3:00  PLEniary LECTURE III

Predisposition to breast cancer: low penetrance genes - how and why
Prof. Bruce Ponder
Cambridge Institute for Medical Research
Cambridge, England, UK

3:00-5:00  GENERAL SESSION IV

25. A hypersensitive estrogen receptor α is common in invasive breast cancer.
Hoop TA, Van M. O’Connell P, Hilsenbeck SG, Mohsin S, Allred DC, Fuqua SA. Breast Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX.

Kunle M, Wiederpass E, Braaten T, Adami H-O, Lund E. Institute of Community Medicine, University of Tromso, Tromso, Norway, Department of Medical Epidemiology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France.
Detection/Diagnosis
Detection  501-505

Treatment
Noadjuvant Chemotherapy  506-519
Antibody Therapy  520-530
Other Therapies  531-535
Patient Management  536-540
Psychosocial Aspects  541-544
Male Breast Cancer  545

Tumor Cell Biology
Apoptosis  546-550
Endocrinology  551-555
Immunology/Immunotherapy  556-562
Metastasis/Invasion  563-580

PLEHAR LECTURE IV
Lobular carcinoma in situ: Much ado about nothing
Prof. Sunil R. Lakhani
Institute of Cancer Research
London, England, UK

Metastasis in Breast Cancer: Targets for Diagnosis and Treatment
Gregory R. Mundy, MD, Moderator
University of Texas Health Science Center
San Antonio, Texas

Introduction

The Role of TGFβ in Breast Cancer Metastasis
Theresa A. Guese, MD
University of Texas Health Science Center
San Antonio, Texas

Cellular and Molecular Mechanism of Bone Cancer Pain
Dennis Clohisy, MD
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, Minnesota

Bisphosphonates and Tumor Progression in Osteotropic Breast Cancer
Gabriel van der Pluim, PhD
Leiden University Medical Center
Leiden, The Netherlands

Angiogenesis and the Regulation of the Different Patterns of Metastatic Disease
Michael S. O’Rorkey, MD
MD Anderson Cancer Center
Houston, Texas

The Role of IGF/IGF-IIR in Breast Cancer Metastasis to Bone
Toshiyuki Yoneda, DDS, PhD
University of Texas Health Science Center
San Antonio, Texas

Supported by an educational grant from Aventis Oncology

ADJOURNMENT, 24th Annual San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium

SATELLITE SYMPOSIUM
Optimal Therapy for Primary and Metastatic Breast Cancer: Emerging Standards and New Approaches
Jointly sponsored by Seton Hall University and The American Academy of Continuing Medical Education
For information, contact:
Sondra Molyan
Phone 609-921-6622
FAX 609-921-6428
Email: smolyan@academycme.org
Supported by an educational grant from Pharmacia Oncology
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Morphologically comparable stromal cells associated with benign and malignant mammary epithelial lesions show different immunohisto-chemical and genetic profiles
YG Man, KM Shekita, M Stamatatos, L Tai, GL Brathrauer, PY Chen, FA Tavassoli
Dept. of Gynecological and Breast Pathology, The Armed Forces Institute of Pathology and
American Registry of Pathology, 6825 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20306

Background: Our previous studies on paraffin-embedded tissues from patients with
mammary and cervical carcinomas revealed high frequencies of independent and concurrent
loss of heterozygosity (LOH) in microdissected epithelial (EP) tumor cells and adjacent or
distant stromal (ST) cells.

Design: To confirm previous findings on a larger scale and wider spectrum, and to determine
whether morphologically comparable ST cells associated with benign and malignant EP
tumors are bio-functionally and genetically different, the current study compared the
immunostaining patterns and the frequency of LOH in EP and ST cells microdissected from
infiltrating syringomatous adenomas and tubular carcinomas.

Results: Although the ST components in these two lesions were morphologically
comparable, they displayed a substantially different immunostaining pattern to several
antibodies, including antibodies to cell proliferation associated proteins, blood vessel
components, and extracellular matrix molecules. The ST cells from these two lesions also
displayed a substantially different frequency and pattern of LOH at multiple chromosomal
loci, including 3p, 11p, 13p, and 13q. The ST cells from both lesions, however, displayed no
distinct LOH or microsatellite instability with multiple DNA markers at chromosome 17p.

Conclusions: These findings suggest that morphologically comparable ST cells associated
with benign and malignant EP lesions are bio-functionally and genetically different, closely
 correlated with those in their EP counterparts. These findings also suggest that the
bio-functions of ST cells in both lesions might not be directly subjected to the regulation of
the p53 gene.

Acknowledgement: This study is supported by Congressionally Directed Medical Research
Programs, The New Concept Award (DAMD17-00-1-0676) to Dr. Farranee A. Tavassoli and
The Career Development Award (BC001187) to Dr. Yan-gao Man.
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Focal loss of estrogen receptor (ER) expression in ER positive ductal intraepithelial neoplasia is associated with disruptions of the immediate subjacent myoepithelial cell layer

YG Man, KM Sheklika, JS Saenger, L Tai, GL Bratthauer, PY Chen, FA Tavassoli
Department of Gynecological and Breast Pathology, The Armed Forces Institute of Pathology and American Registry of Pathology, 6825 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20306

**Background:** Our previous study using double immunostaining with antibodies to ER and smooth muscle actin (SMA) revealed patchy disruptions in the myoepithelial (ME) cell layer immediately subjacent to ER negative epithelial (EP) cells in mammary ducts with ostensibly EP proliferation.

**Design:** To confirm this finding on a larger scale, the same protocol was used to assess the association between ER expression and disruptions of ME cell layers on paraffin tissue sections from 125 patients with various grades of ductal intraepithelial neoplasia. The disruption of ME cell layers was defined as widening of a ME cell layer gap equal to the diameter of at least 3 EP cells in the cross section of a given duct. Focal loss of ER expression was defined as a significant reduction or complete loss of ER expression in a cluster of EP cells immediately overlying the disrupted ME cell layer, compared to strong ER expression in the remaining neoplastic cells within the same duct. The total number of the cross sections with proliferative changes was counted. All profiles with disrupted ME cell layers were photographed, and prints were made at a magnification of 400-800X for immunohistochemical and morphological assessments.

**Results:** Of the 125 cases, 62 (49.6%) showed disrupted ME cell layers; 246 (6.6%) disruptions were detected from 3,733 evaluated duct cross sections. Of the 62 cases with disrupted ME cell layers, 40 (64.3%) contained less than 4 and 22 (35.5%) displayed more than 4 disruptions. Of these disruptions, 225 (91.5%) from 59 cases were associated with focal loss of ER expression and 61 (8.5%) from 9 cases were subjacent to ER positive cells. The frequency and pattern of disruptions was generally independent of the size of ducts or the degree of neoplasia. The cells overlying the ME disruptions were generally morphologically indistinguishable from adjacent neoplastic cells within the same duct on routine H&E sections.

**Conclusions:** These findings suggest that focal loss of ER expression might play an important role in tumor progression and that double immunostaining with SMA and ER could assist in detection of incipient cancer invasion.

**Acknowledgement:** This study is supported by Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs, The Idea Award (BC001187) and Career Development Award (BC001186) to Yan-gao Man, MD., Ph.D.
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Multiple use of slab gels in sequencing apparatus for separation of polymerase chain reaction products

Attempting to assess whether a decrease of the electrophoresis temperature could prevent or reduce the extent of gel well deformations, and whether the utilization of native polyacrylamide gels (without urea) could speed up the separation of polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-amplified products with an automated 377 DNA sequencer, denatured PCR products were subjected to electrophoresis in 6% native gels under 45°C. Results show that a decrease of the electrophoresis temperature from 51°C (recommended by the User's Manual) to 45°C substantially facilitates the preservation of gel wells, and that all PCR products tested migrated significantly faster in native than in denatured (with urea) gels of the same concentration. The combination of a 6% native gel and a lower (45°C) electrophoresis temperature permits multiple uses of a given gel with consistent results, consequently reducing the electrophoresis time and reagent costs.

Keywords: Electrophoresis / Native polyacrylamide gels / Polymerase chain reaction products / Automated DNA sequencer

An automated 377 DNA sequencer with the corresponding software, the User's Manual, the DNA size standard (GENESCAN-500), and the gel loading buffer (10X Blue Dextran/EDTA) were obtained from Perkin-Elmer. PCR-amplified products with fluorescent dye labeling were obtained from our previous studies [1, 3–6]. Polyacrylamide gel solution (40% acryl/bis 19:1) and urea were purchased from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA, USA). TEMED, formamide, and ammonium persulfate were bought from Amresco (Solon, OH, USA). Denatured gels (with urea) were prepared strictly following the formula provided by the User's Manual, and native gels at the same concentrations were prepared using the same formula but without urea. For a direct comparison, denatured and native gels at the same concentration were prepared in the same plate. This was accomplished by adding two additional spacer bars to the center of the gel plate to create two isolated compartments, which allow two different gel formulations to be poured simultaneously into the same plate apparatus.

Samples were prepared for electrophoresis, according to the User's Manual and our previously published protocol [1]. Briefly, each PCR product or a mixture of 3–4 PCR products was mixed with the loading buffer containing...
formamide. The mixtures were incubated for 4 min at 95°C, and then placed in ice for loading. To assess the impact of a decreased electrophoresis temperature on the preservation of the gel wells, different gels of the same concentration and formulation were subjected to electrophoresis under the temperature recommended by the User's Manual (51°C) and a decreased temperature (45°C). After each electrophoresis, 100–150 μL of the loading buffer were added to the slot to elucidate the wells. The averaged number of undeformed wells in 3–4 separated runs under each temperature was compared. To evaluate the potential benefits of a native gel over a denatured gel of the same concentration, the identical PCR mixtures were subjected to electrophoresis in each gel type. The averaged relative mobilities of the identical PCR products and the separation time in 3–4 separated runs of each gel type were statistically compared with the Student's t-test. To determine whether a combination of a native gel and a decreased temperature may permit multiple uses of a gel and a reduction of the electrophoresis time, a 6% native gel was subjected to electrophoresis multiple times under 45°C for the identical PCR mixtures. The relative mobility and separation time of the identical PCR products on gels used multiple times were statistically compared to those in gels used only once, and also to those in gels under the conditions recommended by the User's Manual. In 4% denatured and native gels, only 26 and 38% of the wells were not deformed under 51°C and 45°C, respectively. In 6% denatured and native gels, however, 44 and 94% of the wells remained unimpaired under 51 and 45°C, respectively, suggesting that a decreased electrophoresis temperature facilitates the preservation of the wells of 6% gels.

The averaged separation time in denatured and native gels at both 51°C and 45°C were listed in Table 1. The separation time for each of the PCR products assessed at both 51°C and 45°C was significantly shorter (p < 0.01) in both 4 and 6% native gels than in the corresponding denatured gels. Figures 1a and b show the band pattern image of three PCR products sized from 100 to 217 bp in 6% denatured and native gels on the same plate under the same electrophoresis temperature. Each of the three PCR products and the DNA size standard appeared to migrate substantially faster in the native gel than in the denatured gel. This was confirmed by the statistical comparison of the relative mobilities, which revealed that the migration of all three PCR products was indeed significantly faster (p < 0.01) in the native than in the denatured gel (Table 2). One conceivable drawback of native gels is the proximity of the bands which, while still sharply defined, are confined to a more limited expanse of the gel. This drawback, however, has little impact on the interpretation of the results, as the band pattern image can be transformed into a densitometric graph, which is more objective and easier to interpret (while far more time-consuming). As shown in Fig. 1c and 1d, each of the two alleles of the PCR product is clearly elucidated as a distinct curve in both native and denatured gels, indicating that the same PCR product can be separated in both gels.

The combination of a 6% native gel and a decreased electrophoresis temperature permitted multiple uses of a given gel, consequently reducing the electrophoresis time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gel type</th>
<th>Separation time (min) for PCR products of different sizes (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100–112 142–158 210–227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4% Denatured at 51°C</td>
<td>37      44     57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4% Native at 51°C</td>
<td>25      30     35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4% Denatured at 45°C</td>
<td>39      48     63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4% Native at 45°C</td>
<td>30      34     41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>&lt;0.01   &lt;0.01  &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6% Denatured at 51°C</td>
<td>53      68     91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6% Native at 51°C</td>
<td>34      44     56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6% Denatured at 45°C</td>
<td>59      75     101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6% Native at 45°C</td>
<td>37      45     58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>&lt;0.01   &lt;0.01  &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Identical PCR mixtures were subjected to electrophoresis in each gel type at 51°C and 45°C. The averaged separation time in 3–4 separated runs of each gel type at each temperature was statistically compared with the Student's t-test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gel type</th>
<th>Relative mobilities of PCR products with different sizes (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100–112 142–158 210–227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6% Denatured</td>
<td>0.60–0.57 0.43–0.40 0.18–0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6% Native</td>
<td>0.82–0.80 0.74–0.73 0.63–0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>&lt;0.01   &lt;0.01  &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two isolated compartments were created on the same gel plate by adding two additional space bars to the center of the plate, and 6% denatured and native gels were simultaneously poured into each compartment. Three identical PCR products and a DNA standard were subjected to electrophoresis in each gel under the same condition. The relative mobilities of the same PCR products were statistically compared with the Student's t-test.
and reagent costs. In most cases, a given 6% native gel could be continuously used 3–4 times under 45°C. Although slightly deformed wells were occasionally observed after each run, they could usually be fixed with the gel cleaning apparatus designed in our laboratory [1]. Table 3 shows the comparison of the averaged separation time of three PCR products in 3–4 separate sets of electrophoresis in a 6% native gel that was used four times. The averaged separation times among four different runs were almost identical.

Table 4 shows the comparison of the corresponding relative mobilities. Again, the mobilities of all the PCR products among different runs were not statistically different (p > 0.05). Figures 2a–e show the band pattern images of four sequential runs of the identical PCR mixtures in the same gel, and of the first run in a gel that was prepared and subjected to electrophoresis strictly based on the User's Manual. The shape and intensity of DNA bands among four sequential runs were very similar to each other, and also similar to those in the comparing gel.
which was conformed by the raw data collected by the detection system during the electrophoresis process (data not shown). Figures 2f–h show the densitometric graphs of the first and the fourth run in the same gel, and of the first run in the comparing gel. Again, the height of the peak and the curve pattern in the fourth run was similar to those in the first run of both the same gel and the comparing gel.

In summary, this study has demonstrated that a decrease of electrophoresis temperature from 51 to 45°C facilitates the preservation of gel wells. This study has also shown that native gels could serve as a rapid tool for a preliminary run that would quickly show the outcome of PCR amplifications. The combination of a 6% native gel and a decreased electrophoresis temperature permits multiple uses of a given gel with consistent
Table 3. Comparison of the averaged separation time of PCR products with the same size in 6% native gels used different times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of usage</th>
<th>Averaged separation time (min) for PCR products with the same size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100–112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A 6% native gel was subjected to electrophoresis four times under 45°C for the same PCR mixtures. The averaged separation time, in 3–4 separate sets of electrophoresis, of the PCR product with the same size at different runs was statistically compared with the F-test.

Table 4. Comparison of the relative mobilities of PCR products in 6% native gels used once and multiple times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of usage</th>
<th>Relative mobility of PCR products with the same size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100–112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.60–0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.59–0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.61–0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.61–0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A 6% native gel was subjected to electrophoresis four times under 45°C for the same PCR mixtures. The averaged relative mobility, in 3–4 separate sets of electrophoresis, of the PCR products with the same size at different runs was statistically compared with F-test.
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