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In the initial stages of our work with threads, we found that layering the Ariadne system on the message-passing libraries PVM, P4 and LAM-MPI gave valuable functionality, but inadequate performance. We traced the runtime performance hits first to interactions at the threads-messaging interface, and later to protocol performance in the messaging subsystem itself. These performance problems are distinct from, and in addition to problems of scalability, system startup and functional inadequacy (e.g., efficient support for multiple media or realtime interactions) in extant message-passing systems like PVM, P4 and LAM-MPI. Thus, the need for improved performance and functionality motivated us to look for ways to make an application’s messaging subsystem interact more efficiently with its computation subsystem.

The search brought us to user-space protocols, and to the conclusion that the cheapest and most efficient form of computation and communication interaction is via transparent user-space threads support for protocol actions. Contrast this approach to other systems (e.g., Nexus, TPVM, UPVM) which simply layer threads and threads-services upon extant messaging subsystems. Protocol layers, provided mainly for modularity, make protocol actions less sensitive to client needs. Layer boundaries tend to restrict interfaces, and lower layers are unable to query upper layers so as to make the best use of asynchronous actions, timing, and buffering. The frequent address-space crossings that are required by most in-kernel protocol implementations prevent applications from taking complete advantage of low-latency networks.

The funding for this project enabled us to purchase a high-end Sun enterprise multiprocessor server, approximately a year ago. We have since been experimenting with multiple processors, threads, timers, protocols and distributed computing --- bringing us to many interesting problems. We have been conducting experimental research in concepts and methods to provide portable and efficient threads-based multiprotocol support in user-space. This support is ideal for distributed multimedia and collaborative distributed applications, and is scalable in two senses --- in terms of nodes (via transaction-oriented connectionless transport), and in terms of multiprotocols (via integration of application-threads and multiple protocol-threads in user-space). The ideas are based on timer-enhanced threads for integration of applications and protocols, for scalable real-time and transaction-oriented communication. Further, we currently seek efficient ways of providing multiway communication for “group” interactions in collaborative distributed computing environments.

Based on a skeletal communications architecture called (CLAM), we have already made some headway. Early encouraging results include scalability characteristics superior to messaging systems based on TCP/IP, throughput and response times that are competitive with TCP/IP, a 100% improvement on PVM-UDP and LAM-UDP, and up to eight times the multicast throughput of PVM, all on Ethertnets. Further, all this transparent communication-threads support is in addition to the benefits of threads for application development. We are currently working on ways to improve these early results on performance and functionality.
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